ADOPTED: 1 2 EFFECTIVE: 3 SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL 4 Snohomish County, Washington 5 December 5, 2023, Discussion Draft ORDINANCE NO. 24-6 7 8 RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT: PROVIDING DESIGN FLEXIBILITY FOR 9 LOT SIZE AVERAGING; AMENDING SECTIONS 30.23.210 AND 30.41B.200 AND ADDING A NEW SECTION 30.23.215 OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE 10 11 12 WHEREAS, the Growth Management Act (GMA), chapter 36.70A RCW, establishes planning goals to guide development and adoption of comprehensive plans 13 and development regulations for those counties and cities planning under the GMA, 14 including Goal 4 related to housing (RCW 36.70A.020(4)); and 15 16 17 WHEREAS, the Washington State Legislature substantially amended the GMA 18 housing goal by passing Engrossed Second Substitute House Bill 1220, effective July 25, 2021, and which among other changes strengthened the goal from "Encourage the 19 availability of affordable housing to all economic segments of the population" to "Plan for 20 and accommodate housing affordable to all economic segments of the population"; and 21 22 23 Whereas, in 2023, the Legislature passed Engrossed Second Substitute House 24 Bill 1110 (ESSB 1110), effective July 23, 2023, which, among other things, included a 25 finding that states 26 27 Washington is facing an unprecedented housing crisis for its current population and a lack of housing choices, and is not likely to meet the 28 affordability goals for future populations [...] innovative housing policies 29 will need to be adopted. 30 31 32 WHEREAS, prior to these legislative changes, the Housing Affordability 33 Taskforce (HART) published a report and five-year action plan in January 2020 for Snohomish County; and 34 35 WHEREAS, the HART report discusses the need for more "variety ... in single 36 37 family zones [to facilitate] increased supply of housing – as well as reduce per unit 38 costs"; and 39 40 WHEREAS, the GMA requires Snohomish County (the "County") to adopt a comprehensive plan and implementing codes and regulations related to land use and 41 development within the County's jurisdiction that are consistent with the comprehensive 42 43 plan; and

DRAFT ORDINANCE NO. 24-

1 2 3 4	WHEREAS, the County's Growth Management Act Comprehensive Plan (GMACP) includes the General Policy Plan (GPP) which contains policies that guide the codes and regulations adopted in Title 30 of Snohomish County Code ("Title 30 SCC"); and
5	and
6	WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Council held a series of panel discussions
7	titled "Opening Doors to Home Ownership" on January 17, February 21, March 21, and
8	April 18, 2023, and during the fourth session discussed allowing smaller lots and more
9	flexibility for the design of new development as one possible part of the solution; and
10	
11	WHEREAS, Adjusting lot size averaging could provide more of housing variety
12	identified in the Hart Report, more of the smaller lots discussed during the panel
13	discussions, and increase ownership options by making subdivision possible; and
14	
15	WHEREAS, the County Council concurs with the findings of the Legislature that
16	Snohomish County is experiencing a housing affordability crisis and that code
17	amendments to allow more flexibility regarding urban lot width can be part of the
18	solution; and
19	
20	WHEREAS, inclusion of surface detention/retention facilities in the lot size
21	averaging calculations provides more flexibility for subdivision design and promotes
22	affordable housing; and
23	
24	WHEREAS, County Council staff briefed the Planning Commission on
25	, 2024, and
26	
27	WHEREAS, on, 2024, the Planning Commission held a public hearing
28	to receive public testimony concerning the code amendments contained in this
29	ordinance; and
30	WITEDEAC At the complexion of the Diameiron Commission? and the beaution the
31	WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the Planning Commission's public hearing, the
32	Planning Commission recommended adoption of the code amendments contained in
33	this ordinance; and
34	WUEDEAS on 2004 the County Council hold a public hearing ofter
35	WHEREAS, on, 2024, the County Council held a public hearing after
36 37	proper notice, and considered public comment and the entire record related to the code amendments contained in this ordinance; and
38	amendments contained in this ordinance, and
39	WHEREAS, following the public hearing, the County Council deliberated on the
40	code amendments contained in this ordinance;
	DRAFT ORDINANCE NO. 24

RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT; PROVIDING DESIGN FLEXIBILITY FOR LOT SIZE AVERAGING IN

RELATING TO GROWTH MANAGEMENT; PROVIDING DESIGN FLEXIBILITY FOR LOT SIZE AVERAGING IN URBAN AREAS; AMENDING SECTIONS 30.23.210 AND 30.41B.200 AND ADDING A NEW SECTION 30.23.215

DRAFT ORDINANCE NO. 24-

OF THE SNOHOMISH COUNTY CODE

12

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23 24

26 27

28 29

30

25

31 32

33

34

37

38

35 36

39 40

- Subdivision of homes on small lots will help diversify the housing stock and promote ownership housing affordable to middle income households.
- 3. RCW 36.70A.070(4) GMA implementation. GMA requires counties to adopt policies and development regulations to implement changes in GMA within four years of enactment. The changes proposed by this ordinance do not require any policy changes (see below) but revised definitions for consistency with ESSB 1220 (planning for and accommodating housing for all economic segments).
- E. The proposed amendments will better achieve, comply with, and implement the goals and policies of the Puget Sound Regional Council's Multicounty Planning Policies (MPPs), including the following goals and policies:
 - 1. MPP Housing Goal The region will preserve, improve, and expand its housing stock to provide a range of affordable, healthy, and safe housing choices to every resident. The region will continue to promote fair and equal access to housing for all people.
 - The proposed amendments will help to expand and improve the diversity of the housing stock by reducing regulatory barriers on the construction of housing on small lots.
 - MPP-H-1 Provide a range of housing types and choices to meet the housing needs of all income levels and demographic groups within the region.
 - MPP-H-2 Achieve and sustain through preservation, rehabilitation, and new development — a sufficient supply of housing to meet the needs of low-income, moderate-income, middle-income, and special needs individuals and households that is equitably and rationally distributed throughout the region.
 - The proposed amendments will support MPP-H-1 and MPP-H-2 by allowing for the construction of homes on smaller subdivided lots that will be generally affordable to middle-income households. These amendments do not inhibit development of other types of necessary housing.
- F. The proposed amendments will better achieve, comply with, and implement the Housing Goal of the Countywide Planning Policies (CPPs), which provides: "Snohomish County and its cities will promote an affordable lifestyle where residents have access to safe, affordable, and diverse housing options near their jobs and transportation options."

The proposed amendments will support the housing goal in the CPPs by reducing the regulatory barriers on the construction of single-family dwellings on smaller lots. These changes will help to diversify the housing options in urban areas that are close to employment and transportation options.

1 2

G. In considering the proposed amendments, the county considered the goals, objectives, and policies of the Snohomish County GMA Comprehensive Plan (GMACP) – General Policy Plan (GPP). The proposed amendments will work to support, implement, and balance the following goals, objectives, and policies in the GPP:

1. Goal LU 1 – Establish and maintain compact, clearly defined, well designed UGAs.

Objective LU 1.A – Establish UGAs with sufficient capacity to accommodate the majority of the county's projected population, employment, and housing growth over the next 20 years.

Policy LU 1.A.9 – Ensure the efficient use of urban land by adopting reasonable measures to increase residential, commercial and industrial capacity within urban growth areas prior to expanding urban growth boundaries. The County Council will use the list of reasonable measures in accordance with the guidelines for review contained in Appendix D of the Countywide Planning Policies to evaluate all UGA boundary expansions.

The amendments proposed by this ordinance would reduce regulatory barriers on the construction of housing in urban areas. This is a reasonable measure that increases residential capacity in UGAs thereby helping accommodate growth and the maintenance of compact UGAs.

2. Objective HO 1.B – Ensure that a broad range of housing types and affordability levels is available in urban and rural areas.

In urban areas, the proposed amendments reduce regulatory barriers on the development of housing, supporting the development of a broad range of housing types and affordability. The development of housing on smaller lots will provide different housing types and affordability levels in areas with a lack of affordability. The current minimum lot width of 40 feet for urban lots created under lot size averaging provisions results in larger than necessary lot sizes. The proposed new minimum lot width of 34 feet would accommodate a front entrance, two car garage, and required 5-foot side yard setbacks. The result

would be a similar physical building layout as other development options allow. 1 2 One such development option is Single Family Detached Unit (SFDU) 3 development, which create detached condominiums with comparable building 4 dimensions and separation. (SFDUs create condominiums rather than lots, so 5 the comparison is how the buildings relate to one-another and not to ownership 6 or lot boundaries.) A second development option with similar building and lot 7 layouts are Planned Residential Developments (PRDs). SCC 30.42B.145 8 provides that PRDs do not have a minimum lot width for single family and duplex 9 development. In practice, PRDs often provide lots that are 34-feet wide for single family homes to meet the practical dimensional requirements described above. In 10 short, the proposed reduction in lot widths for lots created by lot size averaging 11 12 will increase the range of housing types and affordability levels in urban areas.

13 14

15

16

For rural zones, the proposal to move provisions for lot size to become a standalone section is a formatting change only. It does not affect development potential in rural areas. It would however make interpreting code requirements easier. (See related discussion of Policy ED 2.A.1 in Finding G.4.)

171819

2021

2223

3. Future Land Use Map. Under the heading Future Land Use Map (FLUM) the GPP discusses implementing zones and land use designations shown on the FLUM. This section provides the policy direction for which zones are conforming to the comprehensive plan designations. The sole place where the GPP discusses these zones is in their application in the Darrington and Gold Bar UGAs due to an absence of sanitary sewer.

2526

27

28

24

a. The sole place where the GPP discusses R-12,500 and R-20,000 zoning is in their application in the Darrington and Gold Bar UGAs due to an absence of sanitary sewer.

2930

31

32

33

3435

36

37

38 39

40

The proposed amendments remove the possible use of lot size averaging in the R-12,500 and R-20,000 zones to help to implement the FLUM and to reduce situations with non-conforming lots. Most of the locations where R-12,500 and R-20,000 appear on the zoning map are not in the Darrington or Gold Bar UGAs. Rather, these zones occur more often on the zoning map as non-conforming to applicable future land use map designations. Since septic system requirements include a minimum lot size of 12,500 square feet, it is not feasible to use lot size averaging in the R-12,500 zone. Eliminating provisions to use lot size averaging in R-12,500 zoning helps to clarify development options by eliminating a hypothetical allowance that does not work. Development has already

happened on most of the sites with R-20,000 zoning. Eliminating the option for using lot size averaging on the remaining R-20,000 sites reduces the possibility of creating more parcels that do not conform to the applicable comprehensive plan designations.

b. When discussing the Urban High Density Residential (UHDR) plan designation, the GPP says that this "designation allows high density residential land uses such as townhouses and apartments generally near other high intensity land uses." UHDR is the only plan designation implemented by MR zoning.

The purpose of MR zoning provided in SCC 30.21.025(1)(b)(iii) states that the "intent and function of the Multiple Residential zone is to provide for high-density development, including townhouses and multifamily structures generally near other high-intensity land uses".

The proposed amendments would no longer allow lot size averaging in MR zoning. This is because lot size averaging is a technique to develop single family homes, and these are not a policy goal for the UHDR plan designation or part of the purpose of MR zoning. Further development of single-family housing in MR zoning may be contrary to the policy direction in the GPP for UHDR and the codified purpose of the zone.

4. Policy ED 2.A.1 – Snohomish County shall work to ensure that the Snohomish County Code is an understandable, accessible, and user friendly document.

The proposed amendments would separate lot size averaging requirements in SCC 30.23.210 into two code sections for urban zones and for rural zones. This makes the requirements easier to understand and use. When Amended Ordinance 02-064 first enacted SCC 30.23.210 in 2002, it contained 183 words. Now there are 779 words in the current version of SCC 30.23.210 (as modified by Amended Ordinance 22-062 in 2022). This proposal would keep the urban zoning provisions in SCC 30.23.210 and reduce the word count to 264. The proposal would move the lot size averaging provisions for rural zones to a new section SCC 30.23.215 which would have 211 words. This organizational change will help applicants determine which standards apply based on the applicable zoning.

5. Objective LU 2.A – Increase residential densities within UGAs by concentrating and intensifying development in appropriate locations [...]

The proposed amendments would increase residential densities in two ways.

3 4

5

6

7

8

First, as described finding G.2, the proposed reduction to width would allow for higher net densities on some sites. Net density is the density on the developable portion of a site. Narrower lots would allow more lots to on sites where the amount of constrained area does not physically allow the maximum potential development allowed by gross density (which is the density across the entire site).

9 10 11

12

13

Second, the proposed amendments would allow inclusion of surface detention/ retention facilities in calculations of gross density. This change would slightly increase the allowed gross residential density in UGAs, in support of Objective LU 2.A.

141516

17

18

6. Policy NE 3.A.3 – The county shall evaluate immediate and cumulative effects on the natural environment, critical areas, shorelines and buildable land inventory when formulating development regulations, including but not limited to, stormwater management, clearing, and grading.

19 20 21

Policy NE 3.A.5 – The county shall design development regulations to avoid or minimize impacts to the ecological functions and values of critical areas.

222324

25

26

27

2829

30

31

32

33

3435

3637

38 39 The proposed amendments would discontinue potential application of lot size averaging to sites with Waterfront Beach (WFB) zoning. The purpose of WFB zoning is in SCC 30.21.025(4) which describes it as no longer a primary implementing zone but one that protects natural features and critical areas. All sites with WFB zoning have frontage on Lake Stickney, Martha Lake, or Puget Sound. These areas are at least partially subject to Shoreline Management Act protections. Nearly all properties with WFB zoning have existing development with homes on relatively narrow but long lots. The proposed amendments include a reduction to the required lot with for development using lot size averaging. Allowing a 34-foot minimum lot with rather than the current 40-foot minimum to sites with WFB zoning could potentially increase the buildable land inventory of WFB-zoned sites by making some of the existing lots subdividable. Individually such sites would still be subject to critical areas protections, including for shorelines. However, there has been no study of the cumulative effects called for in Policy NE 3.A.3. Therefore, the proposed amendments would exclude the continued use of lot size averaging in WFB zoning to avoid potential impacts

consistent with Policy NE 3.A.5 and the purpose of the zone in SCC 1 2 30.21.024(4). 3 4 H. The proposed amendments implement action Item 1.A.4 in the HART Report. Action Item 1.A.4 which provides: "Facilitate more efficient deal assembly and development 5 timelines / promote cost effectiveness through consolidation, coordination, and 6 7 simplification." By allowing subdivision for housing on smaller lots, the proposed 8 amendments will facilitate more deal assembly and development site consolidation by 9 making more financing options available to the developer. 10 11 I. Procedural requirements. 12 13 1. State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), chapter 43.21C RCW, requirements with 14 respect to this non-project action have been satisfied through the completion of an environmental checklist and the issuance on 2024 of a 15 Determination of Non-Significance (DNS) for this non-project proposal to Amend 16 Title 30 Snohomish County Code (SCC). 17 18 19 2. The proposal is a Type 3 legislative action pursuant to SCC 30.73.010. 20 21 3. Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106(1), a notice of intent to adopt this ordinance was transmitted to the Washington State Department of Commerce for distribution to 22 23 state agencies on _____, 2024, and assigned material number _____. 24 25 4. The public participation process used in the adoption of this ordinance complies 26 with all applicable requirements of the GMA and the SCC. 27 28 5. The Washington State Attorney General last issued an advisory memorandum, 29 as required by RCW 36.70A.370, in September of 2018 entitled "Advisory 30 Memorandum: Avoiding Unconstitutional Takings of Private Property" to help 31 local governments avoid the unconstitutional taking of private property. The 32 process outlined in the State Attorney General's 2018 advisory memorandum was used by the County in objectively evaluating the regulatory changes 33 proposed by this ordinance. 34 35 Section 2. The County Council makes the following conclusions: 36 37 1. The proposed amendments are consistent with the goals, policies, and objectives 38 39 of the MPPs, CPPs, and GPPs.

1 2	2.	The proposed amendments are consistent with applicable federal, state, and local laws and regulations.	
3			
4 5	3.	The County has complied with all SEPA requirements with respect to this non-project action.	
6			
7	4.	The regulations proposed by this ordinance do not result in an unconstitutional	
8		taking of private property for a public purpose.	
9			
10		Section 3. The County Council bases its findings and conclusions on the entire	
11	legislative record, including all testimony and exhibits. Any finding which should be		
12			
13	as su	ch.	
14			
15	.	Section 4. Snohomish County Code 30.23.210, last amended by Amended	
16	Ordina	ance 22-062 on October 6, 2022, is amended to read:	
17	00.00		
18		.210 Lot size averaging – urban zones.	
19			
20		the minimum lot area requirement by calculating average lot size under this	
21	sectio		
22		1) A subdivision or short subdivision may meet the minimum lot area requirement	
23		zone in which it is located by calculating average lot size under this section.	
24	(2)	This section shall only apply to:	
25		(a) Subdivisions or short subdivisions within zones having a minimum lot area	
26	requir	ement of 12,500 square feet or less; and	
27		(b) Short subdivisions in rural areas within zones having a minimum lot area	
28		ement greater than 12,500 square feet but not larger than five acres.	
29		Average lot size shall be computed as follows within zones having a minimum lot	
30	area r	requirement of 12,500 square feet or less:	
31		(a) Add together all of the following areas where proposed:	
32		(i) Area in lots;	
33	.1	(ii) Critical areas and their buffers that must be permanently protected under	
34	cnapte	er 30.62A SCC;	
35		(iii) Areas designated as open space or recreational uses;	
36		(iv) Areas to be dedicated as right-of-way under chapter 30.66B SCC;	
37		(v) Areas designated as private roads under SCC 30.91R.230; and	
38	(-) ((vi) Surface detention/retention facilities meeting the standards of subsection	
39	(7) of	this section;	

- (b) Subtract the total lot area from lots that contain existing dwelling units 1 2 proposed to be retained within the development from the total of subsection (3)(a) of 3 this section: 4 (c) Divide the lot area calculated in subsection (3)(b) of this section by the total 5 number of lots containing new dwelling units. (4) Average lot size shall be computed as follows within zones having a minimum lot 6 7 area requirement greater than 12,500 square feet but not larger than five acres: 8 (a) Add together all of the following areas where proposed: 9 (i) Area in lots: 10 (ii) Critical areas and their buffers that must be permanently protected under chapter 30.62A SCC: 11 12 (iii) Areas designated as open space or recreational uses: 13 (iv) Areas to be dedicated as right-of-way under chapter 30.66B SCC; 14 (v) Areas designated as private roads under SCC 30.91R.230; and 15 (vi) Surface detention/retention facilities meeting the standards of subsection 16 (7) of this section; (b) Subtract the total lot area from lots that contain existing dwelling units 17 18 proposed to be retained within the development from the total of subsection (4)(a) of 19 this section: 20 (c) Divide the lot area calculated in subsection (4)(b) of this section by the total 21 number of lots containing new dwelling units.)) 22 (1) Divide the site area in square feet by the total number of lots containing new 23 dwelling units (lots for existing dwelling units as defined in SCC 30.91D.535 are 24 exempt). 25 $((\frac{(5)}{5}))(2)$ If the average lot size as computed under $((\frac{\text{either}}{5}))$ subsection $((\frac{(3)}{5}))$ or $(\frac{(4)}{5})$ of this section))(1) equals or exceeds the minimum lot area requirement of the zone in 26 27 which the property is located, then the minimum lot area requirement will be satisfied for 28 the purposes of lot size averaging. (((6)))(3) In no case shall the provisions under SCC 30.23.230(3) apply to this 29 30 section. 31 (((7) Surface detention/retention facilities may count toward calculations for lot size 32 averaging only if the detention/retention facility: (a) Is designed to not require security fencing under the EDDS standards; and 33 34 (b) The facility is either: 35 (i) Designed so as to appear as a natural wetland system; or 36 (ii) Provides active or passive recreational benefits in a natural landscaped 37 settina.
 - (8) For subdivisions and short subdivisions within zones having a minimum lot area requirement of 12,500 square feet or less, the following additional criteria apply:))
 - (4) The following additional criteria apply:

DRAFT ORDINANCE NO. 24-

38 39

- (a) Each single lot shall be at least 3,000 square feet in area;
- (b) Lots in subdivisions and short subdivisions created under the provisions of this section shall have a maximum lot coverage of 55 percent;
- (c) Lots with less than the prescribed minimum lot area requirement for the zone in which they are located shall have:
 - (i) A minimum lot width of at least ((40))34 feet; and
- (ii) Setbacks of 15 feet from right-of-way and private roads, except that garages must be set back 18 feet from right-of-way (with the exception of alleys) or private roads and corner lots may reduce one right-of-way setback to no less than 10 feet; and
- (d) Preliminary subdivisions approved using lot size averaging shall not be recorded by divisions unless such divisions individually or together as cumulative, contiguous parcels satisfy the requirements of this section.
- (((9) For short subdivisions in rural areas within zones having a minimum lot area requirement greater than 12,500 square feet but not larger than five acres, the following additional criteria apply:
- (a) Each single lot shall be at least 12,500 square feet in area or the minimum area necessary to comply with the county health department rules and regulations for on-site sewage disposal and potable water supply, whichever is greater;
- (b) Lots in short subdivisions created under the provisions of this section shall have a maximum lot coverage of 35 percent; and
- (c) Lots with less than the prescribed minimum lot area requirement for the zone in which they are located shall have:
 - (i) A minimum lot width of at least 75 feet; and
- (ii) Setbacks of 50 feet from right-of-way and private roads, except that corner lots may reduce one right-of-way or private road setback to no less than 20 feet.))

Section 5. A new section is added to Snohomish County Code Chapter 30.23 to read:

SCC 30.23.215 Lot size averaging – rural zones.

In F&R, R-5, and RD zoning, a short subdivision may meet the minimum lot area requirement by calculating average lot size under this section.

- (1) Divide the site area in square feet by the total number of lots.
- (2) If the average lot size as computed under subsection (1) of this section equals or exceeds the minimum lot area requirement of the zone in which the property is located, then the minimum lot area requirement will be satisfied for the purposes of lot size averaging.
 - (3) In no case shall the provisions under SCC 30.23.230(3) apply to this section.

DRAFT ORDINANCE NO. 24-

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2627

2829

30

31 32

33

34

35

3637

38

39

- (a) Each single lot shall be at least 12,500 square feet in area or the minimum area necessary to comply with the county health department rules and regulations for on-site sewage disposal and potable water supply, whichever is greater;
- (b) Lots with less than the prescribed minimum lot area requirement for the zone in which they are located shall have:
 - (i) A minimum lot width of at least 75 feet; and
- (ii) Setbacks of 50 feet from right-of-way and private roads, except that corner lots may reduce one right-of-way or private road setback to no less than 20 feet.

Section 6. Snohomish County Code 30.41B.200, last amended by Amended Ordinance 22-062 on October 6, 2022, is amended to read:

30.41B.200 Design standards.

The following design standards shall be met, unless a modification is specifically provided for:

- (1) Each lot shall contain sufficient square footage to meet minimum zoning and health requirements, provided that the minimum lot size within a short subdivision may be reduced below the size required by applicable zoning through the lot size averaging ((provisions of SCC 30.23.210, or through the)), planned residential development or rural cluster subdivision provisions of this title;
- (2) Each new lot shall have an accessible area suitable for construction pursuant to SCC 30.41A.235;
- (3) Short subdivisions located in special flood hazard areas shall comply with the provisions of SCC 30.65.110(3);
- (4) Roads and access shall be provided in accordance with the requirements in chapter 30.24 SCC;
- (5) All short subdivisions shall meet the applicable tree retention and landscaping requirements of chapter 30.25 SCC; and
- (6) All short subdivisions shall comply with the provisions of chapter 30.63A SCC, including the requirement to use low impact development best management practices as directed by the Drainage Manual.

Section 7. Severability and Savings. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid by the Growth Management Hearings Board (Board), or unconstitutional by a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance. Provided, however, that if any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid by the Board or court of competent jurisdiction, then the section, sentence, clause or phrase in effect prior to

the effective date of this ordinance shall be in full force and effect for that individua section, sentence, clause or phrase as if this ordinance had never been adopted.			
DACOED His	0004		
PASSED this day of	_, 2024.		
	SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCI		
	Snohomish County, Washington		
	Shorionnish County, washington		
	Council Chair		
ATTEST:	Codition Chain		
, <u>-</u>			
Clerk of the Council			
()APPROVED			
()EMERGENCY			
()VETOED	DATE:		
	County Executive		
ATTEST:			
Approved as to form only:			
Approved as to form only.			
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney			