APPROVED: May 14, 2025 EFFECTIVE: June 13, 2025

# SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL SNOHOMISH COUNTY, WASHINGTON

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

 RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS, 30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS

WHEREAS, Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 36.70A.060 and RCW 36.70A.170 require counties and cities to designate critical areas and adopt regulations to protect them; and

WHEREAS, the County designated critical areas and adopted protective regulations on March 7, 1995 [Ordinance No. 94-108] with amendments adopted on April 30, 1996 [Amended Ordinance No. 96-011]; and

WHEREAS, RCW 36.70A.130(1) directs counties planning under the Growth Management Act (GMA) to take periodic legislative action to review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive plan and development regulations, including critical area regulations, to ensure that the plan and regulations are consistent with the GMA; and

WHEREAS, in 1995, the GMA was amended to require that cities and counties include the best available science (BAS) in developing policies and development regulations to protect the functions and values of critical areas and to give special consideration to conservation or protection measures necessary to preserve or enhance anadromous fisheries [RCW 36.70A.172]; and

WHEREAS, in light of this amendment to the GMA related to the inclusion of BAS and the requirement in RCW 36.70A.130 to periodically review development regulations, the County conducted a review of its existing critical areas regulations together with a review of the BAS; and

WHEREAS, the County prepared a document entitled *Draft Summary of Best Available Science for Critical Areas, March 2006*, and updated the critical area regulations in 2006 in accordance with recommendations from the BAS [Amended Ordinance No 06-061]; and

WHEREAS, the County has regularly reviewed the critical area regulations as new BAS became available and adopted updates to the regulations in 2010, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019, and 2022 [Amended Ordinance No. 10-026; Amended Ordinance No. 10-086; Amended Ordinance No. 13-042; Amended Ordinance No. 15-034; Amended Ordinance No. 15-042; Amended Ordinance No. 15-103; Amended Ordinance No. 17-039; Amended Ordinance No. 19-020; Amended Ordinance No. 19-022; and Amended Ordinance No. 22-062]; and

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

WHEREAS, as an initial step to prepare for the required 2024 review and update of the critical area regulations, the County invited the public, state agencies, tribes, stakeholder groups, and internal County departments to contribute BAS documents for the county to review; and

WHEREAS, the County compiled the collection of BAS and prepared an annotated bibliography to supplement the *Draft Summary of Best Available Science for Critical Areas*; and

WHEREAS, as a result of the internal county review of existing regulations, review of state requirements and guidance, and review of the BAS collected by the county, several potential updates to the existing critical areas regulations where identified; and

WHEREAS, the County drafted an updated version of the critical area regulations and released the drafts for a public comment period from January 16<sup>th</sup> through February 7<sup>th</sup>, 2024, prior to submittal to the Snohomish County Planning Commission (Planning Commission); and

WHEREAS, many of the public comments received during the pre-Planning Commission review period were incorporated into the updated draft critical area regulations and included in the draft code amendments formally sent to the Planning Commission for their review; and

WHEREAS, the County prepared and issued a Determination of Non-significance (DNS) under the State Environmental Policy Act, RCW 43.21C on May 1, 2024; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission held a briefing on the critical area regulations on April 23, 2024; a public hearing on the updated critical area regulations on May 28, 2024; and began deliberations on May 28, 2024, concluding their deliberations on June 25, 2024, after reviewing the entire public record; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission forwarded their letter to the Snohomish County Council (County Council) on June 27, 2024, recommending adoption of the proposed code amendments as presented to them by staff, but including one additional amendment; and

WHEREAS, on May 14, 2025, the County Council held a public hearing after proper notice, and considered public comment and the entire record related to the code amendments contained in this ordinance; and

WHEREAS, following the public hearing, the County Council held deliberations on Ordinance No. 24-097 considering the entire record on the critical areas regulations as amended during the public hearing process on May 14, 2025;

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT ORDAINED:

- Section 1. The County Council makes the following findings:
- A. The County Council adopts and incorporates the foregoing recitals as findings as if set forth fully herein.
- B. This ordinance will amend Title 30 SCC to revise the critical area regulations in chapters 30.62A, 30.62B, and 30.62C SCC, as well as related amendments in chapters 30.43C, 30.86,

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

42

and 30.91 SCC. The amendments are intended to ensure compliance with the Growth Management Act (GMA), state and federal requirements and guidance, and best available science (BAS). The amendments also make housekeeping amendments and increase consistency within and across chapters of Title 30 SCC.

- C. In developing the code amendments, the County considered the goals and requirements of the GMA as follows:
  - 1. The County conducted the periodic review of the regulations required in RCW 36.70A.130 including review for updates to the state law, guidelines, and court decisions; checklists prepared by state agencies to facilitate local critical area review; and recently published BAS found through staff research, or submitted to the County by key parties, by state agencies, or by other interested individuals.
  - 2. The amendments are consistent with the following goals of the GMA in RCW 36.70A.020:
    - a. (6) Property rights. Private property shall not be taken for public use without just compensation having been made. The property rights of landowners shall be protected from arbitrary and discriminatory actions.
      - The critical area regulations are consistent with Goal 6 because the regulations do not unduly restrict the use of property; the requirements are well supported by a preponderance of recommendations from valid science without applying extreme measures, or outliers, that would result in excessive loss of use by property owners. For smaller sized properties where most or all of the property may be encumbered by critical area protection measures, the critical area code offers a reasonable use provision.
    - b. (7) Permits. Applications for both state and local government permits should be processed in a timely and fair manner to ensure predictability.
      - Amendments to the critical area regulations increase the clarity of the code requirements and improves consistency in interpretation and permit review by providing more detail and specifics to guide both reviewers and applicants.
    - c. (8) Natural resource industries. Maintain and enhance natural resource-based industries, including productive timber, agricultural, and fisheries industries. Encourage the conservation of productive forestlands and productive agricultural lands and discourage incompatible uses.
      - The critical area regulations are consistent with Goal 8 because regulations place a substantive focus on the protection of fish habitat and the natural ecological processes that support and create fish habitat. The regulations also support agriculture by offering an alternative path for compliance that is designed around best management practices compatible with farming.

45

46

- d. (9) Open space and recreation. Retain open space and green space, enhance recreational opportunities, enhance fish and wildlife habitat, increase access to natural resource lands and water, and develop parks and recreation facilities. The critical area regulations are consistent with Goal 9 because measures used to
  - protect critical areas involve the protection of vegetated buffers along streams, lake and marine shorelines, and around wetlands. The regulations and amendments support connections between buffers and include requirements to protect habitat for critical species. The code provisions allow for trails and access to critical areas subject to standards that maintain ecologically protective buffer functions and protect public health and welfare.
- e. (10) Environment. Protect and enhance the environment and enhance the state's high quality of life, including air and water quality, and the availability of water.
  - The critical area regulations are consistent with Goal 10 because the protection of native vegetation in buffers supports ecological functions contributing to the protection of air and water quality, and provides green space important to human health. In addition, use limitations within areas important for groundwater recharge reduce the potential for groundwater contamination, preserves hydrologic connections, and protects groundwater sources relied upon for public consumption.
- f. (11) Citizen participation and coordination. Encourage the involvement of citizens in the planning process, including the participation of vulnerable populations and overburdened communities, and ensure coordination between communities and jurisdictions to reconcile conflicts.
  - The critical area regulations are consistent with Goal 11 because the County solicited public involvement in the collection of BAS, offered early and continuous opportunity to comment on draft code language, invited public participation in the process under the State Environmental Policy Act [chapter 43.21C RCW], and provided opportunity for the public to attend and contribute testimony to the Snohomish County Planning Commission and the County Council public hearing processes.
- g. (14) Climate change and resiliency. Ensure that comprehensive plans, development regulations, and regional policies, plans, and strategies under RCW 36.70A.210 and chapter 47.80 RCW adapt to and mitigate the effects of a changing climate; support reductions in greenhouse gas emissions and per capita vehicle miles traveled; prepare for climate impact scenarios; foster resiliency to climate impacts and natural hazards; protect and enhance environmental, economic, and human health and safety; and advance environmental justice.
  - The critical area regulations are consistent with Goal 14 to the extent that they protect native vegetation helping to mitigate impacts of climate change and provide some protective measures for public health and safety from natural hazards that may be exacerbated by climate change.

h. (15) Shorelines of the state. For shorelines of the state, the goals and policies of the shoreline management act as set forth in RCW 90.58.020 shall be considered an element of the County's or city's comprehensive plan.

The critical area regulations are consistent with Goal 15 since they contain protective measures specifically addressing areas defined as shorelines of the state in chapter 90.58 RCW, including Type S streams and lakes, and marine waters, 100-year floodplains, and wetlands associated with shoreline water bodies. The Shoreline Management Act guidelines [WAC 173-26-221(2)] describe shoreline ecological functions as directly comparable to the functions and values attributed to critical areas and buffers described in the County's BAS: hydrologic functions, habitat functions, and water quality functions.

- 3. The updates to the critical area regulations are consistent with RCW 36.70A.172 which requires that recommendations from BAS be used to support designation and protection of critical areas and that special consideration must be given to anadromous fisheries.
  - a. In 2006, the County adopted the *Draft Summary of Best Available Science* (2006 BAS) that was prepared in advance of updating the existing critical area regulations. To support this current update, the County reviewed 177 additional research reports, guidance documents, fact sheets, and articles published since 2006, and prepared an appendix to the 2006 BAS summary consisting of an annotated bibliography describing the focus and recommendations from each source.
  - b. Special consideration is focused on anadromous fisheries by providing stringent protective regulatory measures to waters containing anadromous fish species and through adding more focus on recent science addressing elements of the marine nearshore environment, and kelp and eel grass beds important to early life cycle stages of juvenile salmonids.
  - c. The amendments address special consideration for anadromous fisheries by seeking BAS from individuals and agencies with fisheries expertise recommending higher levels of protection, specifically wider riparian buffers, on waters that contain fish.
  - d. The Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 365-190-130(4)(b) identifies specific sources of BAS for habitats and species of local importance that are a part of fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas. The WAC provision notes that priority habitats and species (PHS) identified by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) and the Washington Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Natural Heritage Program (WNHP) lists of high-quality ecological communities and systems and rare plants must be consulted by the County when designating habitats and species of local importance. The amendments to the critical area regulations comply with this WAC provision as they include a new section within Chapter 30.62A SCC designating the habitats and species on the PHS and WNHP lists as critical species and habitats to be protected.

regulation amendments include the following:

a. MPP Env GOAL The region cares for the natural environment by protecting and restoring natural systems, conserving habitat, improving water quality, and reducing air pollutants. The health of all residents and the economy is connected to the health of the environment. Planning at all levels considers the impacts of land use, development, and transportation on the ecosystem.

b. MPP-En-5 Locate development in a manner that minimizes impacts to natural features. Promote the use of innovative environmentally sensitive development practices, including design, materials, construction, and on-going maintenance.

c. MPP-En-6 Use the best information available at all levels of planning, especially scientific information, when establishing and implementing environmental standards established by any level of government.

d. MPP-En-11 Designate, protect, and enhance significant open spaces, natural resources, and critical areas through mechanisms, such as the review and comment of countywide planning policies and local plans and provisions.

e. MPP-En-13 Preserve and restore native vegetation and tree canopy, especially where it protects habitat and contributes to overall ecological function.

f. MPP-En-14 Identify and protect wildlife corridors both inside and outside the urban growth area.

g. MPP-En-16 Preserve and enhance habitat to support healthy wildlife and accelerate the recovery of salmon, orca, and other threatened and endangered species and species of local importance.

h. MPP-En-17 Maintain and restore natural hydrological functions and water quality within the region's ecosystems and watersheds to recover the health of Puget Sound.

 2. The countywide planning policies (CPPs) are prepared in a cooperative effort between the County and the cities within the County through SCT. CPPs implemented by the amendments to the critical area regulations include the following:

a. Env-1 All jurisdictions shall protect and enhance natural ecosystems through their comprehensive plans, development regulations, capital facilities programs, and management practices. Jurisdictions should work collaboratively, employing

**AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097** 

integrated and interdisciplinary approaches, to consider regional and countywide strategies and assessments, as well as best available qualitative and quantitative information, in formulating plans and regulations that are specific to their community.

- b. Env-4 The county and cities should identify and protect, enhance, or restore wildlife corridors and important habitat areas that support designated species of local or state significance, such as orca and salmon, and those areas that are critical for survival of endangered or threatened species.
- c. Env-8 The county and cities shall work to maintain and improve air and water quality and ensure that all residents have equitable access to clean air and water.
- 3. The updates to the critical area regulations are consistent with, and implement the following goals, objectives, and policies from the Natural Environment element of the County's GMA comprehensive plan:
  - a. Objective NE 1.A Balance the protection of the natural environment with economic growth, housing needs and the protection of property rights.
  - b. NE Policy 1.A.1 Regulatory programs developed for the protection of the natural environment shall provide certainty, clarity, flexibility, efficiency, public outreach and education so that people understand the requirements, permits are processed quickly, and alternative approaches that provide equal or greater protection to the environment may be considered.
  - c. NE Policy 1.B.1 The county shall consider comprehensive land use plan designations and development regulations that take into account:
    - Subsection (a) environmental sensitivity and ecological functions and values;
    - Subsection (b) limitations of ground and surface water quantities; and
    - Subsection (c) potential impacts on surface and ground water quality.
  - d. Objective NE 1.C Protect and enhance natural watershed processes, wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, shorelines, and water resources with the long-term objective of protecting ecological function and values.
  - e. NE Policy 1.C.1 The county shall continue to protect water resources and natural watershed processes by maintaining the quality, rates and supplies of water, sediment, and woody debris through the use of a variety of strategies, such as:
    - Subsection (a) maintaining the natural hydrologic cycle and minimizing alterations of natural drainage patterns;
    - Subsection (b) encouraging alternative impervious surface techniques;

- i. Objective NE 3.A Develop regulatory policies that apply to elements of the natural environment.
- j. NE Policy 3.A.1 The county shall designate and protect critical areas including fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, wetlands, critical aquifer recharge areas, frequently flooded areas and geologically hazardous areas and include best available science in the development of programs, policies and regulations relating to critical areas.
- k. NE Policy 3.A.2 The county shall establish development regulations that offer flexibility in site design to accommodate innovative solutions for critical area protection where site constraints or critical area characteristics warrant use of a creative approach. Flexibilities may be considered on a site-by-site basis. Examples of innovative options include but are not limited to buffer width averaging, on- or off-site enhancement or restoration projects, use of best management practices, or a combination of creative solutions.
- I. NE Policy 3.A.3 The county shall evaluate immediate and cumulative effects on the natural environment, critical areas, shorelines and buildable land inventory when formulating development regulations, including but not limited to, stormwater management, clearing, and grading.
- m. NE Policy 3.A.4 The county shall evaluate the level of risk of damage or injury to people, property and the environment when formulating development regulations.
- n. NE Policy 3.A.5 The county shall design development regulations to avoid or minimize impacts to the ecological functions and values of critical areas.
- o. NE Policy 3.A.6 The county should generally require that mitigation for impacts to the natural environment be located in the following sequential order of preference: on-site, in the same sub-basin, in the same watershed, or in another appropriate ecosystem.
- p. NE Policy 3.A.7 The county shall consider a variety of strategies for the permanent protection of critical areas.
- q. NE Policy 3.A.8 The county shall consider the recommendations contained in watershed management plans and salmon recovery plans in drafting development regulations.
- r. Objective NE 3.B Designate and protect fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas and wetlands pursuant to the Growth Management Act.
- s. NE Policy 3.B.1 Vegetated areas in and adjacent to wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas shall be established to protect their ecological functions and values and include special consideration for the protection of water-dependent and riparian-dependent fish and wildlife.

- t. NE Policy 3.B.2 The county should maintain a fish and wildlife corridor map for critical habitat.
- u. NE Policy 3.B.3 The county shall adopt special provisions for the protection of unique wetlands such as bogs, fens, estuarine wetlands, coastal lagoon wetlands, wetlands with old growth forests, and wetlands with unique or rare wildlife or plant communities.
- v. NE Policy 3.B.4 The county shall adopt vegetation retention standards to protect fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas and limit the use of invasive and non-native plant species that may adversely impact such habitat.
- w. NE Policy 3.B.5 The county shall protect state and federal officially designated threatened and endangered species and their habitat conservation areas, as prescribed by state and federal law.
- x. NE Policy 3.B.6 The county should develop a legislative approval process for the purpose of nominating and protecting species and habitats of local importance.
- y. NE Policy 3.B.7 The county shall protect critical saltwater habitats such as eelgrass and kelp beds, shellfish areas, forage fish spawning areas and coastal lagoons.
- z. NE Policy 3.B.8 The county shall include special consideration to conserve, protect and enhance anadromous fish and their habitat in policies and regulations.
- aa. NE Policy 3.B.9 The county shall maintain a water typing system and wetland classification system consistent with state guidelines.
- bb. NE Policy 3.B.10 The county shall require that alterations to wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas be avoided or minimized to protect ecological functions and values consistent with the GMA's requirement of ensuring no net loss of the functions and values of critical areas.
- cc. Objective NE 3.C Designate and protect critical aquifer recharge areas pursuant to the Growth Management Act.
- dd. NE Policy 3.C.1 The county shall establish a groundwater management program to protect groundwater quality, assure groundwater quantity, and provide efficient management of water resources for meeting future needs while protecting existing water rights.
- ee. NE Policy 3.C.2 The county shall establish development regulations that include a variety of strategies for protecting groundwater, including but not limited to:
  - Subsection (a) requiring new development to connect to existing public water systems where available in coordination with water provider service delivery policies; and

#### **AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097**

Subsection (b) limiting overall reliance on new groundwater wells in rural and resource areas where connection to existing public water systems is available, particularly within sole source aquifers, public water providers' wellhead protection zones, and areas providing recharge to streamflow consistent with state instream flow rules.

- ff. NE Policy 3.C.3 The county shall adopt and maintain standards addressing groundwater protection based on the best available information for aquifer location, sensitivity to and potential for contamination, and designation as a critical aquifer recharge area.
- gg. Objective NE 3.D Designate and protect frequently flooded areas pursuant to the Growth Management Act.
- hh. NE Policy 3.D.1 To protect public health, safety and welfare, the county shall preserve natural floodplain and watershed processes to:
  - Subsection (a) Maintain natural flood storage capacity;
  - Subsection (b) Preserve natural drainage and conveyance systems;
  - Subsection (c) Avoid increases in flood elevations; and
  - Subsection (d) Prevent downstream flooding.
- ii. NE Policy 3.D.2 The county shall allow only those developments and land uses in floodplains that are compatible with floodplain processes.
- jj. NE Policy 3.D.3 The county should meet the requirements of the National Flood Insurance Program.
- kk. NE Policy 3.D.4 The county should participate in the National Flood Insurance Program Community Rating System (CRS).
- II. NE Policy 3.D.5 The county shall incorporate the best available science and analysis of flood hazards into its regulations and mapping as they become available, including accounting for increases in future flood flows, sea level rise and tsunami risk.
- mm. NE Policy 3.D.6 Within flood regulations, the County should allow for volume of on-site or in-floodplain excavation to offset volume or fill. Where ESA-listed fish may be present during flood events, excavation designed to provide compensatory storage of flood water must not result in fish entrapment.
- nn. Objective NE 3.E Designate and protect geologic hazard areas pursuant to the Growth Management Act.

- oo. NE Policy 3.E.1 The county should avoid development in landslide hazard areas and minimize development in erosion hazard areas commensurate with the level of risk.
- pp. NE Policy 3.E.2 The county shall develop regulations that are consistent with geologic constraints and the All Hazards Vulnerability Assessment and the Snohomish County Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan.
- qq. NE Policy 3.E.3 The county shall require that development proposals include where appropriate a geotechnical assessment of the site's susceptibility to known geologic hazards.
- rr. NE Policy 3.E.4 The county shall require that development standards incorporate practices and techniques to reduce potential damage from seismic, tsunami, mine, erosion, landside and volcanic hazards.
- ss. NE Policy 3.E.5 The county shall limit development in channel migration zones to protect to public health, safety and property.
- E. The processes used to prepare the proposed amendments to the critical area regulations are consistent with the following procedural requirements:
  - 1. Under Snohomish County Code, this ordinance meets requirements as a Type 3 legislative action under SCC 30.73.010.
  - 2. As required by RCW 36.70A.106(1), a notice of intent to adopt the proposed code amendments was transmitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce for distribution to state agencies on April 11, 2024.
  - 3. State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21 RCW, requirements with respect to this non-project action have been satisfied through the completion of an environmental checklist and the issuance of a determination of non-significance on May 1, 2024.
    - a. A DNS is adequate in this case because the code amendments, which are supported by recommendations from BAS, are expected to provide environmental protection and avoid, minimize, or mitigate for potential impacts from new development activities.
    - b. The DNS was published in the newspaper of broad local circulation, *The Everett Herald*, on May 1, 2024, along with notice of a 14-day public comment period.
    - c. The DNS was sent via email to the County's SEPA distribution list which includes, interested parties, community groups, and state agencies on May 1, 2024, including notice of the 14-day comment period.
    - d. The DNS was posted on the County's website page dedicated to the critical area regulations update along with a link to provide comments within the 14-day comment period.

## AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- 4. The public process associated with the proposed updates to the critical area regulations is consistent with public notice and participation requirements in RCW 36.70A.035 as follows:
  - a. Notice was provided to interested parties via announcements and presentations at meetings with committees and community groups, emails to County contact lists, and posting on the County website alerting the public about opportunities to: (1) submit best available science documents for review and inclusion into the project record; (2) review and comment on draft code versions prior to beginning the Planning Commission's public hearing process; and (3) attend presentations summarizing the proposed amendments to the critical area regulations.
  - b. Notice was provided to interested parties via email, County website, official news release, and through publication in the local newspaper alerting the public about: (1) release of SEPA documentation and opportunity for public comment; and (2) notice of public hearings before the Planning Commission and the County Council.
- 5. In accordance with SCC.30.73.040, the proposed amendments were sent to the Snohomish County Planning Commission for review and recommendation to approve, amend, or disapprove the proposal.
  - a. After proper public notice per SCC 30.73.050, the Planning Commission held a briefing on the proposed amendments to the critical area regulations on April 23, 2024; a public hearing on May 28, 2024; and began deliberations on May 28, 2024, concluding their deliberations on June 25, 2024, after reviewing the entire public record.
  - b. During deliberations the Planning Commission discussed two main issues:
    - i. The importance of alerting the County Council to the Planning Commission's concerns about the ability to achieve the necessary balance between protecting the County's natural environment and the creation of housing for the region's growing population with respect to potential land area dedicated to buffers for critical area protection rather than to housing development; and
    - ii. A proposed code amendment to include all future updates to the list of Priority Habitat and Species (PHS) created by the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW) when identifying species and habitats of local importance, instead of relying only on the PHS list most recently updated in 2023.
  - c. After deliberations and review of the entire record, the Planning Commission voted to recommend to the County Council approval of the proposed critical area code update with one additional amendment incorporating reliance on all future updates to the PHS list made by WDFW.
- 6. After review of the Planning Commission's recommendation including the amendment to the critical area code update, the Snohomish County Executive prepared an alternative to the Planning Commission's PHS amendment:

# AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

14

15

20 21

22

23 24 25

26

27 28

29

30 31 32

> 33 34

> 35 36

37

38

43

44

45

46

47

- a. The Executive's alternative amendment relies on the PHS Program list as of 2023 as originally proposed, and then clarifies that the Washington Department of Natural Resources (DNR) Natural Heritage Program (WNHP) identifies rare and high-quality ecosystems and rare plants as of 2024. The alternative amendment also includes a new provision for annual review of the lists from the PHS Program and the WNHP to watch for and incorporate updates.
- b. The Executive's alternative amendment is included in the proposed code amendments at SCC 30.62A.465 and forwarded to the County Council in place of the Planning Commission's version.
- 7. The Executive has also recommended amendments to the Planning Commission's recommended version of chapter 30.62C SCC to address public comments by Group A Public Water Systems related to protection of wellhead zones associated with public water supply sources. The Executive recommends the following revisions:
  - a. In SCC 30.62C.140, based on the inadvisability of mitigation for potential impacts to the public water supply, avoidance of impacts should be the required standard within Group A wellhead protection zones to protect public health.
  - b. In SCC 30.62C.150, 30.62C.340, and 30.62C.345, language has been added to advise that comments and conditions recommended by Group A water systems related to protection of their Group A wellhead protection zones may be included as conditions on certain permits or approvals issued by the county.
  - c. SCC 30.62C.340 has been shortened by removing requirements for UIC wells and creating a new SCC 30.62C.345 addressing UIC wells that require special conditions. This is largely an organizational change with minor wording changes; the content remains consistent with the Planning Commission recommendation, except as noted in (E)(7)(b) above.
- 8. This ordinance also includes housekeeping amendments recommended by the Executive throughout the CAR that were not presented to the Planning Commission. Within Part 400 of chapter 30.62A SCC, for instance, there are three new sections with proposed amendments to ensure consistent language across the CAR. These include new amendments in SCC 30.62A.420, SCC 30.62A.430, and SCC 30.62A.450 to update how the code refers to critical species and habitats to be consistent with SCC 30.62A.410. Additionally, amendments are made to SCC 30.62A.010, SCC 30.62A.410 and SCC 30.91C.370 to include all state listed sensitive species in the code instead of calling them out by name and to add habitats of local importance to the list of fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas protected under chapter 30.62A SCC.
- 9. The Washington State Attorney General last issued an advisory memorandum, as required by RCW 36.70A.370, in October of 2024 entitled Advisory Memorandum and Recommended Process for Evaluating Proposed Regulatory and Administrative Actions to Avoid the Unconstitutional Takings of Private Property to help local governments avoid the unconstitutional taking of private property. The process outlined in the State Attorney

5 6

11

18

25

31 32 33

34

35 36 37

38 39

40

45 46

47

General's 2024 advisory memorandum was used by the County in objectively evaluating the regulatory changes proposed by this ordinance.

- F. The proposed code amendments to the critical area regulations are consistent with the record as follows:
  - The code amendments are consistent with the record as set forth in the PDS communications to the Snohomish County Planning Commission dated April 9, 2024, May 3, 2024, June 11, 2024, and June 28, 2024.
  - 2. The code amendments are consistent with the recommendations from the BAS to first avoid disruptions to critical areas and buffers, but where avoidance is not possible, potential impacts must be minimized and mitigated such that there is no net loss of ecological functions. The BAS provides a range of recommendations for measures that will prevent, minimize, and mitigate potential impacts. These recommendations vary depending on the type of critical area, a variety of existing conditions that may be present, the existing ecological functions and values, as well as on the types of impacts that may result from new development of varying types and intensities. Also considered is whether the recommended measures will provide protection for critical area functions and values, or whether the protective measures are designed to protect public health and safety. A BAS report was created in 2006 called Revised Draft Summary of Best Available Science for Critical Areas, and the 2024 CAR update produced an annotated bibliography to supplement the 2006 report. The BAS reviewed for the 2024 update covers a variety of topics, including but not limited to, marine and nearshore habitats, wetland guidance and mitigation, salmon and wildlife habitat, riparian protection, shoreline modifications, emerging knowledge of contaminants harmful to fish and water quality, groundwater, and guidance for UIC wells, and clean water guidance for agriculture.
  - 3. The code amendments updating the critical area regulations are described below. The purpose and reasoning upon which the amendments are based is also provided. Generally, the amendments are based on recommendations from BAS, implementation and procedural improvements, improved clarity for permit applicants and staff, or housekeeping corrections.
    - a. Chapter 30.43C SCC Flood Hazard Permits is amended:
      - i. Additional submittal requirements SCC 30.43C.030:

The amendment provides a cross reference to the habitat assessment and management plan submittal requirements within SCC 30.62A.460 that also apply to projects proposed within the special flood hazard area. BAS indicates that the special flood hazard area is a primary association area for critical fish species during flood events, thus triggering the need for habitat assessment and management plans when applying for flood hazard permits. This amendment codifies current practice as required under the National Flood Insurance Program.

b. Chapter 30.62A SCC - Wetlands and Fish and Wildlife Habitat Conservation Areas is amended:

# i. Purpose and applicability – SCC 30.62A.010:

Housekeeping amendment to add "habitats of local importance" within the fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas of (1)(b) to be consistent with the amendments within Part 400 and the amended definition of critical areas within SCC 30.91C.340.

## ii. Submittal requirements – SCC 30.62A.130:

SCC 30.62A.130(1)(f): Amendments to how far outside of the site applicants need to display wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat areas on the site plan. This amendment requires critical areas on the site and within 300 feet of the project site to be shown on the site plan, this is the same as the existing code. The amendment removes the "or the width of the widest potential buffer from the site boundaries" from the provision as this is already included in the 300 feet and as written often confuses staff and applicants. The amendment is proposed by staff to streamline permit application requirements. The amendment will not remove the need to display onsite buffers on the critical area study map.

SCC 30.62A.130(1)(i): Addition based on guidance in the 2022 Department of Ecology "Wetland Guidance for Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) Updates" publication #22-06-014 to include proposed stormwater facilities and their estimated area of intrusion into buffers on the site plan at submittal.

SCC 30.62A.130(2)(e) and (f): Adding a requirement to submit wetland field delineation worksheets and wetland categorization worksheets at submittal, where applicable. If a development proposal will not impact wetlands, and a critical area study is not required, the applicant must still display wetlands on the site plan. In order for staff to confirm the accurate location of these potential wetlands and buffers on the site, these two worksheets are required. The addition is to clarify this requirement for applicants and will likely result in a more efficient review of permits as staff will not have to ask for this documentation after the first review.

#### iii. Critical area study content requirements – SCC 30.62A.140:

Housekeeping amendment to change "director" to "department" throughout the section, as well as inserting language requiring that the critical area study must be prepared by a qualified professional. This is based on 2022 Ecology wetland guidance, publication #22-06-014. The CAR amendments also provide a new definition of qualified professional to ensure this is implemented consistently. Further housekeeping amendments within (2) and (3) update the referenced documentation to reflect the most recently published, and new language in (15) clarifies that submittal checklists can be the source of necessary information for applications.

SCC 30.62A.140(7) and (8): Adding requirement to show the buffer locations and any functionally and effectively disconnected areas from buffers within the critical area

 study. Amendments are based on 2022 Ecology wetland guidance, publication #22-06-014, and connecting this code section to amendments later in the chapter.

*SCC* 30.62A.140(13): To be consistent with later amendments within SCC 30.62A.460, adding that a habitat management plan is required for any activity within a habitat of local importance, special flood hazard area, or a Priority Habitat Species (PHS) area mapped by WDFW.

# iv. Mitigation plan requirements - SCC 30.62A.150:

Amendment to ensure that mitigation plans are prepared by qualified professionals, similar to the amendments for the preparation of critical area studies. This amendment is based on guidance received from the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW).

SCC 30.62A.150(1): A 2008 Federal Mitigation Rule (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Department of Defense; and Environmental Protection Agency, "Compensatory Mitigation for Losses of Aquatic Resources; Final Rule, April 10, 2008) requires mitigation plans for wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas to incorporate 12 essential components. Ecology's 2022 Wetland guidance provides suggested language to ensure the 12 components are represented in code. The existing County Code includes much of the required components and amendments to (1) help ensure full compliance with the 2008 Rule. No net loss is clearly stated as the requirement within SCC 30.62A.310 (general standards and requirements), as well as within the monitoring program in SCC 30.62A.710, the existing code does not ask the applicant to specify how their actions and mitigation will result in no net loss and amendments to (1)(c) include this to clarify the requirement.

SCC 30.62A.150(2): A new (2) is added to reference two Wetland mitigation documents from Ecology that mitigation plans should reflect. The amendment stems from the 2022 Ecology guidance.

# v. Permanent identification, protection, and recording – SCC 30.62A.160:

Amendments detail when permanent fencing is required around critical areas, and how it must be constructed. Amendments also add consistent temporary and permanent marking requirements for critical areas. These amendments are consistent with Ecology 2022 guidance and reorganizes language already present in Chapter 30.62A SCC into one location.

# vi. Classification of streams, lakes, wetlands, and marine waters – SCC 30.62A.230:

Minor amendments to include supply and storage of water as functions of streams, and minor amendments to Table 1 to better align with WAC 222-160-030 definitions. Table 1 amendments are also based on July 2018 Ecology modifications to the Habitat Function Score for a moderate level of function for habitat, as well as the need to clarify

that there are other special characteristic Category I wetlands, and that high level habitat function is also included within Category III wetlands.

vii. Functions and values of wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and buffers – SCC 30.62A.220(1) and (7):

Minor amendment to include the supply and storage of water as a function of streams, as well as shade as a function of buffers. Amendments are based in science and stem originally from a public comment.

viii. Classifications of streams, lakes, wetlands, and marine waters – SCC 30.62A.230:

Housekeeping amendment to update the publications listed in (2) to be the most up to date and minor amendments to Table 1 to better align with WAC 222-160-030. Addition of mature forest and old growth forest wetlands to Category I wetlands to clarify that there are other special characteristic Category I wetlands as displayed in the wetland worksheets. Further, coastal lagoons greater than or equal to 1/10 acre is one of several criteria within the Wetland Rating System for Western WA: 2014 Update, Rating Form - Version 2, July 2023, that determine a Category I or Category II wetland. By removing this criterion from the code for Category I wetlands, and adding in coastal lagoons for Category II wetlands, the applicant and staff are better able to rely on the form. Amendments were also made to increase the habitat function score for Category II wetlands to 6-7, and a low habitat function score to 5 or less per July 2018 Habitat Score Range amendments made by Ecology. Finally, a staff driven amendment to Category III habitat function to include high level habitat function. This amendment will reduce confusion for applicants and staff during permit submittals.

# ix. General standards and requirements – SCC 30.62A.310(3):

The 2008 Federal Mitigation Rule flips the preference for mitigation of critical area impacts from onsite to offsite. Snohomish County incorporates this preference switch for mitigation of impacts to wetlands and allows offsite mitigation in a different subdrainage basin subject to analysis of a qualified professional. The addition to (3)(b) and (3)(b)(i) is meant to alert the public and staff to this possibility and provide guidance on the need for a qualified professional.

Other amendments in (3) add general mitigation requirements for cases where mitigation is required for a project. Amendments include that mitigation timing shall be planned to reduce impacts to existing fisheries, wildlife, and flora, that plantings must be native and appropriate for the climate and ecoregion, and that monitoring is required for a minimum of five years. These amendments are aligned with the 2022 Ecology guidance and public input and work to codify existing County practice.

x. Standards and requirements for buffers and impervious surfaces – SCC 30.62A.320

30.62A.320(1)(a): Amending Table 2a to remove the 100-foot buffer for Type F waterbodies without anadromous or resident salmonids. The amendment ensures all Type F waterbodies have a 150-foot buffer. The amendment is based on public input, definitions in WAC 222-16-030, and the BAS within the WDFW's Riparian Ecosystems Volume 1, as well as the Management Recommendations within Volume 2. A new note is added to Table 2a to adjust buffer widths when streams or lakes are located within a Special Flood Hazard Area. The amendment is made to be consistent with the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Region 10 "Model Ordinance for Floodplain Management under the National Flood Insurance Program and the Endangered Species Act," January 2012. The amendment aims to help maintain streams and floodplains in their natural state to the maximum extent possible so they support healthy biological ecosystems, by: 1) assuring that flood loss reduction measures under the NFIP protect natural floodplain functions and riparian habitat, and the natural processes that create and maintain fish habitat, and 2) preventing or minimizing loss of hydraulic, geomorphic, and ecological functions of freshwater and estuarine floodplains and stream channels.

Minor adjustments to Table 2b to align with changes within Table 1, and to help with table readability. Further, with the addition of functionally disconnected buffers into the code, the county acknowledges the disturbance that roads as land uses can have on the landscape. Amendments to the Table 2b notes adds public roads within the UGA to the list of high intensity land uses, therefore public roads within the rural areas and private roads will be considered moderate land uses.

SCC 30.62A.320(1)(b): Amendment to how a buffer is measured within a channel migration zone. The amendment is consistent with WDFW's Riparian Ecosystem Volume 1 and the guidance in Volume 2. Page 271 of Volume 1 notes, "The riparian ecosystem begins at the edge of the active channel or active floodplain, whichever is wider. As the active channel moves back and forth across the channel migration zone (CMZ), the riparian ecosystem moves with it. Consequently, there are times when the riparian ecosystem lies adjacent to and immediately outside the CMZ. Hence, to maintain riparian ecosystem functions, management must anticipate and protect future locations of the riparian ecosystems." (1)(b) is also amended to add a new (iv) that specifies that if there are two or more buffers that need to be applied in one location, the wider buffer will be applied. New (iv) is consistent with 2022 Ecology guidance and codifies existing County practice.

SCC 30.62A.320(1)(c): Addition of functionally and effectively disconnected buffer exclusions that are consistent with 2022 Ecology guidance, and work to codify existing County practice. When buffers are bisected by existing, legally established structures or roads, the buffer functions may be blocked, and increasing the buffer on the far side of the existing development would not add protective benefit.

SCC 30.62A.320(1)(d): Amendments include a clarification within SCC 30.62A.320(1)(d)(ii) to better describe when total new effective impervious surfaces shall be limited to 10 percent within 300 feet of waterbodies containing salmonids. The 10 percent limit is not required if the stormwater from the new effective impervious surface will not drain into the waterbody containing salmonids. The reason for the change is to ensure a more efficient and consistent review of permit applications.

SCC 30.62A.320(1)(e): If it can be determined that a tree fell down as a result of a development activity (for example, due to damage to the root structure) this is an impact to the buffer requiring mitigation. This amendment is consistent with WDFW quidance.

SCC 30.62A.320(1)(f): Amending two options for buffer width reductions in existing code that allow reduced buffers when a critical area is located in a separate tract or behind a fence within new development and for combining these two reduction options. See additional County Council findings J, J.1. J.2, J.3, and J.4.

SCC 30.62A.320(1)(g): Amending standards regarding buffering averaging and enhancement. See additional County Council findings J, J.1, J.5, and J.6.

SCC 30.62A.320(2): Adding further detail to the requirements for new utilities and transportation corridors allowed in buffers with mitigation within SCC 30.62A.320(2)(a) to ensure that entrance and exits must be outside of the buffer. The existing code provides general requirements to minimize impacts to the buffer, and the new criteria focuses particularly on underground utilities and transportation corridors and requires a study from a professional hydrologist to ensure that impacts are not created altering the percolation of surface water through the soil column or groundwater connection to the critical area. This better protects the values and functions of critical areas and is consistent with 2022 Ecology guidance.

SCC 30.62A.320(3): Amendments to clarify what areas can be included in the buffer mitigation area required by the ratios in Table 3 (Buffer Mitigation Ratios). Areas cannot include driveways, roads, paved areas for vehicles or foot traffic, easements for utility corridors, stormwater facilities, rights-of-way, and streams conveyed underground. These types of areas do not allow for full protection of the values and functions of buffers and therefore should not be given as credit to the project. This clarification is consistent with 2022 Ecology guidance.

SCC 30.62A.320(4): Relocate the optional mitigation measures for wetlands from SCC 30.62A.340 into SCC 30.62A.320(4) to improve the readability of the chapter and allow the public and staff to better locate all relevant code sections in one place. Additional mitigation measures are added to Table 4 consistent with 2022 Ecology guidance to be more helpful to applicants and staff, as well as more inclusive of a wide variety of minimization measures. Additional amendments aim to reduce the complexity of optional mitigation measure 2 for habitat corridors to potentially increase this measure's use in projects. The amendments are in line with 2022 Ecology guidance, in particular, to require that all corridors are a minimum of 100 feet in width.

## AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

 xi. Standards and requirements for activities conducted within streams, lakes, and marine waters – SCC 30.62A.330:

Minor housekeeping amendments along with an additional requirement for roads that cross any of the listed water bodies. Amendment aims to encourage road crossings designed to withstand higher flows expected as a result of climate change, and includes a new requirement that "Incorporating Climate Change into the Design of Water Crossing Structures: Final Project Report" (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, revised November 2017) be considered when designing road crossings. This amendment will help inform applicants of the existence of the report, without requiring adherence to the information therein.

xii. Standards and requirements for activities conducted in wetlands – SCC 30.62A.340:

Minor amendment to (1)(a) to align with previous amendments within Table 1. Adding new mitigation type requirements within SCC 30.62A.340(4) to indicate the shift in preferences from onsite mitigation for wetland impacts by the project proponent, to offsite mitigation through a third party mitigation bank or in-lieu fee program pursuant to the scientific understanding within the 2008 Federal Mitigation Rule previously referenced. This approach considers the watershed scale, where it could be more beneficial to protect, create, or enhance wetlands elsewhere in the watershed than at a specific site where development is proposed. Additional amendments are included to exclude certain areas from the mitigation ratios required in Table 5 such as driveways consistent with the amendments within SCC 30.62A.320(3).

Table 5 includes a new column for rehabilitation as a form of mitigation and an increase in mitigation ratios required for enhancement. Table 5 currently includes creation and enhancement, two forms of mitigation that have been in the code since 2007 following Ecology guidance at that time. Ecology's current definition of rehabilitation is similar to how the existing Snohomish County code treats enhancement, although, there are some important differences. Breaking out rehabilitation from enhancement in this table could provide more options to applicants for mitigation.

Amendments to increase the mitigation ratios for enhancement are based on scientific rationale primarily within a 2021 Ecology publication (#21-06-003) that states on page 84, "A 2002 study of mitigation in Washington State (Johnson et al., 2002) raised concerns about the value of enhancement. Only 11 percent of enhanced wetlands were even moderately successful, and none were fully successful. Furthermore, regulatory agency compliance inspections of compensatory wetland mitigation sites since 2006 indicate these concerns are still relevant:

 Most enhancement actions focus on improving vegetation structure and ignore improving environmental processes that support wetland systems and functions.

## AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

44

45

46 47

- There is a net loss of water quality and quantity functions, and only modest gains in habitat functions.
  - The use of enhancement as a primary means of compensatory mitigation contributes to a loss of wetland area and functions...
  - Enhancement could be more effective if it were geared to improve functions that are limited in a watershed or region...

Because enhancement occurs within existing wetlands that already provide functions to a certain degree, applicants proposing enhancement of freshwater wetlands will generally need to demonstrate a gain in wetland functions (i.e., functional lift) sufficient to compensate for wetland impacts by applying the Credit-Debit Method (Hruby, 2012a; Hruby, 2012b)."

Adding a new provision within (4)(e) based on Ecology 2022 guidance to provide applicants with the option to utilize a credit-debit method of mitigation as an alternative to the mitigation ratios within Table 5. This new provision provides applicants with more options for their projects and follows BAS for the protection of critical areas.

xiii. Innovative development design – SCC 30.62A.350:

Housekeeping amendments to clarify that any innovative design must be based on BAS, the proposal must demonstrate why the other standards cannot be met, and that outside of the specific deviation(s) addressed by innovative approach, all other standards must be met. This is an existing County practice and codifying it provides more backing for staff to require adherence to BAS.

Additional housekeeping amendments to align the section with updates to the 2024 Comprehensive Plan including, Transit Pedestrian Villages will no longer be a future land use designation on the future land use map with the 2024 Update to the Comprehensive Plan. The 2024 Update also introduces new designations where density is encouraged in the county's urban growth areas. With allowances for increased densities, including more affordable housing, there may be the need to utilize innovative design when there are critical areas on site.

xiv. Mitigation banking and in-lieu fee program – SCC 30.62A.360:

This section is relocated from the exemptions within Part 500. With the shift in preferring offsite mitigation rather than onsite mitigation for wetland impacts based on the 2008 Federal Mitigation Rule, offsite mitigation is no longer an exception to the standard requirements. There is also an update to the referenced guidance for the inlieu fee (ILF) program to be an Ecology, US Army Corps of Engineer Seattle District, and U.S Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 2021 publication (#21-06-003). Ecology no longer guides the state's ILF program. The Seattle District of the US Army Corps of Engineers is the agency that provides support on ILF programs with

authorization to approve an ILF program. The interagency publication provides general guidance and is a publication that will aid applicants in their ILF mitigation.

# xv. Advance mitigation – SCC 30.62A.370:

Amendments include a new section in line with Ecology's 2022 guidance, as well as the Advanced Permittee Responsible Mitigation guidance from Ecology dated 2012 (#12-06-015). Advanced mitigation is within a new section because while it is a general standard, the mitigation location is offsite, unlike the default discussion within SCC 30.62A.310. Advance mitigation fulfills several of the objectives that are cited in 33 CFR 332.3(a) as basis for concluding that mitigation banks and ILF programs are preferable forms of compensatory mitigation: reducing temporal losses of functions, and reducing uncertainty over mitigation project success. Although it is not a third party mitigation option like those two options, it is permittee responsible mitigation.

# xvi. *Purpose* – *SCC 30.62A.410:*

Amendments to clarify there are more habitats that could be protected than just what is listed in (4) as "state natural habitats." Adding habitats to species and habitats of local importance to better align to the existing definition within SCC 30.91S.535 and adding a reference to a new SCC 30.62A.465 that utilizes the WDFW Priority Habitat and Species (PHS) program and the Department of Natural Resource's (DNR's) Natural Heritage Program (WNHP) to designate species of local importance. Amendments to SCC 30.62A.410(3) to remove all individually listed Washington State sensitive species, and replace it with all WDFW listed sensitive species. This will allow the code section to be continually aligned with changes to listed state sensitive species and to remove the need to update this code section in the future.

# xvii. Applicability –SCC 30.62A.420:

Housekeeping amendments to ensure this section is consistent with other changes made throughout Part 400 referring to habitats of local importance and critical species and habitats.

xviii. Administrative rules authorized – SCC 30.62A.430:

Housekeeping amendments to ensure this section is consistent with other changes made throughout Part 400 referring to critical species and habitats instead of species and *their* habitats. State natural habitats are included within the definition of critical species and habitats within SCC 30.62A.410, and do not need to be specifically called out again.

# xix. Administrative rules – minimum protection requirements – SCC 30.62A.440:

Amendment details that the primary association area for fish includes the stream, lake, wetland, or marine water buffer. This is a clarification driven by staff input that will help resolve questions among the public and staff and allow for more efficient permit review.

## AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

# xx. General standards and requirements – SCC 30.62A.450:

Housekeeping amendments to ensure this section is consistent with other changes made throughout Part 400 referring to critical species and habitats and the amended title of habitat assessment and management plans.

## xxi. Habitat assessment and management plan contents – SCC 30.62A.460:

Additions to better align the existing habitat management plan section to special flood hazard area requirements from FEMA Region 10 (Model Ordinance for Floodplain Management under the NFIP and the ESA, FEMA - Region 10, January 2012). This amendment codifies existing requirements. Also adding in mapped PHS areas as a trigger for a habitat assessment. The WAC 365-190-130(4)(b) states that the county must consult WDFW in the designation and protection of habitats and species of local importance. The WDFW PHS program represents the BAS for the identification and protection of habitats and species of local importance, so it is also in line with BAS for applicants to conduct a habitat assessment when a PHS is mapped on their property to ensure the protection of all critical species and their primary association areas.

# xxii. Designation of species and habitats of local importance – SCC 30.62A.465:

A new section SCC 30.62A.465 is added to include WDFW's list of priority habitats and species (PHS) and DNR's Natural Heritage Program (WNHP) list of high quality ecological communities and systems and rare plants located in Snohomish County as species and habitats as species of local importance. The WAC 365-190-130(4)(b) states that the county must consult WDFW PHS Program list and DNR's WNHP list of high-quality ecological communities and systems and rare plants in the designation and protection of habitats and species of local importance. The WDFW PHS Program and DNR WNHP include BAS in the creation of their lists. The WDFW PHS list was published in 2008 and updated in 2023. The WNHP publishes a list of vascular plant species of conservation concern, and updates that list approximately every two years. The most recent rare plant list was published in July 2024 (Natural Heritage Report 2024-07). This new section also requires the County to create an administrative rule listing these species and habitats located in Snohomish County from the PHS and WNHP program lists. The county will review the PHS and WNHP program lists annually and the administrative rule will be updated as necessary for consistency with the program lists.

# xxiii. Nomination of species and habitats of local importance – SCC 30.62A.470:

Adding the DNR WNHP and WDFW PHS Program as two circumstances that could be used to designate species of local importance. The new SCC 30.62A.465 designates species and habitats of local importance, this section now outlines how the public could nominate additional species and habitats of local importance.

Amendments also remove "native" throughout the section as the language is confusing and not defined.

xxiv. Minor development activity exceptions – SCC 30.62A.510:

SCC 30.62A.510(1): The requirement within WAC 365-196-830(4) has not substantively changed since the last CAR update, although a sentence was added in 2023 noting that, "Avoidance is the most effective way to protect critical areas." Amendments add clarifying language to subsection (1) that states that best management practices (BMPs) should include those that avoid impacts where possible, in addition to those that minimize and mitigate for any adverse impacts to ensure no net loss of critical area functions and values.

SCC 30.62A.510(3)(g): Moving requirements for minor development activities in certain wetlands to new subsections SCC 30.62A.510(4) and (5) and amending requirements for development activities related to those wetlands for reasons provided in County Council findings J, J.1 and J.7. A new (3)(g) is added to exempt Forest Practices pursuant to chapter 76.09 RCW.

SCC 30.62A.510(3)(m): A new (3)(m) is added to exempt conservation and preservation projects. Conservation projects aim to enhance critical areas and do not need to provide additional mitigation. This amendment is consistent with the 2024 Comprehensive Plan that amended policies to encourage and support conservation projects.

xxv. Single family residential development exceptions in buffers – SCC 30.62A.520:

Housekeeping amendments to clarify intent of the provision. Minor amendments to (4) to be consistent with amendments earlier in the chapter related to new effective impervious surfaces, as well as (12) to clarify that mitigation plans are required for development proposed under this exception.

xxvi. Reasonable use – SCC 30.62A.540:

Reasonable use does not mean the highest economic value of a property. Amendments provide parameters around the total impact area that can be permitted in a critical area consistent with SCC 30.62A.520 as well as policies within other nearby jurisdictions. This amendment will help ensure consistent implementation of this allowance and efficient permit review. Amendments within this section also clarify that mitigation plans are required for development projects applying for this exemption.

xxvii. General Agricultural Standards – SCC 30.62A.620:

Minor amendments to clarify that there can be no net loss of critical area ecological function or value and the addition of a new source of BMPs.

xxviii. Farm conservation plans and best management practices – SCC 30.62A.640:

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Minor amendment to remove a redundant sentence within (2)(b) as BMPs should always be maintained as long as the agricultural activity is ongoing. Addition within (5) to add that monitoring records provided by the farm operator shall be retained by the County to ensure Farm Plans are working as intended.

xxix. Monitoring and adaptive management program – SCC 30.62A.710:

The monitoring and adaptive management program was created after the 2007 update to the CAR, and amendments to this section update the tense and description of the program.

xxx. Monitoring and adaptive management program – contents – SCC 30.62A.720:

Minor amendments to better align the code with current County practice.

- b. Chapter 30.62B SCC Geologically Hazardous Areas is amended:
  - i. Intent SCC 30.62B.015:

Housekeeping amendment to remove an incorrect reference to wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas and replace it with geologically hazardous areas.

ii. Geotechnical report requirements – SCC 30.62B.140:

Minor amendment to ensure that licensed engineers or geologists preparing the geotechnical reports must be licensed in the State of Washington. The code already requires that the engineer be licensed, and this amendment adds that the license must be from Washington State. This is already practice, and the amendment codifies this practice. The amendment originally came from public input.

iii. Erosion hazard areas – Channel migration zones – SCC 30.62B.330:

Amendments within (1) to clarify that Table 1 is one way to identify channel migration zones (CMZs), and there are other ways to identify CMZs utilizing BAS. In the existing code, the subsequent study required when a development activity or action is proposed within a CMZ can only be performed using a DNR method developed for Forest Practices. Amendments add an additional Ecology methodology that County consultants identified as effective, and is currently in use by Surface Water Management. There are similarities between the DNR and Ecology methodologies, although Ecology's methodology provides multiple mapping methods that allow it to be more cost effective, its documentation provides greater detail, and Ecology's CMZ program is kept more up to date. The addition of the second allowed method provides applicants with another, usually more cost effective, option for CMZ studies.

c. Chapter 30.62C SCC - Critical Aquifer Recharge Areas is amended:

#### **AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097**

# i. Intent - SCC 30.62C.015:

Housekeeping amendment to correct an incorrect reference to wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas and refer instead to critical aquifer recharge areas.

# ii. Hydrogeologic report and mitigation plan – SCC 30.62C.140:

Amendment to add criteria for when a hydrogeologic report is required for a project. This will provide clarity to the public and staff. The proposed amendments to this section require preparation of a hydrogeologic report when specific types of uses are proposed within critical aquifer recharge areas with varying levels of vulnerability. A report is required in all critical aquifer recharge areas for those uses with the greatest potential for impacts to groundwater. When uses present less potential for impacts to groundwater, the hydrogeologic report is required only when located within aquifer recharge areas of higher vulnerability. This proposed amendment includes new requirements that uses otherwise prohibited in critical aquifer recharge areas with high or medium vulnerability must now prepare a hydrogeologic report if located in low vulnerability critical aquifer recharge areas; and uses that pose a potential risk to groundwater that are not otherwise listed in the chapter must also prepare a hydrogeologic report

# iii. Notice to Group A water systems - SCC 30.62C.150:

Revising the section to clarify when notice will be provided to Group A water systems when certain activities are proposed within the wellhead protection zones of wells used for public water supply. Related amendments are also proposed to SCC 30.62C.340 and new section SCC 30.62C.345 concerning certain uses subject to conditions necessary to protect critical aquifer recharge areas to allow the department to consider, and impose conditions based on, recommendations from affected Group A public water systems. These changes will help ensure consistent permit review and reduce potential for impaired water quality of public drinking water supplies.

# iv. Classification of critical aquifer recharge areas – SCC 30.62C.220:

Amendment to add Group A wellhead protection zones identified through watershed protection plans prepared by licensed engineers with hydrogeologic expertise. The detailed analysis contained in watershed protection plans may identify 10-year travel zones, additional buffer zones and zones of contribution which are all considered part of the critical aquifer recharge area.

# v. General requirements – SCC 30.62C.320:

Amendment to add a provision stating that avoidance of impacts is the standard that must be met within Group A wellhead protection areas. Mitigation is not an option due to risk to human health. Impairment of water quality or quantity within a Group A wellhead zone is unacceptable.

## AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

 vi. Uses prohibited within certain critical aquifer recharge areas – SCC 30.62C.330:

The amendment expands the prohibitions to include within CARA of medium sensitivity. The prohibition of landfills is expanded to address emerging issues such as e-wastes. The prohibition on Underground Injection Control (UIC) wells is clarified to address specific classes and types instead of a generic prohibition on all UICs.

UIC wells are categorized into Class I through Class V by the EPA. Class V UIC wells include certain types of stormwater management facilities considered as "low impact development" (LID). Use of LID is required as the preferred method for stormwater management under the county's Phase I Municipal Stormwater Permit issued by Ecology under authority of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and the Washington Water Pollution Control Act, chapter 90.48 RCW, and codified in Chapter 30.63A SCC. The Phase I Permit also requires that adoption of county policy and code must not create barriers to the use of LID. Current language in SCC 30.62C.330 prohibits the use of all UIC wells within CARA of high sensitivity without recognizing the specific requirements for LID under the CWA.

vii. Uses and development activities subject to special conditions – SCC 30.62C.340:

Amendment to revise the table listing specific uses that are subject to CARA requirements as well as subject to additional state or federal requirements. The table has been reorganized to group storage tank requirements together, add requirements for petroleum processing and recycling facilities, and separate out UIC wells for special treatment in a new section. The table also includes updated references to applicable WACs (junk yards and salvage yards; reclaimed water for groundwater recharge; pesticide, herbicide and fertilizer storage and use; and solid waste handling and recycling facilities) and guidance documents for sawmills. The section specifies the uses may be conditioned based on state and federal regulations and recommendations from hydrogeologic reports, and adds authority for the department to consider recommendations from Group A public water systems when uses are proposed within wellhead protection zones.

viii. UIC wells subject to special conditions - SCC 30.62C.345:

A new section is added to clarify requirements for UIC wells. A new table identifies UIC wells by class and the associated state or federal requirements that apply. These UIC wells may also be subject to hydrogeologic reports and recommendations from Group A public water system. Requirements for UIC wells used for stormwater management are categorized by: (1) those that do not meet the state endangerment standard, (2) those that meet the endangerment standards under WAC 173-218-080 or WAC 173-218-090, and (3) those that automatically meet the state endangerment standard under WAC 173-218-100.

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

The amendments contain provisions for stormwater-related UIC wells resolving the potential conflict between Chapters 30.62C and 30.63A SCC while still recognizing the potential for stormwater UIC wells to contaminate groundwater. A hydrogeologic report would be required for any stormwater UIC wells located within certain CARA with high or medium sensitivity (including Group A wellhead protection zones and sole source aquifers) that do not meet the non-endangerment standard in the states UIC well program described in WAC 173-218-080, -090, and -100. Stormwater UIC wells that meet the nonendangerment standard in WAC 173-218-080 or WAC 173-218-090 may still be conditioned based on recommendations from Group A public water systems if necessary to protect the critical aquifer recharge area.

# d. Chapter 30.86 SCC - Fees:

#### i. Special flood hazard areas permit fees – SCC 30.86.300:

Consistent with the amendment to codify the required habitat assessment and management plan for projects needing flood hazard permits in Chapter 30.43C SCC, the amendment is to add the associated fees for review. The fee for habitat assessment and management plan in the special flood hazard area is the same as within Table 30.86.525(5) for habitat assessment and management plans outside of the special flood hazard area when otherwise required under Chapter 30.62A SCC.

# e. Chapter 30.91 SCC - Definitions

# i. Appurtenance – SCC 30.91A.250:

Minor amendment to be consistent with the amendment within SCC 30.86.300 that lists "appurtenance" in the fee table.

# ii. Critical area - SCC 30.91C.340:

Housekeeping amendment to add "habitats of local importance" within the fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas of (3)(f) to be consistent with the amendments within Part 400 and the amendment within SCC 30.62A.010. An additional minor amendment to help the public and staff understand that the term "frequently flooded areas" as used in the GMA to define a critical area, includes "special flood hazard areas" used by the National Flood Insurance Program and chapter 30.65 SCC. The GMA Guidelines in WAC 365-190-110(1) directs that frequently flooded areas are a critical area and must include, at a minimum, the 100-year flood plain designations of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). FEMA and the NFIP refer to these floodplains as "special flood hazard areas." Snohomish County Code utilizes the term special flood hazard areas to align with FEMA definitions as per SCC 30.65.040 and SCC 30.91F.370.

# iii. Critical species – SCC 30.91C.370:

#### **AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097**

Critical species are defined in county code as including species listed by state and federal government as endangered, threatened, or sensitive, and species of local importance. Amendment to the critical species definition to ensure all state listed sensitive species are included within the definition. The amendment removes the individually listed state sensitive species, consistent with the amendment to SCC 30.62A.410, and will ensure the code will remain consistent with WDFW listings without the need to be updated in the future.

# iv. Qualified Professional – SCC 30.91Q.020:

New definition to provide clarity to the public and staff. The term "qualified professional" is utilized within existing code in Chapters 30.62B and 30.62C SCC and is within an amendment to Chapter 30.62A SCC. The new definition applies to Chapters 30.62A and 30.62B SCC because the term is sufficiently defined for chapter 30.62C SCC in SCC 30.62C.140.

# v. Special waste – SCC 30.91S.528:

New definition necessary to aid in the implementation of the amendments to Chapter 30.62C SCC that is consistent with the definition of special waste in WAC 173-030-040.

# vi. Underground injection control well (UIC well) – SCC 30.91U.065:

New definition necessary to aid in the implementation of the amendments to Chapter 30.62C SCC that is consistent with the definition of UIC well in the Washington Underground Injection Control Program, chapter 173-218 WAC.

#### vii. Wellhead protection area (WHPA) – SCC 30.91W.050:

New definition necessary to aid in the implementation of the amendments to Chapter 30.62C SCC. This definition is based on WAC 365-190-030 and on information in Wellhead Protection Areas: Protecting Drinking Water, Washington Department of Health (DOH) Publication No. 331-634.

- G. The critical area amendments have been evaluated for the potential to create barriers to the implementation of low impact development (LID) principles and measures for stormwater management. Since the updates support the basic LID principles of preserving native vegetation, limiting impervious surfaces, and protecting native soils and drainage channels, the county determined that the critical area regulations support and implement LID principles and thus, do not create a barrier to the use of LID techniques for stormwater management.
- H. The critical area amendments address utilities in terms of when utilities can or cannot be constructed through or within critical areas. Critical areas exist in rural and urban areas and the amendments will not have an impact on the demand for capital facilities and utilities. County and external service providers maintain long-range plans and financing strategies to

## AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

meet projected service demands that will not be impacted by the critical area regulation amendments.

I. The critical area regulation amendments may impact the buildable lands of Snohomish County potentially impacting housing and job creation in the County. The critical area regulation amendments do not increase buffer widths, although they do remove certain exemptions and flexible buffer provisions which will strengthen existing protections. The costs associated with the provision of housing and jobs may increase with the need for further environmental reviews.

Section 2. The County Council makes the following conclusions:

A. The amendments to the critical area regulations are consistent with the requirements in the Growth Management Act.

B. The amendments to the critical area regulations are based on recommendations from the best available science.

C. The amendments to the critical area regulations are consistent with the multicounty planning policies, the countywide planning policies, and the County's policies in the comprehensive plan adopted in compliance with the Growth Management Act.

D. The processes to develop and adopt the updates to the critical area regulations meet GMA requirements for public participation and public hearings.

E. The County has met the GMA requirements for public notice.

F. The SEPA process conducted for this ordinance satisfies the requirements of chapter 43.21C RCW, as implemented by chapter 197-11 WAC and chapter 30.61 SCC.

30 G. The amendments to the critical area regulations do not create a barrier to the use of low impact development principles and facilities for management of stormwater whenever such use is feasible.

H. The amendments do not result in an unconstitutional taking of private property for a public purpose.

J. The County Council makes the following additional findings to supplement the record as set forth in the PDS Staff Report dated April 9, 2024. These include: (1) general findings related to critical area regulations and requirements, (2) use of tracts, (3) protective fencing, (4) combining tract and fencing incentives, (5) buffer averaging, (6) buffer enhancement, and (7) exemptions for small wetlands.

1. General Findings.

a. The Snohomish County Department of Conservation & Natural Resources Surface Water Management Division and Department of Planning and Development Services jointly published the *January 2024 Critical Area Regulations Monitoring Report* (2024)

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

 Monitoring Report). This is the most recent of several monitoring reports by the executive branch that fulfill the requirements of the county's monitoring and adaptive management program (SCC 30.62A Part 700).

- i. The 2024 Monitoring Report studied land cover and other changes to critical areas between 2009 and 2021. The report evaluates and compares these changes with predetermined adaptive management thresholds representing levels at which critical area functions and values might be affected. (Page 4)
- ii. The 2024 Monitoring Report concluded that:

Overall, the County's [existing] CAR regulations are helping to preserve the functions and values associated with critical areas given significant growth and development. [...] Permit protections were found largely to be effective, meaning unpermitted actions, natural events, and other stressors are likely the major causes of critical area changes. (Page 12)

- b. The County Council finds that the 2024 Monitoring Report is an example of local information that meets the criteria for best available science consistent with WAC 365-195-905.
- c. The County Council finds agreement with the 2024 Monitoring Report's conclusion that existing regulations are largely effective.
- d. The County Council finds that although the executive branch's recommendations are compatible with available BAS, BAS does not mandate all the specific amendments put forward in this ordinance.
- e. Given the significant public interest involved, the County Council finds that the buffer reduction incentives and allowances for development impacts to small wetlands retained and revised in this ordinance, as amended, should continue to be evaluated as part of the county's critical areas monitoring and adaptive management program, SCC 30.62A Part 700.
- f. The County Council finds that as amended, the ordinance balances the need to maintain housing capacity with the need to protect the environment.
  - i. Snohomish County will continue to monitor impacts on critical areas through its monitoring and adaptive management program (SCC 30.62A Part 700).
  - ii. Snohomish County will continue to monitor impacts on housing capacity through its buildable lands program (RCW 36.70A.215).
- 2. *Tract Reductions*. All critical areas must be in a tract or easement pursuant to SCC 30.62A.160(3). This choice at the discretion of the applicant. Existing code provisions allow reduced buffers when a critical area is in a separate tract within a new

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Page **32** of **117** 

 development. As amended, this ordinance will revise provisions related to reductions for tracts for the following reasons.

- a. Recommendations supported by BAS indicate that jurisdictions achieve better long-term protections of critical areas and buffers by placing them in tracts rather than in easements.
  - i. Wetland Buffers A Field Evaluation of Buffer Effectiveness in Puget Sound by Sarah Spear Cooke (Cooke 1992). Cooke found that the effectiveness of buffers in protecting an adjacent wetland depends in part on the ownership of the buffer and adjacent wetland (page 64). Projects that incorporated the buffer in the lots as an easement on the lot rather than in a separate tract "always resulted in the loss of the natural vegetation to lawn over time" (pages 82-83). A successful buffer strategy includes ownership of the buffer by landowners that understand the purpose of the buffer (page 85).
  - ii. Wetlands in Washington State Vol. 2: Guidance for Protecting and Managing Wetlands, Publication #05-06-008, the Washington State Department of Ecology and Department of Fish and Wildlife (Wetlands Vol. 2). Wetlands Vol. 2 discusses the importance of common ownership in several places. These include recommendations that local jurisdictions adopt policies to encourage separate tracts that will remain in common ownership rather than easements on individual lots (pages 7-16 and 8-45).
  - iii. Wetland Guidance for Critical Areas Ordinance (CAO) Updates, Publication #22-06-014, the Washington State Department of Ecology (Wetland Guidance 2022). recommends that the "most effective long-term protection is to place the wetland and buffer in a non-buildable tract that is owned and maintained by an organization dedicated to protecting them" (page 33).
- b. The County Council finds it important to retain an incentive to place critical areas and buffers in a tract rather than in an easement. This is consistent with recommendations supported by BAS that better long-term protection occurs through common ownership in a tract rather than private ownership of an easement.
- c. Although the documentation regarding effectiveness of tracts is primarily about tracts as protection for wetlands and associated buffers, the County Council finds that the same reasoning should also be applied to the protection of streams, lakes and marine waters and their associated buffers.
- d. The County Council has considered input received regarding the size of the incentive to place critical areas and buffers in a tract rather than an easement. The incentive in current code provides a 15% reduction in standard buffer width.
- e. The County Council concludes that reducing the incentive to place critical areas in tracts to 10% will result in a net increase in habitat functions and values relative to

current regulations while continuing to encourage applicants to place critical areas in tracts to better protect those habitat functions and values in the long-term.

- 3. Fencing reductions. Permanent fencing is sometimes but not always a requirement pursuant to SCC 30.62A.160(5). When fencing is not a requirement, it is a choice at the discretion of the applicant. Existing code provisions allow reduced buffers when an applicant installs a protective fence along the perimeter of the buffer. As amended, this ordinance will revise provisions related to fencing reductions for the following reasons.
  - a. Recommendations supported by BAS indicate that jurisdictions achieve better longterm protections of critical areas when permanent fencing protects critical areas and buffers.
    - i. Cooke 1992 found that one function of a buffer is to prevent human physical intrusion into the critical area and that a fence functions as a physical barrier to such intrusion (page 74). Based on an inspection of sites post development, Cooke concludes that fencing is "perhaps the optimum physical barrier" for reducing human caused disturbances in wetland buffers (page 76).
    - ii. Wetlands Vol. 2 describes fences as important to marking the boundary of protected areas to limit human and pet intrusion that can impact wildlife habitat. It also describes fences as useful in educating landowners about the purpose and value of protecting buffer areas (because of signage typically installed on the fence) (pages 8-44 to 8-45). These regulatory functions are part of the definition of protection/maintenance actions taken to preserve wetland acreage and habitat functions (Glossary, page 15).
    - iii. Wetland Guidance 2022 reiterates that a "fence is generally necessary to demarcate the outer boundary of the buffer and to limit human and pet access" (page 25) and recommends that an "applicant shall be required to install a permanent fence" (page A-12). Although the protective functions of a fence "does not result in a gain of aquatic resource area or [habitat] functions but may result in a gain in functions over time" as vegetative habitat in the protected area matures (page A-18).
  - b. The County Council finds it important to retain an incentive to provide protective fencing for critical areas and associated buffers. This is consistent with recommendations supported by BAS that fences improve long-term protections by limiting intrusion and providing education through signage affixed to fences.
  - c. Although the documentation regarding effectiveness of permanent fencing is primarily about fences as protection for wetlands and associated buffers, the County Council finds that the same reasoning should also be applied to the protection of other aquatic critical areas (stream, lakes and marine waters) and their associated buffers.

exchange for i

- d. The County Council has considered input received regarding the size of the incentive to provide permanent fencing. The incentive in current code provides a 15% reduction in standard buffer width.
- e. The County Council concludes that reducing the incentive to protect critical areas with fences to 10% will result in a net increase in habitat functions and values relative to current code while continuing to encourage applicants to use fences when not required to better protect those habitat functions and values in the long-term.
- 4. Combining tract and fencing incentives. Existing code provisions allow applicants to reduce buffers when combining placement of a fence along the perimeter of a protective tract. As amended, this ordinance will revise provisions related to combined incentives for the following reasons.
  - a. SCC 30.62A.160 does not always require critical areas and buffers to be in tracts protected by permanent fencing. When tracts or protective fencing are not requirement, the added protections offered by tracts and fences are design choices made at the discretion of the applicant.
  - b. Recommendations supported by BAS as cited above clearly indicate that jurisdictions achieve better long-term protections of critical areas when critical areas and buffers are in tracts protected by permanent fencing.
  - c. Consistent with these recommendations, the County Council finds it important to retain an incentive to combine tracts and protective fencing for critical areas and associated buffers.
  - d. The County Council has considered input received regarding the size of the combined incentive. The incentive in current code provides a 25% reduction in standard buffer width.
  - e. The County Council concludes that reducing the combined incentive to protect critical areas with both tracts and fences to 20% will result in a net increase in habitat functions and values relative to current code while continuing to encourage applicants to use both incentives to better protect habitat functions and values in the long-term.
- 5. Buffer averaging. Existing code provisions allow buffer averaging, which allows for the reduction of buffer width in one portion of the buffer when another portion of the same buffer increases so that the total buffer area is unchanged. Approval of buffer averaging requires an applicant to demonstrate that there is no net loss of buffer functions and values after averaging compared to the functions and values that standard buffers would achieve.
  - a. Provisions for buffer averaging in effect since 2007 allow a reduced width of a portion of a buffer up to 50% of the standard buffer width or 25 feet, whichever is greater, in exchange for increases in width elsewhere in the same buffer. These existing

## AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

provisions currently apply equally to both wetlands and to streams, lakes and marine waters.

- b. As transmitted to the County Council, the original ordinance would create separate buffer averaging standards for wetlands and for streams, lakes, and marine waters:
  - i. For wetlands, it would also revise the averaging standards to become the greater of 75% of the standard width or 75 feet for Category I and II wetlands, 50 feet for Category III wetlands, and 25 feet for Category IV wetlands.
  - ii. For streams, lakes, and marine waters, it would retain the current buffer averaging standards 50% of the standard with or 25 feet, whichever is greater.
- c. The County Council has considered input and recommendations from Ecology that recommends buffer widths for wetlands should be no less than 75% of the standard buffer width. Ecology's 2022 guidance describes this recommendation as part of a moderate risk approach. The same guidance acknowledges that buffer averaging may in some cases improve wetland protection, including situations where there are dual-rated wetlands with contiguous buffers (where the higher-functioning area of habitat or more sensitive portion of the wetland has a larger buffer width than the lower rated area).
- d. The County Council has considered input and recommendations from WDFW concerning buffer widths for fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.
  - i. Riparian Ecosystems, Volume 2: Management Recommendations, the Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (Riparian Ecosystems Vol. 2). Riparian Ecosystems Vol. 2 includes recommendations for policies and practices for protecting and restoring riparian ecosystems in areas generally synonymous with the fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas specified in the Growth Management Act. WDFW's objective in making its recommendations is that they would result in "fully functioning riparian ecosystems" (pages 16-17).
  - ii. Streams are the most common type of fish and wildlife habitat conservation area.
  - iii. Riparian Ecosystems Vol. 2 is silent on the topic of buffer averaging; however, it shows deference to Ecology regarding buffer widths in areas where high intensity land uses may be located adjacent to riparian areas (page 27).
  - iv. In discussing buffer widths in urban areas where typical new development is a high intensity land use, Wetlands Vol. 2 (Ecology), states that "a good stormwater management program can reduce the need for buffers to perform filtration functions" (page 8-46).
- e. Most of the new development in Snohomish County will be in urban areas with a greater potential for high intensity land uses, the County Council finds that it is

appropriate to utilize Ecology wetland guidance from Ecology in its consideration of buffers for other aquatic critical areas.

- f. Because many streams originate in, pass through, or are associated with wetlands, the County Council finds that it is appropriate to continue allowing buffer averaging on sites where buffers for wetlands overlap with buffers for other aquatic critical areas.
- g. The County Council finds that the flexibility in current code regarding buffer width may be too high and that it is appropriate to amend buffer averaging provisions.
  - i. As amended, the ordinance will allow buffer averaging at streams, lakes, and marine waters to reduce buffers to no less than 75% of the standard buffer width rather than to 50% of the standard width. This matches the changes in the original ordinance that was applicable only for wetlands.
  - ii. These changes are consistent with guidance from WDFW that it is appropriate to defer to Ecology for some types of guidance.
  - iii. These changes are consistent with guidance from Ecology 2022 that when allowing buffer averaging, provisions should allow buffers to be no narrower than 75% of the standard buffer.
  - iv. These changes are consistent BAS findings and guidance from both Ecology and WDFW that many buffer functions have diminishing returns relative to width, meaning that the part of the buffer closest to the critical area is often the most important part. The reduced flexibility for buffer averaging preserves more of the buffer area closest to the critical area.
  - v. Utilization of buffer averaging for wetlands, streams, lakes and marine waters will continue to require the applicant to demonstrate through a critical area study that there is no net loss of buffer functions and values after averaging compared to the functions and values that standard buffers would achieve.
  - vi. Where two or more wetland, stream, lake or marine buffers overlap, the widest buffer width must be used, and this requirement applies equally when buffer averaging is applied to an area with two or more overlapping critical areas.
- h. The County Council concludes that these changes will increase protections for wetlands, streams, lakes, and marine waters compared to the original ordinance and current code.
- 6. Buffer enhancement. Existing code provisions allow reductions in buffer width and in buffer area in exchange for buffer enhancement. Enhancement involves restorative activities on sites where existing functions and values are non-existent or significantly degraded due to existing conditions. Approval of a buffer reduction for enhancement requires an applicant to demonstrate that there is no net loss of buffer functions and

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

values after enhancement compared to the functions and values that standard buffers would achieve.

- a. When enhancement is the sole buffer reduction method proposed, current code allows reductions of up to 25% of both the standard buffer width and area.
- b. When combined with permanent fencing or use of separate tracts, current code allows reductions of up to 30% of both the standard buffer width and area.
- c. When combined with buffer averaging, current code allows a reduction of total buffer area of 25% and a reduced averaged buffer width of no less than 50% of the standard buffer widths.
- d. The original ordinance would repeal existing code provisions that combine the buffer reduction incentive for buffer enhancement with reductions for use of permanent fencing, tracts, and buffer averaging.
- e. The County Council finds that the original ordinance would increase the likelihood that an enhanced critical area and buffer habitat would become degraded again without maintaining incentives for use of protective fences and tracts.
- f. The County Council finds that the original ordinance limits opportunities for the restoration of degraded habitat when an applicant wishes to use buffer averaging, thereby increasing the likelihood that already degraded critical area buffer would remain so after development.
- g. BAS shows that for many buffer functions the part of the buffer closest to the critical area is the most important.
- h. Ecology guidance that buffer averaging provisions should not allow standard buffer reductions of more than 25% assumes that sites are already well vegetated with native species.
- i. Buffer width and buffer area are related concepts but not the same. Buffer width describes the distance between the edge of a critical area and the edge of the buffer. Area is a description of the overall buffer size. Regulations that allow modifications to width and area are setting thresholds for each parameter.
  - i. The only time a percentage of reduction in width results in the same percentage reduction in area is when the boundaries of a critical area are exactly straight, however most critical areas have curved boundaries.
  - ii. On the outside of a curve, a uniform reduction in width by a certain percentage will result in a larger reduction in area.
  - iii. On the inside of a curve, a uniform reduction in width will by the same percentage will result in a smaller reduction in area.

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- iv. BAS shows that the portion of a protective buffer closest to a critical area the width measurement is the most important for some functions including pollutant removal.
- v. BAS shows that the total area of a buffer is related to the habitat functionality of a buffer area, but the quality of the habitat provided is in some ways more important than the total area. For example, a large but degraded briar of invasive blackberry is not as beneficial as smaller stand of native trees and native understory plants.
- j. The County Council finds that it is appropriate to continue incentivizing restoration of degraded habitat, and the use of separate width and area reductions will help optimize long term outcomes.
- k. As amended, the ordinance will retain and revise incentives to provide buffer enhancement in combination with protective fences, tracts and buffer averaging.
  - i. The County Council finds that current code allowing for a 25% reduction of both buffer width and area when providing buffer enhancement without additional actions of separate tracts or fencing to protect the restored buffer area may be less effective at achieving long term protection. The County Council finds that it is appropriate to lower the maximum reduction of buffer width to no more than 20% of the standard buffer for buffer enhancement activities without also installing protective fencing or placing the buffer area in a tracts. This will preserve more of the buffer width closest to the critical area where such buffers are the most valuable for many functions and values. The County Council also finds that it is appropriate to retain the maximum buffer area reduction of 25% in order to continue incentivizing restoration of degraded habitat.
  - ii. As amended, the maximum buffer reductions for providing buffer enhancement along with greater long term protection through separate tracts or easements will be increased to allow a 25% reduction in buffer width and a 30% reduction in buffer area.
- I. As retained and revised, use of enhancement in SCC 30.62A.320(1)(h)(ii) is consistent with guidance from Ecology.
  - i. As used by Ecology in its guidance, "enhancement" can lead to a decline in some functions; however, the term "enhancement" as defined in SCC 30.91E.125 and used in chapter 30.62A SCC does not allow for a decline in existing functions.
  - ii. The baseline for Ecology's guidance is that a development site is already well-vegetated with native species, whereas the baseline for buffer enhancement in county code is that the site is already in a degraded condition.

- iii. The difference in starting conditions is important in the context of SCC 30.62A.320(1)(h)(ii) because county code creates an incentive to improve habitat that has already experienced degradation. Although the result may not match the functions of a site that is already well vegetated with native species, the resulting conditions will be an improvement from the present degraded conditions.
- iv. Protective fences and use of tracts help to provide long term protection of critical areas and habitat, consistent with long standing recommendations from Ecology based on BAS, including Wetland Buffers (1992), Wetlands Vol. 1 (2005), and Wetland Guidance (2022).
- v. The buffer enhancement provisions in SCC 30.62A.320(1)(h)(ii) do not conflict with guidance from Ecology; rather they address starting conditions that differ from the starting conditions assumed by Ecology when that agency makes its recommendations.
- m. To receive a buffer reduction for providing buffer enhancement, an applicant must demonstrate that their enhancement plan does not result in a loss of buffer functions and values.
- n. Retaining incentives for enhancement techniques in already degraded critical areas and buffers is part of an overall countywide mitigation strategy to repair, rehabilitate, and restore environmental systems already impacted by past activities consistent with regional and local goals and policies related to restoration and WAC 197-11-768(3).
- o. The County Council concludes that as amended, this ordinance will increase protections for wetlands, streams, lakes, and marine waters compared to existing regulations and when compared to the ordinance as originally proposed. Such actions are consistent with a moderate risk approach to the protection of critical areas.
- 7. Small Wetlands. Existing code provisions in SCC 30.62A.510 allow exemptions for minor development activities including all development activities in certain small wetlands. All such activities must comply with best management practices to minimize and mitigate adverse impacts to the functions and values of critical areas (SCC 30.62A.510(2)). Current exemptions allow filling of non-riparian Category II and III wetlands smaller than 5,000 square feet, and non-riparian Category IV wetlands smaller than 10,000 square feet, and their associated buffers.
  - a. The original ordinance would repeal minor development activity exemptions in SCC 30.62A.510(3)(g) and replace them with a narrower range of exemptions in SCC 30.62A.510(4) and (5). As originally proposed:
    - i. Fill of Category II and III wetlands would no longer be allowable as minor new development activity; and

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- ii. Fill and mitigation of Category IV wetlands less than 4,000 square feet that meet certain criteria could be filled provided the project includes adequate mitigation.
- b. The County Council has considered input from the public, state agencies, tribal representatives, industry and the 2024 Monitoring Report to receive better understanding of the impacts exemptions thresholds for small wetlands and makes the following findings related to exemptions thresholds:
  - i. Consistent with conclusions in the 2024 Monitoring Report, current regulations are "helping preserve the functions and values associated with critical areas given significant growth and development [and existing regulations are] largely effective, meaning unpermitted actions, natural events, and other stressors are likely the major causes of critical area changes."
  - ii. Appropriately designed development can replace several functions of small wetlands such as stormwater retention, pollutant removal, and release into groundwater consistent with a natural hydroperiod by complying with existing stormwater and land disturbing activity regulations.
  - iii. Other functions of small wetlands, including reducing isolation of critical areas on the landscape – as distinct from regulatory isolated wetlands – are not replaceable though compliance with existing stormwater and land disturbing activity regulations or by use of best management practices.
  - iv. The housing industry has indicated it relies on current exemption thresholds to achieve the densities of development observed and planned for in the Urban Growth Area; reducing exemptions thresholds may reduce capacity for future urban development.
  - v. The record for this ordinance does not include any empirical analysis of the impact of reducing exemption thresholds on housing capacity.
- c. As amended, this ordinance will revise provisions related to exemptions for certain small wetlands.
  - i. Regardless of size, fill of Category II wetlands will no longer be allowed as a minor development activity.
  - ii. Category III wetlands smaller than 5,000 square feet that meet specific criteria proposed in the original ordinance will be eligible to fill as minor development activity, provided their impacts are fully mitigated.
  - iii. Category IV wetlands smaller than 10,000 square feet that meet specific criteria proposed in the original ordinance can be impacted as a minor development activity, provided their impacts are fully mitigated.

# AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- d. The County Council concludes that as amended, this ordinance may reduce housing capacity in the Urban Growth Area, thereby increasing the likelihood of future expansion of the Urban Growth Area, but the County Council lacks sufficient information to fully evaluate the issue.
- e. The County Council concludes that as amended, this ordinance will do more to preserve small wetland habitats and to help prevent future isolation of critical areas on the landscape than current regulations.
- f. The County Council concludes that as amended, this ordinance will increase protections for wetlands compared to present day regulations and is consistent with a moderate risk approach.
- Section 3. The Snohomish County Council bases its findings and conclusions on the entire record of the County Council, including all testimony and exhibits. Any finding, which should be deemed a conclusion, and any conclusion which should be deemed a finding, is hereby adopted as such.
- Section 4. The Revised Draft Summary of Best Available Science for Critical Areas, March 2006, the Draft Summary Snohomish County 2015 Best Available Science Review for Critical Area Regulation Update, April 2015, and the annotated bibliography identified as Summary of BAS and Other Key Resources, June 2024 (Parts I and II), are incorporated into the record for this 2024 update to the critical area regulations.
- Section 5. Snohomish County Code Section 30.43C.030, last amended by Ordinance No. 20-076 on November 4, 2020, is amended to read:

# 30.43C.030 Additional submittal requirements.

- All persons applying for a flood hazard permit shall make application to and shall meet the submittal requirements established by the department pursuant to SCC 30.70.030. Additional submittal requirements shall include the following:
- (1) Name of the stream or body of water associated with the floodplain in which the development is proposed;
- (2) General location of the proposed development, including direction and distance from the nearest town or intersection:
- (3) Site plan map showing:

(a) Site boundaries;

- (b) Location and dimensions of the proposed development or structure;
- (c) Location and volume of any proposed fill material; and

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

> 8 9

10 11 12

13

14 15 16

17

23 24 25

22

27 28 29

26

30

35 36 37

38 39 40

41

42

43 44 45

46 47

(d) Location of existing structures;

- (4) Topographic, engineering, and construction information necessary to evaluate the proposed project that may be requested by the department through the preapplication process or during the initial review for completeness of the application;
- (5) Additional information when required pursuant to chapter 30.65 SCC;
- (6) If a project proposes to alter or relocate a riverine watercourse, the flood hazard permit application shall include a description of the extent to which the riverine watercourse will be altered or relocated;
- (7) If a project will alter the base flood elevation or the boundaries of the special flood hazard area the flood hazard permit application shall include:
  - (a) Engineering documentation and analysis developed by a registered qualified professional engineer regarding the proposed change; and
  - (b) If required by the Federal Emergency Management Agency, a letter of map change from that agency. If a letter of map change is required, the applicant must receive approval of a conditional letter of map revision from the Federal Emergency Management Agency before the flood hazard permit may be approved. The application for the flood hazard permit shall include the complete conditional letter of map revision application package;
- (8) Elevation and floodproofing certification under SCC 30.65.130 and SCC 30.65.140;
- (9) If a project is proposed in a V, V1-30, or VE zone, a design certificate as described in SCC 30.65.295(1)(c); ((and))
- (10) If a project is proposed in the floodway, certification by a registered professional engineer as described in SCC 30.65.230(1)(b) confirming that the proposal will not result in any increase in flood levels during the occurrence of the base flood discharge((-)); and
- (11) Habitat assessment and management plan under SCC 30.62A.460.

Section 6. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.010, last amended by Ordinance No. 17-039 on July 12, 2017, is amended to read:

# 30.62A.010 Purpose and applicability.

- (1) The purpose of this chapter is to provide critical area regulations pursuant to the Growth Management Act (chapter 36.70A RCW) for the designation and protection of:
  - (a) Wetlands, and
  - (b) Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas including:

# AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

14

15

16

21 22

23

24

20

29

35 36 37

34

39 40 41

42

38

43 44 45

46

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS, 30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS.

(viii) Quasi-judicial rezones not accompanied by another permit or approval.

(b) Agricultural activities, which are subject only to Part 600 of this chapter.

Section 7. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.015, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

# 30.62A.015 Intent.

It is the intent of this chapter to provide the protection required by chapter 36.70A RCW for wetlands and for fish ((&)) and wildlife habitat conservation areas while simultaneously protecting property rights. The county council nevertheless recognizes that implementation of some provisions of this chapter 30.62A SCC will inevitably entail some restriction of property rights. It is the intent of the county council that this chapter be always construed and interpreted so that property rights be restricted no further than strictly necessary for the critical area protection required under chapter 36.70A RCW.

Section 8. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.130, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

# 30.62A.130 Submittal requirements.

- (1) For any development activity or action requiring a project permit, the applicant shall submit a site development plan drawn to a standard engineering scale which includes:
  - (a) Boundary lines and dimensions of the subject property;
  - (b) Boundary lines and dimensions of the site;
  - (c) The topography at contour intervals of five feet unless the underlying project permit requires a lesser interval;
  - (d) Location, size, and type of any existing structures, cleared areas and other existing improvements;
  - (e) Location, size, and type of all proposed development activities, activities subject to project permits, and clearing;
  - (f) Location and description of all wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas located on the site ((er)) and within 300 feet ((er the width of the widest potential buffer of the site boundaries)) of the site;
  - (g) Location of all other critical areas regulated pursuant to chapters 30.62B, 30.62C, and 30.65 SCC on or within 300 feet of the site; ((and))
  - (h) Location of structure setbacks as required in chapter 30.23 SCC((-)); and

4 5

10

19 20 21

23 24 25

22

26 27 28

29 30 31

32 33

34 35 36

37

38

39 40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

- (i) Location, size, and type of proposed stormwater facilities, including estimated areas of intrusion into buffers.
- (2) In addition to a site development plan the following additional information will be required where applicable:
  - (a) Classification of all streams, wetlands, or lakes pursuant to SCC 30.62A.230 (Table 1). Classification is not required if the project permit applicant applies the maximum protection for the specific critical area as specified at SCC 30.62A.320 (Table ((2)) 2a and 2b);
  - (b) Provisions for permanent protection as specified at SCC 30.62A.160:
  - (c) Provisions for temporary marking on the site of all critical area protection areas, or the limits of the proposed site disturbance outside of the critical area protection areas: ((and))
  - (d) A critical area study as required by SCC 30.62A.140((-));
  - (e) Wetland delineation field worksheets in accordance with the approved federal wetland delineation manual and applicable regional supplement (see WAC 173-22-035); and
  - (f) Wetland categorization worksheets based on the Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington: 2014 Update (Version 2), Hruby, T. & Yahnke, A. (2023), Department of Ecology Publication #23-06-009, or latest edition.
- Section 9. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.140, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

# 30.62A.140 Critical area study content requirements.

For any development activity or action requiring a project permit occurring in wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, or within a buffer unless otherwise provided in Part 300, the ((director)) department may require, where applicable, a critical area study prepared by a qualified professional. The critical area study shall include a survey or map drawn to scale and a report describing the following information:

- (1) A wetland delineation map and report, including field worksheets in accordance with the approved federal wetland delineation manual and applicable regional supplement (see WAC 173-22-035). This requirement may be waived if a wetland delineation has been performed within the previous five years that was approved by the department, and the department determines after site review that the wetland boundary is the same as the approved delineation;
- Wetland categorization, including worksheets, documenting the proposed wetland (2) categories, based on the Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington: 2014 Update((, (Hruby, T., October 2014, or latest edition, Department of Ecology Publication #14-06-029))) (Version 2), Hruby, T. & Yahnke, A. (2023), Department of Ecology Publication #23-06-009, or latest edition;

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- (4) Stream location, stream name (if named), and stream type pursuant to the typing system contained in SCC 30.62A.230 (Table 1);
- (5) Lake location, lake name (if named), and lake type pursuant to the typing system contained in SCC 30.62A.230 (Table 1);

(6) The ordinary high-water mark of any stream, lake, or marine water;

(7) Buffer location pursuant to SCC 30.62A.320;

(8) A description and assessment of any areas that are functionally and effectively disconnected from buffers;

(((7))) (9) A description and illustration of proposed activities within any critical area or ((buffers)) buffer;

 $((\frac{8}{2}))$  (10) An assessment of the existing functions and values of the critical area(s) or buffers that will be affected by the proposed activity and the methods used to assess those functions and values:

 $((\frac{9}{}))$  (11) An assessment of how the activity meets the protection standards established in SCC 30.62A.310 and SCC 30.62A.450. For applications under SCC 30.62A.350, an assessment of how the proposal protects the functions and values specified in SCC 30.62A.220, and how the proposal provides protection equivalent to the standards established in SCC 30.62A.310 and SCC 30.62A.450;

(((10))) (12) A mitigation plan for activities occurring in a critical area or buffer according to the requirements in SCC 30.62A.150;

(((11))) (13) A habitat management plan in accordance with SCC 30.62A.460 for any activity occurring within the primary association area of a critical species, habitats of local importance, state natural habitats, special flood hazard areas, or Priority Habitat Species (PHS) areas mapped by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW);

((<del>(12)</del>)) <u>(14)</u> When shoreline or bank stabilization measures and/or flood protection measures are proposed, a geotechnical report investigating alternative structural and non-structural methods pursuant to SCC 30.62B.140; and

((<del>(13)</del>)) <u>(15)</u> Any other information necessary to determine compliance with this chapter <u>or</u> identified on a submittal checklist created by the department.

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

# 30.62A.150 Mitigation plan requirements.

Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

Unless otherwise provided by this chapter, project permit applicants must provide a mitigation plan prepared by a qualified professional to address impacts to affected wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer functions and values as identified in the critical area study required pursuant to SCC 30.62A.140, provided that mitigation for the primary association area of critical species shall also comply with the requirements of Part 400.

Section 10. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.150, last amended by Amended

# (1) All mitigation plans shall:

(a) Describe the actions taken to avoid and minimize impacts to the critical area or buffer;

((<del>(a)</del>)) (b) Include a report that describes and evaluates the existing functions and values, the functions and values that will be impacted (both directly or indirectly, and permanently or temporarily), ((and)) the functions and values after mitigation, and the baseline conditions of the proposed location for compensatory mitigation if it is off-site;

19 20 21

22

23

18

(((<del>b)</del>)) (c) Specify how functions and values lost as a result of the activity will be replaced and result in no net loss of ecological values and functions. Include the amount of mitigation to be provided and the rationale for the type and location of compensatory mitigation selected, as applicable;

24 25 26

(((c))) (d) Include performance standards;

27 28

(((d))) (e) Specify when mitigation will occur relative to project construction and to the requirements of permits required by other jurisdictional entities;

29 30 31

32

(((e))) (f) Include provisions for monitoring and maintenance of the mitigation area on a longterm basis to determine whether the mitigation was successful and that the mitigation measures in the approved plan will be sustainable after the monitoring period has expired;

33 34 35

((<del>(f)</del>)) (g) Include provisions for (<del>(performance and maintenance)</del>) security devices pursuant to ((chapter 30.84 SCC)) SCC 30.84.015 and SCC 30.84.140 to ensure that work is completed in accordance with approved plans; and

37 38 39

36

((<del>(a)</del>)) (h) Include provisions on a form approved by the department for right of entry to the county for the purpose of inspection for the length of the monitoring and maintenance period.

40 41 42

43

44

(2) Mitigation plans for wetlands shall be consistent with Wetland Mitigation in Washington State-Part 2: Developing Mitigation Plans—Version 1 (Ecology Publication #06-06-011b, or as revised), and Selecting Wetland Mitigation Sites Using a Watershed Approach (Ecology Publication #09-06-32, or as revised).

45 46

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

 $((\frac{(2)}{2}))$  (3) For development activities that require approval by the hearing examiner or those that receive phased administrative, conditional, or preliminary approvals, the  $((\frac{\text{director}}{2}))$  department may allow mitigation plans to be submitted in two phases: a conceptual phase and a detailed plan phase.

Section 11. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.160, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

# 30.62A.160 Permanent identification, protection, and recording.

The following measures for permanent identification and protection of wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and buffers are required for any development activity or action requiring a project permit, except those occurring in public and private road, trail, or utility easements and rights-of-way, or for those projects conducted for the primary purpose of habitat enhancement or restoration.

- (1) Critical area site plans.
  - (a) All wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and buffers occurring on the site shall be designated on a critical area site plan as critical area protection areas.
  - (b) A critical area site plan is any plan approved by the department that includes but is not limited to subdivisions, records of survey, official site plans, administrative site plans, binding site plans, or other form drawn to a standard engineering scale.
  - (c) Critical area site plans shall include at a minimum:
    - (i) the boundaries of the site;
    - (ii) the boundaries of the property;
    - (iii) a legal description of the subject property;
    - (iv) accurate locations/boundaries of the critical area protection area(s), identified by critical area type;
    - (v) identification of existing legally established uses and structures;
    - (vi) provisions allowing habitat enhancement in wetland(s), fish and wildlife habitat conservation area(s), and buffers; and
    - (vii) provisions for the permanent protection of the critical area(s) functions and values including, at minimum, the following:
      - (A) restrictions on the construction of new structures;
      - (B) restrictions on the removal of existing native vegetation; and

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

12

7

18 19 20

21 22

23

17

29 30 31

28

33 34 35

36

37

38

39

40

32

41 42 43

44

45

46

47

inspection by the department prior to the commencement of permitted activities. Temporary

RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS, 30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS. Page **50** of **117** 

- (C) restrictions on other development activities that would adversely affect the functions and values of the wetland(s), fish and wildlife habitat conservation area(s), or buffers.
- (2) Recording. Critical area site plans shall be recorded with the county auditor. Documentation of recording shall be provided to the department prior to permit issuance.
- (3) Separate tracts and easements. Wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and buffers shall be located in easements or in separate tracts or other protected open space owned in common by all owners of the lots or parcels within any land division or land use permit or decision regulated pursuant to chapters 30.41A, 30.41B, 30.41C and 30.41D SCC or any other multi-family project approval with protected open space owned in common.
- (4) Legally established uses and structures. Existing legally established uses or structures that fall within the boundaries of the critical area protection area shall be allowed to continue to be used and maintained without any additional restrictions. These uses and structures shall be clearly identified and described on the critical area site plan.
- (5) ((When)) Permanent Fencing. Permanent fencing is required along critical area protection area boundaries when using easements on lots for the protection of critical areas or buffers, or when adjacent activities could degrade the functions or values of the critical area or buffer. Examples of adjacent activities include, but are not limited to, maintained landscaping, agricultural uses, and commercial uses. ((a fence)) Permanent fencing shall be installed in a manner that minimizes impacts to the critical area and buffer consistent with the ((fence)) following design and placement requirements ((of SCC 30.62A.320(1)(f)(ii).)):
  - (a) fencing shall allow for the passage of wildlife, including fish runs, with a maximum height of three- and one-half feet and include a minimum gap of one- and one-half feet at the bottom of the fence; and
  - (b) fencing placement shall clearly demarcate the critical area protection area(s) from the developed portion of the site and limit access of landscaping equipment, vehicles, or other human disturbances.
- (6) Previously approved critical area site plans. For any development activity, action requiring a project permit or clearing occurring consistent with a previously approved critical area site plan shall be governed according to the terms and conditions of the approved site plan, provided that all wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and buffers have been identified and specific permanent protection has been provided. "Consistent" means that there are no proposed modifications to the critical area protective measures established on the previously approved plan ((er)), increase in impacts, or direct impacts to the critical areas or buffers.

(7) Temporary marking. Critical area protection area boundaries and the clearing limits identified

on the critical area site plan of an approved permit shall be marked in the field with temporary

high-visibility fencing to prevent unauthorized intrusion. Temporary markings are subject to

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

markings shall be maintained throughout construction and shall not be removed until required

30.62A.160(8)(a)-(d) as necessary for the protection of sensitive features or wildlife.

Section 12. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.220, last amended by Ordinance No. 17-039 on July 12, 2017, is amended to read:

# 30.62A.220 Functions and values of wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and buffers.

The functions and values listed in this section are included primarily based on their ecological relationship and value to the critical areas subject to this chapter, and include, but are not necessarily limited to, the following elements:

- (1) Streams. Fish and wildlife habitat; supply, transport, and storage of water, sediment, and organic material; floodwater storage and attenuation;
- (2) Wetlands. Fish and wildlife habitat, pollution assimilation, sediment retention, shoreline stabilization, floodwater storage, attenuation and conveyance, wave energy attenuation, stream base-flow maintenance, and groundwater discharge/recharge;
- (3) Lakes. Fish and wildlife habitat, sediment retention, pollution assimilation, and floodwater attenuation, storage and conveyance;

# AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS, 30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS.

1

28 29

30

31 32

33

34 35

36

37

38 39

40

41

42 43

44

45 46

- (4) *Marine waters*. Fish and wildlife habitat; wind, wave and current attenuation; sediment supply; longshore transport of sediment; and pollution assimilation;
- (5) Primary association areas of critical species. Fish and wildlife habitat;
- (6) State natural area preserves, natural resource conservation areas, and state wildlife areas. Fish and wildlife habitat and recreation; and
- (7) *Buffers*. Habitat for water associated and riparian associated wildlife, wildlife movement corridors, noise and visual screening, large woody debris and other natural organic matter recruitment, floodwater attenuation and storage, temperature maintenance, pollution assimilation, streambank stabilization, shade, and supply of sediments and nutrients.
- Section 13. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.230, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

# 30.62A.230 Classification of streams, lakes, wetlands, and marine waters.

- (1) Classification of streams, lakes, and marine waters shall be established in accordance with the water typing rules contained in WAC 222-16-030, summarized in Table 1. In the event of a conflict between WAC 222-16-030 and the contents of Table 1, the provisions in WAC 222-16-030 will govern.
- (2) Classification and scoring of wetlands shall occur pursuant to the Washington State Wetland Rating System for Western Washington: 2014 Update (Version 2), (((+))Hruby, T. & Yahnke, A. (2023), ((October 2014, or latest edition,)) Department of Ecology Publication #((14-06-029))) 23-06-009, or latest edition, summarized in Table 1. In the event of a conflict between the DOE publication and the contents of Table 1, the provisions in the DOE publication will govern.

Table 1 Classification of Streams, Lakes, and Wetlands

Classification	Classification Criteria Summary				
Streams and Lakes					
Type S	Segments of all waters within their bankfull width, as inventoried as "shorelines of the state" under chapter 90.58 RCW and the rules promulgated pursuant to chapter 90.58 RCW.				
Type F	Segments of natural waters other than Type S waters, which are within the bankfull widths of defined channels or within lakes or impoundments having a surface area of 0.5 acres or greater at seasonal low water and which in any case contain fish habitat or are described by one of the following four categories:				

# AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Classification	Classification Criteria Summary
	(a) ((Are)) <u>Waters</u> diverted for domestic use by more than 10 residential or camping units or by a public accommodation facility licensed to serve more than 10 persons, where such diversion is determined by the Washington State Department of Natural Resources to be a valid appropriation of water and the only practical water source for such users. Such waters shall be considered to be Type F water upstream from the point of such diversion for 1,500 feet or until the drainage area is reduced by 50 percent, whichever is less;
	(b) ((Are)) <u>Waters</u> diverted for use by federal, state, tribal or private fish hatcheries. Such waters shall be considered Type F water upstream from the point of diversion for 1,500 feet, including tributaries if highly significant for protection of downstream water quality;
	(c) Waters which are within federal, state, local or private campgrounds with more than 10 camping units: Provided that the water shall not be considered to enter a campground until it reaches the boundary of the park lands available for public use and comes within 100 feet of a camping unit, trail or other park improvement;
	(d) Riverine ponds, wall-based channels, and other channel features that are used by fish for off-channel habitat.
Туре Nр	Segments of natural waters within the bankfull width of defined channels that are perennial nonfish habitat streams. Perennial streams are <u>flowing</u> waters that do not go dry any time of the year of normal rainfall. However, for the purpose of water typing, Type Np waters include the intermittent dry portions of the perennial channel below the uppermost point of perennial flow. ((Np waters begin downstream of the point along the channel where the contributing basin area is at least 52 acres in size.))
Type Ns	Segments of natural waters within the bankfull width of the defined channels that are not Type S, F, or Np waters. These are seasonal, nonfish habitat streams in which surface flow is not present for at least some portion of a year of normal rainfall and are not located downstream from any stream reach that is a Type Np water. Ns waters must be physically connected by an above-ground channel system to Type S, F, or Np waters.
Wetlands	
Category I	Wetlands listed by the Washington Natural Heritage Program as having high conservation value

Classification	Classification Criteria Summary
	Bogs, Mature Forest, and Old Growth Forest Wetlands
	Estuarine wetlands (greater than or equal to one acre) & Coastal Lagoons (( <del>greater than or equal to 1/10 acre)</del> ))
	High Level Habitat Function (habitat function score is 8-9)
	Moderate Level Habitat Function (habitat function score is ((€)) <u>6</u> -7)
	Total score 23 or above but not meeting above criteria
	Estuarine wetlands (less than one acre) and Coastal Lagoons
	High level of function for habitat (habitat function score is 8-9)
Category II	Moderate level of function for habitat (habitat function score is ((5)) 6-7)
	High level of function for water quality improvement and low for habitat (water quality function score is 8-9 and habitat function score is ((less than)) 5 or less)
	Total score 20-22 but not meeting above criteria
Category III	Moderate to High Level Habitat Function (habitat function score is (( <del>5-7</del> )) 6-9)
	Total score of 16-19 but not meeting above criteria
Category IV	Total score for all functions less than 16

Section 14. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.310, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

# 30.62A.310 General standards and requirements.

(1) This Part establishes specific standards and requirements for protection of wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and their buffers, and under what circumstances mitigation may be used to address the impacts of development.

# AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- (2) Any development activity, action requiring a project permit or clearing occurring within wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and buffers is prohibited unless conducted in compliance with this chapter.
- (3) Except as otherwise provided in Part 500, all development activities, actions requiring a project permit or clearing shall be designed and conducted to achieve no net loss of critical area functions and values and comply with the following general standards and requirements:
  - (a) The project proponent shall make all reasonable efforts to avoid and minimize impacts to wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and buffers in the following sequential order of preference:
    - (i) avoiding impacts altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action; ((er ;))
    - (ii) when avoidance is not possible, minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation, using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps, such as project redesign, relocation, or timing, to avoid or reduce impacts; and
    - (iii) mitigating for the affected functions and values of the critical area( $(\div)$ ).
  - (b) When mitigation is required it shall be conducted in accordance with the following requirements, unless otherwise provided in this chapter:
    - (i) mitigation location. Unless otherwise provided in this chapter, mitigation for impacts to the functions and values of wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and buffers shall be in-kind and on-site. Off-site mitigation may be approved ((enly)) in those situations where appropriate and adequate on-site mitigation cannot replace the function(s) of the wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area(s) or buffers at an equivalent level to the off-site location. Off-site mitigation must occur in the same subdrainage basin for streams, lakes, and wetlands, or drift cell for marine waters((;)) unless the applicant's qualified professional can demonstrate that a mitigation site in a different sub-drainage basin is ecologically preferable.
    - (ii) mitigation timing. Mitigation shall be <u>timed to reduce impacts to existing fisheries</u>, <u>wildlife</u>, <u>and flora and completed prior to granting of final building occupancy</u>, or the completion or final approval of any development activity or action requiring a project permit for which mitigation measures have been required, except as set forth in chapter 30.84 SCC; ((and))
    - (iii) function replacement. Unless otherwise provided in this chapter, functions and values shall be replaced at a one to one ratio;
    - (iv) plantings shall be native species appropriate to the climate and ecoregion; and

- (v) monitoring shall be required for a period of at least five years. If the mitigation goals described in the mitigation plan (SCC 30.62A.150) are not attained within the initially established monitoring period, the applicant remains responsible for managing the mitigation project until the goals of the mitigation plan are achieved.
- (c) A project proponent may demonstrate compliance with subsection (3) of this section by:
  - (i) adhering to the standards and requirements in SCC 30.62A.320(1), .330(1), .340(1) and (2) and .450 as applicable; or by
  - (ii) adhering to the performance standards in SCC 30.62A.320(2) and (3), .330(2), .340(3) and (4) or .350 and mitigating for impacted functions and values as follows:
    - (A) any development activity, action requiring a project permit or clearing allowed pursuant to SCC 30.62A.320(2), .330(2), .340(3) or .350 shall also comply with general mitigation requirements in SCC subsection (3) of this section. Activities not listed or deviations from the standards contained in Part 300 may only be conducted pursuant to SCC 30.62A.350 or Part 500; and
    - (B) any development activity or action requiring a project permit listed in SCC 30.62A.320(2), .330(2), .340(3) or .350 shall also comply with the critical area study requirements of SCC 30.62A.140, and the mitigation plan requirements of SCC  $30.62A.150((\frac{1}{2}))$  .
- (d) Permanent identification and protection of wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and their buffers shall be provided as required by SCC 30.62A.160.

Section 15. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.320, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

#### 30.62A.320 Standards and requirements for buffers and impervious surfaces.

Buffers shall be required adjacent to streams, lakes, wetlands, and marine waters to protect the functions and values of these aquatic critical areas.

- (1) Buffer standards and requirements no mitigation required. All development activities, actions requiring project permits, and clearing that comply with the buffer requirements of ((subsections (1)(a) through (g))) subsection (1) of this section satisfy the avoidance criteria of SCC 30.62A.310(3) and are not required to provide mitigation.
- (a) Buffer widths shall be as set forth in Table 2a or 2b below.

# Table 2a Stream, Lake, and Marine Buffer Width Standards (Feet)

# Streams and Lakes<u>\*</u>

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Type S	150
Type F ((with anadromous o	150
((Type F without anadromou	((100))
Type Np	50
Type Ns	50
Type 1	150

<sup>\*</sup> When the stream or lake is located within the Special Flood Hazard Area, the buffer shall be the greater of the width listed in Table 2a, the channel migration zone where mapped plus 50 feet, or the mapped floodway.

Table 2b Wetland Buffer Width Standards (feet)

Wetlands								
			Buffer Width Requirements (feet)					
			(( <del>[30.62/</del> 30.62/	ntensity Land <del>\.340(4)(c)]</del> )) \.320(4) for o on measures	<u>See SCC</u> ptional			
Wetland Category	Description	Standard Buffer Width **	Buffer w/out optional mitigation ((measure 1 or 2)) measures	Buffer w/  optional mitigation measure 1 (((*may use measure 1 OR 2))) or 2	Buffer w/ optional mitigation measures 1 AND 2	Low Intensity Land Use <sup>2</sup>		
Wetlands containing salmonids (minimum)				150				

			Wetlands			
		Buffer Width Requirements (feet)				
		High Intensity Land Use <sup>1</sup> (( <del>[30.62A.340(4)(c)]</del> )) <u>See SCC</u> 30.62A.320(4) for optional mitigation measures 1 and 2				
Wetland Category	Description	Standard Buffer Width **	Buffer w/out optional mitigation ((measure 1 or 2)) measures	Buffer w/  optional mitigation measure 1 (((*may use measure 1 OR 2))) or 2	Buffer w/ optional mitigation measures 1 AND 2	Low Intensity Land Use <sup>2</sup>
Category I	Wetlands listed by the Washington Natural Heritage Program as having High Conservation Value	190	250	220((*))	190	125
	Bogs, Mature Forest, and Old Growth Forest Wetlands	190	250	220((*))	190	125
	Estuarine wetlands (greater than or equal to one acre) & Coastal Lagoons (((greater than or equal to 1/10 acre)))	150	200	175((*))	150	100
	High level habitat function	225	300	262(( <u>*</u> ))	225	150

			Wetlands				
		Buffer Width Requirements (feet)					
			High Intensity Land Use <sup>1</sup> (( <del>[30.62A.340(4)(c)]</del> )) <u>See SCC</u> 30.62A.320(4) for optional mitigation measures 1 and 2				
Wetland Category	Description	Standard Buffer Width **	Buffer w/out <u>optional</u> mitigation (( <del>measure</del> <del>1 or 2</del> )) measures	Buffer w/  optional mitigation measure 1 (((*may use measure 1 OR 2))) or 2	Buffer w/ optional mitigation measures 1 AND 2	Low Intensity Land Use <sup>2</sup>	
	(habitat function score is 8-9)						
	Moderate level habitat function (habitat function score is ((5)) 6-7)	110	150	130((*))	110	75	
	Total score 23 or above but not meeting above criteria	75	100	75		50	
Category II	Estuarine wetlands (less than 1 acre)	110	150	130((*))	110	75	
	<u>Coastal</u> <u>Lagoon</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>200</u>	<u>175</u>	<u>150</u>	<u>100</u>	
	High level of function for habitat (habitat function score is 8-9)	225	300	262((*))	225	150	

			Wetlands			
		Buffer Width Requirements (feet)				
		High Intensity Land Use <sup>1</sup> (( <del>[30.62A.340(4)(c)]</del> )) <u>See SCC</u> 30.62A.320(4) for optional mitigation measures 1 and 2				
Wetland Category	Description	Standard Buffer Width **	Buffer w/out optional mitigation ((measure 1 or 2)) measures	Buffer w/  optional mitigation measure 1 (((*may use measure 1 OR 2))) or 2	Buffer w/ optional mitigation measures 1 AND 2	Low Intensity Land Use <sup>2</sup>
	Moderate to high level of function for habitat (habitat function score is ((5)) 6-7)	110	150	130((*))	110	75
	High level of function for water quality improvement and low for habitat (water quality function score is 8-9 and habitat function score is ((less than)) 5 or less)	75	100	75		50
	Total score 20-22 but not meeting above criteria	75	100	75		50
Category III	<u>High or</u> Moderate level habitat	110	150	110		75

12 13

14 15 16

Wetlands						
		Buffer Width Requirements (feet)				
			High Intensity Land Use <sup>1</sup> (([30.62A.340(4)(c)])) See SCC 30.62A.320(4) for optional mitigation measures 1 and 2			
Wetland Category	Description	Standard Buffer Width **	Buffer w/out optional mitigation ((measure 1 or 2)) measures	Buffer w/  optional mitigation measure 1 (((*may use measure 1 OR 2))) or 2	Buffer w/ optional mitigation measures 1 AND 2	Low Intensity Land Use <sup>2</sup>
	function (habitat function score is (( <del>5-7</del> )) <u>6 -</u> <u>9</u> )					
	Total score of 16-19 but not meeting above criteria	60	80	60		40
Category IV	Low level function score (less than 16)	40	50	40		25

- 1 High intensity land uses include:
- commercial or industrial uses
- nonresidential use in zones where the primary intent is residential use as per SCC 30.21.025
- Residential use (4 or more units/acre)
- High-intensity recreation (golf courses, ball fields, ORV parks, etc.)
- Public roads within the Urban Growth Area (UGA)
- **2** Low intensity land uses include:
- Forestry (cutting of trees only)
- Low-intensity open space (hiking, bird-watching, preservation of natural resources, etc.)
- Unpaved trails
- Utility corridor without a maintenance road and little or no vegetation management.

# AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- \*\* Standard buffers represent moderate level land use intensity and include uses that are not defined as high or low intensity.
- (b) Buffer widths shall be measured as follows:
  - (i) the buffer for streams, lakes, and marine waters shall be measured from the ordinary high-water mark extending horizontally in a landward direction ((and for)) if there is not a channel migration zone. If a channel migration zone is determined pursuant to SCC 30.62B.330, the buffer shall be measured horizontally from the landward edge of the channel migration zone;
  - (ii) the buffer for wetlands ((, the buffer)) shall be measured from the edge of the wetland extending horizontally in a landward direction;((and))
  - $((\frac{(ii)}{(iii)}))$   $(\frac{(iii)}{(iii)})$   $((\frac{(provided however,}))$  where the landward edge of the standard buffer shown in Table 2a or 2b extends on to a slope of 33 percent or greater, the buffer shall extend to a point 25 feet beyond the top of the slope((-)); and
  - (iv) if two or more stream, wetland, lake, or marine water buffers overlap, the wider buffer shall be applied.
- (c) Buffers may exclude areas that are functionally and effectively disconnected from the critical area by an existing public or private road, or other legally established development that is to continue its legally established use. Areas of exclusion shall be limited to those buffer areas where buffer functions are blocked by the road or other legally established development.
- (((c))) (d) New effective impervious surface restrictions:
  - (i) no new effective impervious surfaces are allowed within the buffer of streams, wetlands, lakes, or marine waters; and
  - (ii) total new effective impervious surfaces shall be limited to 10 percent within 300 feet of ((÷)) any streams or lakes containing salmonids, wetlands containing salmonids, or marine waters containing salmonids, except when:
    - (A) ((any streams or lakes containing salmonids;
    - (B) wetlands containing salmonids; or
    - (C) marine waters containing salmonids.)) the new effective impervious surfaces are not within a flow path to the ordinary highwater mark of a stream, lake, wetland, or marine waters containing salmonids; or
    - (B) the flow path from the new effective impervious surfaces is functionally and effectively disconnected from the stream, lake, wetland, or marine water containing

salmonids by an existing public or private road, or other legally established development that is to continue its legally established use.

- (((d))) (e) All development activities, actions requiring project permits, or clearing shall be designed to avoid the loss of or damage to trees in buffers due to blow down or other causes. If loss or damage does occur, mitigation measures must be taken to achieve no net loss of ecological values and functions.
- $((\frac{e}{e}))$  (f) All development activities, actions requiring project permits, or clearing shall be sited and designed to prevent the need for shoreline or bank stabilization and structural flood hazard protection measures for the life of the development except as allowed pursuant to SCC 30.62A.330(2)(b).
- ((f)) (g) The following measures for reducing buffer width and area may be used without a critical area study or mitigation plan:
  - (i) separate tract reductions. Up to a ((15)) 10 percent reduction of the standard buffer is allowed when the buffer and associated aquatic critical area are located in a separate tract as specified in SCC 30.62A.160(3);
  - (ii) fencing reductions. Up to a ((15)) <u>10</u> percent reduction of the standard buffer is allowed when a fence is installed along the perimeter of the buffer. The fence shall be designed and constructed as ((set forth below:)) specified in SCC 30.62A.160(5); and
    - (((A) the fence shall be designed and constructed to be a permanent structure;
    - (B) the fence shall be designed and constructed to clearly demarcate the buffer from the developed portion of the site and to limit access of landscaping equipment, vehicles, or other human disturbances;
    - (C) the fence shall allow for the passage of wildlife, with a minimum gap of one and one half feet at the bottom of the fence, and a maximum height of three and one half feet at the top; and
    - (D) the enhancement area complies with the enhancement ratios of Table 3; and))
  - (iii) for permanent fencing combined with separate tracts, the maximum reduction shall be limited to ((25)) 20 percent.
- (((g)))(h) The following buffer reduction methods are only allowed in conjunction with a critical area study, pursuant to SCC 30.62A.140, demonstrating that the methods will provide protection equivalent to the standard requirements contained in Tables 2a and 2b:
  - (i) ((the)) <u>Buffer averaging</u>. The width of a buffer may be averaged, by reducing the width of a portion of the buffer and increasing the width of another portion of the same buffer, if all of the following requirements are met:

- (A) averaging will not diminish the functions and values of the wetland(s), fish and wildlife habitat conservation area(s), or buffer(s);
- (B) the total area of the buffer on the subject property may not be less than the area that would have been required if averaging had not occurred;
- (C) the total area of buffer averaging shall be placed between the developed area and the wetland, lake, stream, or marine water;
- (D) no part of the width of the buffer may be less than ((50))  $\underline{75}$  percent of the standard required width ((or 25 feet, whichever is greater));
- (E) averaging of a buffer shall not be allowed where the reduction extends into associated sloping areas of 33 percent or greater; and
- (F) buffers on isolated ((-)) wetlands or lakes located in close proximity to other aquatic critical areas shall be connected by corridors of native vegetation where possible using the buffer averaging provisions of this section and the following criteria:
  - ((<del>(1)</del>)) <u>(I)</u> the width of the corridor connection between the aquatic critical areas shall be no less than the combined average of the standard buffers for each of the critical areas, provided that if there is not sufficient buffer area available when using averaging to establish a connection, a connection is not required;
  - $((\frac{2}{2}))$  (II) no more than 25 percent of the buffer of the individual critical areas shall be used to make a corridor connection; and
  - (((3))) (III) the corridor connection shall be established where feasible using the highest quality habitat existing between the critical areas((x; )).
- (ii) ((enhancement)) Enhancement reductions. Up to a ((25)) 20 percent reduction of the standard buffer width and a 25 percent reduction in area is allowed provided the project proponent demonstrates the enhancement complies with all of the following criteria:
  - (A) a comparative analysis of buffer functions and values prior to and after enhancement, demonstrates that there is no net loss of buffer functions and values:
  - (B) a full enhancement reduction shall only be allowed where it can be demonstrated that the existing buffer functions and values are non-existent or significantly degraded. Buffers with partial function may receive a partial or prorated reduction; and
  - (C) the total buffer area after reduction is not less than 75 percent of the total buffer area before reduction( $(\frac{1}{7})$ ).

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- (iii) ((reductions)) Reductions may be combined based on the following criteria:
  - (A) for enhancement combined with permanent fencing, the maximum reduction in width <u>shall be limited to 25 percent</u> and <u>the maximum reduction in area shall be limited to 30 percent</u>; and
  - (B) for enhancement combined with separate tracts, the maximum reduction in ((both)) width shall be limited to 25 percent and the maximum reduction in area shall be limited to 30 percent.
- (((h)))(i) When averaging is used in combination with any or all of the reduction methods contained in this section, the buffer width shall not be reduced to less than ((half)) 75 percent of the standard buffer widths contained in SCC subsection (1)(a) of this section, Tables 2a or 2b, and the maximum reduction in buffer area shall be limited to 30 percent.
- (2) Buffer standards and requirements mitigation required. All actions, structures, or facilities listed in this section are allowed <u>in buffers</u> only when they are determined to be unavoidable pursuant to SCC 30.62A.310(3) and are conducted according to the standards and requirements identified in this section. When a permit is required, an applicant must also provide a critical area study meeting the requirements of SCC 30.62A.140 and a mitigation plan meeting the requirements of SCC 30.62A.150.
  - (a) New utilities and transportation structures are allowed within buffers when:
    - (i) no other feasible alternative exists or the alternative would result in unreasonable or disproportionate costs; ((and))
    - (ii) location, design, and construction minimizes impacts to the buffers pursuant to SCC 30.62A.310((-)); and
    - (iii) for underground utility or transportation corridors, the entrance and exit portals shall be located completely outside of the buffer, and the corridor shall not alter the percolation of surface water through the soil column or the groundwater connection to adjacent critical areas as demonstrated by a professional hydrologist study.
  - (b) Stormwater ((detention/retention)) facilities are allowed pursuant to the requirements of SCC 30.63A.570 and the Snohomish County Drainage Manual.
  - (c) Access through buffers is allowed provided it is designed and constructed to be the minimum necessary to accommodate the use or activity.
  - (d) Construction of pedestrian walkways or trails in buffers is allowed when constructed with natural permeable materials and does not exceed 6 feet in width.
  - (e) Trimming of vegetation for purposes of providing a view corridor in a buffer is allowed provided that:

- (i) trimming shall not include felling, topping, or removal of trees and be limited to hand pruning of branches and vegetation;
- (ii) trimming and limbing of vegetation for the creation and maintenance of view corridors shall occur in accordance with the pruning standards of the International Society of Arboriculture (See articles published by the International Society of Arboriculture, Consumer Information Program, updated July, 2005);
- (iii) trimming shall be limited to view corridors of 30 feet wide or 50 percent of the lot width, whichever is less;
- (iv) no more than 30 percent of the live crown shall be removed; and
- (v) the activity will not increase the risk of landslide or erosion.
- (f) New shoreline and bank stabilization measures or flood protection are allowed pursuant to SCC 30.62A.330(2).
- (g) Reconstruction or replacement of buildings may be allowed provided the new building does not encroach further into a critical area or its buffer than did the original building being reconstructed or replaced.
- (3) Buffer standards and requirements mitigation ratios.
  - (a) The mitigation ratios in Table 3 shall apply to buffer impacts ((and mitigation measures)) that exceed those allowed in ((subsections (1)(f)(i), (1)(f)(ii), (1)(g)(i), and (1)(g)(ii) of this section)) SCC 30.62A.320(1).
  - (b) Except as provided in subsections (3)(c) and (d) of this section, to mitigate the loss of buffer functions and values, the ratios in Table 3 shall be required. The ratios are based upon the existing type of vegetative cover and are expressed in terms of the units of mitigation area needed to replace the lost functions and values of the impacted buffer area.
  - (c) Enhancement shall occur in accordance with enhancement criteria contained in ((subsections (1)(g)(ii)(A), (B) and (C) of this section)) SCC 30.62A.320(1)(g)(ii).
  - (d) For temporary impacts, the ratios shall be ((to be)) 1:1. Temporary impacts are those that can be restored to pre-disturbance conditions in one growing season.
  - (e) The following areas shall not be part of the buffer mitigation area:
    - (i) easements for utility corridors, stormwater facilities, rights-of-way, and streams conveyed underground;
    - (ii) driveways;

# (iii) roads; or

# (iv) any paved or graveled areas intended to convey vehicle or foot traffic.

**Table 3 Buffer Mitigation Ratios** 

Existing Riparian habitat vegetation type	Creation	Enhancement <sup>1</sup>
Mature forest	6:1	12:1
Non-mature forest	3:1	6:1
Shrub	2:1	4:1
Non-woody vegetation	1.5:1	3:1
No vegetated cover	1:1	2:1

**<sup>1</sup>** enhancement of the existing buffer is allowed in lieu of creation for up to one acre of buffer loss

(4) Wetland buffer standards and requirements – high intensity land use optional mitigation measures. The following optional mitigation measures and process requirements may be applied to reduce wetland buffer widths shown in SCC 30.62A.320(1)(a) Table 2b for high intensity land uses.

(a) Optional mitigation measure 1. To qualify for the reduced buffer widths listed in SCC 30.62A.320(1)(a) Table 2b, all applicable mitigation measures from Table 4 shall be used to minimize impacts to wetlands from high intensity land uses;

**Table 4 Mitigation Measures for High Intensity Land Uses** 

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Type of disturbance	Activities and uses that cause disturbances	Measures to minimize impacts
<u>Lights</u>	<ul> <li>Parking lots</li> <li>Warehouses</li> <li>Manufacturing</li> <li>Residential</li> <li>Commercial/industrial</li> <li>Recreation (e.g., athletic fields)</li> <li>Agricultural building</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Direct lights away from wetland</li> <li>Only use lighting where necessary for public safety and keep lights off when not needed</li> <li>Use motion-activated lights</li> <li>Use full cut-off filters to cover light bulbs and direct light only where needed</li> <li>Limit use of blue-white colored lights in favor of red-amber hues</li> <li>Dim light to the lowest acceptable intensity</li> </ul>
Noise	<ul> <li>Manufacturing</li> <li>Residential</li> <li>Industrial</li> <li>Recreation (e.g., athletic fields, bleachers, etc.)</li> <li>Agriculture</li> </ul>	Locate activity that generates noise away from the wetland     Construct a fence to reduce noise impacts on adjacent wetland and buffer     Plant a strip of dense shrub vegetation adjacent to wetland buffer
Toxic runoff *	<ul> <li>Parking lots</li> <li>Roads</li> <li>Manufacturing</li> <li>Residential areas</li> <li>Landscaping</li> <li>Application of pesticides</li> <li>Commercial/industrial</li> <li>Agriculture</li> </ul>	Route all new untreated runoff away from wetland while ensuring that wetland is not dewatered     Establish covenants governing use of pesticides within 150 feet of wetland     Apply integrated pest management
Stormwater runoff	<ul> <li>Parking lots</li> <li>Roads</li> <li>Manufacturing</li> <li>Residential areas</li> <li>Commercial/industrial</li> </ul>	Retrofit stormwater detention and treatment for roads and existing adjacent development     Prevent channelized flow from lawns that directly enters buffer     Infiltrate or treat, detain, and disperse new runoff from impervious surfaces and lawns

Type of disturbance	Activities and uses that cause disturbances	Measures to minimize impacts
	<ul> <li>Landscaping/ lawns</li> <li>Other impermeable surfaces, compacted soil, etc.</li> </ul>	
Pets and human disturbance	• Residential areas • Recreation	Use privacy fencing     Plant dense vegetation to delineate buffer edge and to discourage disturbance using vegetation appropriate for the ecoregion     Place wetland and its buffer in a separate tract     Place signs around the buffer every 50-200 feet, and for subdivisions place signs at the back of each residential lot     When platting new subdivisions, locate greenbelts, stormwater facilities, and other lower-intensity uses adjacent to buffers
<u>Dust</u>	• Tilled fields • Roads	Use best management practices to control dust

- \* These measures may not be adequate for minimizing toxic runoff if threatened or endangered species are present at the site.
- (b) Optional mitigation measure 2. For Category I, II, or III wetlands that score moderate or high for habitat (6 points or more for the habitat functions), to qualify for the reduced buffer widths listed in SCC 30.62A.320(1)(a) Table 2b, a habitat corridor shall be preserved that meets the following criteria:
  - (i) except as allowed in SCC 30.62A.320(4)(b)(ii), the habitat corridor shall connect the Category I or II wetland to any other wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer which is:
    - (A) on the same property or within the same development, including all phases proposed;
    - (B) on adjacent property and already protected as Native Growth Protection Area or Critical Area Protection Area or other permanently protected open space suitable for

# AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

# 30.62A.330 Standards and requirements for activities conducted within streams, lakes, and marine waters.

This section provides standards and requirements for activities conducted within streams, lakes, and marine waters. Protection of streams, lakes, and marine waters is inextricably linked to protection of the adjacent buffers. Standards and requirements for buffers adjacent to streams, lakes, and marine waters are found in SCC 30.62A.320.

- (1) Standards and requirements for streams, lakes, and marine waters no mitigation required. Any development activity, action requiring project permit, or clearing that does not encroach into streams, lakes, or marine waters and provides buffers consistent with the requirements of SCC 30.62A.320(1) satisfies the avoidance criteria of SCC 30.62A.310(3) and does not require mitigation.
- (2) Standards and requirements for streams, lakes, and marine waters mitigation required. All actions, structures, or facilities listed in this ((section)) subsection are allowed only when they are determined to be unavoidable pursuant to SCC 30.62A.310(3), and are conducted according to the standards and requirements identified in this ((section)) subsection. When a permit is required, an applicant must also provide a critical area study meeting the requirements of SCC 30.62A.140 and a mitigation plan meeting the requirements of SCC 30.62A.150.
  - (a) All development activities, actions requiring project permits, and clearing shall meet the following requirements:
    - (i) the project shall be sited and designed to prevent the need for shoreline or bank stabilization and structural flood hazard protection measures for the life of the development;
    - (ii) the project shall be sited and designed to avoid the need for new or maintenance dredging; and
    - (iii) the project shall not obstruct the source and movement of sediment from bluffs along marine waters except as necessary pursuant to subsection (2)(b) of this section.
  - (b) Shoreline and streambank stabilization and flood protection measures. Shoreline and streambank stabilization and flood protection measures are only allowed to protect an existing primary structure; new or existing utilities, roads, and bridges; agricultural land; or as part of a project where the sole purpose is to protect or restore wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, or buffers. Activities allowed under subsection (2)(b) of this section shall meet the following conditions:
    - (i) the applicant shall submit a geotechnical report as required pursuant to SCC 30.62B.140 which establishes that the stabilization or flood protection is necessary;
    - (ii) non-structural measures shall be used unless a geotechnical report indicates that the only alternative is use of structural stabilization measures;

- (iii) the activity shall avoid interrupting hyporheic zone continuity; and
- (iv) the activity should be designed and constructed based on the guidance contained in the Marine Shoreline Design Guidelines (Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife, 2014) and the Soft Shoreline Stabilization Shoreline Master Program Planning and Implementation Guidance (Washington State Department of Ecology, March 2014, Publication No. 14-06-009) as appropriate for the type of critical area impacted.
- (c) *Utility construction.* For utilities permitted under Title 30 SCC and Title 13 SCC, the following additional requirements shall apply:
  - (i) new utility crossings shall be bored beneath types S and F streams, and channel migration zones where feasible, and comply with SCC 30.62A.320(2)(a)(iii);
  - (ii) underground utilities shall avoid interrupting hyporheic zone continuity;
  - (iii) utilities shall be contained within the developed footprint of existing roads or utility crossings, where feasible;
  - (iv) utilities placement shall not increase or decrease the natural rate of shore migration, channel migration or longshore sediment transport within a drift cell;
  - (v) utilities placement shall avoid interrupting downstream movement of wood and sediment; and
  - (vi) new overhead electrical facilities are allowed when no other feasible alternative exists or the alternative would result in unreasonable or disproportionate costs, and the location, design and construction minimizes impacts to streams, lakes, and marine waters pursuant to SCC 30.62A.310.
- (d) Road crossings are subject to the following requirements:
  - (i) road crossings on fish-bearing streams shall be designed according to the guidelines set forth in Water Crossing Design Guidelines (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, May 9, 2013) or as subsequently amended or revised; ((and))
  - (ii) road crossings shall consider the guidelines set forth in Incorporating Climate Change into the Design of Water Crossing Structures: Final Project Report (Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife, revised November 2017) or as subsequently amended or revised; and
  - ((<del>(ii)</del>)) <u>(iii)</u> road crossings shall avoid interrupting natural rates of the downstream movement of woody debris and sediment.
- (e) Stream conveyances. Where feasible, stream conveyances shall avoid interrupting natural rates of the downstream movement of woody debris and sediment.

17

18

22 23

24

29

30 31

36 37

38

39

40

45

46

- (f) Docks, piers, and floats are subject to the following requirements:
  - (i) use of toxic or treated materials that will come in contact with the water is prohibited;
  - (ii) construction timing shall avoid critical life cycle stages of fish and wildlife:
  - (iii) these structures shall avoid critical saltwater habitats; and
  - (iv) joint use of docks, piers and floats shall be required where feasible.

Section 17. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.340, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

#### 30.62A.340 Standards and requirements for activities conducted in wetlands.

Protection of wetlands is inextricably linked to protection of the adjacent buffer areas. Standards and requirements for the buffers adjacent to wetlands are found in SCC 30.62A.320. Additional standards and requirements for development activities, actions requiring project permits, and clearing within wetlands are in this section.

- (1) Standards for wetlands prohibitions. The following actions are prohibited:
  - (a) Filling of estuarine wetlands, wetlands listed by the Washington Natural Heritage Program as having High Conservation Value, mature forested wetlands ((and)), Category I bogs, and old growth forest wetlands;
  - (b) Point discharges of stormwater into Category I bogs; and
  - (c) Septic systems and effective impervious surfaces within 300 feet of Category I bogs.
- (2) Standards for wetlands no mitigation required. All development activities, actions requiring project permits, and clearing that do not encroach into wetlands and provide buffers consistent with the requirements of SCC 30.62A.320(1) (((a) through (f))) and the prohibitions in subsection (1) of this section satisfy the avoidance criteria of SCC 30.62A.310(3) and do not require mitigation.
- (3) Standards for wetlands mitigation required. The actions, structures, and facilities listed in this ((section)) subsection are allowed only when they are determined to be unavoidable pursuant to SCC 30.62A.310, are consistent with the prohibitions in subsection (1) of this section, and are conducted according to the standards and requirements identified in this section. When a permit is required, an applicant must also provide a critical area study meeting the requirements of SCC 30.62A.140 and a mitigation plan meeting the requirements of SCC 30.62A.150.
  - (a) New utilities and transportation structures are allowed within wetlands provided no other feasible alternative exists and activities comply with SCC 30.62A.320(2)(a)(iii).

- (b) Stormwater ((detention/retention)) facilities are prohibited in Category I bogs pursuant to subsection (1)(b) of this section but are otherwise allowed pursuant to the requirements of SCC 30.63A.570 and the Snohomish County Drainage Manual.
- (4) Standards for wetlands mitigation requirements.
  - (a) <u>Mitigation type</u>. <u>Mitigation shall be provided through one of the following mechanisms listed in order of preference:</u>
    - (i) a mitigation bank established and managed by a third party that meets the requirements in SCC 30.62A.360(1) through (3);
    - (ii) an in-lieu fee program established and managed by a third party that meets the requirements of SCC 30.62A.360(4) and (5); or
    - (iii) project proponent mitigation provided that it is demonstrated through a critical areas study pursuant to SCC 30.62A.140 to be ecologically preferable to the mitigation options in SCC 30.62A.340(4)(a)(i) and (ii).
  - (b) Mitigation ratios ((-)). Except as provided in ((subsection (4)(b))) subsections (4)(d) and (e) of this section, to mitigate the loss of wetland functions, the ratios in Table ((4)) 5 shall be required. The ratios are expressed in terms of the units of area needed to replace the lost functions and values of the wetland.
  - (c) The following areas shall not be part of the mitigation area:
    - (i) easements for utility corridors, stormwater facilities, rights-of-way, and streams conveyed underground;
    - (ii) driveways;
    - (iii) roads; or
    - (iv) any paved or graveled areas intended to convey vehicle or foot traffic.
  - $((\frac{b}{b}))$  (d) For temporary impacts, the ratios shall be to be 1:1. Temporary impacts are those that can be restored to pre-disturbance conditions in one growing season.

Table ((4)) 5 Wetland Mitigation Ratios

Category/Type of Wetland	Creation	<u>Rehabilitation</u>	Enhancement <sup>1</sup>
All Category IV	1.5:1	<u>3:1</u>	(( <del>3:1</del> )) <u>6:1</u>
All Category III	2:1	<u>4:1</u>	(( <del>4:1</del> )) <u>8:1</u>

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Category/Type of Wetland	Creation	Rehabilitation	Enhancement <sup>1</sup>
Category II Estuarine	innovative development only	<u>4:1</u>	4:1
All other Category II	3:1	<u>6:1</u>	(( <del>6:1</del> )) <u>12:1</u>
Category I based on score for functions	4:1	<u>8:1</u>	(( <del>8:1</del> )) <u>16:1</u>
Category I listed by the Washington Natural Heritage Program as having High Conservation Value	Innovative development only	Innovative development only	Innovative development only
Category I Coastal Lagoon	Innovative development only	Innovative development only	Innovative development only
Category I Bog, Mature Forest, and Old Growth Forest Wetlands	Not allowed	<u>Innovative</u> <u>development</u> <u>only</u>	Innovative design only
Category I Estuarine	Innovative development only	<u>Innovative</u> <u>development</u> <u>only</u>	Innovative development only

- 1 Enhancement is allowed in lieu of creation for up to one acre of wetland fill
- (e) Credit-Debit Method. As an alternative to the ratios in Table 5, the department may allow the amount of mitigation required to be determined using the Credit-Debit Method in accordance with Calculating Credits and Debits for Compensatory Mitigation in Wetlands of Western Washington, Final Report, March 2012 (Department of Ecology, Publication # 10-06-011), or as subsequently amended or revised.
- (((c) To reduce wetland buffer widths from the width required for high intensity land uses, optional mitigation measures and process requirements may be applied to reduce wetland buffer widths as shown in SCC 30.62A.320(1)(a) Table 2b.
  - (i) Optional mitigation measures.
    - (A) Mitigation measure 1. All applicable mitigation measures from Table 5 may be used to mitigate impacts to wetlands from high intensity land uses. When fencing and/or separate tracts are used pursuant to this section additional buffer width reductions for fencing or separate tracts otherwise allowed in SCC 30.62A.320(1) shall not be applied;

#### **Table 5 Mitigation Measures for High Intensity Land Uses**

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Examples of disturbance	Activities and uses that cause disturbances	Examples of measures to minimize impacts
Lights	<ul> <li>Parking lots</li> <li>Warehouses</li> <li>Manufacturing</li> <li>Residential</li> </ul>	Direct lights away from wetland
Noise	Manufacturing     Residential	Locate activity that generates noise away from the wetland
Toxic runoff *	<ul> <li>Parking lots</li> <li>Roads</li> <li>Manufacturing</li> <li>Residential areas</li> <li>Landscaping</li> </ul>	Route all new untreated runoff away from wetland while ensuring that wetland is not dewatered     Establish covenants governing use of pesticides within 150 feet of wetland     Apply integrated pest management
Stormwater runoff	<ul> <li>Parking lots</li> <li>Roads</li> <li>Manufacturing</li> <li>Residential areas</li> <li>Commercial</li> <li>Landscaping</li> </ul>	Retrofit stormwater detention and treatment for roads and existing adjacent development     Prevent channelized flow from lawns that directly enters buffer
Change in water regime	Impermeable surfaces     Lawns     Tilling	Infiltrate or treat, detain, and disperse into buffer new runoff from impervious surface and new lawns
Pets and human disturbance	Residential areas	Use privacy fencing; plant dense vegetation to delineate buffer edge and to discourage disturbance using vegetation appropriate for

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Examples of disturbance	Activities and uses that cause disturbances	Examples of measures to minimize impacts
		the ecoregion; place wetland and its buffer in a separate tract

- \* These examples are not necessarily adequate for minimizing toxic runoff if threatened or endangered species are present at the site.
- (B) Mitigation measure 2. For Category I or II wetlands that score moderate or high for habitat (5 points or more for the habitat functions), a habitat corridor shall be preserved that meets the following criteria:
  - (I) Except as allowed in number (II) below, the habitat corridor shall connect the Category I or II wetland with a habitat score of 5 or more to any other wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area or buffer which is:
    - (aa) on the same property or within the same development, including all phases proposed;
    - (bb) on adjacent properties and already protected as Native Growth Protection Areas or Critical Area Protection Areas or other permanently protected open space suitable for wildlife habitat use and which either extends to the property boundary or connected by easement; or
    - (cc) on county, state or federal land used for forestry, conservation or passive recreation parks.
  - (II) The habitat corridor may connect to a stormwater detention facility, either onsite or on an adjacent site, if it is designed to replicate a natural pond or wetland.
  - (III) The habitat corridor shall meet the following minimum physical characteristics:
    - (aa) The corridor shall consist of a relatively undisturbed, vegetated corridor.
    - (bb) The corridor shall maintain an average width equal to the difference between the high intensity buffer and the standard buffer for the relevant Category I or II wetland as shown in Table 6, except when the corridor is connecting two Category I or II wetlands each with a habitat score of 5 or more and the corridor maintains an average width of 100 feet, it will fulfill the connection requirement for both wetlands.

#### **Table 6 Average Width for Habitat Corridor (Feet)**

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Wetland Category	Description	Standard Buffer Width	High Intensity Buffer Width	Average Habitat Corridor Width
Category I	Listed by the Washington Natural Heritage Program as having High Conservation Value	<del>190</del>	<del>250</del>	<del>60</del>
	Bogs	190	<del>250</del>	60
	Estuarine (at least 1 acre) & Coastal Lagoons	<del>150</del>	<del>200</del>	<del>50</del>
	High Level Habitat Function (habitat function score is 8 or greater)	<del>225</del>	300	<del>75</del>
	Moderate Level Habitat Function (habitat function score is 5-7)	110	<del>150</del>	40
Category	Estuarine (less than 1 acre)	110	<del>150</del>	40
	High Level Habitat Function (habitat function score is 5-7)	<del>225</del>	300	<del>75</del>
	Moderate Level Habitat Function (habitat function score is 5-8)	110	<del>150</del>	40

(cc) The corridor shall maintain a width at each connection not less than the required average width as described in subsection (4)(c)(i)(B)(III)(bb) of this section.

(dd) The director may approve alternative configurations which meet the intent of no net loss of habitat functions and values pursuant to SCC 30.62A.350.

(IV) The following activities are allowed within the habitat corridor:

(aa) If the corridor maintains an average width of 100 feet or more, an unpaved trail - narrow single file walking path no bicycles or motorized vehicles allowed - may be allowed.

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

(bb) Vegetation management is allowed as follows:

(A) hazardous tree management - creation of snags and down logs is favored over tree removal whenever possible

(B) hand removal of invasive plant species

(C) when trails are allowed as per subsection (4)(c)(i)(B)(IV)(aa) of this section, minimal trail maintenance is also allowed

(D) restorative/enhancement plantings with native species to increase species diversity or replace plants lost to disease or damage; and

(E) planting with native species along outer edge of corridor to increase plant density and discourage disturbance or intrusion.

(ii) Process requirements in Part 100 shall be supplemented with the necessary information to document the mitigation locations and protection requirements, provide an assessment of functions and values and evaluation of the level of protection achieved by the mitigation measures and establish provisions for permanent protection.))

Section 18. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.350, last amended by Ordinance No. 15-103 on January 11, 2016, is amended to read:

## 30.62A.350 Innovative development design.

- (1) A project permit applicant may request approval of an innovative design <u>based on best available science</u>, which addresses wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer treatment in a manner that deviates from the standards contained in Part 300. <u>The innovative design shall comply with all reporting, monitoring, and performance standards of this chapter not subject to the proposed deviation.</u> The applicant shall demonstrate in a critical area study <u>and mitigation plan</u> required pursuant to SCC 30.62A.140 <u>and SCC 30.62A.150</u> why the standards of <u>Part 300 cannot be met and how the innovative development design complies with the following requirements:</u>
  - (a) The innovative design will achieve protection <u>at least</u> equivalent to the treatment of the functions and values of the critical area(s) which would be obtained by applying the standard prescriptive measures contained in this chapter;
  - (b) Applicants for innovative designs are encouraged to consider measures prescribed in guidance documents, such as watershed conservation plans or other similar conservation plans, and low impact stormwater management strategies that address ((wetlands)) wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer protection consistent with this section; and

Page **79** of **117** 

- (c) The innovative design will not be materially detrimental to the public health, safety, or welfare or injurious to other properties or improvements located outside of the subject property.
- (2) Applicants proposing development activities on properties designated as Urban Center ((Transit Pedestrian Village)) Light Rail Community, Mixed Use Corridor, or Urban Village on the county's Future Land Use Map may utilize the innovative design provisions in this section to deviate from the requirements in Part 300. Such deviations may include but are not limited to provisions related to avoidance of impacts, standard buffer widths, allowed uses in buffers and wetlands, and mitigation ratios ((and use of off-site mitigation)). The applicant shall demonstrate in a critical area study required pursuant to SCC 30.62A.140 and mitigation plan pursuant to SCC 30.62A.150:
  - (a) Why the deviation is necessary to implement the policies in the county's comprehensive plan ((General Policy Plan)), including the policies within the Land Use Element under objective LU 3.B, and the Natural Environment Element; and
  - (b) How the innovative development design achieves protection at least equivalent to the treatment of the functions and values of the critical area(s) which would be obtained by applying the standard prescriptive measures contained in Part 300.
  - Section 19. A new Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.360 is added to read:

### 30.62A.360 Mitigation banking and in-lieu fee program.

- (1) Mitigation banking. The department may approve the establishment and use of a wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer mitigation bank to provide compensatory mitigation required by this chapter. The department's approval may allow for deviations from the requirements of Parts 100 through 400 with respect to the treatment of wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, or buffers.
- (2) Criteria for approval of use of mitigation banks:
  - (a) The following must have been approved by the county and the federal, state, and local agencies with jurisdiction:
    - (i) a memorandum of agreement (MOA) defining guidelines for establishing a wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer mitigation banking program and an implementation manual establishing a mitigation bank at a specific site; and
    - (ii) the MOA and/or implementation manual shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, provisions for the following:
      - (A) specific criteria and standards for use of the mitigation bank;
      - (B) methods for tracking credits;

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- (C) an interagency oversight committee composed of representatives from each of the agencies with jurisdiction for the purpose of regulatory review and approval of banking activities;
- (D) permanent management and maintenance to assure the long-term viability of the bank site;
- (E) professional construction oversight to ensure successful construction of the mitigation bank site;
- (F) quantitative and qualitative performance standards;
- (G) systematic compliance and performance monitoring to determine the degree to which the site meets performance standards;
- (H) a schedule and timeline for compliance and performance monitoring;
- (I) contingency plans;
- (J) methods to be used to determine the functions and values of replacement wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area or buffers based on a watershed analysis;
- (K) provisions for assuring the funding of long-term maintenance of the bank and performance of mitigation and monitoring requirements;
- (L) a description of wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer mitigation ratios to be used and justification for these ratios based upon best available science. Mitigation ratios will be based upon consideration of factors including but not limited to the likelihood of success of the mitigation, the types and quality of wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, or buffers involved, research results, and monitoring results;
- (M) the mitigation plan requirements contained in SCC 30.62A.150; and
- (N) provisions for mitigation sequencing that requires at minimum that all proposals using a mitigation bank shall have made reasonable efforts to avoid and minimize impacts to wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and buffers.
- (b) Credits from a wetland mitigation bank certified under chapter 173-700 WAC may be used to compensate for impacts located within the service area specified in the mitigation bank instrument if all the following are met:
  - (i) the department determines that it would provide appropriate compensation for the proposed impacts;

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS, 30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS.

(ii) the proposed use of credits is consistent with the terms and conditions of the mitigation bank instrument; and

(iii) mitigation ratios are consistent with ratios specified in the mitigation bank instrument.

- (c) The use of the mitigation bank will result in equivalent treatment of the functions and values of the wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer to offset the impacts to critical areas functions and values on the project site such that the total net impact will be no net loss of critical area functions and values in the watershed in which the impacts will occur. For the purposes of this section, "watershed" means an area identified as a state of Washington water resource inventory area (WRIA) under WAC 173-500-040.
- (d) The creation and operation of the mitigation bank and development activity which utilizes the wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer bank, shall not create unmitigated long term or permanent adverse impacts to the critical functions and values of the wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, or buffers in the sub-drainage basin in which the impacts will occur. Critical functions and values listed at SCC 30.62A.220 are those that are important to the long-term ecological viability of the wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, or buffers in the sub-drainage basin.
- (3) The department shall make MOAs and mitigation banking documents available for public review and comment prior to approval.
- (4) In-lieu fee mitigation. The department may approve the establishment and use of a wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer mitigation in-lieu fee (ILF) program to provide compensatory mitigation required by this chapter. The department's approval may allow for deviations from the requirements of Parts 100 through 400 with respect to the treatment of wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, or buffers.
- (5) Criteria for the use of an approved ILF program:
  - (a) in-lieu fee mitigation shall be conducted in accordance with the guidance contained in Wetland Mitigation in Washington State Part 1: Agency Policies and Guidance (Version 2), Washington State Department of Ecology, US Army Corps of Engineers Seattle District, and U.S Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 (2021), Ecology Publication # 21-06-003, or latest revision;
  - (b) the department determines that an approved ILF program would provide appropriate compensation for the proposed impacts;
  - (c) the proposed use of credits is consistent with the terms and conditions of the approved ILF program;
  - (d) debits associated with the proposed impacts calculated by the applicant's qualified professional using the credit assessment method specified in the approved instrument for the ILF program; and

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS, 30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS.

(e) The impacts are located within the service area specified in the approved ILF program.

Section 20. A new Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.370 is added to read:

## 30.62A.370 Advance mitigation.

The department may approve the use of advance mitigation to provide compensatory mitigation required by this chapter. Advance mitigation shall be performed by the applicant and developed in accordance with Interagency Regulatory Guide: Advance Permittee-Responsible Mitigation, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Washington State Department of Ecology, and Washington State Department of Fish and Wildlife (2012), Ecology Publication #12-06-015, or latest revision, and Chapter 4.2 of Wetland Mitigation in Washington State Part 1: Agency Policies and Guidance (Version 2), Washington State Department of Ecology, US Army Corps of Engineers Seattle District, and U.S Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 (2021), Ecology Publication # 21-06-003, or latest revision. Credits for advance mitigation may not be sold or transferred to another applicant.

Section 21. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.410, last amended by Ordinance No. 17-039 on July 12, 2017, is amended to read:

#### 30.62A.410 Purpose.

This Part establishes standards and requirements for the protection of critical species and ((state natural)) habitats, which includes:

- (1) Species listed as threatened or endangered under RCW 77.12.020 and Title 16 United States Code:
- (2) Species <u>and habitats</u> of local importance designated under ((<del>SCC 30.62A.470; and</del>)) <u>SCC 30.62A.465</u> or through the nomination process under SCC 30.62A.470;
- (3) ((The following)) Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife State listed sensitive species((÷
  - (a) Larch mountain salamander;
  - (b) Common loon;
  - (c) Peregrine falcon:
  - (d) Olympic mudminnow;
  - (e) Pygmy whitefish;
  - (g) Bald eagle; and

(f) Gray whale;

## (h) Margined sculpin.)); and

(4) State natural area preserves, natural resource conservation areas, and state wildlife areas. collectively referred to as "state natural habitats."

6 7

5

Section 22. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.420, last amended by Ordinance No. 17-039 on July 12, 2017, is amended to read:

8 9 10

## 30.62A.420 Applicability.

11 12

13

14

15

16

(1) The provisions of this Part shall apply as of the effective date of the listing to all development activities, actions requiring project permits, and clearing occurring on a site containing a primary association area for a critical species. The provisions of this Part shall also apply to all development activities, actions requiring project permits, and clearing within a habitat of local importance or state natural habitat. The provisions of this Part shall apply in addition to any other requirements of this chapter.

17 18 19

20

21

22

(2) Actions subject to this chapter not requiring a project permit should consult with state or federal resource agencies with technical expertise and/or regulatory authority over such critical species or habitat or necessary protection measures and comply with the administrative rules for the species adopted pursuant SCC 30.62A.430.

23 24

Section 23. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.430, last amended by Ordinance No. 17-039 on July 12, 2017, is amended to read:

25 26

#### 30.62A.430 Administrative rules authorized.

27 28 29

30

In order to protect critical species and ((their)) habitats ((and state natural habitats)), the department shall develop administrative rules under chapter 30.82 SCC that establish protection requirements specific to these species and ((their)) habitats ((and state natural habitats)).

31 32 33

Section 24. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.440, last amended by Ordinance No. 17-039 on July 12, 2017, is amended to read:

34 35 36

#### 30.62A.440 Administrative rules - minimum protection requirements.

37 38

In developing administrative rules under this section, the department shall consider establishing at least the following minimum protections:

39 40 41

42

(1) Establishment of the primary association area. For critical species that are fish, the primary association area includes, but is not limited to, the buffer of the associated stream, lake, wetland, or marine water;

43 44 45

(2) Limitation on development activities within the primary association area;

(3) Limitation on access to the primary association area;

46 47

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

5 6

7 8 9

14 15

16 17 18

19 20 21

22 23 24

25 26 27

29 30 31

28

32 33 34

35 36 37

38

39 40 41

42 43

45

44

46 47

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS, 30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS.

(4) Provisions for seasonal restrictions on construction activities where appropriate;

(5) Preservation of habitat for the critical species:

(6) Permanent protection pursuant to SCC 30.62A.160; ((and))

(7) Protection of habitats of local importance; and

(((7))) (8) Protection of state natural habitats.

Section 25. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.450, last amended by Ordinance No. 17-039 on July 12, 2017, is amended to read:

## 30.62A.450 General standards and requirements.

Proponents for all development activities, actions requiring project permits, or clearing shall make all reasonable efforts to avoid and minimize impacts to critical species and ((state natural)) habitats pursuant to the requirements of this section, in the following sequential order of preference:

- (1) Avoid impacts altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action; or
- (2) When avoidance is not possible, minimize impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation, using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps, such as project redesign, relocation, or timing, to avoid or reduce impacts; and
- (3) Comply with rules adopted pursuant to SCC 30.62A.430 and a habitat assessment and management plan when required pursuant to SCC 30.62A.460.

Section 26. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.460, last amended by Ordinance No. 17-039 on July 12, 2017, is amended to read:

## 30.62A.460 Habitat assessment and management plan contents.

For any development activity or action requiring a project permit occurring within the primary association area of a critical species ((er)), habitats of local importance, state natural habitats, special flood hazard areas, or Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) areas mapped by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife (WDFW), the ((director)) department may require all or a portion of the following:

(1) A critical area study meeting the requirements of SCC 30.62A.140;

(2) A map drawn to scale or survey showing the location and description of the primary association area(s) of the critical species or ((state natural)) critical habitats on the subject property;

13 14 15

16

23 24

25 26

27 28 29

31 32 33

30

35 36 37

38

39

40

34

41 42 43

44 45

- (3) Evidence of use of the site by a critical species, including the location and nature of use;
- (4) An assessment of how the proposed activities will affect the critical species and/or its habitat or the ((state natural)) critical habitat, and how the proposal will avoid, minimize, or mitigate impacts to those critical species ((and their habitats)) or ((state natural)) habitats pursuant to SCC 30.62A.450. The department shall waive this requirement when a proposed activity is consistent with the protection standards adopted in an administrative rule developed pursuant to SCC 30.62A.430; ((and))
- (5) If applicable, the assessment shall include a description of the impact of the proposed development on existing floodplain and instream habitat functions and processes prepared in accordance with Regional Guidance for Floodplain Habitat Assessment and Mitigation in the Puget Sound Basin, FEMA Region 10 (2013), or latest revision; and
- ((<del>(5)</del>)) (6) In the absence of an adopted administrative rule governing a listed species or ((state natural)) habitat, the applicant shall provide a habitat assessment and management plan consistent with the minimum requirements of SCC 30.62A.440. In addition, the habitat assessment and management plan shall contain an assessment of best available science applicable to the species or ((the state natural)) habitat, demonstrating how the proposal will provide sufficient protection of the critical species and its habitat or the ((state natural)) critical habitat. Applicants are encouraged to consult with the department, and federal and state agencies with technical expertise or regulatory jurisdiction.
  - Section 27. A new Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.465 is added to read:

## 30.62A.465 Designation of species and habitats of local importance.

- (1) Snohomish County designates the species and habitats in the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife Priority Habitat and Species (PHS) List, last updated June 2023, that are located in Snohomish County as species and habitats of local importance.
- (2) Snohomish County designates the rare and high-quality ecosystems, and the rare plant species identified by the Washington Department of Natural Resources Natural Heritage Program (WNHP), last updated in 2024, that are located in Snohomish County as species and habitats of local importance.
- (3) The department shall develop an administrative rule listing the species and habitats of local importance. The department shall review the PHS Program and WNHP listings annually and make updates to the administrative rule as necessary for consistency with these programs. The annual review shall commence in January.
- Section 28. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.470, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

#### 30.62A.470 ((Species)) Nomination of species and habitats of local importance.

This section provides the process for the designation, nomination, and protection of <u>additional</u> species <u>and habitats</u> of local importance <u>beyond those designated under SCC 30.62A.465</u>. The designation, nomination, and protection strategies shall be based on best available science.

- (1) Designation criteria.
  - (a) Designation of species <u>or habitats</u> of local importance must be based on both the following circumstances:
    - (i) protection of the ((native)) species and its primary association area through existing policies, laws, regulations, or non-regulatory tools is not adequate to prevent degradation of the species in the county; and
    - (ii) the primary association area nominated to protect a particular species is high quality ((native)) habitat or has a high potential to be high quality habitat, or provides landscape connectivity which contributes to the designated species' preservation.
  - (b) In addition to the requirements in SCC 30.62A.470(1)(a), designation of species or habitats of local importance must also be based on one or more of the following circumstances:
    - (i) local populations of a ((native)) species are in danger of extirpation based on existing trends;
    - (ii) local populations of a ((native)) species are likely to become threatened or endangered under state or federal law;
    - (iii) local populations of a ((native)) species are vulnerable or declining;
    - (iv) the ((native)) species has recreational, commercial, or tribal significance; ((er))
    - (v) long-term persistence of a ((native)) species is dependent on the protection, maintenance, and/or restoration of the nominated primary association area((-1));
    - (vi) The Washington Department of Natural Resources Natural Heritage Program (WNHP) prioritizes the species or habitat; or
    - (vii) The Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife identifies the species or habitat within their Priority Habitats and Species (PHS) Program.
- (2) *Petition Contents.* The petition to nominate a species <u>or habitat</u> of local importance shall contain all the following:
  - (a) A map showing the nominated primary association area location(s);

- (b) An environmental checklist in conformance with SCC 30.61.100;
- (c) A written statement that
  - (i) identifies which designation criteria form the basis of the nomination;
  - (ii) includes supporting evidence that designation criteria are met; and
  - (iii) indicates what specific habitat feature(s) or plant communities are to be protected (e.g., nest sites, breeding areas, and nurseries);
- (d) Recommended management strategies for the species, supported by the best available science and which meet the minimum requirements of SCC 30.62A.440; and
- (e) An economic analysis identifying the cost of implementing a mitigation or protection plan and the financial impact of the requested designation on affected properties or local governments.
- (3) Approval Process.
  - (a) *Timing.* Nominations for species <u>or habitats</u> of local importance will be considered by the council no more than once per year. The department will accept proposals for amendments at any time; however, proposals received after July 31st of each year will be processed in the next annual review cycle.
  - (b) *Process.* The county may include a species <u>or habitat</u> of local importance for protection pursuant to this section through adoption of legislation by the council. The council considers whether to adopt a motion to list a species <u>or habitat</u> of local importance through the following process:
    - (i) any person may nominate species <u>or habitat</u> for designation by submitting a petition meeting the requirements of SCC 30.62A.470(2) and payment of fees as required by chapter 30.86 SCC;
    - (ii) the department shall complete a SEPA threshold determination and provide notice of the petition as required under SCC 30.70.045 for SEPA threshold determinations associated with a project permit;
    - (iii) the department shall review the submittal of the petitioner, and coordinate and assemble all available comments of the public, other county departments, and other agencies. Based on the available record, and any other information that may be available, the department shall provide a staff report and recommendation to the council concerning whether the petition meets the requirements for approval;
    - (iv) the department shall submit to the executive an executive/council approval form (ECAF) containing the staff recommendation, all relevant SEPA documents, and a proposed motion which provides for disposition of the petition; and

- (v) upon delivery of an ECAF to the council by the executive, the proposed motion will be subject to the requirements of chapter 2.48 SCC.
- (c) Cost of environmental studies. Any person submitting a petition to nominate a species of local importance shall pay the cost of environmental review and studies necessary under SEPA, as required under chapter 30.61 SCC. The person may, at his or her own expense and to the extent determined appropriate by the responsible official, provide additional studies or other information.
- (4) Establishment of specific rules for protection. Within 120 days of an action by the council, the department shall develop an administrative rule pursuant to chapter 30.82 SCC addressing protection of the species or habitat of local importance in compliance with this section.
- (5) The department may establish administrative procedures necessary to administer this section.
- Section 29. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.510, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

#### 30.62A.510 Minor development activity exceptions.

- (1) Certain minor development activities may occur in or cause impacts to wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, or buffers provided the project proponent complies with best management practices (BMPs) adopted through rulemaking pursuant to chapter 30.82 SCC and all known and available reasonable technology (AKART) appropriate for compliance with this chapter to ensure no net loss of functions or values. ((Best management practices)) BMPs are physical, structural, or managerial practices which have gained general acceptance by professionals in the appropriate field to avoid, minimize, and mitigate adverse impacts to the functions and values of critical areas.
- (2) All minor development activities authorized in ((this section)) SCC 30.62A.510(3) shall comply with administrative BMP rules upon adoption. Prior to adoption of such administrative rules, project proponents shall comply with all known and available BMPs as defined in subsection (1) of this section. The ((director)) department shall adopt BMPs for the minor development activities listed in this section pursuant to the rulemaking provisions of chapter 30.82 SCC.
- (3) The following minor development activities may occur pursuant to this section:
  - (a) Normal maintenance and repair that does not expand the footprint of existing:
    - (i) improved public and private road rights-of-way,
    - (ii) utility corridors,
    - (iii) trails,

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- (iv) utility facilities,
- (v) flood protection and bank stabilization structures,
- (vi) stormwater facilities((;)), and
- (vii) structures;
- (b) Minor replacement, modification, extension, installation, or construction by a utility purveyor in an improved public road right-of-way;
- (c) Survey or monument placement;
- (d) Minor replacement or modification of existing facilities by a utility purveyor in an improved utility corridor;
- (e) Minor replacement or modification by a utility purveyor of individual utility service lines connecting to a utility distribution system;
- (f) Minor replacement, modification, minor installation or construction in an improved road right-of-way by the county or by the holder of a current right-of-way use permit;
- (g) ((All development activities in non-riparian Category II and III wetlands smaller than 5,000 square feet, and non-riparian Category IV wetlands smaller than 10,000 square feet, and their associated buffers;)) Forest practices that are exempt from local regulation and conducted pursuant to the Forest Practices Act, chapter 76.09 RCW, and implementing regulations in title 222 WAC. This section does not apply to development activity or actions requiring a Class IV General forest practices permit pursuant to chapter 30.43F SCC;
- (h) Removal of invasive weeds;
- (i) Felling or topping of hazardous trees based on review by a qualified arborist;
- (j) Minor replacement, modification, or installation of <u>enhancement projects related to</u> drainage, water quality, or habitat ((enhancement projects));
- (k) All other on-going lawfully established development activities not specifically addressed in this chapter; ((and))
- (I) Site investigative work necessary for land use application submittals pursuant to this title, such as surveys, soil borings, test pits, percolation tests, non-mechanical survey monument placement, data collection by non-mechanical means or other related activities, provided that the work is otherwise consistent with the provisions of other local, state, and federal laws and regulations. Land disturbance shall be no greater than that necessary to accomplish the site investigative work and disturbed areas shall be restored to pre-disturbance conditions in one growing season((-)); and

demonstrated through a critical areas study under SCC 30.62A.140 may be filled provided their impacts are fully mitigated under SCC 30.62A.340:

(a) the wetland is not associated with fish and wildlife conservation areas or their buffers;

(b) the wetland is not associated with shorelines of statewide significance or their buffers;

(c) the wetland is not part of a wetland mosaic consisting of multiple small wetlands;

(d) the wetland does not have a habitat function score of 6 or more points; and

(e) the wetland is not a primary association area for critical species, located in a state natural habitat, or mapped as a priority habitat and species (PHS) area by the Washington Department of Fish and Wildlife.

 (5) Category IV wetlands less than 10,000 square feet that meet the criteria in SCC 30.62A.510(4)(a) through (e) as demonstrated through a critical areas study under SCC 30.62A.140 are exempt from the buffer requirements contained in this chapter and may be filled provided their impacts are fully mitigated per SCC 30.62A.340.

Section 30. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.520, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

30.62A.520 Single family residential development exceptions in buffers.

((New)) On lots existing prior to October 1, 2007, new single family residential development, expansions of existing single family residences, and ordinary residential improvements ((on lots existing prior to October 1, 2007)) are allowed in buffers only as follows:

(1) The development cannot <u>feasibly</u> comply with the ((<del>standard</del>)) <u>buffer width</u> requirements contained in PART 300 of this chapter;

(2) The development shall not disturb more than 4,000 square feet of the buffer;

(3) There is not 4,000 square feet of area available for the development outside of the standard buffer;

(4) To the extent feasible, ((total effective new impervious areas shall be limited to 10 percent within 300 feet of all waters containing salmonids and bogs)) the development shall comply with the provisions of new effective impervious surface restrictions in SCC 30.62A.320(1)(d);

(5) Expansion of an existing single family residence or accessory structure may be allowed within a buffer provided the footprint of the expansion does not exceed 50 percent of the existing

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

4

9 10 11

12

13 14 15

16 17 18

19 20 21

22 23 24

25

26 27 28

29

30 31 32

33 34 35

36 37 38

39 40

42 43 44

41

46 47

45

structure or 2.000 square feet, whichever is less, and the expansion is set back from the critical area a distance which is greater than or equal to the setback of the original structure;

- (6) For new single family development, there must be no alternate location for the development outside of the buffer;
- (7) Development in the buffer shall be located to avoid impacts to critical species and habitats;
- (8) The buffer shall not be reduced to less than one half of the standard buffer as provided at SCC 30.62A.320(1)(a) or 25 feet, whichever is greater, provided that access road crossing may encroach further into the buffer when there are no feasible alternatives;
- (9) To the maximum extent feasible, the development shall be designed to avoid the removal of existing native vegetation with an emphasis on preservation of conifers greater than or equal to 24 inches diameter at breast height (dbh), and hardwoods greater than or equal to 20 inches dbh;
- (10) Utility service lines servicing a single family residence may be allowed in areas of the buffer containing native vegetation provided that the removal of any vegetation within the buffer shall be the minimum necessary to install the lines;
- (11) A permanent fence or other equivalent visual and physical barrier shall be installed along the edge of the reduced buffer, provided that the barrier may be installed at the edge of the naturally or restored vegetated part of the buffer;
- (12) ((Mitigation)) A mitigation plan pursuant to SCC 30.62A.150 shall be required for any encroachment into the buffer. Mitigation shall include, where beneficial, enhancement of existing buffers on the site based on the following criteria:
  - The enhanced buffer should be located between the residential structures and improvements and the aquatic critical area; and
  - (b) The ratio of the area of buffer enhanced to the area of the buffer encroached upon should be 2 to 1.
- Section 31. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.540, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

#### 30.62A.540 Reasonable use.

- (1) A project permit applicant who is unable to comply with the specific standards of this chapter without forfeiting all economically viable use of the property may seek approval of a "reasonable use" allowance under this section. The application must be made on a form provided by the department and accompany a project permit application.
- (2) To qualify as a reasonable use, the ((director)) department shall find that the proposal meets the following criteria:

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- (a) Application of this chapter will deny all economically viable use of the subject property. In making this determination, the ((director)) department shall also determine that:
  - (i) the subject property is an existing legal lot and the inability to derive reasonable use of the subject property is not the result of actions by the applicant in segregating, dividing or creating a condition on the site after April 1, 1995; and
  - (ii) the inability to derive all reasonable use of the subject property is not the result of prior actions taken in violation of this title or any other local, state, or federal law or regulation; and
- (b) The proposed development activity meets all other requirements of this title, does not otherwise constitute a nuisance or pose a threat to public health, safety, and welfare on or off the site.
- (3) If the ((director)) department determines that a project permit application meets the requirements of SCC 30.62A.540(2), the project permit application may be approved where the ((director)) department finds:
  - (a) The applicant has complied with Part 100 of this chapter;
  - (b) After review of the project under this chapter, there is no other permitted use of the property with less impact on wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, or buffers;
  - (c) The proposed alteration of a wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer is the minimum necessary to allow for reasonable use of the property. Activities shall be located as far away as possible from wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, and buffers and low impact development techniques shall be used to the maximum extent possible. In all cases, disturbance of a wetland, stream, marine water, or lake may only occur if no reasonable use can be achieved by disturbance of a buffer associated with that feature;
  - (d) The proposed activity is located to minimize impacts to critical species;
  - (e) If a reasonable use of a parcel cannot exist without modification of the required front, side, or rear setbacks or other bulk standards, the department may consider modifying those standards only to the extent necessary to provide for a reasonable use, while providing as much protection as is possible under the circumstances to critical areas, while maintaining the public health, safety, and welfare. This section shall not relieve an applicant from the obligation of complying with applicable variance procedures set forth in chapters 30.43B and 30.43E SCC or other applicable modification procedures adopted under this title; ((and))
  - (f) ((To the greatest extent feasible, the)) The project ((includes compensation and)) applicant shall provide mitigation for unavoidable impacts to the functions and values of critical areas regulated under this chapter in accordance with the requirements of SCC 30.62A.150((-)); and

(g) The maximum disturbance area impacting a wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area, or buffer may be no greater than 4,000 square feet. The disturbance area includes the primary structure and any appurtenant development connected to the use and enjoyment of the primary structure, including garages, decks, driveways, parking, on-site septic systems, and lawn or other nonnative landscaping.

Section 32. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.550, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is repealed:

#### ((30.62A.550 Mitigation banking and in lieu fee program.

- (1) The director may approve the establishment and use of a wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area or buffer mitigation bank to provide mitigation required by this chapter. The director's approval may allow for deviations from the requirements of Parts 100 through 400 with respect to the treatment of wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas or buffers.
- (2) Criteria for approval of use of mitigation banks:
  - (a) The following must have been approved by the county and the federal, state and local agencies with jurisdiction:
    - (i) a memorandum of agreement (MOA) defining guidelines for establishing a wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area or buffer mitigation banking program and an implementation manual establishing a mitigation bank at a specific site; and
    - (ii) the MOA and/or implementation manual shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, provisions for the following:
      - (A) specific criteria and standards for use of the mitigation bank;
      - (B) methods for tracking credits;
      - (C) an interagency oversight committee composed of representatives from each of the agencies with jurisdiction for the purpose of regulatory review and approval of banking activities;
      - (D) permanent management and maintenance to assure the long-term viability of the bank site:
      - (E) professional construction oversight to ensure successful construction of the mitigation bank site;
      - (F) quantitative and qualitative performance standards;
      - (G) systematic compliance and performance monitoring to determine the degree to which the site meets performance standards;

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- (H) a schedule and timeline for compliance and performance monitoring,
- (I) contingency plans;
- (J) methods to be used to determine the functions and values of replacement wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area or buffers based on a watershed analysis;
- (K) provisions for assuring the funding of long-term maintenance of the bank and performance of mitigation and monitoring requirements;
- (L) a description of wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area or buffer mitigation ratios to be used and justification for these ratios based upon best available science. Mitigation ratios will be based upon consideration of factors including but not limited to the likelihood of success of the mitigation, the types and quality of wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas or buffers involved, research results, and monitoring results;
- (M) the mitigation plan requirements contained in SCC 30.62A.150; and
- (N) provisions for mitigation sequencing that requires at minimum that all proposals using a mitigation bank shall have made reasonable efforts to avoid and minimize impacts to wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas and buffers.
- (b) The use of the mitigation bank will result in equivalent treatment of the functions and values of the wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area or buffer to offset the impacts to critical areas functions and values on the project site such that the total net impact will be no net loss of critical area functions and values in the watershed in which the impacts will occur. For the purposes of this section, "watershed" means an area identified as a state of Washington water resource inventory area (WRIA) under WAC 173-500-040.
- (c) The creation and operation of the mitigation bank and development activity which utilizes the wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area or buffer bank, shall not create unmitigated long term or permanent adverse impacts to the critical functions and values of the wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas or buffers in the sub-drainage basin in which the impacts will occur. Critical functions and values listed at SCC 30.62A.220 are those that are important to the long-term ecological viability of the wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas or buffers in the sub-drainage basin.
- (3) The director shall make MOAs and mitigation banking documents available for public review and comment prior to approval.
- (4) In-lieu fee mitigation.
  - (a) The director may approve the establishment and use of a wetland, fish and wildlife habitat conservation area or buffer mitigation in-lieu fee program to provide mitigation required by this chapter. The director's approval may allow for deviations from the

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

 requirements of Parts 100 through 400 of this chapter with respect to the treatment of wetlands, fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas or buffers.

(b) In-lieu fee mitigation shall be established in accordance with the guidance contained in "Guidance on In-lieu Fee Mitigation" (Washington State Department of Ecology, December 2012, or latest edition, Publication #12-06-012).))

Section 33. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.620, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

## 30.62A.620 General Agricultural Standards.

- Except as provided in SCC 30.62A.630, normal agricultural activities as defined in SCC 30.91A.090 or 30.91A.092, subject to this Part 600, are in compliance with this chapter when those activities are performed in accordance with subsection (1), (2) or (3) of this section and ensure no net loss of ecological functions and values of critical areas:
- (1) The best management practices contained in the latest edition of the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Field Office Technical Guide (FOTG);
- (2) Other recognized best management practices for such activity that protect the functions and values of critical areas, including those in the Voluntary Clean Water Guidance for Agriculture, Washington Department of Ecology Publication No. 20-10-008, revised August 2023, or as subsequently revised or amended, where the NRCS FOTG does not provide specific guidance or a best management practice; or
- (3) A farm conservation plan that includes provisions addressing critical areas protection specific to the farm site recommended by the NRCS or the Snohomish ((conservation district)) Conservation District (SCD), approved by the county and signed by the landowner. Any confidential or proprietary information contained in a farm conservation plan may be redacted prior to public disclosure.
- Section 34. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.630, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

### 30.62A.630 Special Agricultural Conditions.

- (1) Notwithstanding SCC 30.62A.620, agricultural activities as defined in SCC 30.91A.090 or 30.91A.092 subject to this Part 600 that meet one or more of the following special conditions shall comply with subsection (2) of this section:
  - (a) Agricultural activities that require a county permit or project approval except for a flood hazard permit required pursuant to chapter 30.43C SCC;
  - (b) In certain special flood hazard areas designated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) as specified in SCC 30.65.040, the construction of agricultural access or

service roads greater than six inches average and twelve inches maximum height above grade;

- (c) Agricultural activities that occur in a wetland, except where:
  - (i) The activity is exempt from wetland regulation under Section 404(f) of the federal Clean Water Act;
  - (ii) The activity is occurring in a non-riparian Category II or III wetland that is no greater than 5,000 square feet in size; or
  - (iii) The activity is occurring in a non-riparian Category IV wetland that is no greater than 10,000 square feet in size; and
- (d) Agricultural activities that bring land into agricultural use by removal of native woody vegetation or alteration of surface or ground water flows, other than that which results from normal cultivation.
- (2) The agricultural activities listed in subsection (1) of this section are in compliance with this chapter when those activities are performed as follows:
  - (a) The activity complies with Parts 000 through 500 of this chapter;
  - (b) The activity is done in compliance with a farm conservation plan, as described in SCC 30.62A.620(3); or
  - (c) The ((director)) department issues a written decision finding that the landowner's compliance with other state or federal regulations or permits provides sufficient protection on the site to satisfy related critical areas requirements of this chapter.

Section 35. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.640, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

#### 30.62A.640 Farm conservation plans and best management practices.

- (1) Farm conservation plans and best management practices described in SCC 30.62A.620 are subject to the approval of the county.
- (2) Farm conservation plans and best management practices shall:
  - (a) Specify when implementation will occur relative to project construction;
  - (b) Include provisions for monitoring and maintenance on a long term basis to determine whether the practices are successful((. The length of time for monitoring and maintenance should be sufficient to determine if performance standards have been achieved)); and

Page **97** of **117** 

2

8

12

13

14

18

24 25 26

27

28 29

23

34 35 36

37 38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45 46

- (c) Include provisions on a form approved by the department for the right to entry to the county for the purpose of inspection for the length of the monitoring and maintenance period. Prior to a site inspection the county shall provide reasonable notice to the property owner as to the purpose and need for entry.
- (3) The county and/or the farm operator shall monitor and report farm plan implementation and compliance provided in the farm plan. The farm plan should include periodic inspections by the county for the first two years after permit issuance or self-assessment and certification by the operator, or by other appropriate means thereafter as determined by the county.
- (4) Agricultural operations shall cease to be in compliance with this chapter when the department determines one of the following three conditions is met. In such an event, a new or revised farm conservation plan may be required or the noncompliance may be referred to the appropriate agency for enforcement:
  - (a) The operator fails to implement and maintain the farm plans and/or best management practices;
  - (b) It has been determined by the county that the farm conservation plan and/or best management practices fails to protect critical areas. If so a new or revised plan shall be required; or
  - (c) Substantial changes in the agricultural activities of the operation have occurred which render the current plan ineffective.
- (5) The county shall only retain summary information of that portion of the plan needed for permit approval and monitoring described in SCC 30.62A.640(2) and (3), including the general location of the operation, the nature of the activity, required permits and specific best management practices. The summary information shall be supplied to the county by the operator and used to document the basis for the county's approval of the plan. Any confidential or proprietary information contained in a farm conservation plan may be redacted prior to public disclosure.

Section 36. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.710, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

#### 30.62A.710 Monitoring and adaptive management program.

The ((Executive shall develop and implement a)) executive's monitoring and adaptive management program ((to establish a baseline and provide performance measures)) monitors and assesses impacts to critical areas to determine whether the ((County)) county is achieving no net loss through its policies and programs affecting wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, in conformance with the Natural Environment Element of the ((General Policy Plan of the)) comprehensive plan. ((The program along with a budget)) Program updates shall be submitted for approval to the ((County Council within six months of the effective date of this ordinance))county council.

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

9 10 11

12

13

8

18

19

24 25

26

31

39 40 41

42

37

38

43 44

45 46 47

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

30.62B.015 Intent.

RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS, 30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS.

Section 37. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62A.720, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

## 30.62A.720 Monitoring and adaptive management program - contents.

- (1) Monitored critical areas shall include wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.
- (2) The purpose of the monitoring and adaptive management program is to:
  - (a) Identify and collect meaningful data concerning the effectiveness of the county's programs and policies concerning protection of wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas: and
  - (b) Identify corrective actions in response to a clear indication that the county's programs are not sufficient to actually protect wetlands and fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas.
- (3) The monitoring and adaptive management program shall be based on best available science, and shall incorporate the following:
  - (a) Benchmarks that ((describe)) compare the state of indicators related to the condition of existing functions and values of the monitored critical areas ((and that are tied to the protective measures being assessed)) to the established baseline;
  - Data collection methods that ((provides)) provide accurate measurements of the indicators used to assess the conditions of functions and values of the monitored critical areas ((and that are tied to the protective measures being assessed)), including appropriate time periods for collection of data:
  - (c) Threshold levels for addressing management practices, regulations and other measures that are determined through data collection and monitoring to be negatively affecting functions and values of monitored critical areas((. Thresholds are to be set in light of the benchmarks for existing conditions and in accordance with scientifically-based habitat minimums)); and
  - (d) Strategies for adaptive management or addressing change to provide for expeditious action in reaction to reaching a threshold level. The monitoring and adaptive management program may provide for different strategies for action, depending on the critical area being monitored, the cause of the negative impacts to functions and values, and other variables.
- Section 38. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62B.015, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

It is the intent of this chapter to provide the protection required by chapter 36.70A RCW for

((wetlands and for fish & wildlife habitat conservation areas)) geologically hazardous areas while

 simultaneously protecting property rights. The county council nevertheless recognizes that implementation of some provisions of this chapter 30.62B SCC will inevitably entail some restriction of property rights. It is the intent of the county council that this chapter be always construed and interpreted so that property rights be restricted no further than strictly necessary for the critical area protection required under chapter 36.70A RCW.

Section 39. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62B.140, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

#### 30.62B.140 Geotechnical report requirements.

- (1) A geotechnical report will be required for any development activity, action requiring a project permit or clearing proposed within:
  - (a) An erosion hazard area;
  - (b) A landslide hazard area;
  - (c) Two hundred feet of a mine hazard area; or
  - (d) Two hundred feet of any faults.
- (2) The geotechnical report shall be prepared, stamped, and signed by ((a licensed)) <u>an</u> engineer or geologist <u>licensed</u> in the state of Washington and contain the following information relevant to the geologically hazardous area:
  - (a) The topography at contour intervals of five feet unless the underlying project permit requires a lesser interval;
  - (b) Significant geologic contacts, landslides, or downslope soil movement on and within 200 feet of the site;
  - (c) A channel migration zone study when required pursuant to SCC 30.62B.330(2);
  - (d) Impervious surfaces, wells, drain fields, drain field reserve areas, roads, easements, and utilities on the site;
  - (e) The location or evidence of any springs, seeps, or other surface expressions of groundwater;
  - (f) The location or evidence of any surface waters;

(g) Identification of all existing fill areas;

- (h) The location and extent of all proposed development activity;
- (i) A discussion of the geological condition of the site including:

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- (i) a description of the soils in accordance with the Natural Resource Conservation Service indicating the potential for erosion;
- (ii) engineering properties of the soils, sediments, and rocks on the subject property and adjacent properties and their effect on the stability of the slope;
- (iii) a description of the slope in percent gradient;
- (iv) the location or evidence of seismic faults and soil conditions indicating the potential for liquefaction; and
- (v) a hazard analysis and finding of risks associated with geologic hazards and the potential impacts to public safety, the hazard area and the subject property;
- (j) The proposed method of drainage and locations of all existing and proposed surface and subsurface drainage facilities and patterns, and the locations and methods for erosion control;
- (k) The extent and type of existing vegetative cover;
- (I) A vegetation management and restoration plan prepared by persons experienced in vegetation management and restoration plans such as botanists, landscape architects and certified arborist, or other means for maintaining long-term stability of slopes;
- (m) Analysis of erosion rates, slope recession rates and potential impacts to existing or proposed development from wave cutting, stream meandering, or other erosional forces to determine the recommended solution for bank or shoreline stabilization or flood protection in conformance with SCC 30.62B.320(2);
- (n) Analysis of soil borings when the geology of an area is uncertain; and
- (o) Any other information determined by the department to be necessary to determine compliance with this chapter including but not limited to the use of LIDAR, technical reports, studies or documents related to geologic hazards and models for estimating how far landslide materials will travel.
- (3) The geotechnical report shall include a summary or abstract of the report for the property where the development activity is proposed. The abstract shall at a minimum include the type of hazard, extent of the hazard, hazard analysis and geologic conditions.

Section 40. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62B.330, last amended by Ordinance No. 19-022 on June 26, 2019, is amended to read:

## 30.62B.330 Erosion hazard areas - Channel migration zones.

(1) This section establishes specific standards and requirements for development activities, actions requiring a project permit or clearing in channel migration zones ((adjacent to the following rivers ÷)).

**Table 1 Potential Channel Migration Zone Locations** 

River Name	River Sections (mi)
North Fork Skykomish River	0.00 - 8.64
North Fork Stillaguamish River	0.00 - 35.18
Pilchuck Creek	0.00 - 6.96
Pilchuck River	0.00 - 36.17
Sauk River	All
Skykomish River	0.00- 29.15
Snohomish River & Sloughs	All
Snoqualmie River	0.00 - 5.41
South Fork Skykomish River	0.00 - 6.71
South Fork Stillaguamish River	0.00 - 43.07
Stillaguamish River & Sloughs	All
Sultan River	0.00 - 7.64
Wallace River	0.00 - 7.71

(a) The location and extent of a channel migration zone adjacent to the river sections identified in Table 1 shall be determined by a channel migration zone study required under SCC 30.62B.330(2), or other best available science.

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS, 30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS.

10

11 12

13

- (b) Where best available science identifies a channel migration zone adjacent to any river or river section not listed in Table 1, the protection standards in SCC 30.62B.330(3) shall apply.
- (2) The department may require a channel migration zone study when a development activity or action requiring a project permit is proposed to occur in areas where evidence indicates channel migration is likely, in accordance with the following requirements:
  - (a) The study shall be conducted in accordance with Section 2 of the Forest Practices Board Manual (((Title 222 WAC))), Standard Methods for Identifying Bankfull Channel Features and Channel Migration Zones, Department of Natural Resources, November, 2004, or A Framework for Delineating Channel Migration Zones, Washington State Department of Ecology, November 2003 (Publication No. 03-06-027), except that areas behind natural or manmade features which limit channel migration that allow fish passage shall not be included in the channel migration zone;
  - (b) The study shall be performed under the direction of a qualified professional with experience in fluvial geomorphology or river hydraulics;
  - (c) The study shall contain the following:
    - (i) a determination of the presence of channel migration, and if present, the delineation of the channel migration zone;
    - (ii) an analysis of the impacts of potential channel migration on the proposed development activity; and
    - (iii) an analysis of the impacts of the proposed development activity on the channel migration zone.
- (3) Channel Migration Zone (CMZ) standards and requirements.

All development activities, actions requiring a project permit and clearing are prohibited in the channel migration zone, except as provided below:

- (a) removal of hazardous trees;
- (b) new utility facilities based on the following requirements;
  - (i) pipelines shall be bored 10 feet beneath the thalweg scour depth of the river within the CMZ;
  - (ii) surface utilities such as power transmission lines shall be located away from the current channel if feasible; and if not feasible, foundations within the CMZ shall be designed as in-channel structures if determined by the department to be necessary;

- (c) new public bridges and transportation structures when no other feasible alternative exists or the alternative would result in unreasonable and disproportionate costs;
- (d) boat ramps;
- (e) normal maintenance or repair of existing flood control and bank stabilization structures, buildings, roads, bridges and utilities;
- (f) shoreline and bank stabilization and flood protection measures pursuant to the general requirements contained SCC 30.62B.320(2);
- (g) habitat restoration and enhancement projects;
- (h) mitigation banks; and
- (i) public parks intended to create or preserve open space, provide public access to shorelines of statewide significance, or provide passive recreation opportunities. For the purposes of this subsection, passive recreation may include, but is not limited to, memorials, interpretive facilities, seasonal primitive camping, and soft surface trails, as well as support infrastructure for those amenities, such as parking. All development subject to this subsection shall meet the following specific performance standards and be designed, to the greatest extent possible, to not inhibit channel migration:
  - (i) total impervious surface area shall not exceed the lesser of 10 percent of the site area or two acres;
  - (ii) the maximum footprint of any individual building shall not exceed 600 square feet, and the aggregate square footage of buildings on the site shall not exceed 2,400 square feet; and
  - (iii) improvements shall be removed or relocated if at any time the ordinary high water mark of the river channel is within two years of the average migration rate distance of such improvements.
- Section 41. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62C.015, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

#### 30.62C.015 Intent.

It is the intent of this chapter to provide the protection required by chapter 36.70A RCW for ((wetlands and for fish & wildlife habitat conservation areas)) critical aquifer recharge areas while simultaneously protecting property rights. The county council nevertheless recognizes that implementation of some provisions of this chapter 30.62C SCC will inevitably entail some restriction of property rights. It is the intent of the county council that this chapter be always construed and interpreted so that property rights be restricted no further than strictly necessary for the critical area protection required under chapter 36.70A RCW.

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Section 42. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62C.130, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

## 30.62C.130 Submittal requirements.

- (1) For any development activity or action requiring a project permit, the applicant shall submit a site development plan drawn to a standard engineering scale which includes:
  - (a) Boundary lines and dimensions of the subject property;
  - (b) Boundary lines and dimensions of the site:
  - (c) Topography at contour intervals of five feet unless the underlying project permit requires a lesser interval;
  - (d) Location, size, and type of any existing structures, cleared areas or other existing improvements;
  - (e) Location, size, and type of all proposed structures and development activities requiring project permits and clearing on the site;
  - (f) Location, size, and type of all critical aquifer recharge areas on the subject property;
  - (g) Location of all other critical areas regulated pursuant to chapters 30.62A, 30.62B and 30.65 SCC on and within ((200)) 300 feet of the site; and
  - (h) Location of structure setbacks as required in ((chapter)) chapters 30.62A SCC, 30.62B SCC and ((chapter)) 30.23 SCC; and
- (2) A hydrogeologic report as required pursuant to SCC 30.62C.140.

Section 43. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62C.140, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

#### 30.62C.140 Hydrogeologic report and mitigation plan.

- (1) A hydrogeologic report is required ((for)) when any of the following conditions apply:
  - (a) any activity or use requiring a project permit regulated in ((Part 300)) SCC 30.62C.330 when proposed within a critical aquifer recharge area with low groundwater sensitivity;
  - (b) any activity or use requiring a project permit regulated in SCC 30.62C.340 when proposed within a critical aquifer recharge area;
  - (c) any activity or use requiring a project permit regulated in SCC 30.62C.345 and proposed within a sole source aquifer, Group A wellhead protection area, or critical aquifer recharge area with high or medium groundwater sensitivity;

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- (d) any activity or use requiring a project permit regulated in SCC 30.62C.345 that does not meet the nonendangerment standard in WAC 173-218-080, 173-218-090, or 173-218-100 when proposed within a critical aguifer recharge area; or
- (e) any activity or use requiring a project permit proposed within a critical aquifer recharge area but not otherwise listed in Part 300 when the department determines there is potential for impairment to water quality or quantity within the critical aquifer recharge area.
- (2) The hydrogeologic report shall be prepared by a qualified professional who is a geologist, hydrogeologist, engineering geologist, or engineer, who is licensed by the State of Washington and who has experience preparing hydrogeologic assessments.
- (3) The hydrogeologic report shall contain the following information relevant to the critical aquifer recharge area:
  - (a) The surface location of all critical aquifer recharge areas located on site or immediately adjacent to the site, and the permeability of the unsaturated zone;
  - (b) Groundwater depth, flow direction, and gradient based on available information;
  - (c) Currently available data on wells and springs within one fourth mile of the site;
  - (d) Currently available information on the location of surface waters within one fourth mile of the site;
  - (e) Historic water quality data for the area to be affected by the proposed activity or use compiled for at least the previous five-year period;
  - (f) Discussion of the effects of the proposed project on the groundwater quality and quantity, including:
    - (i) Predictive evaluation of groundwater withdrawal effects on nearby wells and surface water features;
    - (ii) Predictive evaluation of contaminant transport based on potential releases to groundwater;
    - (iii) Recharge potential of the site including permeability and transmissivity; and
    - (iv) If water use is proposed for the development activity, a description of the groundwater source of water to the site or a letter from an approved water purveyor stating the ability to provide water to the site;
  - (g) Best management practices relevant to the proposed activity or use;
  - (h) Provisions to monitor the groundwater quality and quantity;

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- (i) A spill plan that identifies equipment and structures that could fail, resulting in an impact to the critical aquifer recharge area. Spill plans shall include provisions for regular inspection, repair, and replacement of structures and equipment with the potential to fail, and a remediation plan should clean-up become necessary;
- (j) Salt-water intrusion addendums shall be required for withdrawals of groundwater or reductions in available recharge within one fourth mile of any part of Puget Sound, or a greater distance inland where there is evidence that chloride (bicarbonate + carbonate) ratio exceeds 1.5 equivalent parts per million at any time of the year. The addendum shall include an assessment of the likelihood and extent of seawater intrusion into a critical aquifer and a description of probable impact on wells on adjacent or nearby parcels;
- (k) An assessment of how the development activity meets the protection standards established in SCC 30.62C.320;
- (I) If the hydrogeologic report identifies impacts to critical aquifer recharge areas, the project applicant will be required to:
  - (i) Identify and provide an analysis of alternatives by which such impacts could be avoided or prevented; and
  - (ii) ((Provide)) When mitigation is allowed, provide a detailed mitigation plan for any unavoidable impacts. The mitigation plan should include preventative measures, monitoring, process control and remediation, and a contingency plan, as appropriate;
- (m) Recommendations for implementation and operation of activities, including size limitations, monitoring, reporting and best management practices (BMP);
- (n) An evaluation of potential nitrate and nitrite impacts on the aquifer, including cumulative impacts of adjacent or surrounding developments and activities, and provide recommendations for monitoring and BMP of nitrate and nitrite generating activities; and
- (o) Any other information necessary to determine compliance with this chapter.

Section 44. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62C.150, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

### 30.62C.150 Notification to purveyors of Group A public water supply systems.

The department shall provide notification <u>and an agency comment period</u> as required by chapter 30.70 SCC of any proposed development activity or actions requiring a project permit subject to Part 300 to purveyors of Group A public water supply established pursuant to ((<del>WAC</del>)) <u>chapter</u> 246-290 <u>WAC</u>, except that notification is not required for stormwater UIC wells that automatically meet the nonendangerment standard in WAC 173-218-100.

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Section 45. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62C.220, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

4

## 30.62C.220 Classification of critical aguifer recharge areas.

5 6 7

The county has established the following three classifications of critical aguifer recharge areas (CARAs):

8 9

(1) Sole source aguifers designated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency in accordance with the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-523);

10 11 12

13

14 15

16 17 (2) Areas within the 10-year travel zone of Group A wellhead protection areas, determined in accordance with delineation methodologies specified by the Washington Department of Health under authority of chapter 246-290 WAC. Group A wellhead protection areas include the additional buffer zone or zone of contribution identified by hydrogeologic analysis conducted by qualified licensed engineers and documented in a watershed protection plan or water system comprehensive plan, provided that such plans and wellhead protection area boundary data are provided to the county; and

18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28 29 30

32 33 34

31

35 36 37

39 40 41

38

42 43 44

45 46 47

(3) Areas of high, medium and low sensitivity to groundwater contamination, based on depth to groundwater and in accordance with The Ground-Water System and Ground-Water Quality in Western Snohomish County, Washington (United States Geological Survey, Water Resources Investigations, Report #96-4312, 1997).

Section 46. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62C.320, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

30.62C.320 General requirements.

- (1) The project proponent shall make all reasonable efforts to avoid and minimize impacts to critical aquifer recharge areas pursuant to the requirements of this section, in the following sequential order of preference:
  - (a) ((Avoiding)) avoiding impacts altogether by not taking a certain action or parts of an action; or ((when avoidance is not possible,))
  - (b) avoiding or minimizing impacts by limiting the degree or magnitude of the action and its implementation, using appropriate technology, or by taking affirmative steps, such as project redesign, relocation, or timing, to avoid or reduce impacts; and
  - (c) when avoidance is not possible, mitigation for the impacts to the critical aquifer recharge area;
- (2) Any activity or use listed in Part 300 in a Group A wellhead protection area with impacts to the critical aquifer recharge area that cannot be avoided will not be approved. Mitigation is not an option because impacts to drinking water must not occur.

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

(((2))) (3) Any activity or use specifically listed in Part 300 shall comply with the best management practices and mitigation plan identified in the hydrogeologic report when required, and any additional requirements contained in SCC 30.62C.340 and SCC 30.62C.345.

14 15 16

13

17 18 19

20 21 22

23 24 25

> 27 28 29

26

30 31

32 33 34

35 36 37

38 39

40 41

42

43

44 45

46

47

and recommendations from an approved hydrogeologic report required pursuant to

30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS.

(((3))) (4) All development activities shall comply with the groundwater quality standards contained in ((WAC Chapter)) chapter 173-200 WAC and ((RCW Chapter)) chapter 90.48 RCW.

(((4))) (5) Where the department determines that an activity or use not specifically listed in Part 300 has the potential to harm water quality or quantity within critical aquifer recharge areas, the applicant shall comply with Part 100 and apply best management practices and all known and available reasonable technology (AKART) appropriate to protect critical aguifer recharge areas.

Section 47. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62C.330, adopted by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

30.62C.330 ((Prohibited uses.)) Uses prohibited within certain critical aquifer recharge areas.

The following activities and uses are prohibited in sole source aquifers, Group A wellhead protection areas, and critical aguifer recharge areas with high or medium sensitivity:

- (1) Landfills, ((including)) outdoor storage facilities, or outdoor recycling centers for: hazardous or dangerous waste, electronic waste, contaminated soil or dredged materials, municipal solid waste, special waste, woodwaste, ((and)) or inert and demolition waste ((landfills));
- (2) Underground injection control (UIC) wells prohibited in Washington State under WAC 173-218-040;
- (3) Class II UIC wells defined in WAC 173-218-040(2);

(((3))) (4) Mining of metals and hard rock;

- (((4))) (5) Wood treatment facilities occurring over permeable surfaces (natural or manmade);
- and
- Section 48. Snohomish County Code Section 30.62C.340, last amended by Amended

The following activities and uses identified in Table 30.62C.340 when proposed within critical

aguifer recharge areas, or identified in SCC 30.62C.330 when proposed within critical aguifer

critical aquifer recharge areas in accordance with the applicable state and federal regulations

Ordinance No. 15-034 on September 2, 2015, is amended to read:

30.62C.340 Uses and development activities subject to special conditions.

recharge areas with low sensitivity shall be conditioned as necessary to protect

(((5))) (6) Facilities that store, process, or dispose of radioactive substances.

3

SCC 30.62C.140, and may also include recommendations from affected Group A public water

#### Statute - Regulation - Guidance ((Activity

Above Ground Storage Tanks WAC 173-303-640

**Animal Feedlots** Chapter 173-216 WAC, Chapter 173-220 WAC

Final Rule 40 CFR Parts 9, 122, 123, and 412 Animal feeding

operations/concentrated animal

feeding operations

**Automobile Washing facilities** Chapter 173-216 WAC, Best Management Practices for Vehicle and

Equipment Discharges (Washington Department of Ecology WQ-R-

95-56)

**Below Ground Storage Tanks** Chapter 173-360 WAC, Chapter 90.76 RCW, RCW 43.131.394

Chemical Treatment Storage and

**Disposal Facilities** 

Chapter 173-303 WAC

Chapter 70.105 RCW, chapter 173-303 WAC, county board of health Dangerous waste

code and SCC 7.53.070

Federal 40 CFR Parts 144 and 146, Chapter 173-218 WAC **Injection Wells** 

Junk Yards and Salvage Yards Chapter 173-304 WAC, Best Management Practices to Prevent

Stormwater Pollution at Vehicles Recycler Facilities (Washington

State Department of Ecology 94-146)

On-Site Sewage Systems (Large

Scale > 3,500 gal/day)

Chapter 173-240 WAC, Chapter 246-272 WAC, Chapter 246-272B

WAC, Local Health Ordinances

A single or multiple small on-site sewage systems with a combined design volume of greater than

3,500 gal/day

Chapter 246-272 WAC, Chapter 246-272A WAC, Local Health

**Ordinances** 

Pesticide and Fertilizer Storage

and Use

Chapter 15.54 RCW, Chapter 17.21 RCW

Reclaimed water for groundwater

recharge

Chapter 90.46 RCW

**Sawmills** Chapter 173-303 WAC, Chapter 173-304 WAC, Best Management

Practices to Prevent Stormwater Pollution at Log Yards (Washington

State Department of Ecology, 95-53)

Solid Waste Handling and

Recycling Facilities

Chapter 173-304 WAC

**Surface Mining** Chapter 332-18 WAC

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS, 30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS.

Page **110** of **117** 

Wastewater Application to Land Surface

Chapter 173-216 WAC, Chapter 173-200 WAC, Washington State Department of Ecology Land Application Guidelines, Best Management Practices for Irrigated Agriculture))

Table 30.62C.340

able 30.62C.340
Statute - Regulation - Guidance
Chapter 173-216 WAC; Chapter 173-220 WAC
40 CFR Parts 122, 123, and 412
Chapter 173-216 WAC; Best Management Practices for Vehicle and Equipment Discharges (Washington Department of Ecology Publication No. WQ-R-95-56, or latest edition)
Chapter 173-303 WAC
Chapter 70.105 RCW; Chapter 173-303 WAC; Snohomish County Board of Health Ordinances
Chapter 173-304 WAC; Chapter 173-350 WAC; Chapter 173-351 WAC; Best Management Practices for Vehicle and Metal Recyclers (Washington State Department of Ecology Publication No. 94-146, or latest edition)
Chapter 15.54 RCW; Chapter 17.21 RCW; Chapters 16-200 through 16-232 WAC
Chapter 90.46 RCW; Chapter 173-218 WAC
40 CFR Part 443 (paving and roofing materials); 40 CFR Part 419 (effluent guidelines); Chapter 70A.224 RCW (used oil recycling); Chapter 90.56 RCW (spill prevention)
Chapter 173-303 WAC; Chapter 173-304 WAC; Industrial Stormwater General Permit Implementation  Manual for Log Yards (Washington Department of Ecology Publication No. 04-10-031, or latest edition)
Chapter 173-304 WAC; Chapter 173-350 WAC; Chapter 173-351 WAC
WAC 173-303-640

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

Storage tanks, below ground	<u>Chapter 173-360A WAC; Chapter 90.76 RCW; RCW</u> 43.131.394
Surface mining	Chapter 332-18 WAC
Wastewater application to land surface	Chapter 173-216 WAC; Chapter 173-200 WAC

Section 49. A new Snohomish County Code Section 30.62C.345 is added to read:

#### SCC 30.62C.345 UIC wells subject to special conditions.

(1) The underground injection control (UIC) wells identified in Table 30.62C.345 shall be conditioned as necessary to protect critical aquifer recharge areas in accordance with the applicable state and federal regulations and recommendations from an approved hydrogeologic report required pursuant to SCC 30.62C.140, and may also include recommendations from affected Group A public water systems.

#### Table 30.62C.345

UIC Well – Class and Type	Statute - Regulation - Guidance
Class IV UIC wells reinjecting treated groundwater into the same formation from where it was drawn as part of a removal or remedial action	WAC 173-218-040(4). Requires approval by EPA in accordance with the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act or the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 40 C.F.R. 144.13(c).
Class V UIC wells used for geologic sequestration of carbon dioxide	WAC 173-218-115
Class V UIC wells used for on-site sewage systems (OSS): large scale (> 3,500 gal/day); or multiple small scale OSS with combined design volume exceeding 3,500 gal/day	Chapter 173-240 WAC; Chapter 246-272 WAC; Chapter 246-272A WAC; Chapter 246-272B WAC; Chapter 173-218 WAC; Snohomish County Board of Health Ordinances
All other Class V UIC wells not identified in this table or SCC 30.62C.345, or prohibited under SCC 30.62C.330(2)	40 CFR Parts 144 and 146; Chapter 173-218 WAC

(2) Class V UIC wells used for stormwater management that meet the nonendangerment standard in WAC 173-218-080 or WAC 173-218-090 are allowed in critical aquifer recharge areas subject to the following requirements:

(a) the UIC well complies with the stormwater regulations identified in SCC 30.63A.100; and

#### AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

- (b) the UIC well shall be conditioned as necessary to protect critical aquifer recharge areas in accordance with applicable regulations and recommendations from an approved hydrogeologic report, if required pursuant to SCC 30.62C.140, and may also include recommendations from any affected Group A public water systems.
- (3) Class V UIC wells used for stormwater management that automatically meet the nonendangerment standard in WAC 173-218-100 and comply with the stormwater regulations identified in SCC 30.63A.100 are allowed in critical aquifer recharge areas.

Section 50. Snohomish County Code Section 30.86.300, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 24-056 on August 14, 2024, is amended to read:

30.86.300 Special flood hazard areas permit fees.

Table 30.86.300 Special Flood Hazard Area Permit Fees

FLOOD HAZARD AREA ((PERMIT)) BASE REVIEW FEE	(( <del>\$1,050</del> )) <u>\$800</u>
FLOOD HAZARD AREA PERMIT	<u>\$250</u>
FLOOD HAZARD AREA VARIANCE	See Table 30.86.230
PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE FEE	\$480
FLOOD HAZARD AREA DETERMINATION	\$300
FLOOD HAZARD PERMIT & FLOOD HAZARD VARIANCE APPLICATION EXTENSION(1)	\$500
DENSITY FRINGE EXCEPTION APPLICATION	\$500
HABITAT ASSESSMENT AND MANAGEMENT PLAN:	
Single-Family Residential, Duplex, Mobile Home and Appurtenances	\$250 \$720
All other application types	

<sup>(1)</sup> This fee applies to Flood Hazard Permit and Flood Hazard Variance application extensions pursuant to SCC Table 30.70.140(1).

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

1 Section 51. Snohomish County Code Section 30.91A.250, last amended by Amended 2 Ordinance No. 21-025 on June 16, 2021, is amended to read: 3 4 30.91A.250 Appurtenance. 5 6 "Appurtenance" means development necessarily connected to the use and enjoyment of a single-7 family residence and located landward of the perimeter of a wetland and landward of the ordinary 8 high water mark. Normal appurtenances include a garage; deck; driveway; utilities solely servicing 9 the subject single-family residence; fences; and grading which does not exceed 250 cubic yards 10 (except to construct a conventional drainfield). 11 12 This definition applies only to "Shoreline" regulations in chapters 30.44 and 30.67 SCC, Special 13 flood hazard areas permit fees in SCC 30.86.300, and "Drainage" regulations in chapter 30.63A 14 SCC. 15 16 Section 52. Snohomish County Code Section 30.91C.340, last amended by Amended 17 Ordinance No. 17-039 on July 12, 2017, is amended to read: 18 19 30.91C.340 Critical area. 20 21 "Critical area" means the following areas: 22 23 (1) Wetlands; 24 25 (2) Areas with a critical recharging effect on aquifers used for potable water, including: 26 27 (a) Sole source aquifers, 28 29 (b) Group A well head protection areas, and 30 31 (c) Critical aquifer recharge areas; 32 33 (3) Fish and wildlife habitat conservation areas, including: 34 35 (a) Streams, including those planted with game fish by a governmental or tribal entity, 36 37 (b) Lakes, including those planted with game fish by a governmental or tribal entity, 38 39 (c) Naturally occurring ponds under 20 acres and their submerged aquatic beds that provide fish 40 or wildlife habitat, including those planted with game fish by a governmental or tribal entity, 41 42 (d) Marine waters, 43 44 (e) Primary association areas for critical species, and 45 46 (f) State natural area preserves, natural resource conservation areas, ((and)) state wildlife areas, 47 and habitats of local importance; AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097 RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT,

AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS,

30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS.

Page **114** of **117** 

(4) Frequently flooded areas, including special flood hazard areas in chapter 30.65 SCC; and

(5) Geologically hazardous areas, including:

(a) Erosion hazard areas,

6 7 8

(b) Landslide hazard areas,

9 10

(c) Seismic hazard areas,

11 12

(d) Mine hazard areas,

13 14

(e) Volcanic hazard areas, and

15 16

(f) Tsunami hazard areas.

17 18

Section 53. Snohomish County Code Section 30.91C.370, last amended by Amended Ordinance No. 06-061 on August 1, 2007, is amended to read:

19 20 21

## 30.91C.370 Critical species.

22 23

24

25

"Critical species" means all species listed by the state or federal government as endangered ((er)), threatened, or sensitive, and species of local importance((, and also includes: Larch Mountain salamander, Common loon, Peregrine falcon, Olympic mudminnow, Pygmy whitefish, and Gray whale)).

26 27 28

Section 54. A new Snohomish County Code Section 30.91Q.020 is added to read:

29 30

# 30.91Q.020 Qualified Professional.

31 32 33

"Qualified Professional" means a person who possesses a degree or equivalent from an accredited institute of higher learning in biology, ecology, environmental science, resource management, or a related field and has professional certifications and credentials necessary to prepare plans.

35 36 37

34

This definition applies only to chapters 30.62A and 30.62B SCC.

38 39

Section 55. A new Snohomish County Code Section 30.91S.528 is added to read:

40 41

30.91S.528 Special waste.

42 43

44

45

46

"Special waste" is defined in WAC 173-303-040 and means any state-only dangerous waste that is solid only (nonliquid, nonaqueous, nongaseous), that is: corrosive waste (WAC 173-303-090 (6)(b)(ii)), toxic waste that has Category D toxicity (WAC 173-303-100(5)), PCB waste (WAC 173-303-9904 under State Sources), or persistent waste that is not extremely hazardous waste (EHW)

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

(WAC 173-303-100(6)). Any solid waste that is regulated by the United States EPA as hazardous waste cannot be a special waste.

Section 56. A new Snohomish County Code Section 30.91U.065 is added to read:

#### 30.91U.065 Underground injection control well (UIC well).

"Underground injection control well" or "UIC well" means a well that is used to discharge fluids into the subsurface. A UIC well is one of the following: (1) A bored, drilled, or driven shaft, or dug hole whose depth is greater than the largest surface dimension; (2) an improved sinkhole; or (3) a subsurface fluid distribution system. UIC wells are as classified in WAC 173-218-040. WAC 173-218-050 identifies what is not considered a UIC well and regulated under chapter 173-218 WAC.

Section 57. A new Snohomish County Code Section 30.91W.050 is added to read:

#### 30.91W.050 Wellhead protection area (WHPA).

"Wellhead protection area (WHPA)" means the protective areas associated with public drinking water sources established by water systems and approved or assigned by the state department of health. A WHPA is the surface and subsurface area surrounding a water well or wellfield supplying a public water system, through which contaminants are reasonably likely to move and reach such water well or wellfield. A WHPA may be divided into zones representing the travel time needed for a drop of water to move from the outer zone boundary into the well or wellfield. A WHPA may also include a buffer zone.

Section 58. Severability. If any section, sentence, clause, or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the Growth Management Hearings Board, or a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause, or phrase of this ordinance. Provided, however, that if any section, sentence, clause, or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid by the Growth Management Hearings Board or court of competent jurisdiction, then the section, sentence, clause, or phrase in effect prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall be in full force and effect for that individual section, sentence, clause, or phrase as if this ordinance had never been adopted.

Section 59. Effective date, implementation. This ordinance shall take effect June 13, 2025. The Department of Planning and Development Services is authorized to take such actions as may be necessary to implement this ordinance on its effective date.

AMENDED ORDINANCE NO. 24-097

1 2		
3 4	PASSED this 14 <sup>th</sup> day of May 2025.	
5 6 7 8		SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL Snohomish County, Washington
9 10		1/1/1/
11 12 13		Council Chair
14	ATTEST:	
15 16 17	Lise Hickey	
18 19 20	Asst. Clerk of the Jouncil	
21 22 23 24	( ) APPROVED ( ) EMERGENCY ( ) VETOED	
25 26 27	( )	DATE:
28 29 30		Snohomish County Executive
31 32 33	ATTEST:	
34 35 36	Approved as to form only:	
37 38 39	Deputy Prosecuting Attorney	
40 41	. , , , ,	

RELATING TO THE CRITICAL AREA REGULATIONS UPDATE PURSUANT TO THE GROWTH MANAGEMENT ACT, AMENDING SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE CHAPTERS 30.62A WETLANDS AND FISH AND WILDLIFE HABITAT CONSERVATION AREAS, 30.62B GEOLOGICALLY HAZARD AREAS, 30.62C CRITICAL AQUIFER RECHARGE AREAS, 30.43C FLOOD HAZARD PERMITS, 30.86 FEES, AND 30.91 DEFINITIONS.

43