

## Planning and Community Development

Ryan Hembree

Council	Initiated:
□Yes	

⊠No

<b>ECAF:</b> 2025-2596	Subject:	City of Monroe Conner Area Annexation
<b>Motion:</b> 25-383	Scope:	To review and take action on a motion for a proposed annexation by the City
Type:		of Monroe
□Contract		
☐ Board Appt.	<u>Duration:</u>	N/A
☐Code Amendment		
☐ Budget Action	Fiscal Impact	t: ☐ Current Year ☐ Multi-Year ☒ <b>N/A</b>
⊠Other		
Requested Handling:	Authority Gr	anted:
□ Normal	Motion 25-383 states that the Snohomish County Council will not invoke the jurisdiction of the	
□Expedite	Boundary Re	view Board.
⊠Urgent		
	Background:	
Fund Source:		Ionroe (City) submitted a second amended Notice of Intention (NOI) to the view Board (BRB) for the Conner Annexation that the BRB deemed sufficient on
☐General Fund	•	, with BRB File No. 2025-04. The BRB's 45-day review period ends on September
□Other	8, 2025, at 4:00 pm. The City and County lack an existing Master Annexation Interlocal	
⊠N/A	Agreement (	MAILA) to govern annexations. The 2008 MAILA expired December 31, 2022.
Formation Board	The City and	County did not enter into an annexation specific interlocal agreement for the
Executive Rec:		exation as the area proposed for annexation did not raise any concerns or issues
<b>⊠Approve</b>		departments other than ensuring that the annexation area includes Tester Rd
□ Do Not Approve □ N/A	•	derlying Washington State Department of Transportation (WSDOT right-of-way),
⊔N/A		s as described in the legal description in BRB File No 2025-04. Staff from the
Approved as to		N-TES worked with the City to ensure the annexation of Tester Rd. Further, the rea is under ten acres and the assessed valuation is under two million dollars,
Form:		resholds in RCW 36.93.110 for when BRB review is not necessary. However, the
⊠Yes		BRB denied the City's request for a waiver from BRB review because the
□No	annexation p	proposal included a ROW owned by WSDOT.
□N/A		
		e review detailed in the PDS staff report, the proposed Conner Annexation is
		ith the GMA, the CPPs, local comprehensive plans, and the factors and objectives he proposal will have minimal impact to County budget and services. The Conner
		proposal furthers the GMA goals and CPP policies that cities should be the primary
		urban services. The recommendation to the County Council from PDS is to not
	invoke the ju	risdiction of the BRB.

**Request:** To consider action on Motion 25-383.