



## Legislation Details (With Text)

**File #:** 2021-1116 **Version:** 1

Type: Motion Status: Approved

File created: 12/27/2021 In control: Administrative Session

On agenda: 1/10/2022 Final action: 1/10/2022

Title: Motion 22-009, concerning the County Council's position on a proposed petition method annexation to

the city of Arlington; BRB File No. 08-2021 Arlington Lindsay Annexation

**Sponsors:** 

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Motion 22-009, 2. Staff Report, 3. Arlington Master Annexation ILA 1999, 4. Map City of Arlington

Lindsay Annex Zoom-In, 5. SWM Financial Impacts for Annexation 08-2021 SWM, 6. Motion Assignment Slip, 7. Distribution Sheet, 8. Arlington Lindsay Annexation Ordinance Finalized

DateVer.Action ByActionResult1/10/20221Administrative SessionApprovedPass

## **Executive/Council Action Form (ECAF)**

**ITEM TITLE: Motion** 

Motion 22-009, concerning the County Council's position on a proposed petition method annexation to the city

of Arlington; BRB File No. 08-2021 Arlington Lindsay Annexation

**DEPARTMENT:** Planning and Development Services

ORIGINATOR: Eileen Canola

**EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION:** Ken Klein- 12/28/21

PURPOSE: To review and act on the proposed City of Arlington Lindsay Annexation, BRB file no.

BACKGROUND: The City submitted a notice of intention (NOI) to the Snohomish County Boundary Review Board (BRB) - File No. 08-2021 (Attachment A to Motion), for which the 45-day review period ends on January 20, 2022. The BRB, consistent with its annexation review procedures outlined in Chapter 2.77 SCC, distributed the NOI to County departments including Planning and Development Services (PDS). Per SCC 2.77.040(4) within this 45-day review period, the County Council must determine whether to invoke BRB jurisdiction ('file a request for review'). If BRB jurisdiction is invoked during the 45-day review, by the County or another party, the BRB may hold public hearings and approve, deny, or modify the proposed annexation. BRB decisions must be consistent with Growth Management Act (GMA) provisions including the planning goals and framework for urban growth areas (UGAs) and Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs). State law also defines objectives (RCW 36.93.180) for board review and provides factors (RCW 36.93.170) for board consideration in making its decision. If BRB jurisdiction is not invoked, the annexation would be deemed approved. If the annexation is approved by the BRB either following a public hearing or because no party invokes BRB jurisdiction, the annexation would need to be finalized by City ordinance setting the effective date. The authority of the County Council for reviewing annexations is set forth in Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 36.93.100 and SCC 2.77.040. The proposed annexation is consistent with the GMA, the CPPs, and local comprehensive plans, the factors, and objectives of the BRB, and will have minimal impact to County budget and services. The annexation proposal furthers the GMA goals and CPP policies that cities

File #: 2021-1116, V	ersion: 1			
				The recommendation to the
County Council from	n PDS is to not oppose	the annexation an	d to not invoke the	e jurisdiction of the BRB.
FISCAL IMPLICAT	IONS:			
	SY, ORG, ACTY, OBJ, AU	CURRENT YR	2ND YR	1ST 6 YRS
TOTAL				
REVENUE: FUND, A	AGY, ORG, REV, SOURCE	CURRENT YR	2ND YR	1ST 6 YRS
TOTAL				
DEPARTMENT FIS	SCAL IMPACT NOTES:	Click or tap here	to enter text.	
CONTRACT INFO	RMATION:			
ORIGINAL	CONTRACT#		AMOUNT	
AMENDMENT _	CONTRACT#		AMOUNT	
Contract Period				
ORIGINAL	START		END	
AMENDMENT	START		END	
OTHER DEDARTM	IENTAL DEVIEWICOM	MENTS: Approve	d Finance Price	Hasolou 12/27/21

OTHER DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW/COMMENTS: Approved-Finance, Brian Haseleu 12/27/21