

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL
Snohomish County, Washington

MOTION NO. 26-110

MOTION APPROVING A PROPOSED ANNEXATION
TO THE LAKE STEVENS SEWER DISTRICT
BRB FILE 2026-02 – CATAPULT SEWER ANNEXATION

WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Boundary Review Board (BRB) filed the Notice of Intention (NOI), with BRB file no. 2026-02, received from the Lake Stevens Sewer District (“the District”) to annex approximately 25.92 acres of territory that is within the incorporated City of Lake Stevens (“the City”), and the District’s planning area. The general location of the proposed sewer annexation is east of North Machias Rd, south of 28th St NE, and north of Machias Cutoff Rd.; and

WHEREAS, the proposed annexation is subject to RCW 57.02.040 and 57.02.045 requiring the county council to review a proposed sewer annexation action and either approve it or not approve it after considering three criteria; and

WHEREAS, the proposed annexation is subject to Snohomish County Code (SCC) Section 2.77.040 for consistency with BRB objectives and factors and for impacts to the county; and

WHEREAS, the proposed annexation has been reviewed per the three criteria in RCW 57.02.040, and is consistent with the applicable criteria as set out in a Planning and Development Services Department (PDS) staff report dated February 20, 2026, which is incorporated herein as Attachment A; and

WHEREAS, the proposed annexation has been reviewed per SCC 2.77.040, and is consistent with the BRB’s objectives and factors, the Snohomish County Countywide Planning Policies, and the Snohomish County Growth Management Act Comprehensive Plan (GMACP), as set out in a PDS staff report dated February 20, 2026, which is incorporated herein as Attachment A;

NOW, THEREFORE ON MOTION,

1. The county council has considered the approval criteria in RCW 57.02.040.
2. The county council has considered the proposed annexation against criteria in 2.77.040 SCC.
3. The county council does hereby **approve** the annexation and will **not invoke** the jurisdiction of the BRB.

4. The county council Clerk is directed forthwith to file this Motion with the BRB, together with a copy of the PDS staff report dated February 20, 2026.

DATED this 4th day of March, 2026

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL
Snohomish County, Washington



Council Chair

ATTEST:



Deputy Clerk of the Council



Snohomish County
Planning and Development
Services

3000 Rockefeller Ave., M/S 604
Everett, WA 98201-4046
(425) 388-3311
www.snoco.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: Councilmember Megan Dunn, District 2, Council Chair
Councilmember Sam Low, District 5, Vice-Chair
Councilmember Nate Nehring, District 1,
Councilmember Strom Peterson, District 3
Councilmember Jared Mead, District 4
Councilmember Sam Low, District 5

Dave Somers
County Executive

FROM: Michael McCrary, Director
Planning and Development Services

VIA: Eileen Canola, Senior Planner
Planning and Development Services

SUBJECT: Lake Stevens Sewer District – Catapult Annexation, BRB # 2026-02

DATE: February 20, 2026

The purpose of this staff report is to provide the County Council with a review and recommendation for the Lake Stevens Sewer District’s (“Sewer District”) proposed Catapult Annexation of approximately 25.92 acres that is contiguous to the existing District’s service boundaries as well as within the Sewer District’s planning area and within the City of Lake Stevens (City) corporate boundaries. The general location of the proposed sewer annexation is east of North Machias Rd, south of 28th St NE, and north of Machias Cutoff Rd. There are no other sewer providers in the City or the Lake Stevens Urban Growth Area (UGA); the City is not a sewer service provider; therefore, the Sewer District is the logical sewer service provider for this area.

County staff reviewed this sewer district annexation proposal according to section 2.77.040 of the Snohomish County Code (SCC) and Revised Code of Washington (RCW) 57.02.040. The review criteria in SCC 2.77.040 includes the factors and objectives considered by the Boundary Review Board (BRB), consistency with the Growth Management Act (GMA), Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs), the County’s comprehensive plan, as well as impacts to the County’s services and facilities. RCW 57.02.045 and 57.02.040(3) contain requirements of the county legislative body for sewer district actions, including annexations. This report is provided pursuant to Chapter 2.77 SCC, RCW 57.02.040 and .045, 57.24.060 through .100, 36.93.100, .157, .170 and .180, and 36.70A.020, .110 and .210.

Attachment A to Motion

BACKGROUND

This is a petition method annexation for which a petition for annexation was submitted to the Sewer District by the property owners/property owner's representative representing 95.04 percent of the area proposed for annexation. The Sewer District submitted a Notice of Intention (NOI) to the BRB for the Catapult Annexation that was filed on February 9, 2026 (File No. 2026-02). The BRB 45-day review period will end on March 26, 2026, at 4:00 p.m. However, per RCW 57.02.040(2), the County Council must, within thirty days of receiving notice of the proposed action, approve the action or hold a hearing on the action and transmit to the BRB a report of its approval or disapproval of the proposed action together with its findings and recommendations under RCW 57.02.040. The 30-day review period for County Council to act on the proposed sewer annexation expires March 11, 2026. The BRB, consistent with its annexation review procedures outlined in Chapter 2.77 SCC, distributed the NOI to County departments, including Planning and Development Services (PDS) and the County Council.

The County Council's authority for reviewing sewer service annexations is set forth in RCW 57.02.040, RCW 57.02.045, and SCC 2.77.040.

According to SCC 2.77.040, the County Council must, at a public meeting, determine whether to file a request for review with the BRB of the proposed action, and give notice of its decision to the BRB within 45 days of the filing of a notice of intention.

If the County Council approves the sewer annexation, BRB jurisdiction could be invoked by another party during the 45-day BRB review period, on March 26, 2026, at 4:00 p.m. The County Council's findings and decision to approve or not approve the annexation will be transmitted to the BRB.

REVIEW

The following review of the proposed Catapult Annexation by the Sewer District considers the criteria of RCW 57.02.040(3) and SCC 2.77.040.

RCW 57.02.040(3) requires the County to review a proposed annexation action and either approve it or not approve it after considering three criteria:

- (a) whether the proposed action in the area under consideration is in compliance with the development program that is outlined in the county comprehensive plan and its supporting documents;
- (b) whether the proposed action in the area under consideration is in compliance with the basin-wide water and/or sewage plan as approved by the state department of ecology and the state department of social and health services; and
- (c) whether the proposed action is in compliance with the policies expressed in the county plan for water and/or sewage facilities.

Attachment A to Motion

This sewer district annexation proposal meets criterion RCW 57.02.040(3)(a) as the annexation proposal is consistent with the comprehensive plans, in particular the Future Land Use maps, of both the City of Lake Stevens and the County as the area proposed for annexation is within the City's corporate boundaries and therefore is to be developed with urban-level of services, including sewer.

The statutory criteria RCW 57.02.040(3)(b) does not apply in this case because there is no applicable basin-wide sewage plan. However, the area proposed for annexation is within the Sewer District's planning boundaries and is adjacent to the Sewer District's existing boundaries.

This sewer district annexation proposal meets criterion RCW 57.02.040(3)(c) as it is consistent with policies CUE 1.A.2 and 1.A.6 and CUE Objective 14A of the Capital Facilities and Utilities Element (CUE) of the County's comprehensive plan:

- CUE Policy 1.A.2 The County shall promote the delivery of urban services from cities, special purpose districts, or regional service providers.
- CUE Policy 1.A.6 The County shall coordinate with providers of utilities, public facilities, and public services to ensure the extension of facilities and services are in a manner that is consistent with the Land Use element.
- OBJECTIVE CUE 14.A Utilize wastewater system plans as a basis for orderly development or expansion within UGAs in accordance with the Countywide Planning Policies.

SCC 2.77.040 contains impacts relevant to the BRB considerations as established by state law and county impacts considerations: The following comments relate to RCW 36.93.157 -- "The decisions of a boundary review board located in a county that is required or chooses to plan under RCW 36.70A.040 (the Growth Management Act (GMA) -- who must plan) must be consistent with RCW 36.70A.020 (Goals of GMA), 36.70A.110 (Comprehensive Plans -- Urban Growth Areas) and 36.70A.210 (Countywide Planning Policies)."

- I. **Location, acreage, number of residences:** The area proposed for annexation is approximately 25.92 acres and located within the City of Lake Stevens city limits. The general location of the proposed sewer annexation is east of North Machias Rd, south of 28th St NE, and north of Machias Cutoff Rd. According to the BRB File No. 2026-02, there are no residences and the population is zero.
- II. **Comments received:** The County's Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS) distributed the sewer annexation proposal, as contained in BRB File No. 2026-02, to County departments and received no concerns or comments on this proposal.
- III. **Total assessed value of the subject area(s):** As a sewer annexation, the total assessed valuation is not applicable.
- IV. **Consistency of the proposal with GMA planning goals, urban growth area designations, countywide planning policies, and the County's comprehensive plan:**

Attachment A to Motion

1. **GMA planning goals in RCW 36.70A.020:** The proposed Sewer District annexation is consistent with GMA planning goals (1) Urban growth and (12) Public facilities and services, as the annexation area is within the City's limits and therefore is designated for urban-levels of development. Providing sewer service to an urban area is consistent with the two following GMA planning goals:
 - (1) Urban growth. Encourage development in urban areas where adequate public facilities and services exist or can be provided in an efficient manner.
 - (12) Public facilities and services. Ensure that those public facilities and services necessary to support development shall be adequate to serve the development at the time the development is available for occupancy and use without decreasing current service levels below locally established minimum standards.
2. **Urban growth area (UGA) designations:** The proposed sewer district annexation would provide sewer service to approximately 25.92 acres located within the City limits and designated for urban levels of development, with a Future Land Use Designation of Industrial in the City's 2024-2044 comprehensive plan. Providing sewer service to an area designated for urban growth is consistent with state law RCW 36.70A.110 "Comprehensive Plans – Urban Growth Areas" and in particular subsection (9). Sewer service would allow the development potential, at an urban level, consistent with the plans for the industrial areas in the City's comprehensive plan.

RCW 36.70A.110 (9): If a county, city, or utility has adopted a capital facility plan or utilities element to provide sewer service within the urban growth areas during the twenty-year planning period, nothing in this chapter obligates counties, cities, or utilities to install sanitary sewer systems to properties within urban growth areas designated under subsection (2) of this section by the end of the twenty-year planning period when those properties:

- (a)(i) Have existing, functioning, nonpolluting on-site sewage systems;
- (ii) Have a periodic inspection program by a public agency to verify the on-site sewage systems function properly and do not pollute surface or groundwater; and
- (iii) Have no redevelopment capacity; or
- (b) Do not require sewer service because development densities are limited due to wetlands, flood plains, fish and wildlife habitats, or geological hazards.

3. **Snohomish County Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs):** The proposed Catapult Annexation is consistent with CPP policies Public Services (PS)-11 and 22, as the area proposed for annexation is within the Lake Stevens city limits, as designated by both the County and City's comprehensive plans. Once the subject site is annexed into the District's service boundary and it can be served by sewer, then development can proceed consistent with the City's zoning and comprehensive plan.

Attachment A to Motion

PS-11: The County and cities shall permit new development in urban areas only when sanitary sewers are available with the exception of where sewer service is not likely to be feasible for the duration of the jurisdiction's adopted plan.²⁹

²⁹ Currently identified exceptions include unsewerable enclaves, as well as the Darrington, Gold Bar, and Index Urban Growth Areas.

PS-22: Sanitary sewer mains shall not be extended beyond Urban Growth Areas (UGAs) into rural areas except when necessary to protect basic public health and safety and the environment, and when such sewers are financially supportable at rural densities and do not result in the inducement of future urban development outside of UGAs. Sewer transmission lines may be developed through rural and resource areas to meet the needs of UGAs as long as any extension through resource areas does not adversely impact the resource lands. Sanitary sewer connections in rural areas are not allowed except in instances where necessary to protect public health and safety and the environment and as allowed in RCW 36.70A.213. Sanitary sewer mains are prohibited in resource areas.

4. Snohomish County GMA Comprehensive Plan (GMACP): The Catapult Annexation proposal by the Sewer District is consistent with the County's 2024-2044 comprehensive plan, in particular the Land Use Element and the Capital Facilities and Utilities Element (CUE) in the following manner:

- (1) The area proposed for annexation of approximately 25.92 acres is located within the Lake Stevens city limits, an urban area, and therefore consistent with the County's Capital Facilities and Utilities Element, which classifies sewer service as a service necessary to support urban-levels of development.
- (2) The area proposed for annexation is depicted on the County's Future Land Use Map of the Land Use Element as being within the City of Lake Stevens where urban services, such as sewer, will enable the city meet its growth targets for 2044 and increase employment opportunities.
- (3) The annexation proposal is consistent with capital facilities and utilities planning policies and objective of the Capital Facilities and Utilities Element:

CUE Policy 1.A.2 The County shall promote the delivery of urban services from cities, special purpose districts, or regional service providers.

CUE Policy 1.A.6 The County shall coordinate with providers of utilities, public facilities, and public services to ensure the extension of facilities and services are in a manner that is consistent with the Land Use element.

OBJECTIVE CUE 14.A Utilize wastewater system plans as a basis for orderly development or expansion within UGAs in accordance with the Countywide Planning Policies.

Attachment A to Motion

V. Impact relevant to Boundary Review Board (BRB) consideration as established by state law. The following comments relate to RCW 36.93.170 – Factors to be considered by the BRB.

1. **Factor 1.** Population and territory; population density; land area and land uses; comprehensive plans and zoning, as adopted under chapter 35.63, 35A.63, or 36.70 RCW; comprehensive plans and development regulations adopted under chapter 36.70A RCW; applicable service agreements entered into under chapter 36.115 or 39.34 RCW; applicable interlocal annexation agreements between a county and its cities; per capita assessed valuation; topography, natural boundaries and drainage basins, proximity to other populated areas; the existence and preservation of prime agricultural soils and productive agricultural uses; the likelihood of significant growth in the area and in adjacent incorporated and unincorporated areas during the next ten years; location and most desirable future location of community facilities.

- (1) The area proposed for annexation is approximately 25.92 acres that is contiguous to the existing Sewer District's service boundaries as well as within the Sewer District's planning area and within the City of Lake Stevens corporate limits. The general location of the proposed sewer annexation is east of North Machias Rd, south of 28th St NE, and north of Machias Cutoff Rd. According to the BRB File No. 2026-02, there are no residences and the population is zero.
- (2) As mentioned in Section IV of this report, the proposal is consistent with the development program in the County comprehensive plan, as the proposed area is within the City's boundaries and designated for urban level of services, including sewer.
- (3) The City and Sewer District have a long-standing agreement of sewer service from the Sewer District within the City of Lake Stevens.
- (4) Total assessed value of the subject area(s): As a sewer annexation, the total assessed valuation is not applicable.
- (5) As the area proposed for annexation is within the City of Lake Stevens corporate limits, it is designated as Industrial land in the City's comprehensive plan and does not contain designated agricultural land.
- (6) In terms of the "...likelihood of significant growth in the area...", the area proposed for annexation is within the City of Lake Stevens, which has currently adopted a comprehensive plan to meet its projected growth targets, including employment. The City's 2044 comprehensive plan indicates the Catapult annexation area as having a land use designation of Industrial, for which sewer extension would facilitate employment opportunities.

Attachment A to Motion

2. **Factor 2.** Municipal services; need for municipal services; effect of ordinances, governmental codes, regulations, and resolutions on existing uses; present cost and adequacy of governmental services and controls in area; prospects of governmental services from other sources; probable future needs for such services and controls; probable effect of proposal or alternative on cost and adequacy of services and controls in area and adjacent area; the effect on the finances, debt structure, and contractual obligations and rights of all affected governmental units.

- (1) There is no impact on services provided by the County. PDS distributed the sewer annexation proposal, as contained in BRB File No. 2026-02, to County departments and received no concerns.

- (2) The proposal would allow extension of sewer service to properties within the Lake Stevens city limits to enable employment opportunities consistent with the City's comprehensive plan.

3. **Factor 3.** The effect of the proposal or alternative on adjacent areas, on mutual economic and social interests, and on the local governmental structure of the county.

- (1) There were no comments from County departments applicable to factor 3.

VI. Impacts relevant to BRB considerations as established by state law. The proposal meets the objectives of the BRB as listed in RCW 36.93.180 in the following manner:

1. **Preservation of natural neighborhoods and communities:** As a sewer district annexation, this proposal would not affect the preservation of natural neighborhoods and communities as would an annexation by a city or town. The areas to the north and south of the area proposed for sewer district annexation, including the proposal area, are designated as Industrial land in the City's comprehensive plan.
2. **Use of physical boundaries, including but not limited to bodies of water, highways, and land contours:** As a sewer district annexation, use of physical boundaries is not as great a factor as it is for annexations by a city or town, per RCW 36.93.185.
3. **Creation and preservation of logical service areas:** As a sewer district annexation, creation and preservation of logical service areas is not as great a factor as it is for annexations by a city or town, per RCW 36.93.185.
4. **Prevention of abnormally irregular boundaries:** As a sewer district annexation, prevention of abnormally irregular boundaries is not as great a factor as it is for annexations by a city or town, per RCW 36.93.185.
5. **Discouragement of multiple incorporations of small cities and encouragement of incorporation(incorporation of cities in excess of ten thousand population in heavily populated urban areas:** As a sewer district annexation, this objective is not applicable.

Attachment A to Motion

6. **Dissolution of inactive special purpose districts:** Not applicable, this is a sewer district annexation, not a dissolution.
 7. **Adjustment of impractical boundaries:** As a sewer district annexation, this objective regarding the adjustment of abnormally irregular boundaries is not as great a factor as it is for annexations by a city or town, per RCW 36.93.185.
 8. **Incorporation as cities or towns or annexation to cities or towns of unincorporated areas which are urban in character:** Not applicable, this is a sewer district annexation, not an annexation proposed by a city or town.
 9. **Protection of agricultural and rural lands which are designated for long term productive agricultural and resource use by a comprehensive plan adopted by the county legislative authority:** Not applicable, this is a sewer district annexation which will not affect agricultural lands or rural lands.
- VII. **All County fiscal, departmental, and other impacts:** PDS distributed the sewer annexation proposal, as contained in BRB File No. 2026-02, to County departments, and did not receive any concerns on this proposal.
- VIII. **Impacts to County facilities and other county-owned property:** No impacts to County facilities or county-owned property.
- IX. **Impacts to the provision of public facilities and services:** No impacts to the provision of public facilities and services anticipated.

CONCLUSIONS:

Based on County review, PDS concludes that the Catapult Annexation proposal by the Lake Stevens Sewer District, BRB File No. 2026-02, is consistent with the applicable statutory provisions governing special district annexations. This conclusion has been reached by comprehensively reviewing the proposed annexation against the requirements of RCW 57.02.040 for water and sewer annexations, the applicable BRB factors and objectives, County codes, and other applicable statutes per SCC 2.77.040.

The recommendation to the County Council from PDS is to **not invoke** the jurisdiction of the BRB and **approve** the Catapult Annexation by the Lake Stevens Sewer District.

cc: Tom Teigen, Executive Director
Mike McCrary, Director, PDS
Darren Groth, Manager, PDS
Deborah Bell, Council Legislative Analyst