State Purchasing Checklist-Goods

Use this checklist to determine if an awarded contract meets the requirements to 'piggyback'.

				· ·	1				
Agency		DES <u>26723</u> / NASPO 187962		Item	Group (roup C Production Copier Leases & G1, 1			
RFP#		Colorado RFP-NP-23-001		Amount	\$254,8	254,899			
RFP Title		Multi-Function Devices & Related Dept Info Te			ch				
		Software, Services and Cloud Solutions							
Supplier Konica Minolta Business Systems Requestor Lisa Schultz									
DOES THE BID MEET THE RCW REQUIREMENTS?						YES	NO	Copies/ Comments	
1 Do we have the authority to bid/contract for the item?						Х		Motion 24-232	
2	Did the State process the bid consistent with the RCW?					Х			
3	Was the bid advertised in compliance with the state's requirements? Where: WEBS					х		see DES Participating Addm, Item C page 1	
4	Firms located in Snohomish County submitted bids. If there are no qualified					n/a		Fulfillment	
5	firms located in Snohomish County, enter N/A. Is the bid/contract current and does its current life equal or exceed the time.					х		partners p 13 Naspo Master Agm	
	being requested? Term: 8/1/24-8/1/26					^		187962 p 9	
6	Does the bid and/or contract contain the language to piggyback? Where: Section 4, page 3					Х		DES Participating Addm	
7	Requested items are listed in the bid/contract					х		Got a Quote from Konica	
8	Was the award consistent to the Bid/RCW? Review the bid tabs or evaluation summary and award recommendations.					х		Colorado Decision Memorandum & RFP Att C.	
9	Awarded made to the lowest, responsible bidder? If multiple awards, the requested supplier/item is the lowest, resp. bidder					NA		Multiple awards RFP Eval p. V. G.	
10	There are no terms and conditions in the bid or contract that conflict with County code.					х			
11	Risk Management approves the insurance. Or the supplier has agreed to insurance requested by RM.					Х		Pending request- see email from DES	
12	The requestor understands and agrees to the bid/contract terms and conditions of the state.					х			
13	Check the State and Federal debarment list. The supplier is not listed					х			
14	If services are included-An internet search of the awarded supplier was conducted and there were no human rights violations found. Indicate N/A if no services					х		maintenance	
15	If services are included, confirm existing paperwork is sufficient.					х			
I have reviewed the items on the above checklist for this solicitation and it:									
oxtimes meets the requirements $oxtimes$ partially meets $oxtimes$ does not meet the requirements									
Buyer Name Date Date Date									
Per SCC 3.04.140(2) and Executive Order 23-02(2.D.2), the following approval authorizes purchases and services not to exceed \$50,000 under the above referenced RFP for the life of the contract, any purchases exceeding \$50,000 shall also require county council approval.									
Piggyback Approved Date									