	Index of Records						
	Project Name	LDA Applica	tion Extension				
Part 1 - DEPA	ARTMENT OF PLANNING	AND DEVELOPM	IENT SERVICES				
Exhibit #	Record Type	Date	Received From	Exhibit Description			
1.0001	Public Outreach	7/25/2022	PDS Staff	Parties of Record			
1.0002	Project Administration	3/29/2022	PDS Staff	Request for PA Assistance			
1.0003	Public Comment	1/6/2023	PDS Staff	Email regarding DNS Notice			
1.0004	Public Comment	1/6/2023	PDS Staff	Email Response to DNS Notice			
1.0005	Public Outreach	2022	PDS Staff	Proposed Code Amendments			
1.0006	SEPA Documents	6/3/2022	PDS Staff	DNS Notice and Checklist			
1.0007	SEPA Documents	6/3/2022	PDS Staff	DNS Notice Postcard			
1.0008	Staff Research	5/18/2022	PDS Staff	Ordinance 09-018			
1.0009	Staff Research	4/21/2022	PDS Staff	Ordinance 16-004			
1.0010	Staff Research	8/16/2022	PDS Staff	Proposed Code Amendments			
1.0011	Staff Research	5/5/2022	PDS Staff	Permit Data Summary			
				<u> </u>			

1.0001 Parties of Records

NŶΰ	nexa#ne File Name: ⊥	· Organization es_oi_Reco	^r Email Address	Street Address	City	State	Zip Code	Notes
1	Gary Brandstetter	Marshland Flood Control	marshlandfloodcon	trol@gmail.com				
2	Josette Fisher	Mukilteo School District	FisherJA@mukilteo.w	FisherJA@mukilteo.wednet.edu				
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								
29								
30								
31								
32								
33								
34						+		
35						1		
36						1		
37						+		
38						1		
39								
40								
41						+		
42						1		
43								

1.0001 Parties of Records

ΝŶΰ	RXa#ne File Name: ⊥.	Organization es_oi_kecor	Email Address	Street Address	City	State	Zip Code	Notes
44								
45								
46								
47								
48								
49								
50								
51								
52								
53								
54								
55								
56								
57								
58								
59								
60								
61								
62								
63								
64								
65								
66								
67								
68								
69								
70								
71								
72								
73								
74								
75								
76								
77								
78								
79								
80								
81								
82								
83								
84								
85								

1.0001 Parties of Records

	RXa#ne File Name: ⊥.	Organization es_oi_Recor	Enlail Address	Street Address	City	State	Zip Code	Notes
86								
87								
88								
89								
90								
91								
92								
93								
94								
95								
96								
97								
98								
99								
100								



Planning & Development Services

REQUEST FOR PA ASSISTANCE

То	Ashley Lamp, Snohomish County Prosecutor's Office					
From				initials		
Originator				initials		
Manager				initials		
Date				•		
Subject						
Requested Due Date			□ Urgent	no later than		
(Two week min unless rush)			☐ Urgent	no later than		
Fund Account	□ 002 - CE, Long Range Planning, Fire Investigation □ 193 - Permitting					
Attachments						
CC:						
Related to Past PA Request?	Пуеs Ппо	If ves explain:				

Question or request:

Index # - File Name: 1.0003.pdf

 From:
 Fisher Josette A.

 To:
 McGowan, Hilary

 Cc:
 Fisher Josette A.

Subject: FW: SEPA Notice: Proposed Code Amendments Relating to Development Application and Permit Expiration

Extensions

Date: Monday, June 13, 2022 9:55:28 AM

Attachments: DNS and Environmental Checklist Noticing Appeals.pdf

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of this organization. Please exercise caution with links and attachments.

Good Morning Hilary,

Please list Mukilteo School District as a Party of Record for the Proposed Code Amendments Relating to the Development Application and Permit Expiration Extensions.

Kind Regards, Josette Fisher

From: Moore, Megan < Megan. Moore@co.snohomish.wa.us>

Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 8:08 AM

Subject: SEPA Notice: Proposed Code Amendments Relating to Development Application and Permit

Expiration Extensions

*** Use caution responding to or opening attachments and links in this email. It is not from Mukilteo SD.***

SEPA NOTIFICATION

Notice is Hereby Given that SNOHOMISH COUNTY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES (PDS) has issued a Determination of Non-significance (DNS) for a non-project action.

Description of Proposal: This is a non-project proposal to amend Snohomish County Code (SCC) Title 30 relating to development application and permit extensions. This proposal would amend permit application expiration terms for Land Disturbing Activity (LDA), Flood Hazard, and Flood Hazard Variance permits, and permit expiration fees to match the proposed permit application expirations. This code amendment also proposes limited code clean-up associated with permitting fee tables. The proposed code could work to increase the consistency between permit application expiration timelines within Title 30 chapters, and make fees and code language for permit application and permit extensions consistent among permit types.

Project Proponent: Snohomish County PDS. PDS determined that this non-project proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment.

Date of Issuance: June 8, 2022

Contact: Hilary McGowan, Planner, (425) 388-5377, or Hilary.McGowan@snoco.org.

SEPA Comments Due: This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2). The lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the issue date above. Comments must be submitted by 5:00 p.m.,

Index # - File Name: 1.0003.pdf

June 15, 2022, to the responsible official at the address listed on the DNS.

Additional information regarding the proposed legislation is available at the County's website at: https://snohomishcountywa.gov/1603/Environmental-SEPADocuments

Copies are available at Snohomish County Planning and Development Services 3000 Rockefeller Ave. M/S 604, Everett, WA 98201

Megan Moore | Administrative Assistant

Snohomish County Planning and Development Services

(425) 262-2891

she/her

NOTICE: All emails and attachments sent to and from Snohomish County are public records and may be subject to disclosure pursuant to the Public Records Act (RCW 42.56).

Index # - File Name: 1.0004.pdf

McGowan, Hilary

From: Fisher Josette A. <FisherJA@mukilteo.wednet.edu>

Sent: Monday, June 13, 2022 9:55 AM

To: McGowan, Hilary
Cc: Fisher Josette A.

Subject: FW: SEPA Notice: Proposed Code Amendments Relating to Development Application

and Permit Expiration Extensions

Attachments: DNS and Environmental Checklist Noticing Appeals.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up Flag Status: Flagged

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of this organization. Please exercise caution with links and attachments. Good Morning Hilary,

Please list Mukilteo School District as a Party of Record for the Proposed Code Amendments Relating to the Development Application and Permit Expiration Extensions.

Kind Regards, Josette Fisher

From: Moore, Megan < Megan. Moore@co.snohomish.wa.us>

Sent: Wednesday, June 8, 2022 8:08 AM

Subject: SEPA Notice: Proposed Code Amendments Relating to Development Application and Permit Expiration

Extensions

*** Use caution responding to or opening attachments and links in this email. It is not from Mukilteo SD.***

SEPA NOTIFICATION

Notice is Hereby Given that SNOHOMISH COUNTY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES (PDS) has issued a Determination of Non-significance (DNS) for a non-project action.

Description of Proposal: This is a non-project proposal to amend Snohomish County Code (SCC) Title 30 relating to development application and permit extensions. This proposal would amend permit application expiration terms for Land Disturbing Activity (LDA), Flood Hazard, and Flood Hazard Variance permits, and permit expiration fees to match the proposed permit application expirations. This code amendment also proposes limited code clean-up associated with permitting fee tables. The proposed code could work to increase the consistency between permit application expiration timelines within Title 30 chapters, and make fees and code language for permit application and permit extensions consistent among permit types.

Project Proponent: Snohomish County PDS. PDS determined that this non-project proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment.

Date of Issuance: June 8, 2022

Contact: Hilary McGowan, Planner, (425) 388-5377, or Hilary.McGowan@snoco.org.

SEPA Comments Due: This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2). The lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the issue date above. Comments must be submitted by 5:00 p.m., June 15, 2022, to the responsible official at the address listed on the DNS.

Address that in the County's website

at: https://snohomishcountywa.gov/1603/Environmental-SEPADocuments

Copies are available at Snohomish County Planning and Development Services 3000 Rockefeller Ave. M/S 604, Everett, WA 98201

Megan Moore | Administrative Assistant

Snohomish County Planning and Development Services

(425) 262-2891

she/her

NOTICE: All emails and attachments sent to and from Snohomish County are public records and may be subject to disclosure pursuant to the Public Records Act (RCW 42.56).



Snohomish County

Planning and Development Services

3000 Rockefeller Ave., M/S 604 Everett, WA 98201-4046 (425) 388-3311

www.snoco.org

Proposed Code Amendments Relating to Development

Application and Permit Extensions

DATE: May 28, 2022 Dave Somers
County Executive

INTRODUCTION

DRAFT:

The purpose of this memo is to provide information and elicit public feedback for a draft non-project proposal regarding a proposal to amend permit application expiration terms for Land Disturbing Activity (LDA), Flood Hazard, and Flood Hazard Variance permits, and permit expiration fees to match the proposed permit application expirations. This code amendment also proposes limited code clean-up associated with permitting fee tables. The proposed code could work to increase the consistency between permit application expiration timelines within Title 30 chapters, and make fees and code language for permit application and permit extensions consistent among permit types.

PROPOSAL BACKGROUND

Snohomish County Planning and Development Services (PDS) staff have identified several instances in which permit applications have expired on certain projects, while the associated applications have not expired. Land Disturbing Activity (LDA), Flood Hazard, and Flood Hazard Variance permit applications are currently valid for 18 months and the expiration date cannot be extended. This has resulted in LDA and Flood Hazard applications expiring for many projects before the related building permit or land use applications have expired. This mismatch in expiration dates is true even if the applicant has requested consolidated review under SCC 30.70.12.

Application expiration dates translate into the amount of time applicants must demonstrate to the county staff that their application is in compliance with all applicable code requirements. When the application expiration dates of the required permits are out of alignment, this can result in some applications expiring while other permit applications still have remaining time left for review. To complete a project when these permit applications expire, the applicant must re-submit materials for the LDA, Flood Hazard, or Flood Hazard Variance permit. This causes delays as the new application materials must be processed, a new public notice may be required, and the applicant is subject to pay additional fees. This is a frequent issue identified by PDS staff that could be resolved by alinging application extensions for LDA, Flood Hazard, and Flood Hazard Variance permits with the current process for building permit applications.

Chapter 30.70 SCC describes general process requirements for permits and decisions including expiration dates, and Chapter 30.86 SCC relates to fees required for permit application and

extension requests. The fees and timelines for LDA, Flood Hazard, and Flood Hazard Variance permit applications have changed over time. The last change was made in 2016. Amendments in 2016 eliminated extensions for LDA applications and added a time limit for Flood Hazard Variances. Under SCC Table 30.70.140(1), LDA and Flood Hazard permit applications expire 18 months after submittal, without the option for an extension.

Prior to 2016, LDA permit applications expired after 18 months, and there was the option for the PDS Director to grant one extension of the permit application that couldn't exceed an additional 18 months. The renewal fee was \$400 plus a percentage of the original application or permit fee equal to the percentage of approved or permitted activity to be completed.

Applicants who are applying for building permits, such as single-family homes or garages, may also need to apply for LDA, Flood Hazard, or Flood Hazard Variance permits depending on the size and location of the proposed buildings. The associated building permit applications expire 18 months after submittal, although the expiration date can be extended by the County building official by up to 18 months. If the building permit application is extended to allow for more review time, this may cause applicants to need to re-apply for LDA, Flood Hazard, or Flood Hazard Variance permits which expire after only 18 months. Resubmittal will cause the applicant to pay new fees. Fee rates for LDA applications (SCC 30.86.510) range from \$375 to \$34,700, depending on specified levels of drainage and grading, and may be subject to additional fees for security device administration. Fee rates for Flood Hazard permit applications (SCC 30.86.300) range from \$300 to \$1,500. To help prevent the need to resubmit permit applications and pay the full application fees again due only to mismatched expiration timelines, this code amendment proposes that extensions of up to 18 months be permitted for LDA and Flood Hazard applications.

Requiring this additional resubmittal increases costs and time for the applicant and increases permit application processing and reviewal time for PDS staff. In reviewing permit applications, staff found that 149 out of 2,182 LDA permit applications expired since 2017. Due to permitting software changes and changes in how PDS codes certain permit types, there is no data to show how many LDA, Flood Hazard, and Flood Hazard Variance projects have re-applied for a permit since they have expired. Since 2016, PDS staff have reported this being a semi-regular occurrence for applicants when accounting for potential longer-term permit application timelines for associated building permits.

Preliminary Subdivisions and Preliminary Short Subdivisions allow an extension for the expiration of approval or permit with fees that are both \$500 under SCC 30.86.100 and SCC 30.86.110. A flat fee required for the allowed total extension time of two years granted by PDS is an efficient process because it is consistent for all applications and does not require staff to determine factors such as percentage complete. LDA applications prior to 2016 could be renewed for \$400 plus a percentage of the original application or permit fee equal to the percentage of approved or permitted activity to be completed. PDS staff recommends against adding in fee language that requires percentages of activity completed to be assessed, since it can be difficult to quantify such language consistently. Instead, this code amendment proposes

Expiration Extensions
Index # - File Name: 1.0005.pdf

a flat fee of \$500 for permit application extensions for LDA, Flood Hazard, and Flood Hazard Variance permits.

Under SCC Table 30.70.140(1), SCC 30.50.140, SCC Table 30.86.400(6), and SCC Table 30.86.400(7) Building Permits allow an application extension of 18 months, and an application extension fee of a \$400 administration fee plus a percentage of the original plan review fee equal to the percentage of work completed (SCC 30.86.400). Building Permits extensions under SCC 30.50.144 and SCC Table 30.86.400(7) also have extension fees based on the percentage of finished work. It is often difficult for staff to determine the percentage of work that has been completed when calculating the application extension and extension fees. In order to maintain consistency between fees related to specific permitting work, PDS staff recommends that Building Permit application extensions (SCC Table 30.86.400(6)) and Building Permit extensions (SCC Table 30.56.400(7)) be assigned the same cost as the proposed LDA, Flood Hazard, and Flood Hazard Variance application extensions of \$500.

In addition to the proposed application extensions and fee consistency, this code amendment also proposes to clean up dated expiration extension language in SCC Table 30.70.140(1) for Subdivisions and Short Subdivisions. Currently, the table has two conditions for the expiration of approval or permit. PDS proposes to remove the extension language for Subdivisions and Short Subdivisions that were approved on or before December 31, 2007, since there are no permits that would be valid in that timeline in 2022. With the removal of the December 31, 2007, date, the language that specifies permit extensions that were approved on or after January 1, 2008, is also recommended to be removed. PDS also proposes to reduce the amount of extensions that could be requested for Subdivisions and Short Subdivisions. Currently there could be multiple extension requests that could equal up to two years. The proposal is to instead allow a one time permit extension of two years. This proposed change would reduce the review burden on PDS Staff and extension submittal burden on applicants in order to achieve the same timeline of permit extensions.

While unifying the permit application and permit extension fees for building permits, PDS staff recommends removing a reference note in SCC Table 30.86.400(7). This provision was added by Ordinance No. 06-004 in 2006, and has since been sunsetted in past Ordinances. This code deletion would be a housekeeping item to remove a reference that is no longer applicable.

PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENTS

The following three tables provide an overview of the proposed changes to Snohomish County Code.

30.70.140 Expiration of applications, approvals, and permits

Table 30.70.140(1)

Approval Type Expiration of		Expiration of approval or permit		
	application			
Administrative Conditional Use	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use		
Permit				
Administrative Conditional Use	12 months	As determined in decision		
Permit – Temporary Dwelling				
During Construction				
Administrative Conditional Use	12 months	Shall be subject to annual renewal		
Permit – Temporary Dwelling				
For Relative				
Administrative Conditional Use	12 months	As determined in decision		
Permit – Other Temporary Uses				
Administrative Site Plan	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use		
(pursuant to chapter 30.23A				
SCC)				
Binding Site Plan	36 months	6 months to record		
Boundary Line Adjustment	12 months	12 months to record. The department may		
		grant up to one 12-month extension.		
Building Permit	Per subtitle 30.5 SCC	Per subtitle 30.5 SCC		
Conditional Use Permit	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use		
Cottage Housing (pursuant to	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use		
chapter 30.41G SCC)				
Flood Hazard Permit & Flood	18 months,	18 months from the date of issuance. Start		
Hazard Variance	but may be	of construction, as defined in SCC		
	extended for an	30.91S.570, must commence within 180		
	additional 18	days.		
	months.1			
Forest Practices (Class IV-	18 months	36 months		
General)				
Land Disturbing Activity	18 months,	36 months		
	but may be			
	extended for an			
	additional 18			
	months. ²			
Official Site Plan and Site Plans	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use		
(pursuant to chapters 30.31A				
and 30.31B SCC)	1			

Planned Residential	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
Development		
Pre-application Concurrency	6 months	Per SCC 30.66B.155
Determination		
Rezones	36 months	Not applicable
Shoreline Conditional Use	36 months	Per chapter 30.44 SCC
Permit		
Shoreline Substantial	36 months	Per chapter 30.44 SCC
Development Permit		
Single Family Detached Units	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
Special Use Permit (pursuant to chapter 30.42F SCC)	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
Subdivisions	48 months	Per RCW 58.17.140, except that:
		For preliminary subdivisions that were
		approved on or after January 1, 2008, one
		or more extensions not to exceed a total
		extension time of two years may be
		granted by the department. Such request
		must be received by the director at least 30
		days prior to the expiration of the
		preliminary subdivision approval or prior
		extension. The applicant shall pay a fee for
		each extension pursuant to SCC 30.86.100.
		May be extended for an additional two
		years. ⁽³⁾
		For preliminary subdivisions that were
		approved on or before December 31, 2007,
		one or more extensions up to a total term
		of 12 years may be granted by the
		department. Such request must be
		received by the director at least 30 days
		prior to the expiration of the preliminary
		subdivision approval or prior extension.
		The applicant shall pay a fee for each
		extension pursuant to SCC 30.86.100.
Short Subdivisions	48 months	60 months, except that:
S.I.S. C SUBMITISIONS	.5 1110116115	For preliminary short subdivisions that
		were approved on or after January 1, 2008,
		one or more extensions not to exceed a
		total extension time of two years may be
		granted by the department. Such request
		must be received by the director at least 30
		days prior to the expiration of the
		preliminary subdivision approval or prior
		extension. The applicant shall pay a fee for

		each extension pursuant to SCC 30.86.110.May be extended for an additional two years. ⁽⁴⁾
		For preliminary subdivisions that were approved on or before December 31, 2007, one or more extensions up to a total term of 12 years may be granted by the department. Such request must be received by the director at least 30 days prior to the expiration of the preliminary subdivision approval or prior extension. The applicant shall pay a fee for each extension pursuant to SCC 30.86.110.
Urban Center Development	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
Variance	36 months	Not applicable

Reference notes for SCC Table 30.70.140(1):

³One extension of two years may be granted by the department. Such request must be received by the director prior to the expiration of the preliminary subdivision approval. The applicant shall pay a fee for the extension pursuant to SCC 30.86.100.

⁴One extension of two years may be granted by the department. Such request must be received by the director prior to the expiration of the preliminary subdivision approval. The applicant shall pay a fee for the extension pursuant to SCC 30.86.110.

30.86.300 Special flood hazard areas permit fees.

to SCC Table 30.70.140(1).

Table 30.86.300 Special Flood Hazard Area Permit Fees

FLOOD HAZARD AREA PERMIT	\$1,050				
FLOOD HAZARD AREA VARIANCE	See Table 30.86.230				
PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE FEE	\$480				
FLOOD HAZARD AREA DETERMINATION	\$300				
FLOOD HAZARD PERMIT & FLOOD HAZARD VARIANCE	<u>\$500</u>				
APPLICATION EXTENSION (1)					
(1) This fee applies to Flood Hazard Permit and Flood Hazard Variance application extensions pursuant					

¹The department may grant a one-time 18-month extension. The applicant must submit the extension request to the department prior to the expiration. The applicant shall pay a fee for the extension pursuant to 30.86.300.

² The department may grant a one-time 18-month extension. The applicant must submit the extension request to the department prior to the expiration. The applicant shall pay a fee for the extension pursuant to 30.86.510.

30.86.510 Drainage and land disturbing activity fees.

Table 30.86.510(2) Fees for Drainage and Land Disturbing Activities

(A) FEE LEVELS FOR PLAN REVIEW AND INSPECTION	DRAINAGE (new replaced, or ne plus replaced hard surface in square feet)	or new GRADING (cut or fill in cubic yards, whichever is greater)		FEE		
Level 1(a):	1 – 1,999				\$	375
Drainage only Level 1(b):			1 - 500		\$	350
Grading only			1-300		۲	330
Level 1(a)+(b):	1 – 1,999	ar	nd	1-500	\$	725
Drainage and	2,555	۳.		1300	,	723
Grading						
Level 2	2,000 – 4,999	ar	nd	0 - 500	\$	1,575
Level 3	5,000 – 9,999	ar	nd/or	501 – 4,999	\$	2,450
Level 4	10,000 -	-	nd/or	5,000 –	\$	4,800
	39,999			14,999		
Level 5	40,000 -	ar	nd/or	15,000 -	\$	12,700
	99,999			69,999		
Level 6	100,000 or	ar	nd/or	70,000 or	\$	34,700
(2)	more			more		
(B) FEE LEVELS						
FOR PLAN REVIEW AND	CLEARING ⁽²⁾				FEE	
INSPECTION ⁽¹⁾						
Level 1	1 – 6,999 sq. ft.				\$ 75	n
Level 2						
Level 3:	7,000 sq. ft. or more \$ 2,8 Converts three-quarters of an acre (32,670 sq. \$ 2,8					
Conversion				•	7 2,0	,,,,
only		ft.) or more of vegetation to lawn/landscaped areas, or converts 2.5 acres (108,900 sq. ft.) or				
,	more of native vegetation to pasture.					
(C) FEES FOR ACT			•			
Pre-application site review				\$ 25	0	
Subsequent plan review ⁽³⁾				\$ 35	0	
LDA Application Extension ⁽⁴⁾ \$ 500					0	
Field revisions ^{(4) (5)} \$ 350					0	
Modification, waiver, or reconsideration issued pursuant to SCC See SCC 30.86.515					SCC 30.86.515	
30.63A.830 throu	ugh 30.63A.842					
Investigation per	nalty ^{5) (6)}				1009	% of the
applicable drain						

	and land disturbing				
	activity fee				
Dike or levee construction or reconstruction grading plan review	\$ 60 per hour				
and inspection fee when implementing a Snohomish County					
approved floodplain management plan					
Drainage plan review for mining operations (6) (7)	\$156 per acre				
Monitoring associated with drainage plan review for mining operations	\$ 141 per hour				
Consultation pursuant to SCC 30.63B.030(2) or 30.63B.100(2)					
	¢ 050				
Land Use	\$ 850				
Engineering	\$ 975				
Land Use and Engineering Combination	\$ 1,655				
(D) SECURITY DEVICE ADMINISTRATION FEES:	L4				
Performance Security	\$ 19.50 per				
	subdivision or short				
	subdivision lot or				
	\$0.005 per square				
	foot of impervious				
	area for all other				
	permits				
Maintenance Security	\$ 15.00 per				
	subdivision or short				
	subdivision lot or				
	\$0.003 per square				
	foot of impervious				
	area for all other				
	permits				
REFERENCE NOTES:					
(1) Drainage and land disturbing activity reviews associated with pr					
Snohomish Conservation District shall not be subject to plan review and inspection fees.					
(2) Fee includes drainage plan review and inspection for clearing activity only. When					
clearing is combined with other land disturbing activities in SCC Table 30.86.510(2)(A), fee					
levels 1 - 6 for drainage and/or grading plan review and inspection also apply.					
(3) These fees apply on third and subsequent plan review submittals when an applicant					
fails to submit required corrections noted on "markup" plans, drawings, or other required					
submittal documents.					
(4) This fee applies to LDA application extensions pursuant to SCC Table 30.70.140(1).					
(4) (5) These fees apply whenever an applicant proposes changes, a	·				
to previously approved plans, drawings, or other required submittal documents.					

(5) (6) Acreage for drainage plan review for mining operations is based on mined area. Mined area includes all area disturbed in conjunction with the mining operation which shall include, but is not limited to, areas cleared, stock piles, drainage facilities, access roads, utilities, mitigation areas, and all other activity which disturbs the land. Fees for

phased mine developments and mining site restoration plans of phased mine

developments shall be calculated separately for each phase of mining based upon the area for each phase.

(6) (7) Any person who commences any land disturbing activity before obtaining the necessary permits shall be subject to an investigation penalty in addition to the required permit fees.

30.86.400 Construction Code fees.

Table 30.86.400(6) Plan Review Fees

	Table 30.80.400(b) Platt Neview Fees	,
PLAN, DRAWING, O	R DOCUMENT BEING REVIEWED	
•	R-3 and U Occupancies for residential	65% of building permit fee
	purposes	
•	A, I, R-1, R-2, R-4, E, H, F, M, S, U and B	85% of building permit fee
	Occupancies	
EXCEPTIONS		
Successive construc	tion (2) (3)	
•	Structures regulated by the IRC	20% of building permit fee
•	R-2 structures	45% of building permit fee
The plan review fee	shall be supplemented for A, I, R-1, R-2, R-4, E, H,	F, M, S, U and B
Occupancies as follo	ows:	
•	Commercial permit application for 1 or more	\$640
	buildings or additions requiring site review	
•	Commercial permit application for 1 or more	\$500
	buildings or additions with a previously	
	approved official site plan	
•	Tenant improvements not requiring site plan	\$100
	review	
ADDITIONAL REVIE	W (4)	\$200 or 25% of the plan review
		fee, whichever is less.
APPLICATION EXTER	NSION	<u>\$500</u>
		The fee for the permit
		application extension includes
		a percentage of the original
		plan review fee equal to the
		percentage of work completed
		plus a \$400 administration fee.
Deference meters		

Reference notes:

- (1) Plan review fees shall compensate the department for the plan review necessary to determine compliance with the adopted construction codes and other county regulations.
- (2) A plan review fee for successive construction will be assessed where more than one building or structure is proposed to be constructed in accordance with a single basic plan for the following classifications of buildings and structures
- (a) Group R occupancies.

- (b) Garages, carports, storage buildings, agricultural buildings, and similar structures for private use.
- (3) Procedures for approval of basic plans for successive construction shall be established by the director.
- (4) This fee is charged whenever an applicant resubmits documents failing to make county-required corrections noted on "markup" plans, drawings, or such other documents during plan review; or whenever as a result of changes, additions, or revisions to previously approved plans, drawings or such other documents, a subsequent plan review is required.

30.86.400 Construction Code fees.

Table 30.86.400(7) Building Permit Fees

TOTAL BUILDING/STRUCTURAL	PERMIT FEE (3)(4)
VALUATION ⁽²⁾	
\$1-\$500	\$45.00
\$501-\$2,000	\$45.00 for the first \$500 plus \$3.70 for each additional \$100 or
	fraction thereof, including \$2,000
\$2,001-\$25,000	\$100.50 for the first \$2,000 plus \$17.50 for each additional
	\$1,000 or fraction thereof, including \$25,000
\$25,001-\$50,000	\$503.00 for the first \$25,000 plus \$10.50 for each additional
	\$1,000 or fraction thereof, including \$50,000
\$50,001-\$100,000	\$765.50 for the first \$50,000 plus \$9.75 for each additional
	\$1,000 or fraction thereof, including \$100,000
\$100,001-\$500,000	\$1,253.00 for the first \$100,000 plus \$7.00 for each additional
	\$1,000 or fraction thereof, including \$500,000
\$500,001-\$1,000,000	\$4,053.00 for the first \$500,000 plus \$6.50 for each additional
	\$1,000 or fraction thereof, including \$1,000,000
\$1,000,001-\$5,000,000	\$7,453.00 for the first \$1,000,000 plus \$4.30 for each additional
	\$1,000 or fraction thereof.
Over \$5,000,000	\$24,503.00 for the first \$5,000,000 plus \$4.00 for each additional
	\$1,000 or fraction thereof.
PERMIT EXTENSION	\$500 The fee for the permit extension includes a percentage of
	the original permit fee equal to the percentage of work to be
	completed.

Reference notes:

- (1) Permit fees shall compensate the department for inspections necessary to determine compliance with the adopted construction codes, other county regulations, and the approved plan. The fee table shall be applied separately to each building within a project and used for the calculation of all plan review and permit fees, except those for which a separate permit fee is required to be paid in accordance with this title.
- (2) The department shall use the building valuation multipliers provided in the most current building valuation data (BVD) published by the International Code Council.

(3) Permit fees for playing fields on designated recreational land in accordance with SCC 30.28.076 shall be set at \$0.00, regardless of valuation. All buildings on the site shall be permitted on one permit.

(4)(3) For new construction of Group R-3 occupancies, a fee of 11 percent of the building permit fee shall apply for mechanical and plumbing inspections. (See SCC 30.86.410 and 30.86.420.)





Planning and Development Services

3000 Rockefeller Ave., M/S 604 Everett, WA 98201-4046 (425) 388-3311 www.snoco.org

Dave Somers
County Executive

DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

Proponent: Snohomish County Department of Planning and Development Services

County Administration Building 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, M/S 604

Everett, WA 98201

Description of Proposal: Proposed ordinance to amend permit application expiration terms for Land Disturbing Activity (LDA), Flood Hazard, and Flood Hazard Variance permits, and permit expiration fees to match the proposed permit application expirations. This code amendment also proposes limited code clean-up associated with permitting fee tables.

Ordinance is titled:

RELATING TO DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION AND PERMIT EXTENSIONS IN TITLE 30 OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE (SCC), AMENDING SCC TABLE 30.40.140(1), SCC TABLE 30.86.300, SCC TABLE 30.86.510(2), SCC TABLE 30.86.400(6), AND SCC TABLE 30.86.400(7)

Proposed Amendments

This is a non-project proposal to amend Snohomish County Code (SCC) Title 30 to increase the consistency between permit application expiration timelines of building permits and land use applications within Title 30 chapters, and to make fees and code language for permit application and permit extensions consistent among permit types.

More specifically,

- SCC Table 30.70.140(1) will be amended by adding a one time 18 month permit application
 extensions for Flood Hazard Permit, Flood Hazard Variance, and Land Disturbing Activity
 approval types. This table would also amend dated language for permit extensions for
 Subdivisions and Short Subdivisions.
- SCC Table 30.86.300 will be amended to add a one time fee of \$500 for a Flood Hazard Permit and Flood Hazard Variance application extension, in addition to a reference to SCC Table 30.70.140(1).
- SCC Table 30.86.510(2) will be amended to add a one time fee of \$500 for a Land Disturbing

Index # - File Name: 1.0006.pdf

Activity application extension, in addition to a reference to SCC Table 30.70.140(1).

- SCC Table 30.86.400(6) will be amended to change the application extension fee for Construction Code fees to a flat \$500 fee from being \$400 administrative fee plus a percentage of the plan review fee equal to the percentage of work completed.
- SCC Table 30.86.400(7) will be amended to change the application extension fee for Building Permit fees to a flat \$500 fee from being \$400 administrative fee plus a percentage of the plan review fee equal to the percentage of work completed.

Lead Agency: Snohomish County Department of Planning and Development Services

Threshold Determination: The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) **IS NOT** required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review by Snohomish County of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with this agency. This information is available for public review upon request.

This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by June 15, 2022 to the responsible official at the address listed below.

Appeals: This DNS together with the subsequent legislative action by the County Council to amend the County Code may be appealed to the Central Puget Sound Growth Management Hearings Board. THIS DNS MAY BE APPEALED ONLY WHEN SUCH APPEAL IS COMBINED WITH THE APPEAL OF THE UNDERLYING ACTION PURSUANT TO SCC 30.73.100. THE APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE PUBLISHED NOTICE OF ACTION ISSUED SUBSEQUENT TO THE FINAL DECISION BY THE COUNTY. The Notice of Action describing the final decision by the County to pursue or not pursue the proposed

The Notice of Action describing the final decision by the County to pursue or not pursue the proposed action will be published in the County's paper of record. Any appeal must be filed with the Central Puget Sound Growth Management Hearings Board, at PO Box 40953 Olympia WA 98504-0953 within 60 days following publication in the paper, or as otherwise stated in the Notice of Action or provided by law.

Responsible Official: David Killingstad

Position/Title: Manager, Long Range Planning **Address:** 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, M/S #604

Everett, WA 98201-4046

David Killingstad

David Killingstad, Manager

For further information, contact Hilary McGowan, Planning and Development Services, (425) 388-5377 or hilary.mcgowan@snoco.org. Please include your full name and mailing address in any email comments.

Date Issued: June 8, 2022 Date Published: June 8, 2022



Planning and Development Services

3000 Rockefeller Ave., M/S 604 Everett, WA 98201-4046 (425) 388-3311 www.snoco.org

Dave Somers
County Executive

SNOHOMISH COUNTY ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Purpose of Checklist

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information on the impacts from this proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts if possible) to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

A. Background

1. Name of proposed project:

Proposed Code Amendments Relating Development Application and Permit Extensions.

2. Name of applicant:

Snohomish County, Department of Planning and Development Services.

3. Address and phone number of applicant and contact person:

Hilary McGowan, Project Manager 3000 Rockefeller, M/S 604 Everett, WA 98201 Phone: 425-388-5377

Phone: 425-388-5377

Email: Hilary.McGowan@snoco.org

4. Date checklist prepared:

May 26, 2022

5. Agency requesting checklist:

Snohomish County, Department of Planning and Development Services

6. Proposed timing or schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Planning Commission briefing: June 28, 2022 Planning Commission public hearing: July 26, 2022 County Council public hearing: To be determined

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain.

This proposal is for a non-project action with no directly related plans for future activities associated with these code amendments.

8. List any environmental information you know about what has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal.

The proposed ordinance is consistent with the policies and goals of the adopted Growth Management Act Comprehensive Plan, which included an EIS that was adopted on June 3, 2015. No additional environmental information or studies have been prepared for the proposed development regulations.

 Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain.

This is a non-project action which would amend Snohomish County Code (SCC) Title 30 to increase the consistency between permit application expiration timelines of building permits and land use applications within Title 30 chapters, and to make fees and code language for permit application and permit extensions consistent among permit types. There are no properties directly associated with this proposed code amendment.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known.

No government approvals or permits are required for this proposal. The Snohomish County Planning Commission will make a recommendation to the County Council, who may adopt the amendment as proposed, revise the proposed amendment, or take no action.

11. Give brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page.

This is a non-project proposal to amend Snohomish County Code (SCC) Title 30 to increase the consistency between permit application expiration timelines of building permits and land use applications within Title 30 chapters, and to make fees and code language for permit application and permit extensions consistent among permit types.

More specifically,

- SCC Table 30.70.140(1) will be amended by adding a one time 18 month permit application
 extensions for Flood Hazard Permit, Flood Hazard Variance, and Land Disturbing Activity
 approval types. This table would also amend dated language for permit extensions for
 Subdivisions and Short Subdivisions.
- SCC Table 30.86.300 will be amended to add a one time fee of \$500 for a Flood Hazard Permit and Flood Hazard Variance application extension, in addition to a reference to SCC Table 30.70.140(1).
- SCC Table 30.86.510(2) will be amended to add a one time fee of \$500 for a Land Disturbing Activity application extension, in addition to a reference to SCC Table 30.70.140(1).
- SCC Table 30.86.400(6) will be amended to change the application extension fee for Construction Code fees to a flat \$500 fee from being \$400 administrative fee plus a percentage of the plan review fee equal to the percentage of work completed.
- SCC Table 30.86.400(7) will be amended to change the application extension fee for Building Permit fees to a flat \$500 fee from being \$400 administrative fee plus a percentage of the plan review fee equal to the percentage of work completed.
- 12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.

This non-project proposal that would be in effect throughout the jurisdiction of Snohomish County.

B. Environmental Elements

1. Earth

a. General description of the site:

(Circle one): Flat, rolling, hilly, steep slopes, mountainous, other______

Lands within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County include a variation of terrain such as flat, rolling, hilly, and steep slopes.

b. What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)?

Slopes in excess of 100% can be found within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County.

c. What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any agricultural land of long-term commercial significance and whether the proposal results in removing any of these soils.

A range of soil types are found within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County.

d. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe.

Certain areas within Snohomish County have a history of surface instability associated with periods of heavy rainfall. Other areas have a history of more deep-seated instability associated with landslide activity.

e. Describe the purpose, type, total area, and approximate quantities and total affected area of any filling, excavation, and grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.

As a non-project action, no filling or grading is proposed. Any future site-specific development or land use proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review of any proposed grading or filling activity.

f. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use? If so, generally describe.

As a non-project action, no erosion will occur as a direct result of this proposal. Any future site-specific development or land use proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review of any proposed clearing and construction that might result in erosion.

g. About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?

Expiration Extensions
Index # - File Name: 1.0006.pdf

As a non-project action, no impervious surface coverage will occur as a result of this proposal.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any:

As a non-project action, no erosion reduction or control measures are proposed or required. Future site-specific development or land use action not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project level SEPA and regulatory review and would require the implementation of applicable County regulations to reduce or control erosion or other impacts to the earth.

2. Air

a. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal during construction, operation, and maintenance when the project is completed? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known.

As a non-project action, no emissions to air will occur as a result of this proposal.

b. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures to reduce or control emissions are required or proposed. Future site-specific development or land use action not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project level SEPA and regulatory review and would require the implementation of applicable County regulations to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any.

3. Water

- a. Surface Water:
 - 1) Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into.

Index # - File Name: 1.0006.pdf

There are several streams, seasonal streams, and bodies of water located within Snohomish County.

2) Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

As a non-project action, this proposal will not require any work in, or adjacent to, the described waters. Future site-specific development or land use action not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project level SEPA and regulatory review and would require the implementation of applicable County regulations to reduce or control activities near surface water bodies, if any.

3) Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

As a non-project action, no fill or dredge material will be placed or removed from surface water or wetlands.

4) Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

As a non-project action, no surface water withdrawals or diversion will be required.

5) Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Not applicable as this is a non-project action.

6) Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

As a non-project action, no discharges of waste materials to surface waters will occur as a result of this proposal.

b. Ground Water:

1) Will groundwater be withdrawn from a well for drinking water or other purposes? If so, give a general description of the well, proposed uses and approximate quantities withdrawn from the well. Will water be discharged to groundwater? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.

As a non-project action, no groundwater will be withdrawn or discharged.

2) Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.

As a non-project action, no waste material will be discharged from septic tanks or other sources as a result of this proposal. Future development or land use actions not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 that would likely result in discharges from stormwater runoff would be subject to project-level SEPA and regulatory review.

c. Water runoff (including stormwater):

 Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe.

As a non-project action, no runoff will occur as a result of this proposal. Any future site-specific development or land use action proposal would be subject to a separate SEPA and development permit review, which would address runoff management.

2) Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe.

As a non-project action, no runoff will occur as a result of this proposal. Any future site-specific development or land use action proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and development permit review, which would address runoff management.

d. Does the proposal alter or otherwise affect drainage patterns in the vicinity of the site? If so, describe.

As a non-project action, no drainage patterns will be affected as a result of this proposal. Any future site-specific development or land use proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and permit review, which would address drainage.

e. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water, and drainage pattern impacts, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures are proposed or required to reduce impacts to surface or groundwaters. Any future site-specific development or land use proposal not

exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and permit review.

4. Plants

a. Check the types of vegetation found on the site:

X deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
 X evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
 X shrubs
 X grass
 X pasture
 X crop or grain
 X Orchards, vineyards or other permanent crops.
 X wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bullrush, skunk cabbage, other

All types of the above vegetation occur in various locations throughout the County.

b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

X water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other

_X other types of vegetation

As a non-project action, no vegetation will be removed as a direct result of this proposal. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA evaluation of any proposed vegetation removal or alteration.

c. List threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

U.S Fish and Wildlife Services provides listing for Endangered Species Act (ESA) species under its jurisdiction. National Marine Fisheries Service provides listing for ESA species under its jurisdiction. Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife provides listing for sensitive species under its jurisdiction. Washington State Department of Natural Resources provides legal listing of sensitive species under its jurisdiction.

d. Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures to preserve or enhance vegetation are required for this proposal. Any future site-specific development or land use action proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and permit review, which would include review of any proposed landscaping or measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site.

Expiration Extensions
Index # - File Name: 1.0006.pdf

e. List all noxious weeds and invasive species known to be on or near the site.

All types of noxious weeds and invasive species occur throughout the County.

5. Animals

County.

a. List any birds and other animals which have been observed on or near the site or are known to be on or near the site.

Birds: hawk, heron, eagle, songbirds, other:
Mammals: deer, bear, elk, beaver, other:
Fish: bass, salmon, trout, herring, shellfish, other:
All of the above animal species may be found in various locations throughout the

b. List any threatened and endangered species known to be on or near the site.

U.S Fish and Wildlife Services provides listing for ESA species under its jurisdiction. National Marine Fisheries Service provides listing for ESA species under its jurisdiction. Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife provides legal listing for sensitive species under its jurisdiction. Washington State Department of Natural Resources provides legal listing of sensitive species under its jurisdiction.

c. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain.

Yes. Wildlife species do migrate through the County, but as a non-project action, this proposal will not impact migratory species.

d. Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures to preserve or enhance wildlife are required or proposed. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any.

e. List any invasive animal species known to be on or near the site.

All types of invasive animal species that occur throughout the County.

6. Energy and Natural Resources

a. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

As a non-project action, energy will not be consumed.

b. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe.

As a non-project action, there will be no impact on solar energy as a result of this proposal.

c. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any:

As a non-project action, energy conservation features are not applicable to this project. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any.

7. Environmental Health

a. Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste, that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe.

As a non-project action, no environmental health hazards will result as a consequence of this proposal.

1) Describe any known or possible contamination at the site from present or past uses.

As a non-project action, this is not applicable. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include identification of known or possible contamination, if any.

2) Describe existing hazardous chemicals/conditions that might affect project development and design. This includes underground hazardous liquid and gas transmission pipelines located within the project area and in the vicinity. As a non-project action, this is not applicable. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include identification existing hazardous chemicals/conditions, if any.

3) Describe any toxic or hazardous chemicals that might be stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project.

As a non-project action, this is not applicable. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include a review of toxic or hazardous chemicals stored, used, or produced during the project's development or construction, or at any time during the operating life of the project, if any.

4) Describe special emergency services that might be required.

As a non-project action, no special emergency services are required by this proposal.

5) Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards are required for this proposal. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any.

b. Noise

1) What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)?

This non-project action will not be affected by noise.

2) What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.

This non-project action will not generate noise.

3) Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures to reduce or control noise impacts are required or proposed. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any.

8. Land and Shoreline Use

a. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? Will the proposal affect current land uses on nearby or adjacent properties? If so, describe.

This is a non-project proposal and a variety of uses exist within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County.

b. Has the project site been used as working farmlands or working forest lands? If so, describe. How much agricultural or forest land of long-term commercial significance will be converted to other uses as a result of the proposal, if any? If resource lands have not been designated, how many acres in farmland or forest land tax status will be converted to nonfarm or nonforest use?

As a non-project action, no working farmlands or working forests will be converted. There are working farmlands and forest lands within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County.

1) Will the proposal affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest land normal business operations, such as oversize equipment access, the application of pesticides, tilling, and harvesting? If so, how:

As a non-project action, this proposal will not directly affect or be affected by surrounding working farm or forest lands. This proposed non-project action does not change existing regulations or protections relating to working farm or forest lands.

c. Describe any structures on the site.

Not applicable to this non-project action.

d. Will any structures be demolished? If so, what?

As a non-project action, no structures will be demolished as a result of this proposal.

e. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

This is a non-project action that pertains to all zoning classifications within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County.

f. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

This is a non-project action that pertains to all future land use designations within the jurisdiction of Snohomish County.

g. If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Not applicable to this non-project action.

h. Has any part of the site been classified as a critical area by the city or county? If so, specify.

Not applicable to this non-project action.

i. Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project?

As a non-project action, this is not applicable.

j. Approximately how many people would the completed project displace?

As a non-project action, this is not applicable.

k. Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts are required by this proposal.

I. Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any:

The proposed code amendments are compatible with the land use plans and regulations.

Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance, if any:

As a non-project action, no impacts to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance would occur as a result of this proposal. This proposed non-project action does not change existing regulations or protections relating to agricultural and forest lands of long-term commercial significance.

9. Housing

a. Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

As a non-project action, no housing units would be provided by this proposal.

b. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing.

As a non-project action, no housing units would be eliminated by this proposal.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures to reduce or control impacts to housing are required or proposed.

10. Aesthetics

a. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas; what is the principal exterior building material(s) proposed?

As a non-project action, no structures are proposed. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which will include review of structure height and building materials.

b. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?

As a non-project action, no views will be altered or obstructed as a result of this proposal. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which will include review of views that may be altered or obstructed.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts are required or proposed. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any.

11. Light and Glare

a. What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur?

As a non-project action, no light or glare will occur as a result of this proposal.

b. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?

As a non-project action, no light or glare that could be a safety hazard or interfere with views will result from this proposal. Any future site-specific development proposals not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 will be subject to a separate SEPA and applicable permit reviews, which will include review of light and glare from the development.

c. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?

Not applicable to this non-project action.

d. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts are required or proposed. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce of control light and glare impact, if any.

12. Recreation

a. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

Hunting, fishing, bird watching and many other recreational opportunities exist.

 Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe.

As a non-project action, no existing recreational uses will be displaced.

c. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation are proposed or required. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by

WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provide by the project or applicant, if any.

13. Historic and cultural preservation

a. Are there any buildings, structures, or sites, located on or near the site that are over 45 years old listed in or eligible for listing in national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, specifically describe.

Not applicable to this non-project action.

b. Are there any landmarks, features, or other evidence of Indian or historic use or occupation? This may include human burials or old cemeteries. Are there any material evidence, artifacts, or areas of cultural importance on or near the site? Please list any professional studies conducted at the site to identify such resources.

Not applicable to this non-project action. Future development proposals may be conditioned or subject to further review on a site-specific basis under Chapter 30.32D SCC – Historic and Archaeological Resources.

c. Describe the methods used to assess the potential impacts to cultural and historic resources on or near the project site. Examples include consultation with tribes and the department of archeology and historic preservation, archaeological surveys, historic maps, GIS data, etc.

Not applicable to this non-project action. Future development proposals may be conditioned or subject to further review on a site-specific basis under Chapter 30.32D SCC – Historic and Archaeological Resources.

d. Proposed measures to avoid, minimize, or compensate for loss, changes to, and disturbance to resources. Please include plans for the above and any permits that may be required.

As a non-project action, no measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation are proposed or required. Any future site-specific development proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provide by the project or applicant, if any.

14. Transportation

a. Identify public streets and highways serving the site or affected geographic area and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Various highways and several state routes and local streets service Snohomish County.

b. Is the site or affected geographic area currently served by public transit? If so, generally describe. If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Various transit services exist in Snohomish County.

c. How many additional parking spaces would the completed project or nonproject proposal have? How many would the project or proposal eliminate?

As a non-project action, no parking spaces are proposed or required. Future site-specific development must meet the minimum parking requirements as mandated by Chapter 30.26 of the Snohomish County Code.

d. Will the proposal require any new or improvements to existing roads, streets, pedestrian, bicycle or state transportation facilities, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private).

As a non-project proposal, new transportation improvements are not required or proposed. Future site-specific development will be reviewed for impacts to the roadway system and improvements to existing roadways may be required on a project-by-project basis.

e. Will the project or proposal use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe.

Not applicable to this non-project action.

f. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project or proposal? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur and what percentage of the volume would be trucks (such as commercial and nonpassenger vehicles). What data or transportation models were used to make these estimates?

This non-project action will not directly generate any vehicular trips per day. Any future site-specific development or land use proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and permit review, which would include review of traffic issues.

g. Will the proposal interfere with, affect or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets in the area? If so, generally describe.

As a non-project action, the proposal will not interfere with, affect, or be affected by the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets. Any future development or land use proposal w not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and permit review, which will include review of impacts interfering, affecting, or resulting from the movement of agricultural and forest products on roads or streets.

h. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any:

As a non-project action, no measures to reduce or control transportation are proposed or required. Any future site-specific development or land use action not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA and concurrency review, which would include implementation of measures to reduce or control any transportation impacts.

15. Public Services

a. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, public transit, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe.

As a non-project action, this proposal will not result in an increased need for public services. Site-specific project actions may affect services such as fire and police. These impacts will be reviewed during the project level permitting of the development.

b. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services, if any.

As a non-project action, no measures to reduce or control impacts on public services are proposed or required. Any future site-specific development or land use action proposal not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to a separate SEPA review, which would include review and implementation of measures to reduce or control any impacts on public services.

16. Utilities

a.	Circle	utilities	currently	v availa	ble	at t	he si	ite:

Electricity, natura	al gas, water,	refuse service,	teleph	one, sanita	ary sewer,	septic
system, other						

Not applicable to this non-project action.

b. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity which might be needed.

As a non-project action, no utilities are proposed or required. Any future site-specific development or land use action proposal would need to provide electricity to serve the proposed development.

C. Signature

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: Hilary McGowan

Hilary McGowan, Project Manager Planner, Planning and Development Services

Date Submitted: May 26, 2022

D. Supplemental sheet for non-project actions

1. How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The proposal will not likely cause any increase in these types of discharges or emissions. As a non-project action, no direct impacts will occur to water or air quality. The proposed code changes will not likely be a direct effect to the production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

As a non-project action, this proposal is not likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise. Future site-specific land activity not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project level environmental analysis and threshold determination.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

As a non-project action, the proposal is not likely to impact animals, fish, or marine life. Future development will be subject to the County's critical area regulations under Title 30, which include provisions to protect streams, wetlands, and wildlife.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

The County's critical areas regulations regulate development in environmentally sensitive areas. Future site-specific land activity not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project level environmental analysis and threshold determinations.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

The proposal would not likely deplete energy or natural resources.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

As a non-project action, no measures to conserve energy or natural resources are necessary for this proposal. Future site-specific land activity not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project-level environmental analysis and threshold determinations.

4. How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

The proposed code amendments would not likely affect environmentally sensitive areas as vegetation removal is prohibited in critical areas and critical area buffers. As a non-project action, this proposal is unlikely to directly affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for government protection.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

The County's critical areas regulations regulate development in environmentally sensitive areas. Future site-specific land activity not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project level environmental analysis and threshold determinations.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

The proposal is not likely to affect land and shoreline use. The County's Shoreline Management Plan regulates development in the shoreline designations. This proposal does not encourage incompatible land or shoreline uses.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

The County's shoreline and land use regulations regulate development within shoreline areas. Future site-specific development proposals in the shoreline environment are subject to County development regulations implementing the Shoreline Management Program, Chapters 30.44 and 30.67 SCC.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

As a non-project action, this proposal is unlikely to directly increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

As a non-project action, this proposal is unlikely to directly increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities, so measures to reduce impacts are not applicable. Future site-specific development or land use activity not exempted by WAC 197-11-800 or SCC 30.61.035 would be subject to project-level environmental analysis and threshold determinations.

7. Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

The proposal does not conflict with any law or requirements to protect the environment.

Expiration Extensions

ndex # - File Name: 1.0007 pdf NOTIFICATION



Notice is Hereby Given that SNOHOMISH COUNTY PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES (PDS) has issued a Determination of Non-significance (DNS) for a non-project action.

Description of Proposal: This is a non-project proposal to amend Snohomish County Code (SCC) Title 30 relating to development application and permit extensions. This proposal would amend permit application expiration terms for Land Disturbing Activity (LDA), Flood Hazard, and Flood Hazard Variance permits, and permit expiration fees to match the proposed permit application expirations. This code amendment also proposes limited code clean-up associated with permitting fee tables. The proposed code could work to increase the consistency between permit application expiration timelines within Title 30 chapters, and make fees and code language for permit application and permit extensions consistent among permit types.

Project Proponent: Snohomish County PDS. PDS determined that this non-project proposal will not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment.

Date of Issuance: June 8, 2022

Contact: Hilary McGowan, Planner, (425) 388-5377, or Hilary.McGowan@snoco.org.

SEPA Comments Due: This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2). The lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the issue date above. Comments must be submitted by 5:00 p.m., June 15, 2022, to the responsible official at the address listed on the DNS.

Additional information regarding the proposed legislation is available at the County's website at: https://snohomishcountywa.gov/1603/Environmental-SEPADocuments

Approved: June 3, 2009 1 2 Effective: June 25, 2009 3 4 SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL 5 SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASHINGTON 6 7 8 AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 09-018 9 10 RELATING TO THE EXTENSION OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SUBDIVISIONS AND SHORT SUBDIVISIONS; 11 AMENDING SCC 30.41A.300, 30.41B.300, 30.86.100 and 30.86.110 12 13 14 WHEREAS, in order to prevent the expiration of preliminary subdivision and short 15 subdivision approvals during the national economic crisis, extensions of preliminary subdivision and short subdivision approvals are needed; and 16 17 18 WHEREAS, the expiration of preliminary subdivision and short subdivision approvals adversely affects financial institutions and other investors that have provided 19 20 financing in support of development proposals; and 21 22 WHEREAS, in the existing economic climate, the permitted one-year extension 23 of preliminary approvals of subdivisions and short subdivisions may not provide sufficient time for some applicants to complete final subdivision and short subdivision 24 25 approval; and 26 27 WHEREAS, providing a longer extension of preliminary subdivision and short subdivision approvals to allow applicants to file for and complete final subdivision or 28 29 short subdivision approval may stave off unneeded business closures and further job 30 losses; and 31 32 WHEREAS, allowing applicants to request up to a three-year extension of 33 preliminary subdivision and short subdivision approval would allow for better debt recovery and provide property owners the time needed for financing and construction; 34 35 and 36 37 WHEREAS, the Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 58.17.140 allows cities, 38 towns, or counties to adopt by ordinance procedures which would allow extensions of 39 time to obtain final plat approval; and 40 41 WHEREAS, pursuant to Snohomish County Code (SCC) 30.41A.300(1) and SCC 30.41B.300(1), a one-year extension of preliminary subdivision approval may be 42 43 granted by the Snohomish County Department of Planning and Development Services

AMENDED ORDINANCE No. 09-018
RELATING TO THE EXTENSION OF PRELIMINARY APPROVAL
OF SUBDIVISIONS AND SHORT SUBDIVISIONS;
AMENDING 30.41A.300, 30.41B.300, 30.86.100 and 30.86.110

 (PDS) when requested in writing at least 30 days prior to the expiration of the preliminary subdivision or short subdivision approval; and

WHEREAS, under RCW 82.02.020, the county may collect reasonable fees from an applicant for a permit or other governmental approval to cover the cost to the county of processing applications; and

WHEREAS, chapter 30.86 SCC establishes fees required to be paid by applicants to compensate the county for the cost of administering title 30 SCC; and

WHEREAS, the county council finds that it is in the best interest of citizens of Snohomish County and the local economy to make available a longer extension of preliminary subdivision or short subdivision approval to allow applicants sufficient time to complete construction and file for final subdivision and short subdivision approval; and

WHEREAS, the county council was briefed on April 14, 2009, and held a public hearing on June 3, 2009, to consider the entire record on the proposed amendments and to hear public testimony on this ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED:

Section 1. The county council makes the following findings:

- A. The county council adopts and incorporates the foregoing recitals as findings as if set forth fully herein.
- B. Snohomish County is faced with economic issues that were not present when code provisions addressing subdivision and short subdivision term provisions were originally adopted.
- C. The proposed amendments address the economic difficulty that the homebuilding industry is experiencing in completing construction of subdivisions and short subdivisions.
- D. The proposed amendments do not alter, extend or impact the concurrency determination expiration pursuant to SCC 30.66B.155(6).
- E. The proposed amendments do not alter, extend or impact miscellaneous approvals pertaining to health, fire, water, sewer, electrical and other approvals that must be obtained by the applicant pursuant to SCC 30.41A.620 and SCC 30.41B.610.

- F. Adoption of the proposed amendments will assist homebuilders in the process of achieving final subdivision and short subdivision approval.
 - G. Adoption of the proposed amendments will stimulate the local economy by assisting with the prevention of foreclosure, unemployment, bankruptcies, and burdened financial institutions.
 - H. Section 5 of this ordinance amends the subdivision fee table in SCC 30.86.100. The proposed amendment adds a new subdivision extension fee of \$500. The \$500 fee will fully compensate the county for the intake review, bonding processing and tracking and monitoring activity related to providing preliminary subdivision extensions.
 - Section 6 of this ordinance amends the short subdivision fee table in SCC 30.86.110. The proposed amendment adds a new short subdivision extension fee of \$500. The \$500 fee will fully compensate the county for the intake review, bonding processing, and tracking and monitoring activity related to providing preliminary short subdivision extensions.
 - J. The proposed amendments maintain consistency with the following goals, objective, and policies of the Snohomish County Growth Management Act Comprehensive Plan (GMACP) – General Policy Plan:
 - **Goal ED 2.** Provide a planning and regulatory environment which facilitates growth of the local economy.
 - **Objective ED 2.A.** Develop and maintain a regulatory system that is fair, understandable, coordinated and timely.
 - **Policy ED 2.A.2.** Snohomish County should stress predictability but maintain enough flexibility in the Comprehensive Plan and development codes to allow for timely response to unanticipated and desirable developments.
 - **Goal ED 3.** Encourage the retention and expansion of existing businesses and jobs to attract new businesses and jobs.
 - **Policy ED 3.C.2.** Snohomish County shall work with public and private and non-profit groups to preserve and nurture the growth of existing local industries and businesses and maintain a business environment conducive to preserve jobs at large manufacturers and the estimated 50,000+ large and small business operations in the county.

K. The proposed amendments are procedural and do not alter, create or amend the rights of the applicant relating to final subdivision or short subdivision approval.

3 4

<u>Section 2</u>. The county council makes the following conclusions:

5

A. The proposed amendments are consistent with the GMACP.

7 8

B. The proposed amendments are needed to address local impacts on the homebuilding industry due to the national economic crisis.

9 10 11

C. Pursuant to SCC 30.73.040(2)(b), planning commission review of this procedural legislation is not required.

12 13 14

D. Pursuant to Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 197-11-800(19), the proposed amendments are categorically exempt from review under the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA).

16 17 18

15

E. The proposed amendments are in the best interest of Snohomish County citizens and promote the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of Snohomish County.

19 20 21

F. The proposed amendments have been disseminated and opportunities have been provided for written comments and public hearing after effective notice.

222324

G. Notice of the proposed amendments was provided pursuant to Snohomish County Charter, Section 2.110, and chapter 30.73 SCC.

252627

<u>Section 3</u>. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41A.300, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 02-064 on December 9, 2002, is amended to read:

28 29 30

30.41A.300 Preliminary subdivision approval - term.

(1) The standard term of approval for a preliminary subdivision is five years. An applicant must file for and complete final subdivision approval within the five year period, running from the date of preliminary subdivision approval, or the approval will expire. An applicant or his or her successors may request, in writing, up to a one-year extension of preliminary approval. Such request must be received by the director at least 30 days prior to the expiration of the preliminary subdivision approval. The department may grant an ((one one-year)) extension if the applicant can demonstrate that a good faith effort was exerted to complete the final subdivision within the initial

- that a good faith effort was exerted to complete the final subdivision within the initial
 five-year approval period in accordance with the terms of the preliminary approval. The
- 40 total time period that any preliminary subdivision approval may be extended by the
- 41 <u>department shall not exceed one year. The applicant shall pay an extension fee</u>
- 42 <u>pursuant to SCC 30.86.100</u>. In addition to any extension granted by the department,
- 43 <u>preliminary subdivision approval</u> ((Approval)) may be further extended for ((an

- additional)) a period not to exceed four months by the county council concurrent with the council's consideration of final subdivision approval.
 - (2) The department shall grant an extension in cases where a preliminary approval has been appealed to court, not to exceed the period of time the approval is under judicial review.
- (3) The applicant may request final subdivision approval in phases, subject to the time restrictions in 30.41A.300(1) and the terms of the preliminary subdivision approval. Open space, amenities, and other requirements of the preliminary approval shall be completed coincident with each phase of the final subdivision on a prorata basis unless otherwise required in the preliminary approval. A revision to the preliminary approval, pursuant to SCC 30.41A.330, must be applied for with the request to complete the final subdivision improvements in phases.

<u>Section 4</u>. A new section is added to Chapter 30.41A of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.41A.305 Preliminary subdivision approval – additional extension.

The one-year extension of preliminary subdivision approvals established in SCC 30.41A.300 may be further extended by up to an additional two years for a preliminary subdivision that was approved prior to January 1, 2009. An applicant may request, and the department may approve, a three-year extension of a preliminary subdivision approval or an additional two-year extension of a preliminary subdivision approval provided that all other requirements of SCC 30.41A.300 are met. The total combined time period that any preliminary subdivision approval may be extended by the department under SCC 30.41A.300 and 30.41A.305 shall not exceed three years. A request for such extension must be received by the director at least 30 days prior to the expiration of the preliminary subdivision approval.

<u>Section 5</u>. A new section is added to Chapter 30.41A of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.41A.307 Repeal.

Snohomish County Code Section 30.41A.305, adopted by Amended Ordinance 09-018 on June 3, 2009, is repealed effective December 31, 2010.

Section 6. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41B.300, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 02-064 on December 9, 2002, is amended to read:

30.41B.300 Preliminary short subdivision approval - term.

(1) The standard term of approval for a preliminary short subdivision is five years. An applicant must file for and complete final short subdivision approval within the five year

- period, running from the date of preliminary short subdivision approval, or the approval will expire. ((: except that an applicant may request in writing a one year extension.)) An applicant or his or her successors may request, in writing, up to a one-year extension of preliminary approval. ((The department may extend the approval for not more than one additional 12-month period-if the applicant or his or her-successors files a written application for extension with the department)) Such request must be received by the director at least 30 days prior to the expiration date of the preliminary short subdivision approval. The department may grant an extension if the applicant can demonstrate that a good faith effort was exerted to complete the final short subdivision within the initial five-year approval period in accordance with the terms of the preliminary approval. The total time period that any preliminary short subdivision approval may be extended by the department shall not exceed one year. The applicant shall pay an extension fee pursuant to SCC 30.86.110. ((In cases where dedication of right of way is required, approval may be further extended for an additional period not to exceed four months.))
 - (2) The department shall grant an extension in cases where a preliminary approval has been appealed to court, not to exceed the period of time the approval is under judicial review.

Section 7. A new section is added to Chapter 30.41B of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.41B.305 Preliminary short subdivision approval – additional extension.

The one-year extension of preliminary short subdivision approvals established in SCC 30.41B.300 may be further extended by up to an additional two years for a preliminary short subdivision that was approved prior to January 1, 2009. An applicant may request, and the department may approve, a three-year extension of a preliminary short subdivision approval or an additional two-year extension of a preliminary short subdivision approval provided that all other requirements of SCC 30.41B.300 are met. The total combined time period that any preliminary short subdivision approval may be extended by the department under SCC 30.41B.300 and 30.41B.305 shall not exceed three years. A request for such extension must be received by the director at least 30 days prior to the expiration of the preliminary subdivision approval.

Section 8. A new section is added to Chapter 30.41B of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.41B.307 Repeal.

Snohomish County Code Section 30.41B.305, adopted by Amended Ordinance 09-018 on June 3, 2009, is repealed effective December 31, 2010.

Section 9. Snohomish County Code Section 30.86.100, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 07-108 on November 19, 2007, is amended to read:

30.86.100 Subdivision fees.

Table 30.86.100 - SUBDIVISION FEES

OTHER FEES: All necessary fees for subdivision approval/recording are not listed here. Examples of fees not collected by the department include: (1) Applicable private well and septic system approvals (Snohomish Health District); (2) right-of-way permit (department/department of public works). See SCC 13.110.020; and (3) subdivision recording fees (auditor).

PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE FEE	\$480
PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION FILING FEE (1). (2)	
Base fee	\$4,680
Plus \$ per lot	\$132
Plus \$ per acre	\$78
Total maximum fee	\$21,600
SUBDIVISION MODIFICATIONS	\$1,200
REVISIONS TO APPROVED PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISIONS	The same was a superior of the same of the
Minor revision-administrative	\$312
Major revision-public hearing	\$1,248
CONSTRUCTION PLAN CHECK FEE (3)	
Per lot (4)	\$192
Per tract or non-building lot	\$192
ROAD INSPECTION FEE	

Per tract or non-building lot	\$192
FINAL SUBDIVISION FEES	
Filing fee	\$2,400
Document check and sign installation fee	\$264/lot and unit cost/sign required
ROAD BOND FEE®	A STATE OF THE STA
Construction bond option (6)	\$24,00/Lot
Maintenance bond o	\$31.00/Lot ⁽⁵⁾
"MARKUP CORRECTIONS FEE ®	\$240
SUBDIVISION ALTERATION	PLACEHOLDER POSITION
MODEL HOME FEES (9)	
Base fee	\$360
Plus \$ per subdivision	\$120
NOTE: For reference notes, see table following SCC 30.86.110.	
PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION EXTENSION (19)	<u>\$500</u>

Reference notes for subdivision and short subdivision fee tables:

- (1) A preliminary filing fee consists of the sum of a base fee, a per lot fee, a per acre fee, and a supplemental fee if applicable.
- (2) When a preliminary subdivision application is considered in conjunction with a rezone for the same property, the total preliminary subdivision fee shall be reduced by 25 percent. If a preliminary subdivision application is considered in conjunction with a planned residential development, with or without a rezone, the total preliminary subdivision fee shall be reduced by 50 percent. The sum of the above fees shall be limited to \$16,800.

- (4) When three or more contiguous lots are to be developed with a single townhouse building (zero lot line construction), then a plan check fee of \$192.00 per building will be charged and the plan check or inspection fee will not be based on the number of lots.
- (5) Paid by the applicant to cover the costs of administering bonds or other securities as provided by chapter 30.84 SCC.
- (6) This fee applies if the developer elects to carry out minimum improvements using the provisions of SCC 30.41A.410(1)(b). before requesting final approval, and is in addition to subsequent subdivision road inspection fees.
 - (7) Collected in accordance with SCC 30.41A.410(1)(b).
- (8) This fee applies whenever an applicant fails to submit required corrections noted on "markup final subdivision drawings or other documents during the final subdivision review.
- (9) This fee is in addition to the residential building permit fees for plan check, site review and access permit.
- (10) This fee applies to preliminary subdivision approval extensions pursuant to SCC 30.41A.300.

<u>Section 10</u>. Snohomish County Code Section 30.86.110, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 07-108 on November 19, 2007, is amended to read:

30.86.110 Short Subdivision fees.

Table 30.86.110 - SHORT SUBDIVISION FEES

OTHER FEES: All necessary fees for subdivision approval/recording are not listed here. Examples of fees not collected by the department include: (1) Applicable private well and septic system approvals (Snohomish Health District); (2) right-of-way permit (department/department of public works). See SCC 13.110.020; and (3) short subdivision recording fees (auditor).

PRE-APPLICATION CONFE	RENCE FEE	\$480	
PRELIMINARY SHORT SUB	DIVISION FILING FEES®	 •	* * *
Base fee	Alexander State of the State of	\$1,560	s

Plus \$ per acre		\$78
Plus \$ per lot	. 118	\$78
SHORT SUBDIVISION MODIFICATION APPLICA	ATION	\$960
PLAN/DOCUMENT RESUBMITTAL FEE (3)		\$240
SHORT SUBDIVISION REVISIONS AFTER PRELI	IMINARY APPROVAL	\$312
SHORT SUBDIVISION FINAL APPROVAL	Committee of the second	\$600
SHORT SUBDIVISION FINAL DOCUMENT CHEC	\$1,800	
RECORDING OF FINAL SHORT SUBDIVISION		\$30
ALTERATIONS TO RECORDED SHORT SUBDIV	ISIONS	\$420
PRELIMINARY SHORT SUBDIVISION EXTENSION	ON 0	\$500

Reference notes:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 10

- (1) A preliminary filing fee consists of the sum of a base fee, a per lot fee, a per acre fee, and a supplemental fee if applicable.
- (2) This fee applies to the resubmittal of short subdivision plans and documents after a second review for which the applicant did not include corrections noted by the department, or the applicant made revisions, which necessitate additional review and comments.
- (3) This fee applies to preliminary short subdivision approval extensions pursuant to SCC 30.41B.300.

Section 11. The county council intends that no preliminary subdivision or short subdivision approval be extended by PDS for a total of more than three years. Some subdivision and short subdivision applicants already may have obtained an extension of preliminary approval from PDS of up to one year under the current code. In such cases, an extension of approval by PDS may not exceed three years minus the length of the pre-existing extension. For example, an applicant that has received a one-year extension from PDS under the current version of SCC 30.41A.300 or 30.41B.300 may receive up to an additional two-year extension under the amendments adopted by this ordinance.

3 4 5

6 7

15 16 17

18 19

20 21

22 23

ATTEST:

24 25

26 27

28 29 30

> 31 32 33

34 35

36 37 38

39 40

> 41 42 43

The county council bases its findings and conclusions on the entire record Section 12. of the county council, including all testimony and exhibits. Any finding, which should be deemed a conclusion, and any conclusion which should be deemed a finding, is hereby adopted as such.

Section 13. Severability. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the Growth Management Hearings Board (Board) or a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance. Provided, however, that if any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid by the Board or a court of competent jurisdiction. then the section, sentence, clause or phrase in effect prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall be in full force and effect for that individual section, sentence, clause or phrase as if this ordinance had never been adopted.

PASSED this 3rd day of June, 2009.

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL Snohomish County, Washington

APPROVED

EMERGENCY

Asst. Clerk of the Counci

Shohomish County Executive

Approved as to form only:

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

AARON REARDON County Executive

Adopted: March 16, 2016 Effective: April 1, 2016

3 4

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 16-004

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL

SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASHINGTON

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO DEVELOPMENT PERMIT PROCESSING AND APPROVALS, AMENDING TITLE 30 OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE

WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act (GMA) Planning Goals (RCW 36.70A.020) and policies in the Snohomish County GMA Comprehensive Plan (GMACP) – General Policy Plan (GPP) address the efficient and fair processing of permit applications, protection of the environment, and economic development; and

WHEREAS, the Washington state common law doctrine of vested rights "refers generally to the notion that a land use application, under the proper conditions, will be considered only under the land use statutes and ordinances in effect at the time of the application's submission," Noble Manor v. Pierce County, 133 Wn.2d 269, 275 (1997); and

WHEREAS, the Washington state legislature has codified the vested rights doctrine for building permit applications (RCW 19.27.095), short subdivision and subdivision applications (RCW 58.17.033), and development agreements (RCW 36.70B.180); and

WHEREAS, municipalities are allowed to enact their own vesting schemes to suit their particular local needs so long as the schemes remain within the parameters set by state law, Erickson & Assoc. v. McLerran, 123 Wn.2d 864, 873 (1994); and

WHEREAS, on December 9, 2002, the Snohomish County Council (the "County Council") adopted Title 30 of the Snohomish County Code (SCC), entitled the Unified Development Code ("UDC"), containing regulations that guide development within the unincorporated areas of Snohomish County; and

WHEREAS, during the 2013 legislative session, the Washington state legislature passed Substitute House Bill 1074 (SHB 1074), which amended RCW 58.17.140 to provide for a ten-year preliminary subdivision approval period if the date of preliminary approval was on or before December 31, 2007, and maintained the seven-year preliminary subdivision approval period if the date of preliminary approval was on or before December 31, 2014, and the five-year preliminary approval period if the date of preliminary approval was on or after January 1, 2015; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 58.17.140, a city, town, or county is authorized to allow extensions of time for plats; and

WHEREAS, the County Council finds that it is in the best interest of citizens of Snohomish County ("the County") and the local economy to provide up to twelve years for preliminary subdivision and preliminary short subdivision approvals granted on or before December 31, 2007, to allow applicants sufficient time to complete construction and file for final approval; and

WHEREAS, the County Council finds that it is in the best interest of citizens of the County and the local economy to provide extensions of time for preliminary subdivision and preliminary short subdivision approval; and

WHEREAS, the recent court case, Potala Village Kirkland, LLC v. City of Kirkland, 183 Wn. App. 191, 194 (2014), affirmed that statutory vested rights replaced common law vesting; and

WHEREAS, the Potala Village Kirkland, LLC v. City of Kirkland court case has resulted in uncertainty in vesting for those permit application types that are not codified in state law; and

WHEREAS, a need exists to amend the SCC to provide greater consistency and predictability regarding the vesting of applications and the expiration of applications, approvals and permits for applicants and residents of Snohomish County; and

WHEREAS, there is a need to help reduce costs associated with applying for and processing subdivision extension requests, avoid expiration of subdivision approvals, and help maintain certainty for applicants; and

WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Department of Planning and Development Services ("PDS") briefed the Snohomish County Planning Commission (the "Planning Commission") at a public meeting on June 23, 2015; and

WHEREAS, after proper notice, the Planning Commission held a public hearing on August 25, 2015, to receive public testimony concerning the proposed code amendments; and

WHEREAS, at the conclusion of its deliberations the Planning Commission voted to recommend that the County Council approve the proposed development regulations as written by PDS, with the exception of one proposed amendment; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission's recommendations are enumerated in its recommendation letter dated September 3, 2015; and

 WHEREAS, after proper notice, the County Council held a public hearing on March 16, 2016, to consider the entire record, including the Planning Commission's recommendations on the full package of development regulations and PDS staff report dated August 12, 2015, which provides a detailed summary and analysis of the proposed development regulations, and to receive public testimony on Ordinance No. 16-004; and

WHEREAS, following the public hearing, the County Council deliberated on the code amendments contained in this ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED:

Section 1. The County Council adopts the following findings in support of this ordinance:

A. The foregoing recitals are adopted as findings as if set forth herein.

B. This ordinance will amend Title 30 SCC in the following manner:

- 1. The proposal would establish a vesting framework, specific to the County and consistent with state law, to provide property owners, permit applicants, and the general public assurance that the regulations for a project development will remain consistent during the life of an application. A new section, SCC 30.70.300, would be added to clarify which applications vest and to which development regulations an application vests. This amendment would establish vesting for, among other things, applications that prior to the Potala Village Kirkland, LLC v. City of Kirkland court case, had common law vesting and/or had vesting established under specific provisions of the SCC.
 - 2. The proposal would amend SCC 30.70.140 to: 1) clarify the expiration periods for applications, approvals, and permits, consistent with state law, to provide greater predictability on the timing of project development, and to improve uniformity in processing similar types of applications, approvals, and permits, and 2) add provisions for extensions of plats granted by PDS to add certainty to their duration.
 - 3. Amends chapter 30.91C SCC to add a new definition for the term "commence construction" to help clarify the expiration time frame for approvals and permits listed in SCC Table 30.70.140(1).
 - 4. Amends other sections of Title 30 SCC for internal code consistency and for consistency with the substantive amendments to chapter 30.70 SCC.
 - C. Current regulations do not clearly define the expiration of applications, approvals, and permits and allow for repeated extensions of applications; this lack of specificity in the current regulations creates uncertainty for the general public as to the timing that a development might occur in the community.
 - D. The proposal would provide greater predictability in the permitting process, and should help retain lot availability and development opportunities which could help reduce costs associated with applying for and processing subdivision extension requests, avoid expiration of subdivision approvals and help maintain certainty for applicants.
 - E. This ordinance is consistent with vested rights codified in state law and maintains consistency with the following GMA Planning Goals:
 - 1. Planning Goal 5 (RCW 36.70A.020(5)) "Economic development. Encourage economic development throughout the state that is consistent with adopted comprehensive plans, promote economic opportunity for all citizens of this state, especially for unemployed and for disadvantaged persons, promote the retention and expansion of existing businesses and recruitment of new businesses, recognize regional differences impacting economic development opportunities, and encourage growth in areas experiencing insufficient economic growth, all within the capacities of the state's natural resources, public services, and public facilities." The proposal encourages economic development by providing a more consistent and predictable permitting process for the vesting of applications and the expiration of applications, approvals, and permits. The elimination of uncertainty in the permitting process encourages development, as economic investment in projects will be protected from changing regulations. This is particularly true for large-scale or complex development that cannot be completed within a short timeframe.

- 2. Planning Goal 6 (RCW 36.70A.020(6)) "Property rights. Private property shall not be taken for public use without just compensation having been made. The property rights of landowners shall be protected from arbitrary and discriminatory actions." This proposal helps to protect property rights by applying vesting rights to additional applications than those currently codified under state law; this provides greater certainty to the applicant as to which development regulations an application vests, and thus provides greater assurance to a landowner in developing his or her property.
- 3. Planning Goal 7 (RCW 36.70A.020(7)) "Permits. Applications for both state and local government permits should be processed in a timely and fair manner to ensure predictability." The proposal promotes the efficient processing of permit applications by amending and consolidating regulations for the vesting of applications and expiration of approvals and permits into one code chapter for quick reference and ease of use. The concept of vesting an application to regulations in place at the time a complete application is submitted is rooted in the concept of fairness, as regulations will remain static as the application is processed.
- 4. Planning Goal 10 (RCW 36.70A.020(10)) "Environment. Protect the environment and enhance the state's high quality of life, including air and water quality, and the availability of water." This proposal helps protect the environment by establishing limits on the duration of permit applications and approvals. Those duration periods are those that, in the experience of PDS, are reasonable for processing applications and constructing projects. This ensures that applications and approvals do not remain valid beyond what is reasonably necessary for project development, thus reducing the number of projects that potentially are constructed under outdated regulations.
- F. This ordinance is consistent with the following goals, objectives, and policies contained in the GMACP GPP:
 - 1. Goal ED 1 "Maintain and enhance a healthy economy." The proposed amendments help retain lot availability and development potential by providing extensions to approval periods for certain subdivision and short subdivision developments.
 - 2. Objective ED 1.C "Snohomish County shall recognize the needs of small and minority owned businesses as well as larger, established enterprises." The proposed amendments include a provision extending the ten-year approval period for subdivisions in RCW 58.17.140 to short subdivisions, providing smaller short subdivision developments the same approval period accorded larger subdivision developments.
 - 3. Goal ED 2 "Provide a planning and regulatory environment which facilitates growth of the local economy" The proposed amendments to chapter 30.70 SCC would provide greater predictability and clarity for PDS' customers and staff regarding the vesting and expiration of development applications; this would provide greater efficiency in the permitting process and therefore facilitate growth of the local economy.
 - 4. Objective ED 2.A "Develop and maintain a regulatory system that is fair, understandable, coordinated and timely." The proposed amendments include provisions that clarify: 1) when a development application vests, 2) to which development regulations the application vests, and 3) if any subsequent applications vest that are subordinate to the primary development

identified in the complete application. These proposed amendments will provide for permitting regulations that are better understood because they provide clarity on vesting and expiration language; are fair because the proposed amendments provide a definitive time frame for vesting and expiration of applications that enables the development of a proposal; and provide greater coordination between primary applications and subsequent applications for a proposed development.

 Policy ED 2.A.1 "Snohomish County shall work to ensure that the Snohomish County Code is an understandable, accessible, and user friendly document." The proposed amendments consolidate vesting and expiration language into one chapter which greatly improves the accessibility of the SCC.

6. Policy ED 2.A.2 "Snohomish County should stress predictability but maintain enough flexibility in the Comprehensive Plan and development codes to allow for timely response to unanticipated and desirable developments." The proposed amendments implement ED Policy 2.A.2 by establishing provisions for suspending the expiration period of an application to allow for environmental review time.

G. Procedural requirements.

1. The proposed amendments are consistent with state law.

2. Pursuant to WAC 197-11-800(19), the proposal is exempt from State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements.

3. Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106, a notice to adopt this ordinance was received by the Washington State Department of Commerce on September 3, 2015, for distribution to state agencies.

4. The public participation process used in the adoption of this ordinance complied with all applicable requirements of the GMA and the SCC.

 5. As required by RCW 36.70A.370, the Washington State Attorney General last issued an advisory memorandum in December of 2006 entitled "Advisory Memorandum: Avoiding Unconstitutional Takings of Private Property" to help local governments avoid the unconstitutional taking of private property. The process outlined in the State Attorney General's 2006 advisory memorandum was used by the County in objectively evaluating the regulatory changes proposed by this ordinance.

H. The proposed amendments are consistent with the record.

1. Although the recent court case, Potala Village Kirkland, LLC v. City of Kirkland, affirmed that statutory vested rights replaced common law vesting, county and cities may develop a vested rights ordinance best suited to the needs of the jurisdiction, provided the regulations strike an appropriate balance between developers' rights and the public interest.

2. The amendments are consistent with vesting rights established through state law in (RCW 19.27.095), (RCW 58.17.033), and (RCW 36.70B.180).

- 3. Amendments to Title 30 SCC are necessary to provide greater clarity, certainty, and predictability for the vesting of applications and the expiration of applications, approvals, and permits.
- 4. Amendments to SCC 30.70.015 help clarify the exemptions for subtitle 30.7 SCC.
- 5. Amendments to SCC 30.70.140 provide greater clarity, flexibility, and predictability on the expiration of permits and approvals than the existing regulations by: 1) stating to which applications, approvals, and permits the expiration code applies, 2) suspending the expiration of an application when an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is required, and 3) requiring that the applicant monitor the time limitations and review deadlines for an application.
- 6. Amendments to SCC 30.70.140 change the period of expiration for applications. In general, this amendment increases the period of time for when an application is valid. These amendments provide greater predictability for the general public and PDS on proposed projects. In PDS' permitting experience, these amendments provide applicants with an adequate amount of time in which to complete their applications.
- 7. Amendments to SCC 30.70.140 include a change in the time frames for the expiration of approvals and permits. This amendment would provide greater predictability for the general public and PDS on proposed projects. In PDS' permitting experience, the proposed changes in time for the expiration of approvals and permits are adequate.
- 8. Granting an extension of preliminary subdivision and short subdivision approval may avoid additional costs associated with applying for and processing new preliminary subdivisions and short subdivisions.
- 9. Adoption of this proposal may assist homebuilders in the process of achieving final subdivision and short subdivision approval and may help the county meet future housing needs.
- 10. The addition of new section SCC 30.70.300 (Vesting of applications) provides greater clarity and predictability on the vesting of applications than the existing regulations by:1) establishing when a development application vests, 2) stating to which development regulations the application vests, and 3) establishing the vesting of subsequent applications.
- 11. The ordinance adds a new definition to chapter SCC 30.91.C for new definition "commence construction" related to the expiration of approvals and permits in SCC 30.70.140.
- 12. Amendments to other provisions of Title 30 SCC are necessary to update cross-references and to provide internal consistency with the proposed amendments.
- 13. This ordinance is consistent with the record as set forth in the PDS staff memoranda dated June 10, 2015, and August 12, 2015.
- 14. This proposal supports and balances GMA Planning Goal 5 Economic Development, GMA Planning Goal 6 Property Rights, GMA Planning Goal 7 Permits, GMA Planning Goal 10 Environment, GPP Goal ED 2, GPP Objective ED 2.A, GPP Policy 2.A.1, GPP Policy 2.A.2

and maintains consistency with vested rights outlined in state law.

30.23A.100 Administrative site development plan sh

(1) An administrative site development plan shall be required for all residential development subject to the requirements of this chapter. The elements of an administrative or official site plan required by

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 16-004
AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO DEVELOPMENT PERMIT PROCESSING, AND APPROVALS, AMENDING TITLE 30
OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE

between developers' rights and the public interest. The amendments protect developers' rights by establishing vested rights for applications so applicants have certainty as to which regulations apply to their projects during the processing of their applications. This encourages economic development and helps protect the ability of a landowner to develop his or her property. The amendments also establish limits on the duration of permit applications and approvals, ensuring that applications and approvals do not remain valid beyond what is reasonably necessary for project development, thus reducing the number of projects that potentially are constructed under outdated regulations. Further, this proposal streamlines the permitting process and provides greater predictability by clarifying the expiration timeframes for applications, approvals, and permits and consolidating these regulations into one chapter; this improvement in the permitting process acts to encourage economic development.

b. The proposal maintains consistency with vested rights codified in state law and amendments to SCC 30.70.104 provide references to those provisions in state law.

Section 2. The County Council makes the following conclusions:

- A. The proposed amendments provide greater clarity and improved predictability in the permitting process.
- B. The proposed amendments provide a means of addressing the economic difficulty that the homebuilding industry is experiencing in completing construction of subdivisions and short subdivisions.
- C. The proposed amendments are consistent with Washington state law and the SCC.
- D. The proposed amendments implement and are consistent with the goals, objectives, and policies of the GMACP-GPP.
- E. The proposal is exempt from SEPA requirements.
- F. The proposed amendments do not result in an unconstitutional taking of private property for a public purpose and they do not violate substantive due process guarantees.
- Section 3. The County Council bases its findings and conclusions on the entire record of the County Council, including all testimony and exhibits. Any finding, which should be deemed a conclusion, and any conclusion which should be deemed a finding, is hereby adopted as such.
- Section 4. Snohomish County Code Section 30.23A.100, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 13-050 on August 28, 2013, is amended to read:

Index # - File Name: 1.0009.pdf

- chapters 30.41F and 30.42B SCC shall be combined with the administrative site plan required by this chapter.
- 3 (2) Administrative site plan review.
 - (a) Administrative site plan review is a Type 1 decision and is subject to the review procedures in chapter 30.71 SCC, except that consolidated permit review shall be granted if requested by the applicant pursuant to SCC 30.70.120(2). When an administrative site plan is consolidated with a Type 2 decision, notwithstanding subsection (2)(b) of this section, the administrative site plan shall be processed as a Type 2 decision concurrent with the Type 2 decision with which it is consolidated.
 - (b) When residential development requires both an administrative site plan approval pursuant to this section and a Type 2 decision issued by the hearing examiner after an open record hearing, the administrative site plan shall not be approved until the hearing examiner has issued a decision.
 - (c) To approve an administrative site plan pursuant to this section, the director must find that the administrative site plan is consistent with the applicable requirements of Subtitle 30.2. The director's decision on the administrative site plan shall be consistent with any hearing examiner decision issued for the residential development.
 - (3) The administrative site plan application shall meet the submittal requirements established by SCC 30.70.030 and shall include the following:
 - (a) The building envelope of all structures and the location of all on-site recreation open space areas, buffers, points of egress, ingress, and internal circulation, pedestrian facilities and parking;
 - (b) Existing and proposed topography at contour intervals of five or less feet;
 - (c) Name, address, and phone number of the owner and plan preparer(s);
 - (d) Calculations showing acreage of the site, number of dwelling units proposed, zoning, site density and on-site recreation open space acreage;
 - (e) Scale and north arrow;
 - (f) Vicinity sketch (drawn to approximately 1" = 2,000' scale) showing sufficient area and detail to clearly locate the project in relation to arterial streets, natural features, landmarks and municipal boundaries; and
 - (g) Natural drainage courses and probable alterations which will be necessary to handle the expected drainage from the proposal, and the general method proposed to comply with chapter 30.63A SCC.
 - (4) An administrative site plan shall also meet the submittal requirements established by SCC
- 30.70.030, and shall be subject to the notice requirements for a notice of application in chapter 30.70.32 SCC.
- (5) Time limitation of application. An administrative site plan application shall expire pursuant to SCC
 30.70.140.
- (((5)))(6) Revisions to an administrative site plan that has been approved by the department shall be processed pursuant to SCC 30.70.210 or 30.70.220.
 - (((6)))(7) Approval expiration.
 - (((a))) Administrative site plan approval expires ((when construction has not commenced within five years after the date an approved administrative site plan becomes final)) pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.
 - (((b) For the purpose of this section, construction shall mean actual construction begun on some permanent structure, utility or facility on the site.
 - (c) An applicant may request an extension of an approved administrative site plan pursuant to the procedures established for extension of applications in SCC 30.70.140(2) and (3).))
 - Section 5. A new section is added to Chapter 30.31A of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.31A.230 Time limitation of application.

An application for a site plan under this chapter shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

Section 6. A new section is added to Chapter 30.31A of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.31A.510 Approval expiration.

Site plan approval under this chapter shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

Section 7. A new section is added to Chapter 30.31B of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.31B.220 Time limitation of application.

An application for an official site plan under this chapter shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

Section 8. A new section is added to Chapter 30.31B of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.31B.230 Approval expiration.

Approval of an official site plan under this chapter shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

Section 9. Snohomish County Code Section 30.34A.170, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 13-007 on September 11, 2013, is amended to read:

30.34A.170 Submittal requirements.

- (1) All applications in the UC zone shall comply with the Urban Center development submittal checklist established by the department pursuant to SCC 30.70.030.
- (2) The department shall invite a staff representative from any city or town in whose urban growth area, municipal urban growth area or potential annexation area the proposed development will be located to attend the application submittal meeting.
- (3) A complete application ((meeting the requirements of this chapter is deemed to have vested to the development regulations as of the date of submittal. A complete application does not vest to chapters 30.52A through 30.52G SCC and chapter 30.53A SCC)) shall vest pursuant to SCC 30.70.300.
- (4) An application for urban center development shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

Section 10. Snohomish County Code Section 30.34A.183, added by Amended Ordinance No. 13-007 on September 11, 2013, is amended to read:

30.34A.183 Approval expiration.

Urban center development approval expires ((when construction has not commenced within five years after the date an approved administrative site plan becomes final. An applicant may request an extension of an approved administrative site plan)) pursuant to ((the procedures established for extension of applications in)) SCC 30.70.140(((2) and (3))).

Section 11. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41A.300, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 12-075 on October 3, 2012, is amended to read:

30.41A.300 Preliminary subdivision approval - term.

(1) The standard term of approval for a preliminary subdivision is ((five years. An applicant must file for and complete final subdivision approval within the five-year period, running from the date of preliminary

- 1 subdivision approval, or the approval will expire. However, preliminary subdivision approval may be
- 2 extended beyond the five-year period as provided for in subsections (2), (3), and (4) of this section))
- 3 pursuant to SCC 30.70.140, except that preliminary subdivision approval may be extended for a period
- 4 not to exceed four months by the county council if the applicant demonstrates that a continued good
- 5 faith effort has been exerted to complete the final subdivision and provides justification of the
- 6 extenuating circumstances as to why the additional four months is required. A request for consideration
- 7 of the four-month extension shall be filed with the clerk of the council at least 30 days prior to the date
- 8 the approval is set to expire.
- 9 (((2) An applicant or his or her successors may request, in writing, one or more extensions of
- 10 preliminary approval, not to exceed a total of two years. Such request must be received by the director
- 11 at least 30 days prior to the expiration of the preliminary subdivision approval or prior extension. The
- 12 department may grant an extension if the applicant can demonstrate that a good faith effort was
- 13 exerted to complete the final subdivision within the initial five-year approval period in accordance with
- the terms of the preliminary approval, or within the subsequent extension period. Except as provided for
- in subsections (3) and (4) of this section, the department may not extend preliminary subdivision
- approval beyond a seven-year period if the date of preliminary approval is on or after January 1, 2015,
- and the preliminary approval period has not expired. The applicant shall pay a fee for each extension pursuant to SCC 30.86.100.
- 19 (3) In addition to any extension granted by the department, preliminary subdivision approval may be
- 20 further extended for a period not to exceed four months by the county council if the applicant
- 21 demonstrates that a continued good faith effort has been exerted to complete the final subdivision and
- 22 provides justification of the extenuating circumstances as to why the additional four months is required.
- A request for consideration of the four month extension shall be filed with the clerk of the council at any
- 24 time during the final extension granted by the department.
- 25 (4) The department shall grant an extension in cases where a preliminary approval has been appealed to court, not to exceed the period of time the approval is under judicial review.))
- 27 (((5))) (2) The applicant may request final subdivision approval in phases, subject to the time
- restrictions in SCC ((30.41A.300(1))) 30.70.140 and the terms of the preliminary subdivision approval.
- 29 Open space, amenities, and other requirements of the preliminary approval shall be completed
 - coincident with each phase of the final subdivision on a pro rata basis unless otherwise required in the preliminary approval. A revision to the preliminary approval, pursuant to SCC 30.41A.330, must be applied for with the request to complete the final subdivision improvements in phases.

30

31

Section 12. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41A.600, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 11-075 on January 11, 2012, is amended to read:

35 36 37

30.41A.600 Final subdivision application approval - timing.

38 39

40

A final subdivision application shall be approved within the ((five year)) time period <u>pursuant to SCC 30.70.140</u> for preliminary subdivision approval ((unless an extension of time is granted pursuant to SCC 30.41A.300)).

41 42 43

Section 13. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41B.300, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 12-075 on October 3, 2012, is amended to read:

44 45 46

30.41B.300 Preliminary short subdivision approval – term.

47 48 49

50

(1) The standard term of approval for a preliminary short subdivision is ((five years. An applicant must file for and complete final short subdivision approval within the five-year period, running from the date of preliminary short subdivision approval, or the approval will expire. However, preliminary short

- subdivision approval may be extended beyond the five-year period as provided for in subsections (2) and (3) of this section.
- 3 (2) An applicant or his or her successors may request, in writing, one or more extensions of preliminary approval, not to exceed a total of two years. Such request must be received by the director at least 30
- 5 days prior to the expiration of the preliminary short subdivision approval or prior extension. The
- 6 department may grant an extension if the applicant can demonstrate that a good faith effort was
- 7 exerted to complete the final short subdivision within the initial five-year approval period in accordance
- 8 with the terms of the preliminary short subdivision approval, or within the subsequent extension period.
- 9 Except as provided for in subsection (3) of this section, the department may not extend preliminary
- short subdivision approval beyond a seven-year period if the date of preliminary approval is on or after January 1, 2015, and the preliminary approval period has not expired. The applicant shall pay a fee for each extension pursuant to SCC 30.86.110.
 - (3) The department shall grant an extension in cases where a preliminary approval has been appealed to court, not to exceed the period of time the approval is under judicial review)) <u>pursuant to SCC</u> 30.70.140.

Section 14. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41B.600, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 11-075 on January 11, 2012, is amended to read:

30.41B.600 Final short subdivision application approval - timing.

A final short subdivision application shall be approved within the ((five year)) time period for preliminary approval <u>pursuant to SCC 30.70.140</u> ((unless an extension of time is granted pursuant to SCC 30.41B.300)).

Section 15. A new section is added to Chapter 30.41D of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.41D.025 Time limitation of application.

A binding site plan application shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

Section 16. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41D.105, added by Amended Ordinance No. 02-064 on December 9, 2002, is repealed.

Section 17. A new section is added to Chapter 30.41D of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.41D.140 Approval expiration.

Binding site plan approval shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

Section 18. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41D.340, added by Amended Ordinance No. 02-064 on December 9, 2002, is amended to read:

30.41D.340 Recording with auditor.

(1) The applicant shall file for record the approved original binding site plan and original record of survey as one document with the auditor in accordance with SCC 30.41D.110(6). The auditor shall distribute copies of the recorded document to the department, the department of public works, and the county assessor. All distributed copies shall bear the auditor's recording data.

- 1 (2) The auditor shall refuse to accept any binding site plan and record of survey for filing and recording until the director has approved and signed each document.
 - (3) A binding site plan and record of survey shall take effect upon recording, which must occur within ((120 days)) the timeframe established in SCC Table 30.70.140(1), after both are approved by the director, subject to the conditions contained therein.

Section 19. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41E.020, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 12-018 on May 2, 2012, is amended to read:

30.41E.020 Procedure and special timing requirements.

- (1) Boundary line adjustments shall be approved, approved with conditions, or denied as follows:
 - (a) The department shall process the BLA as a Type 1 decision; or
- (b) If accompanied by a concurrent Type 2 application, the BLA application may, at the applicant's request, be processed as a Type 2 permit application pursuant to the provisions of SCC 30.41E.100(5). In order to be considered concurrent, the Type 2 application must be submitted to the county at the same time as the BLA application and involve the same property or adjacent property.
- (c) The BLA is exempt from notice provisions set forth in SCC 30.70.050 and 30.70.060(2) except that the BLA shall comply with SCC 30.70.045(4)(d) when applicable.
- (2) The department shall decide upon a BLA application within 45 days following submittal of a complete application or revision ((, unless the applicant consents to an extension of such time period)).
- (3) The department or hearing examiner may deny a BLA application or void a BLA approval due to incorrect or incomplete submittal information.
- (4) Multiple boundary line adjustments are allowed to be submitted under a single BLA application if:
 - (a) the adjustments involve contiguous parcels;
 - (b) the application includes the signatures of every parcel owner involved in the adjustment; and
 - (c) the application is accompanied by a record of survey.
- (5) An application for a boundary line adjustment shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.
- (6) Boundary line adjustment approval expires pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.
- (((5))) (7) The legal descriptions of the revised lots, tracts, or parcels, shall be certified by a licensed surveyor or title company.
- (((6))) (8) A boundary line adjustment shall be not approved for any property for which an exemption to the subdivision provisions set forth in SCC 30.41A.020(6) or 30.41A.020(7) or an exemption to the short subdivision provisions set forth in SCC 30.41B.020(6) or 30.41B.020(7) has been exercised within the past five years.

Section 20. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41E.400, added by Amended Ordinance No. 02-064 on December 9, 2002, is amended to read:

30.41E.400 Recording

To finalize an approved BLA, the applicant must record with the county auditor the BLA application, certified legal descriptions, and the BLA map within ((ene year)) the timeframe established in SCC 30.70.140, from the date of approval, or the application and approval shall lapse. ((The department may grant up to one one year extension for good cause.)) If the BLA affects more than one property owner, a conveyance document(s) shall be recorded at the same time as the BLA documents. The conveyance document(s) shall establish ownership consistent with the approved, adjusted boundaries.

When a BLA is recorded subsequent to a record of survey for the same property, the recording number

of the record of survey shall be noted on the BLA map. Recording fees and applicable state fees shall

be paid by the applicant. Immediately after recording, copies of the recorded BLA documents shall be provided to the department by the applicant. The BLA shall not take effect until recorded.

3 4

Section 21. A new section is added to Chapter 30.41F of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.41F.025 Time limitation of application.

An application for a single family detached units administrative site plan approval shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

Section 22. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41F.030 added by Amended Ordinance No. 07-022 on April 23, 2007, is amended to read:

30.41F.030 Submittals.

Administrative site plan. An administrative site plan shall be submitted with each single family detached units permit application. Pursuant to SCC 30.70.030, the department will supply a submittal checklist for textual and graphical requirements. A complete application for an administrative site plan meeting the requirements of this section shall ((be deemed to have vested as of the date of submittal)) vest pursuant to SCC 30.70.300.

- (1) An administrative site plan for a single family detached units application may be finalized as a whole or in successive divisions or phases. When phasing is proposed, and all information required by this section is provided for only a portion of the entire site, a preliminary plan shall be submitted for the entire site concurrently with the first phase plan. The preliminary plan shall include the following:
 - (i) general phasing plan for the entire site;
 - (ii) general vehicular circulation and access control plan for the entire site;
 - (iii) general pedestrian circulation plan for the entire site; and
 - (iv) general landscape and open space plan for the entire site.

The preliminary plan shall be used as a guide for adequate connectivity of the plan components for all development phases on the site. A preliminary plan shall not be required where an entire site is proposed for final administrative site plan approval.

- (2) The site plan or phased divisions shall be submitted to the director for final approval or disapproval. The director shall submit copies of the final plan for a 21-day review and comment period to appropriate departments, cities or agencies for their review and comment. Reviewing departments, cities or agencies may make comments consistent with the county code. If a consulted department, city or agency does not respond in writing within the 21-day comment period, the director may assume that the consulted department, city or agency has no comments on the proposal. Upon review of any comments received, the director shall approve the site plan in writing when found to be in conformance with this chapter and other applicable chapters of title 30 SCC. Upon approval, the final administrative site plan shall control all development of the property.
- (3) Within 45 days of the effective date of this chapter, the department shall develop a set of standard covenants (SFDU Covenants) that will be required for all developments of single family detached units.
- The standard SFDU Covenants shall include provisions for parking enforcement, and for the
- 44 maintenance of areas held in common ownership, including drive aisles and pedestrian facilities,
- 45 landscaping, and common open space. The standard SFDU Covenants shall also include provisions
- authorizing the department to assess fines against the homeowners' association of a development of
- single family detached units for the failure to enforce drive aisle parking requirements. The standard
- SFDU Covenants shall be required to be recorded prior to the final inspection for the first unit in a

49 development of single family detached units.

Section 23. Snohomish County Code Section 30.41F.040, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-103 on January 11, 2016, is amended to read:

30.41F.040 Approvals.

- (1) Administrative site plan. In order to approve an administrative site plan, the department must find that the site plan is consistent with the requirements of this chapter and other applicable regulations as determined by the department.
- (2) Final inspection and occupancy shall not be completed until the following requirements are met for those units included in the inspection:
 - (a) Fire lane signs and/or striping are completed for all access ways to the units;
 - (b) Address signs, street signs and unit addressing is completed;
- (c) All landscaping, site amenities, fencing, pedestrian facilities, lighting, and other requirements for the units, pursuant to this chapter, are installed and approved; and
- (d) Parking restrictions, common facilities, drive aisles, fire lanes and other vehicle and pedestrian facilities, and all other commonly-owned and operated property shall be protected in perpetuity by a recorded covenant, in a form approved by the director.
- (3) Director's discretion. For the purpose of achieving greater innovation and design flexibility, the director and public works director shall have the authority to grant modifications or deviations as follows:
- (a) Modifications or deviations may be granted to the following provisions of the county code if the applicant demonstrates that its proposal is consistent with the requirements of this chapter and the requested modification or deviation is consistent with the intent and purpose of this chapter and its provisions:
 - (i) chapter 30.24 SCC;
 - (ii) chapter 30.25 SCC;
 - (iii) chapter 30.26 SCC; and
 - (iv) chapter 30.27 SCC.
- (b) The director shall retain administrative authority over the request. The director's decision shall be final and not subject to appeal to the hearing examiner.
- (4) An approved administrative site plan shall expire pursuant to SCC ((30.23A.100(6))) 30.70.140.

Section 24. A new section is added to Chapter 30.42A of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.42A.040 Time limitation of application.

 An application for a site-specific rezone shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

Section 25. A new section is added to Chapter 30.42B of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.42B.035 Time limitation of application.

An application for a planned residential development shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

Section 26. A new section is added to Chapter 30.42B of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.42B.037 Approval expiration.

Approval of a planned residential development shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

1	Section 27. A new section is added to Chapter 30.42C of the Snohomish County Code to read
3	30.42C.040 Time limitation of application.
4 5	An application for a conditional use permit shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.
6 7	Section 28. A new section is added to Chapter 30.42C of the Snohomish County Code to read
8 9	30.42C.105 Permit expiration.
10 11 12	Conditional use permits shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.
13 14	Section 29. A new section is added to Chapter 30.42F of the Snohomish County Code to read
15 16	30.42F.025 Time limitation of application.
17 18	An application for a special use permit for community facilities for juveniles shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140
19 20 21	Section 30. A new section is added to Chapter 30.42F of the Snohomish County Code to read
22 23	30.42F.050 Permit expiration.
24 25	A special use permit for community facilities for juveniles shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.
26 27	Section 31. A new section is added to Chapter 30.43A of the Snohomish County Code to read
28 29	30.43A.030 Time limitation of application.
30 31	An application for an administrative conditional use permit shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.
32 33	Section 32. A new section is added to Chapter 30.43A of the Snohomish County Code to read
34 35	30.43A.035 Permit expiration.
36 37	Administrative conditional use permits shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.
38 39	Section 33. A new section is added to Chapter 30.43B of the Snohomish County Code to read
40 41	30.43B.030 Time limitation of application.
42 43	An application for a variance shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.
44	Section 34. A new section is added to Chapter 30.43C of the Snohomish County Code to read
45 46	30.43C.050 Time limitation of application.
47 48 49	An application for a flood hazard permit shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

1 2 3

Section 35. Snohomish County Code Section 30.43C.200, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 05-068 on September 7, 2005, is amended to read:

4 5

30.43C.200 Permit expiration.

6 7 8

(((1))) The ((start of construction, as defined in SCC 30.91S.570, for any new construction or substantial improvement must commence within 180 days of the issuance of a flood hazard permit or the flood hazard permit will automatically expire)) flood hazard permit shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

10 11 12

9

(((2) A permit may be renewed for the original project description only once for up to 24 additional months.))

13 14

Section 36. A new section is added to Chapter 30.43D of the Snohomish County Code to read:

15 16

30.43D.030 Time limitation of application.

17 18

An application for a flood hazard variance shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

19 20

Section 37. A new section is added to Chapter 30.43D of the Snohomish County Code to read:

21 22

30.43D.120 Approval expiration.

23 24

Approval of a flood hazard variance shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

25 26

Section 38. Snohomish County Code Section 30.43F.100, added by Amended Ordinance No. 15-033 on June 3, 2015, is amended to read:

272829

30.43F.100 Class IV-General forest practices – permit required.

30 31

32

33

39

40

43

44

45

46

47

48

(1) Permit required for Class IV-General forest practices. An approved Class IV-General forest practices permit shall be obtained from the department prior to conducting any forest practices described in SCC 30.43F.030(1).

34 (2) P35 acco36 subm37 Gene38 appli

(2) Procedure. The department shall process a Class IV-General forest practices permit application according to the procedures for a Type 1 administrative decision under chapter 30.71 SCC unless submitted concurrently with a Type 2 application under chapter 30.72 SCC, in which case the Class IV-General forest practices permit application shall be consolidated and processed as a Type 2 permit application. Applications for Class IV-General forest practices permits shall be submitted in compliance with the requirements in SCC 30.70.030, and may be processed concurrently with other development applications.

41 (3) 42 unle

- (3) General requirements. The department shall not issue a Class IV-General forest practices permit unless the following requirements are met:
 - (a) The applicant submits a completed State Environmental Policy Act checklist;
- (b) The applicant has either obtained a land disturbing activity (LDA) permit under chapter 30.63B SCC, or has obtained a determination from the department that an LDA permit is not required; and
- (c) The applicant provides verification from the Washington State Department of Natural Resources that the subject site is not and has not been subject to a notice of conversion to nonforestry use under RCW 76.09.060 during the six-year period prior to the submission of the permit application.
- 49 (4) Time limitation of application. An application for a Class IV-General forest practices permit shall expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

- (((4))) (5) Compliance with other conditions. If a Class IV-General forest practices permit is issued in association with any other development permits or approvals, the applicant shall comply with any conditions of approval established in those associated development permits or approvals.

 (((5))) (6) Permit expiration and extension.
 - (((a))) A Class IV-General forest practices permit approval shall ((be valid for 18 months following the date of issuance unless a longer time period has been established through an associated approval issued by the county, in which case the time limits applicable to the associated approval shall apply)) expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.
 - (((b) The director may grant, in writing, one extension of time, for a period of not more than 18 months. The extension shall be requested in writing prior to expiration and must demonstrate justifiable cause.

 (c) The fee for the permit extension shall be in accordance with chapter 30.86 SCC)).

Section 39. Snohomish County Code Section 30.50.140, added by Amended Ordinance No. 14-060 on August 27, 2014, is amended to read:

30.50.140 Time limitation of application.

- (1) An application for a permit for any proposed work shall expire 18 months after the date of filing. The building official is authorized to grant one extension of time for an additional period not to exceed 18 months. The extension shall be requested in writing prior to expiration and justifiable cause demonstrated.
- (2) The fee for the permit application extension shall be in accordance with SCC 30.86.400(6). (((3) The expiration and extension provisions of this section do not apply to applications subject to environmental review under chapter 30.61 SCC. Applications subject to environmental review under chapter 30.61 SCC are subject to the expiration and extension provisions of SCC 30.70.140.))

Section 40. Snohomish County Code Section 30.63B.270, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 14-053 on August 27, 2014, is amended to read:

30.63B.270 Time limitation of application.

(((1))) An application for a land disturbing activity permit shall ((be deemed to have been abandoned 18 months after the date the applicant filed a complete application, unless the applicant has pursued the submittal of all necessary information and revisions requested by the department in good faith, or a permit has been issued)) expire pursuant to SCC 30.70.140.

(((2) The director is authorized to grant one extension of the permit application if abandoned. Such extension shall not exceed an additional 18 month period. The application extension shall be requested in writing and the applicant shall demonstrate a justifiable cause for the extension. A renewal fee shall be paid at the time of the renewal request pursuant to SCC 30.86.510(2).))

Section 41. Snohomish County Code Section 30.63B.280, added by Amended Ordinance No. 10-023 on June 9, 2010, is amended to read:

30.63B.280 Permit expiration ((and renewal)).

(1) Land disturbing activity permits shall expire ((18 months from the date of issuance)) <u>pursuant to SCC 30.70.140</u>. However, the director may set an earlier expiration date for a permit or issue a permit that is non-renewable, or both, if the director determines that soil, hydrologic or geologic conditions on the project site necessitate that land disturbing activity, drainage improvements and site stabilization be completed in less time.

- 1 (2) No land disturbing activity shall be performed under an expired land disturbing activity permit. An
- 2 applicant shall obtain a new permit before starting work authorized under the expired permit.
- 3 (((3) The director is authorized to grant, in writing, one permit extension of not more than 18 months.
- 4 The permit extension shall be requested in writing and the applicant shall demonstrate justifiable cause
- for the extension. The request for extension shall be submitted to the department 30 days before the date of expiration of the original permit.
- 7 (4) Prior to extension of a permit, an on-site inspection may be required to determine whether the work
- 8 authorized by the original permit complies with this chapter and any other applicable law or regulation.
- 9 (5) The renewal fee in SCC 30.86.510(2) for a permit extension request shall be paid at the time the extension request is submitted.
 - (6) The director may extend the timeframe for submitting an extension request under SCC
 - 30.63B.280(3) for good cause, but shall not approve any extension request received later than 30 days after the date of expiration of the original permit.))

Section 42. Snohomish County Code Section 30.70.015, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 10-023 on June 9, 2010, is amended to read:

30.70.015 Exemptions.

11

12

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28 29

30

31 32

33 34 35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

43

44

45 46 47

48

The following ((actions)) permit types are exempt from the requirements of this subtitle, except the consistency determination required by SCC 30.70.100, and the expiration and vesting provisions of SCC 30.70.140 and SCC 30.70.300 shall apply:

- (((1) Street vacations under chapter 13.100 SCC;
- (2) Approvals relating to the use of public areas and facilities under title 13 SCC;))
 - (((3))) (1) Building permits exempt from the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA);
- (((4))) (2) Land disturbing activity permits exempt from SEPA; and
- (((5))) (3) All other construction ((, mechanical, and plumbing)) permits <u>pursuant to subtitle 30 SCC</u> that are exempt from SEPA ((and related approvals, including certificates of occupancy)).

Section 43. Snohomish County Code Section 30.70.140, added by Amended Ordinance No. 02-064 on December 9, 2002, is amended to read:

30.70.140 Expiration ((and extension of application)) of applications, approvals, and permits.

- (((1) An application shall expire one year after the last date that additional information is requested if the applicant has failed to provide the information, except that
- (a) The department may grant one or more extensions pursuant to SCC 30.70.140(2) and (3) below:
- (b) The department may set an expiration date of less than one year when the permit application is the result of a code enforcement action; and
- (c) No application shall expire when under review by the department following submittal of a complete application or timely resubmittal of an application when all required information has been provided.
- (2) The applicant may request an extension to a date certain prior to expiration of the application. The department may grant an extension request if the criteria of SCC 30.70.140(3) are met. If granted, the department shall set a reasonable expiration date that may be different from the date requested by the applicant
- (3) An applicant's extension request may only be granted when the following criteria are met:
- (a) A written request for extension is submitted at least 14 days prior to the expiration date:
- 49 (b) The applicant demonstrates that circumstances beyond the control of the applicant prevent timely submittal of the requested information; and

- 1 (c) The applicant provides a reasonable schedule for submittal of the requested information.
 - —(4) The department may extend an expiration date for an application with no written request from an applicant when additional time for county processing or scheduling of appointments is required, when the department needs information or responses from other agencies, or under other similar circumstances.
 - (5) A permit application approved for issuance pursuant to subtitle 30.5 SCC but not paid for and issued shall expire six months after the date it is approved for issuance.))
 - (1) This section shall apply to:
 - (a) New applications, approvals, and permits set forth in SCC Table 30.70.140(1); and
 - (b) Existing applications set forth in SCC Table 30.70.140(1) that were deemed complete but that were not approved or denied prior to [insert effective date of this ordinance], provided that the department shall provide notice to the applicant one year prior to the expiration date of the application.

 (2) SCC Table 30.70.140(1) establishes the expiration period for applications, approvals, and permits, except that:
 - (a) When an EIS is required, the expiration period of an application will be suspended until the FEIS is issued. The suspension of the expiration period for an application shall not exceed 18 months unless approved by the director; and
 - (b) When otherwise modified by the hearing examiner.
 - (3) The applicant is responsible for monitoring the expiration periods for an application, approval, or permit. The county is not required to inform an applicant when an application, approval, or permit will expire or has expired.
 - (4) For minor revisions under SCC 30.70.210 and major revisions under SCC 30.70.220, the term of expiration for an application shall be 12 months and shall not extend the term of the corresponding development application approval or concurrency determination.

SCC Table 30.70.140(1)

Approval Type	Expiration of application	Expiration of approval or permit
Administrative Conditional Use Permit	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
Administrative Conditional Use Permit – Temporary Dwelling During Construction	12 months	As determined in decision
Administrative Conditional Use Permit - Temporary Dwelling For Relative	12 months	Shall be subject to annual renewal
Administrative Conditional Use Permit - Other Temporary Uses	12 months	As determined in decision
Administrative Site Plan (pursuant to chapter 30.23A SCC)	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
Binding Site Plan	36 months	6 months to record

Boundary Line Adjustment	12 months	12 months to record. The department may grant up to one 12-month extension.
Building Permit	Per subtitle 30.5 SCC	Per subtitle 30.5 SCC
Conditional Use Permit	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
Flood Hazard Permit & Flood Hazard Variance	18 months	18 months from the date of issuance. Start of construction, as defined in SCC 30.91S.570, must commence within 180 days.
Forest Practices (Class IV- General)	18 months	36 months
Land Disturbing Activity	18 months	36 months
Official Site Plan and Site Plans (pursuant to chapters 30.31A and 30.31B SCC)	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
Planned Residential Development	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
Pre-application Concurrency Determination	6 months	Per SCC 30.66B.155
Rezones	36 months	Not applicable
Shoreline Conditional Use Permit	36 months	Per chapter 30.44 SCC
Shoreline Substantial Development Permit	36 months	Per chapter 30.44 SCC
Single Family Detached Units	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
Special Use Permit (pursuant to chapter 30.42F SCC)	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
Subdivisions	48 months	Per RCW 58.17.140, except that: • For preliminary subdivisions that were approved on or after January 1, 2008, one or more

		extensions not to exceed a total extension time of two years may be granted by the department. Such request must be received by the director at least 30 days prior to the expiration of the preliminary subdivision approval or prior extension. The applicant shall pay a fee for each extension pursuant to SCC 30.86.100. • For preliminary subdivisions that were approved on or before December 31, 2007, one or more extensions up to a total term of twelve years may be granted by the department. Such request must be received by the director at least 30 days prior to the expiration of the preliminary subdivision approval or prior extension. The applicant shall pay a fee for each extension pursuant to
		SCC 30.86.100.
Short Subdivisions	48 months	 60 months, except that: For preliminary short subdivisions that were approved on or after January 1, 2008, one or more extensions not to exceed a total extension time of two years may be granted by the department. Such request must be received by the director at least 30 days prior to the expiration of the preliminary short subdivision approval or prior extension.
		For preliminary short subdivisions that were approved on or before December 31, 2007, one or more extensions up to a total

1		
	Į	

		term of twelve years may be granted by the department. Such request must be received by the director at least 30 days prior to the expiration of the preliminary short subdivision approval or prior extension. The applicant shall pay a fee for each extension pursuant to SCC 30.86.110.
Urban Center Development	36 months	5 years to commence construction or use
<u>Variance</u>	36 months	Not applicable

Section 44. A new section is added to Chapter 30.70 of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.70.300 Vesting of applications.

5 6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22 23

25

27

30 31

32

33

34

2

3 4

The purpose of this section is to implement local vesting regulations that are best suited to the needs of the county and consistent with state law. This section is intended to provide property owners, permit applicants, and the general public assurance that the regulations for project development will remain consistent during the life of an application.

- (1) Except for rezones, an application for a permit or approval type set forth in SCC Table 30.70.140(1) shall be considered under the development regulations in effect on the date a complete application is filed, pursuant to SCC 30.70.040. Provided, that projects under the authority of the director of the department of public works or the county engineer pursuant to SCC 30.63B.100 shall vest as of the date the county engineer approves a design report or memorandum for the project.
- (2) Building permit or land disturbing activity permit applications that are subsequent and related to the development identified in an application listed in SCC 30.70.300(2)(a)-(m), shall vest to the development regulations in effect at the time a complete application listed in SCC 30.70.300(2)(a)-(m) is filed pursuant to SCC 30.70.040.
 - (a) Administrative conditional use permit;
 - (b) Administrative site plan (pursuant to chapter 30.23A SCC);
- (c) Binding site plan;
 - (d) Conditional use permit;
 - (e) Official site plan and site plan (pursuant to chapters 30.31A and 31.31B SCC);
- 24 (f) Planned residential development;
 - (a) Shoreline conditional use permit;
- 26 (h) Shoreline substantial development permit;
 - (i) Single family detached units;
- 28 (i) Special use permits (pursuant to chapter 30.42F SCC);
- 29 (k) Short subdivision;
 - (I) Subdivision; and
 - (m) Urban center development.

However, a complete application for any subsequent application must be submitted prior to the expiration date of the permit(s) or approval(s) applied for in the application types listed in this subsection.

- (3) For the purpose of this section, "development regulation" means those provisions of title 30 SCC that exercise a restraining or directing influence over land, including provisions that control or affect the type, degree, or physical attributes of land development or use. For the purpose of this section, "development regulation" does not include fees listed in title 30 SCC or procedural regulations.
- (4) A complete building permit application shall always be subject to that version of subtitle 30.5 SCC in effect at the time the building permit application is submitted.
- (5) Notwithstanding any other provision in this section, any application dependent on approval of a rezone application shall not vest until the underlying rezone is approved.

Section 45 Snohomish County Code Section 30.86.100, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-005 on March 18, 2015, is amended to read:

30.86.100 Subdivision fees.

Table 30.86.100 - SUBDIVISION FEES

OTHER FEES: All necessary fees for subdivision approval/recording are not listed here. Examples of fees not collected by the department include: (1) Applicable private well and septic system approvals (Snohomish Health District); (2) right-of-way permit (department/department of public works), see SCC 13.110.020; and (3) subdivision recording fees (auditor).

PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE FEE)	\$480
PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION FILING FEE (1), (2)	
Base fee	\$4,680
Plus \$ per lot	\$132
Plus \$ per acre	\$78
Total maximum fee	\$21,600
SUBDIVISION MODIFICATIONS	\$1,200
REVISIONS TO APPROVED PRELIMINARY SUBDIVI	SIONS
Minor revision-administrative	\$312
Major revision-public hearing	\$1,248
CONSTRUCTION PLAN CHECK FEE (3)	
Per lot (4)	\$192
Per tract or non-building lot	\$192

ROAD INSPECTION FEE	
Per lot ⁽⁴⁾	\$192
Per tract or non-building lot	\$192
FINAL SUBDIVISION FEES	
Filing fee	\$2,400
Document check and sign installation fee	\$264/lot and unit cost/sign required
ROAD SECURITY DEVICE ADMINISTRATION FEE ®	
Performance security option (6)	\$24.50/Lot
Maintenance security ⁿ	\$31.00/Lot
"MARKUP" CORRECTIONS FEE (8)	\$240
SUBDIVISION ALTERATION	PLACEHOLDER POSITION
MODEL HOME FEES (9)	
Base fee	\$360
Plus \$ per subdivision NOTE: For reference notes, see table following SCC 30.86.110.	120
PRELIMINARY SUBDIVISION EXTENSION(10)	\$500

Reference notes for subdivision and short subdivision fee tables:

- (1) A preliminary filing fee consists of the sum of a base fee, a per lot fee, a per acre fee, and a supplemental fee if applicable.
- (2) When a preliminary subdivision application is considered in conjunction with a rezone for the same property, the total preliminary subdivision fee shall be reduced by 25 percent. If a preliminary subdivision application is considered in conjunction with a planned residential development, with or without a rezone, the total preliminary subdivision fee shall be reduced by 50 percent. The sum of the above fees shall be limited to \$16,800.
- (3) Collected when the preliminary subdivision applicant submits the construction plan.
- (4) When three or more contiguous lots are to be developed with a single townhouse building (zero lot line construction), then a plan check fee of \$192.00 per building will be charged and the plan check or inspection fee will not be based on the number of lots.
- (5) Paid by the applicant to cover the costs of administering security devices as provided by chapter 30.84 SCC.
- (6) This fee applies if the developer elects to carry out minimum improvements using the provisions of SCC 30.41A.410(1)(b) before requesting final approval, and is in addition to subsequent subdivision road inspection fees.

- (7) Collected in accordance with SCC 30.41A.410(2).
- (8) This fee applies whenever an applicant fails to submit required corrections noted on "markup" final subdivision drawings or other documents during the final subdivision review.
- (9) This fee is in addition to the residential building permit fees for plan check, site review and access permit.
- (10) This fee applies to preliminary subdivision approval extensions pursuant to SCC ((30.41A.300)) Table 30.70.140(1).

Section 46. Snohomish County Code Section 30.86.110, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 09-018 on June 3, 2009, is amended to read:

30.86.110 Short subdivision fees.

Table 30.86.110 - SHORT SUBDIVISION FEES

OTHER FEES: All necessary fees for subdivision approval/recording are not listed here. Examples of fees not collected by the department include: (1) Applicable private well and septic system approvals (Snohomish Health District); (2) right-of-way permit (the department/ department of public works), see SCC 13.110.020; and (3) short subdivision recording fees (auditor).

PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE FEE)	\$480
PRELIMINARY SHORT SUBDIVISION FILING FEES (1)	
Base fee	\$1,560
Plus \$ per acre	\$78
Plus \$ per lot	\$78
SHORT SUBDIVISION MODIFICATION APPLICATION	\$960
PLAN/DOCUMENT RESUBMITTAL FEE (2)	\$240
SHORT SUBDIVISION REVISIONS AFTER PRELIMINARY APPROVAL	\$312
SHORT SUBDIVISION FINAL APPROVAL	\$600
SHORT SUBDIVISION FINAL DOCUMENT CHECK	\$1,800
RECORDING OF FINAL SHORT SUBDIVISION	\$30
ALTERATIONS TO RECORDED SHORT SUBDIVISIONS	\$420
PRELIMINARY SHORT SUBDIVISION EXTENSION(3)	\$500

Reference notes:

- (1) A preliminary filing fee consists of the sum of a base fee, a per lot fee, a per acre fee, and a supplemental fee if applicable.
- (2) This fee applies to the resubmittal of short subdivision plans and documents after a second review for which the applicant did not include corrections noted by the department, or the applicant made revisions, which necessitate additional review and comments.
- (3) This fee applies to preliminary short subdivision approval extensions pursuant to SCC ((30.41B.300)) Table 30.70.140(1).

Section 47. Snohomish County Code Section 30.86.220, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-005 on March 18, 2015, is amended to read:

30.86.220 Administrative conditional use permit fees.

Table 30.86.220 - ADMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT (ACU) FEES(1)

PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE FEE	\$480
ADMINISTRATIVE CONDITIONAL USE (ACU) PERMIT, Except: ACU for Expansion of a nonconforming use as provided below	\$180
ACU FOR EXPANSION OF A NONCONFORMING USE	
Base fee	\$1,200
Plus \$ per acre	\$60
Total maximum fee for expansion of a nonconforming use	\$3,600
((TIME EXTENSION REQUEST))	((\$120))
MINOR REVISION REQUEST	\$240
MAJOR REVISION REQUEST	\$960
*TEMPORARY WOODWASTE RECYCLING PERMIT	\$600
*TEMPORARY WOODWASTE STORAGE PERMIT	\$600
ANNUAL RENEWAL FEE FOR ANY TEMPORARY USE	\$48

Reference note:

(1) Administrative conditional use permit fees for playing fields on designated recreational land in accordance with SCC 30.28.076 shall be set at \$0.00.

Section 48 Snohomish County Code Section 30.86.230, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 07-108 on November 19, 2007, is amended to read:

30.86.230 Variance fees.

Table 30.86.230 -VARIANCE FEES

PRE APPLICATION CONFERENCE FEE	\$480
STANDARD VARIANCE	\$1,200
SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENCE REQUEST FOR A SINGLE	
REVISION TO A DIMENSIONAL REQUIREMENT	\$600
((TIME EXTENSION REQUEST))	((\$120))
MINOR REVISION REQUEST	\$312
MAJOR REVISION REQUEST	\$1,248

Section 49. Snohomish County Code Section 30.86.510, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 10-086 on October 20, 2010, is amended to read:

- (1) This section establishes drainage and land disturbing activity fees that apply when drainage or land disturbing activity review is a required component of a permit application or is a condition of a land use approval. Such fees are in addition to any other fees required by law. Construction applications referenced in this code section include applications for grading permits submitted prior to September 30, 2010, and building, right-of-way and land disturbing activity permit applications.
- (2) Fees for plan review and inspection of drainage plans and land disturbing activities are established in SCC Table 30.86.510(2)(A) and (B). SCC Table 30.86.510(2)(A) and (B) includes fees for plan review and inspection of independent activities as well as fees for plan review and inspection of multiple activities. Whenever two or more proposed activities subject to fees in SCC Table 30.86.510(2) are submitted concurrently as part of the same project, the applicant shall only pay one fee; the applicable fee shall be the one associated with the proposed activity that meets the highest threshold level in SCC Table 30.86.510(2)(A) and (B).
- (3) Drainage and land disturbing activity fees shall be based upon the fee table in effect at the time of payment.
- (4) For complete applications submitted to the department on or after September 30, 2010, the applicable drainage and land disturbing activity fees in SCC Table 30.86.510(2)(A) and (B) shall be AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 16-004

AN ORDINANCE RELATING TO DEVELOPMENT PERMIT PROCESSING, AND APPROVALS, AMENDING TITLE 30 OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE

paid as follows:

- (a) For applications that require preliminary land use approval or for which site plan approval is required or requested prior to the submittal of construction applications, the following percentages of the fees shall be paid as follows:
- (i) Fifty percent of the fees shall be paid upon submittal of the initial application(s) for land use or site plan approval;
 - (ii) Twenty-five percent of the fees shall be paid upon submittal of the construction application(s); and
 - (iii) Twenty-five percent of the fees shall be paid prior to permit issuance;
- (b) For all other applications, except single-family residential building permit applications, 75 percent of the fees shall be paid upon submittal of the construction application(s) and 25 percent of the fees shall be paid prior to permit issuance; and
- (c) For single-family residential building permit applications, 50 percent of the fees shall be paid upon submittal of the construction application(s) and 50 percent of the fees shall be paid prior to permit issuance.
- (5) When inspection services are requested for complete construction applications submitted to the department before September 30, 2010, and for which permits or approvals are issued on or after September 30, 2010, the following percentages of the applicable fees in SCC Table 30.86.510(2)(A) shall be paid as follows:
- (a) Fifty percent of the fees shall be paid prior to single-family residential building permit issuance when the permit application included the submittal of a stormwater site plan or stormwater pollution prevention plan; and
- (b) Twenty-five percent of the fees shall be paid prior to permit issuance for all applications, except as provided above in subsection (5)(a).

Table 30.86.510(2)

FEES FOR DRAINAGE AND LAND DISTURBING ACTIVITIES

(A) FEE LEVELS FOR PLAN REVIEW AND INSPECTION ⁽¹⁾	DRAINAGE (new, replaced, or new plus replaced impervious surface in square feet)	GRADING (cut yards, whichey		FEE	
Level 1(a): Drainage only	1 - 1,999			\$	375
Level 1(b): Grading only		1 - 5	00	\$	350
Level 1(a)+(b): Drainage and Grading	1 - 1,999 a	and	1 - 500	\$	725
Level 2	2,000 - 4,999 a	ınd	0 - 500	\$	1,575
Level 3	5,000 - 9,999 an	ıd/or	501 - 4,999	\$	2,450
Level 4	10,000 - 39,999 an	ıd/or	5,000 - 14,999	\$	4,800
Level 5	40,000 - 99,999 an	ıd/or	15,000 - 69,999	\$	12,700
Level 6	100,000 or more an	od/or	70, 000 or more	\$	34,700
(B) FEE LEVELS FOR PLAN REVIEW AND INSPECTION ⁽¹⁾	CLEA	ARING ⁽²⁾		FEE	
Level I	1 - 6,999 sq. ft.			\$ 750	
Level 2	7,000 sq. ft. or more			\$ 1,650)
Level 3: Conversion only	Converts three-quarters of an acre (32,670 sq. ft.) or more of native vegetation to lawn/landscaped areas, or converts 2.5 acres (108,900 sq. ft.) or more of			\$ 2,800)

(C) FEES FOR ACTIVITIES NOT OTHERWISE LISTED: Pre-application site review Subsequent plan review ⁽³⁾	\$ 250 \$ 350 \$ 350
Subsequent plan review ⁽³⁾	\$ 350
	\$ 350
Field revisions ⁽⁴⁾	
Modification, waiver, or reconsideration issued pursuant to SCC 30.63A.830 through 30.63A.842	See SCC 30.86.515
Investigation penalty ⁽⁷⁾	100% of the applicable drainage and land disturbing activity fee
((Renewal of a land disturbing activity application or permit ⁽⁵⁾))	((\$400 plus a percentage of the original application or permit fee equal to the percentage of approved or permitted activity to be completed))
Dike or levee construction or reconstruction grading plan review and inspection fee when implementing a Snohomish County approved floodplain management plan	\$ 60 per hour
Drainage plan review for mining operations ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 156 per acre
Monitoring associated with drainage plan review for mining operations	\$ 141 per hour
Consultation pursuant to SCC 30.63B.030(2) or 30.63B.100(2)	
(a) Land Use	(a) \$ 850
(b) Engineering	(b) \$ 975
(a)+(b) Land Use and Engineering Combination	(a)+(b) \$ 1,655
(D) SECURITY DEVICE ADMINISTRATION FEES:	
Performance Security	\$ 19.50 per subdivision or short subdivision lot or \$0.005 per square foot of impervious area for all other permits
Maintenance Security	\$ 15.00 per subdivision or short subdivision lot or \$0.003 per square foot of impervious area for all other permits

REFERENCE NOTES:

- (1) Drainage and land disturbing activity reviews associated with projects administered by Snohomish Conservation District shall not be subject to plan review and inspection fees.
- (2) Fee includes drainage plan review and inspection for clearing activity only. When clearing is combined with other land disturbing activities in SCC Table 30.86.510(2)(A), fee levels 1 6 for drainage and/or grading plan review and inspection also apply.
- (3) These fees apply on third and subsequent plan review submittals when an applicant fails to submit required corrections noted on "markup" plans, drawings, or other required submittal documents.
- (4) These fees apply whenever an applicant proposes changes, additions, or revisions to previously approved plans, drawings, or other required submittal documents.
- (((5))) ((Requests for renewals of land disturbing activity approvals or permits must include a written statement of the percentage of approved or permitted activity that remains to be completed. Applicants may provide this written statement for all level 1 projects. The engineer of record must provide the written statement for all other projects.))
- (((6))) (5) Acreage for drainage plan review for mining operations is based on mined area. Mined area includes all area disturbed in conjunction with the mining operation which shall include, but is not limited to, areas cleared, stock piles, drainage facilities, access roads, utilities, mitigation areas, and all other activity which disturbs the land. Fees for phased mine developments and mining site restoration plans of phased mine developments shall be calculated separately for each phase of mining based upon the area for each phase.
- $(((\frac{7}{1})))$ (6) Any person who commences any land disturbing activity before obtaining the necessary permits shall be subject to an investigation penalty in addition to the required permit fees.

Section 50. A new section is added to Chapter 30.91C of the Snohomish County Code to read:

30.91C.267 Construction, commence (Commence construction).

"Construction, commence" ("Commence construction") means the point in time demarking the breaking of ground for the construction of a development.

Section 51. Severability and Savings. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid by the Growth Management Hearings Board (Board), or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance. Provided, however, that if any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid by the Board or court of competent jurisdiction, then the section, sentence, clause or phrase in effect prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall be in full force and effect for that individual section, sentence, clause or phrase as if this ordinance had never been adopted.

PASSED this 16th day of March, 2016

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL Snohomish County, Washington

Terry Ryan Council Chair

ATTEST:

Debbie Eco, CMC Clerk of the Council

APPROVED
() EMERGENCY
() VETOED

ate: <u>3/22/ん</u>, 201

ATTEST:

Dave Somers
County Executive

Approved as to form only:

Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

D-20

Public Works Projects' Land Disturbing Activity (LDA) Expirations Proposed Code Amendment



LDA Permit Applications (Design Reports)

- DPW projects requiring LDA permits can be "self-certified" (reviewed and approved by DPW) under the authority of SCC 30.63B.100 and Rule 5001 (Rev Oct 2020)
- SCC 30.70.300 (1) states: "... projects under the authority of the director of the department of public works or the county engineer pursuant to SCC 30.63B.100 shall vest as of the date the county engineer approves a design report or memorandum for the project
- A Design Report or Memo is equivalent to a complete Permit Application submitted to PDS by the public



Table 30.70.140 (1)

- Permit applications and approvals have expiration periods
- The vesting that attaches to the filing of an application or permit approval does not continue indefinitely and terminates if the application or permit approval expires
- DPW's Design Reports and LDA Decisions expire

Table 30.70.140 (1)

Approval Type	Expiration of Application	Expiration of approval or permit
Land Disturbing Activity	18 months	36 months



Proposed Code Amendment

- For DPW projects, amend Table 30.70.140 (1) for LDA Expirations:
 - Expiration of Application from 18 months to <u>36 months</u>
 - Expiration of Approval or Permit from 36 months to 60 months
- For bridge projects requiring Shoreline Permits, have consistent timelines with the **36 months** expiration of applications and **60 months** expiration of permits already in Snohomish County Code



Why are DPW projects different from private development?

- Vesting is from the date of the Design Report
- Obtain a Right of Way Plan Approval
- Federal funding requirements NEPA approval and sequencing of right-of-way acquisitions
- Complete 60% Designs, SEPA Review and Utility Coordination
- Allow ample time for federal and state review of permit applications
 - There is no vesting with federal and state laws
 - Need to adhere to strict environmental protections as laws change

Snohomis

18 months is not enough time for most capital projects to complete all of the above before issuance of an LDA Permit

Public Works Project Examples

Public Works – Fast Track Projects:

Project Name	Design	LDA Notice	LDA Cert	Time from 30%-90%	Notes
	Report	issued	(DPW approval)	Design Phase and	
	(signed @30%)			Certifications	
196 th / Grannis	January 2018	December 2018	November 2018	9 months	Overlay
Marine Drive Embankment Repair	N/A	April 2018	April 2018	6 months	SEPA, Shoreline & FHZ Exempt

Public Works – Typical Capital Projects:

Project Name	Design Report (signed @30%)	LDA Notice issued	LDA Cert (DPW approval)	Time from 30%-90% Design Phase and Certifications	Contributing factors to extended Design Phase
S Machias / Machias Cutoff	January 2017	April 2017	November 2018	22 months	ROW & utility relocation
Alderwood Mall Parkway Sidewalk Gaps	April 2018	May 2018	January 2020	21 months	ROW & utility relocation
Riley Slough Bridge 155 Replacement	July 2016	January 2017	October 2018	27 months	Fed aid & ROW processes
Pilchuck River Bridge 581 Replacement	May 2013	October 2013	September 2015	29 months	Fed aid & ROW processes



Row Labels	Count of Sub
Commercial	281
Other	79
Residential	2013
Right of Way	26
Short Subdivision	135
Stand Alone	133
Subdivision	145
Grand Total	2812

Count of Sub	Column Labels		
Row Labels	App Expired		Grand Total
Commercial		42	42
Other		10	10
Residential		64	64
Short Subdivision		19	19
Stand Alone		10	10
Subdivision		4	4
Grand Total		149	149

It looks like I can about 46 to complete work or to replace expired or to continue work They only clude I have is description

But 46 out of 149 is a high percentage.

31%

However I think the complete work and replace expired are a mix of new applications and new permits I am wondering if the code should consider both (application extension and permit extension)