



Snohomish County Council

Legislation Details (With Text)

File #: 2021-1117 **Version:** 1

Type: Motion **Status:** Approved

File created: 12/27/2021 **In control:** Administrative Session

On agenda: 1/10/2022 **Final action:** 1/10/2022

Title: Motion 22-010, concerning the County Council's position on a proposed petition method annexation to the Town of Darrington; BRB File No. 07-2021 Darrington Cummings Annexation

Sponsors:

Indexes:

Code sections:

Attachments: 1. Motion 22-010, 2. Staff Report, 3. Map Darrington Cummings Annex Zoom-in, 4. Financial Impacts for Annexation-07-2021-SWM, 5. Certificate of Sufficiency, 6. Motion Assignment Slip, 7. Distribution Sheet, 8. Darrington Cummings Annexation Ordinance Finalized (updated 03/21/22)

Date	Ver.	Action By	Action	Result
1/10/2022	1	Administrative Session	Approved	Pass

Executive/Council Action Form (ECAF)

ITEM TITLE: Motion

Motion 22-010, concerning the County Council's position on a proposed petition method annexation to the Town of Darrington; BRB File No. 07-2021 Darrington Cummings Annexation

DEPARTMENT: Planning and Development Services

ORIGINATOR: Eileen Canola

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION: Approve-Ken Klein 12/28/21

PURPOSE: To review and act on the proposed Darrington Cummings Annexation, BRB File No. 07-2021

BACKGROUND: The Town of Darrington (“Town”) submitted a notice of intention (NOI) to the Snohomish County Boundary Review Board (BRB) - file no. 07-2021 (Attachment A to Motion), for which the 45-day review period ends on January 17, 2022. The BRB, consistent with its annexation review procedures outlined in Chapter 2.77 SCC, distributed the NOI to County departments including Planning and Development Services (PDS). Per SCC 2.77.040(4) within this 45-day review period, the County Council must determine whether to invoke BRB jurisdiction (‘file a request for review’). The Town previously submitted and subsequently withdrew a NOI to the BRB for the Cummings Annexation that was BRB file no. 05-2021. If BRB jurisdiction is invoked during the 45-day review, by the county or another party, the BRB may hold public hearings and approve, deny, or modify the proposed annexation. The proposed annexation is consistent with the GMA, the CPPs, and local comprehensive plans, the factors, and objectives of the BRB, and will have minimal impact to County budget and services. The annexation proposal furthers the GMA goals and CPP policies that cities and towns should be the primary providers of urban services that are existing or planned. This conclusion has been reached by reviewing the annexation against the applicable BRB factors and objectives, County codes, and other applicable statutes and determining that the relevant factors and objectives that the BRB must consider would be advanced by the annexation. The recommendation to the County Council from PDS is to not oppose the annexation and to not invoke the jurisdiction of the BRB.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

EXPEND: FUND, AGY, ORG, ACTY, OBJ, AU	CURRENT YR	2ND YR	1ST 6 YRS
TOTAL			

REVENUE: FUND, AGY, ORG, REV, SOURCE	CURRENT YR	2ND YR	1ST 6 YRS
TOTAL			

DEPARTMENT FISCAL IMPACT NOTES: Click or tap here to enter text.

CONTRACT INFORMATION:

ORIGINAL _____ CONTRACT# _____ AMOUNT _____
 AMENDMENT _____ CONTRACT# _____ AMOUNT _____

Contract Period

ORIGINAL START _____ END _____
 AMENDMENT START _____ END _____

OTHER DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW/COMMENTS: Approved-Finance, Brian Haseleu