State Purchasing Checklist-Goods

Use this o	hecklist to determine if an awarded contract meets	the requireme	nts to 'pi	ggyback	c'.	
Agency	WA DES / NASPO	Item	Rocket(Chat so	ftware	licences
RFP#	AR2472	Amount	\$675,00	00 over 3 years		
Title	Cloud Solutions	Dept	Info Ser	vices		
Supplier	Supplier Carahsoft Technology Corp Requestor Joanie		Joanie F	nie Fadden		
DOES THE BID MEET THE RCW REQUIREMENTS?				YES	NO	Copies/ Comments
1 Do	Do we have the authority to bid/contract for the item?			х		State MCUA
Ad Sta	Did the State process the bid consistent with the RCW? State Participating Addendum #05116 with NASPO Master Agm #AR2472 with lead agency as State of Utah					Utah RFP CH16012 solicitation docs
	Was the bid advertised in compliance with the state's requirements? Where: Utah's purchasing portal					Bid Posting Info
	Firms located in Snohomish County submitted bids. If there are no qualified firms located in Snohomish County, enter N/A.					
	Is the bid/contract current and does its current life equal or exceed the time being requested? Term: (DES) 8-1-2017 thru 9/15/2026			х		DES Contract
	Does the bid and/or contract contain the language to piggyback? Where: Utah's RFP has Naspo agreement in it. DES contract has MCUA					<u>DES Contract</u>
7 Re	Requested items are listed in the bid/contract					Price listing
	Was the award consistent to the Bid/RCW? Review the bid tabs or evaluation summary and award recommendations.					<u>Eval Scores</u>
	Awarded made to the lowest, responsible bidder? If multiple awards, the requested supplier/item is the lowest, resp. bidder			х		Multiple awards (Carahsoft is one)
	There are no terms and conditions in the bid or contract that conflict with County code.			Х		Utah Contract w/ Amend 1
	Risk Management approves the insurance. Or the supplier has agreed to insurance requested by RM. See Section 13 in Utah contract			х		Insurance Regs
	The requestor understands and agrees to the bid/contract terms and conditions of the state.			х		See security req's; EULA's on file; Email agr't
13 Ch	Check the State and Federal debarment list. The supplier is not listed			Х		Researched
со	If services are included-An internet search of the awarded supplier was conducted and there were no human rights violations found. Indicate N/A if no services					
15 If s	If services are included, confirm existing paperwork is sufficient.			х		IT has to check EULA
have reviewed the items on the above checklist for this solicitation and it:						
oximes meets the requirements $oximes$ partially meets $oximes$ does not meet the requirements						
Buyer Na	me Lynne Yara	Da	te <u>4/1/</u>	2024		
Pur Mgr may approve if all meets. If does not meet all items, Dir of Fin or Executive approval required.						

Per SCC 3.04.140(2) and Executive Order 23-02(2.D.2), the following approval authorizes purchases and services not to exceed \$50,000 under the above referenced RFP for the life of the contract, any purchases exceeding \$50,000 shall also require county council approval. Piggyback Approved______ Date____