

From: Held, Michael <Michael.Held@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2022 6:20 PM
To: Beazizo, Heidi <Heidi.Beazizo@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Hagey, Ellen <Ellen.Hagey@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Subject: FW: BH-ASO RNP Contract with Attachments - Final

Heidi,

FYI.

MCH

From: Marsh, George <George.Marsh@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2022 2:47 PM
To: Held, Michael <Michael.Held@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Subject: RE: BH-ASO RNP Contract with Attachments - Final

Yes. I have reviewed and can approve as to form.

From: Held, Michael <Michael.Held@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Sent: Thursday, April 14, 2022 2:42 PM
To: Marsh, George <George.Marsh@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Subject: FW: BH-ASO RNP Contract with Attachments - Final

George,

Heidi Beazizo is requesting confirmation that you approve this contract as to form.

By way of reminder, you drafted this version after several fits and starts. The North Sound BHO-ASO offered a couple of edits, including adding a Debarment clause, seeking add the other Counties that are a party to the BH-ASO Interlocal Operating Agreement as indemnitees, and suggesting Skagit County is the appropriate neutral venue for resolving any contractual dispute. You advised that because we are contracting with a separate entity, the LLC, there did not appear to be a good reason to indemnify the other parties to the ILA, nor did there appear to be a benefit to the County of litigating this in Skagit County. North Sound agreed not include the language indemnifying the other County parties to the Interlocal Agreement, and agreed to Snohomish County as the venue to resolve any contractual dispute.

Can you let Heidi know we are good to go?

MCH