

II. Examiner's Decision

V.2 Decision of the Snohomish County Hearing Examiner dated March 7, 2023

Hearing Examiner List of Exhibits (Exhibits available upon request at contact.council@snoco.org)

Hearing Examiner Parties-of-Record

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL

EXHIBIT # V.2

FILE 22-102230 CUP



Snohomish County Office of Hearings Administration

3000 Rockefeller Ave., M/S 405 Everett, WA 98201 (425) 388-3538 Hearing.Examiner@snoco.org www.snoco.org **Peter Camp**

Hearing Examiner

DECISION of the

SNOHOMISH COUNTY HEARING EXAMINER

I. SUMMARY

DATE OF DECISION: March 7, 2023

PROJECT NAME: Residential Treatment Facility North

APPLICANT: **Tulalip Tribes**

6406 Marine Drive

Tulalip, Washington 98271

LOCATION: 7800 block of 300th St.

Stanwood, Washington 98292

OWNER: **Tulalip Tribes**

6406 Marine Drive

Tulalip, Washington 98271

FILE NO.: 22-102230 CUP

REQUEST: Conditional use permit to construct and operate a secure civil

behavioral health services facility (level II health and social services

facility)

DECISION Conditional use permit to construct and operate a secure civil

SUMMARY: behavioral health services facility (level II health and social services

facility) is approved with conditions

	II. TABLE OF CONTENTS	
1	I. SUMMARY	1
2	II. TABLE OF CONTENTS	2
3	III. SITE SUMMARY	4
4	IV. FINDINGS OF FACT	4
5	A. Regulatory Review and Vesting	
6	B. Open Record Hearing	
7	C. The Record	
8	D. Public Notice	
9	E. Background Information	5
10	1. Proposal	5
11	Site Description and Surrounding Uses	5
12	3. Site Visit	6
13	4. Concerns	
14	F. Conditional Use Permit (Chapter 30.42C SCC)	8
15	1. Consistency with Comprehensive Plan (SCC 30.42C.100(1)(a))	
16	2. Compliance with Title 30 SCC (SCC 30.42C.100(1)(b))	
17	a. General Zoning Standards (Chapters 30.22 through 30.26 SCC)	
18	i. Conditional Use Allowed in Zone (Chap. 30.22 SCC)	
19	ii. Height, Setback, Bulk, and Lot Dimensions (Chap. 30.23 SCC)	
20	iii. Parking (SCC 30.26.030(1))	
21	iv. Landscaping (SCC 30.25.025)	
22	b. Environmental Review (SEPA) (Chapter 30.61 SCC)	
23	c. Critical Areas Regulations (Chapters 30.62A, 30.62B, and 30.62C SCC)	
24	d. Drainage and Grading (Chapters 30.63A, 30.63B, and 30.63C SCC)	
25 26	e. Impact Mitigation Fees (Chaps. 30.66A, 30.66B, and 30.66C SCC)	
27	i. Area Transportation	
- <i>'</i> 28	ii. Project Site	
29	3. Not Materially Detrimental to Nearby Uses or Property	
30	4. Compatibility with Site and Surrounding Property	
31	V. CONCLUSIONS	
32	VI. DECISION	16
	Residential Treatment Facility North 22-102230 CUP Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions Page 2 of 27	

1	VII. Conditions	16
2	A. Operating Conditions	16
3	B. Development Conditions	18
4	1. General	18
5	2. Prior to Commencement of Any Work	18
6	Prior to Combustible Construction	21
7	4. Prior to Final Inspection of the Land Disturbing Activity Permit	21
8	5. Building Permits	22
9	6. Prior to Occupancy	23
10	C. Termination and Expiration	24
11	VIII. EXPLANATION OF RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL PROCEDURES	25
12	Reconsideration	25
13	Appeal	26

III. SITE SUMMARY

LOCATION: 78xx 300th St. NW

Stanwood, Washington 98292

TAX PARCEL NOS.: 320418-001-001-00

320418-001-014-00

ACREAGE: 4.66 acres

COMPREHENSIVE PLAN DESIGNATION: Local Commercial Farmland

ZONING: R-5

UTILITIES:

Water: City of Stanwood

Sewer: Not in a sewer district

Electricity: Snohomish County PUD No. 1

SCHOOL DISTRICT: Stanwood-Camano School District No. 401

FIRE DISTRICT: North County Regional Fire Authority

PDS STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Approve the proposed behavioral health

facility with conditions

1 Based on a preponderance of the evidence in the record, the Hearing Examiner finds the following

facts and makes the following conclusions of law.

IV. FINDINGS OF FACT

2

3

4

7

A. Regulatory Review and Vesting

- 5 Applicant Tulalip Tribes of Washington, a federally recognized Indian tribe and native sovereign
- 6 Ination, applied to Snohomish County Planning and Development Services department (PDS) on
 - January 31, 2022 for a conditional use permit to construct and operate a secure civil behavioral
- 8 health services facility. PDS determined the application to be complete for vesting as of the date of
- 9 submittal. Tulalip Tribes submitted additional information on June 27, 2022 and August 22, 2022.

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions

Page 4 of 27

B. Open Record Hearing

- 2 An open record hearing was held on January 24, 2023 and January 26, 2023. The record was left
- 3 open until February 3, 2023 for the limited purpose of allowing PDS and Tulalip Tribes to respond
- 4 to public comments and provide additional information regarding traffic and whether patients could
- 5 walk out of the facility's doors when the civil order confining the patient expires.

6 C. The Record

- 7 The Hearing Examiner considered exhibits A.1 through M.28, O.1, O.3, P.5 and P.6.¹ The Hearing
- 8 Examiner did not consider exhibit U.1, which was a public comment submitted after the record
- 9 closed to public comment. The Hearing Examiner also considered the testimony of the witnesses
- 10 at the open record hearing. A recording of the hearing is available in the Office of Hearings
- 11 Administration.

1

12 **D. Public Notice**

- 13 PDS notified the public of the open record hearing, threshold determination, and concurrency and
- 14 traffic impact fee notifications.²

E. Background Information

16 **1. Proposal**

15

23

- 17 Tulalip Tribes proposes to construct and operate a 32-bed secure civil behavioral health facility for
- adults, consisting of two buildings with 16 beds each. Tulalip Tribes will provide parking for 60
- 19 vehicles. The facility is intended to serve patients who are involuntarily committed by court order
- 20 pursuant to chap. 71.05 RCW for stays between 90 and 180 days and patients who voluntarily
- 21 | commit themselves to inpatient treatment. The patient population will be medically stable and not
- 22 involved in the criminal justice system.

2. Site Description and Surrounding Uses

- 24 The site is zoned R-5 and was created by a boundary line adjustment of a 30-acre site to create a
- 25 | parcel of approximately 4.66-acres and parcel of approximately 25 acres. The latter parcel will not
- be developed under this proposal. The parcel is undeveloped pasture. Surrounding properties are
- 27 | rural residential properties on five or more acres.

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

¹ Exhibits O.1, O.3, P.5, and P.6 were submitted by SEPA appellants. The Hearing Examiner dismissed the SEPA appeal, but considers the appeal documents as public comments.

² Exhibits F.1 through F.14.

3. Site Visit

1

4

2 The Hearing Examiner visited the site unaccompanied and viewed the area from public rights of 3

way on Wednesday, February 15, 2023. H. Ex. Rule of Proc. 1.9 (2021).

4. Concerns

- 5 Many community concerns were raised in written comments and testimony in the open record
- 6 hearing. The concerns range from public safety to protection of the environment to the impact on
- 7 the rural character of the community. Concerns were raised, too, about the appropriateness of the
- 8 location for such a facility.
- 9 Some of the public worried that the facility would house patients accused or convicted of crimes.
- However, the facility will not be part of the criminal justice system and will not house patients 10
- charged with or convicted of crimes.³ The facility is for civil commitment pursuant to chap. 71.05 11
- 12 RCW and for patients who voluntary place themselves in the facility for care.
- 13 Some testified that other locations with closer proximity to public transportation would be more
- 14 appropriate for the proposed facility. However, the purpose of these proceedings is not to
- determine the optimal location for the facility or whether another location may be better, but to 15
- 16 evaluate this application against county code requirements. County code does not authorize the
- 17 Hearing Examiner to reject an application because he thinks another location would be more
- 18 suitable for a proposed development. The proposed use is explicitly permitted by county code and
- can only be rejected if it cannot be sufficiently conditioned to mitigate its impact on the 19
- 20 neighborhood.
- 21 County code and associated regulations protect critical areas such as wetlands. Health department
- 22 regulations protect wellheads and public welfare by requiring approval of the design, location, and
- 23 installation of on-site sewer systems. Setback, building height, and landscaping requirements
- mitigate a development's impact on the surrounding properties. 24
- 25 Although the county drainage manual's default preference is for infiltration of stormwater, it is not
- 26 required when subsurface conditions make infiltration infeasible. Here, subsurface exploration
- 27 demonstrated the infeasibility of infiltration due to a shallow low permeability layer. Stormwater
- 28 from hard surfaces such as roofs and the parking area will be collected, detained, receive
- 29 enhanced water quality treatment, and be discharged at its historic, natural discharge location at a
- 30 rate and volume designed to maintain the hydroperiod of the on-site wetlands.

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

³ It is possible that a patient could have been convicted of a crime in the past. The facility will not serve those currently in the criminal justice system.

- 1 Neighbors expressed concern regarding the impact of the facility on their water wells, but the
- 2 facility will not draw from the aquifer used by the neighbors and instead will obtain water from the
- 3 city of Stanwood.
- 4 Perhaps most importantly, the community is deeply concerned about safety—a secure civil
- 5 | behavioral health facility is specifically designed to treat patients who are a grave danger to
- 6 themselves and possibly others. The facility is secure; patients cannot just walk out the door.
- 7 | Elopements (escapes) of patients subject to court-ordered treatment are rare and almost always
- 8 occur away from the facility, such as when a patient visits a health care provider. Between January
- 9 2018 and December 2022, 60,000 people entered treatment at similar facilities, but less than one-
- 10 tenth of 1% eloped.4
- 11 Some raised the specter of patients refusing care and simply walking out the door into the rural
- 12 | neighborhood. This is extremely unlikely for several reasons. First, a court ordered the patient to
- be held in a secure facility so they may receive treatment and begin to recover their health. If they
- refuse care (including refusal to participate in planning their discharge), they are very unlikely to be
- freed by expiration of a court order; a further court order would usually be entered to maintain them
- in a secure treatment facility until such time as the patient sufficiently improves or, in the worst
- 17 case scenario, is transferred to a different facility better able to care for the patient on a long-term
- 18 basis. Second, approval will be conditioned on prohibiting discharge directly into the rural
- 19 community. Discharge planning will include transportation to the patient's next residence, whether
- 20 taken there by a friend or family in a car or by taxi or shared ride service.
- 21 Some expressed concern about calls for emergency services. The patient population is not likely to
- be medically fragile as in a skilled nursing facility. Patients who are not medically stable will be
- 23 kept at an acute care facility and not transferred to this facility unless and until they are medically
- 24 stable.
- 25 Community members worried that the facility would look "institutional" or like a prison and therefore
- be inconsistent with the character of the neighborhood. Some even worried that the facility would
- 27 be ringed with barbed wire. However, the facility is not designed to look institutional, or like a
- 28 prison, or have barbed wire. The buildings will be one-story with sloped metal roofs like many
- 29 residential and agricultural buildings in the area.⁶ The siding of buildings will have residential
- 30 | treatments and fenced areas will be secured without looking like a prison.

⁴ 59 elopements out of 60,000 detentions. Testimony of Dr. Waterland.

⁵ If the patients were medically fragile, it is unlikely they would be moved from an acute care facility to this facility, which is not designed or equipped to provide acute medical care.

⁶ E.g., ex. B.4.

⁷ E.g., exhibits M.3 and M.4.

- 1 Some expressed concerns about the impact of the facility on area property values. The record
- 2 does not contain sufficient legal basis to reject the application. Generalized concerns over impact
- 3 of a development on area property values do not constitute substantial evidence sufficient to deny
- 4 the application. See Omnipoint Corp. v. Zoning Hearing Bd. of Pine Grove Twp., 181 F.3d 403, 409
- 5 (3d Cir. 1999), citing Cellular Tel. Co. v. Town of Oyster Bay, 166 F.3d 490, 496 (2d Cir. 1999).
- 6 The record demonstrates that impact on property values is equivocal at best.8

F. Conditional Use Permit (Chapter 30.42C SCC)

- An application for a conditional use permit must meet the following criteria: 9
 - a. The proposal must be consistent with the county's comprehensive plan;
 - b. The proposal must comply with the applicable requirements of title 30 SCC;
 - The proposal will not be materially detrimental to the uses or property in the immediate vicinity; and
 - d. The proposal is compatible with, and incorporates specific features, conditions, or revisions that ensures it responds to, the existing or intended character, appearance, quality of development, and physical characteristics of the site and surrounding property.

1. Consistency with Comprehensive Plan (SCC 30.42C.100(1)(a))

The comprehensive plan designates the area as Local Commercial Farmland and is zoned Rural 5-acre. Tulalip Tribes obtained a boundary line adjustment of the approximately 30-acre site to carve out 4.66 acres for the facility and leave the remaining 25 acres for agricultural use. While less than 5 acres will be removed from agricultural use, county code explicitly permits the proposed use if conditioned to mitigate its impact on the immediate community. Further, the loss must be balanced against other community needs and comprehensive plan goals and objectives. The comprehensive plan explicitly supports public health initiatives like this. No one disputed that more facilities such as this are needed. The proposal is consistent with the comprehensive plan.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

⁹ SCC 30.42C.100(1) (2012).

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

⁸ Ex. M.25.

¹⁰ Comprehensive Plan, Objective IC(1)(G).

1 2. Compliance with Title 30 SCC (SCC 30.42C.100(1)(b)) 2 a. General Zoning Standards (Chapters 30.22 through 30.26 SCC) i. Conditional Use Allowed in Zone (Chap. 30.22 SCC) 3 4 The proposed project is a level II health and social services facility, which is a permitted use with 5 conditions in the R-5 zone. 6 ii. Height, Setback, Bulk, and Lot Dimensions (Chap. 30.23 SCC) 7 The proposed structure and ground support equipment comply with height, setback, bulk, and lot dimension requirements. 8 9 iii. Parking (SCC 30.26.030(1)) 10 County code requires PDS to determine an appropriate number of parking stalls. SCC 30.26.035. A parking study¹¹ prepared at PDS' request estimated peak parking hours, parking space demand, 11 12 and turnover. Although the number of staff will vary depending on patient census and visits by 13 providers, case workers, family, and friends, Tulalip Tribes proposed a ratio of 1.88 parking stalls 14 per bed, resulting in 30 stalls per building and 60 stalls total. The day shift will likely see 15 approximately 25 employees per building at full census. This is likely to be an adequate amount of 16 parking. 17 iv. Landscaping (SCC 30.25.025) 18 County code typically requires a 20-foot-wide type A landscaping buffer along the perimeter of a conditional use permit site, although it requires a 20-foot type B landscaping buffer for critical areas 19 and a 10-foot type B landscaping buffer along a road frontage. 12 Tulalip Tribes proposes to install 20 21 perimeter landscaping consistent with these requirements. 22 b. Environmental Review (SEPA) (Chapter 30.61 SCC) PDS issued a threshold determination of non-significance. ¹³ An appeal from the threshold 23 24 determination was filed on October 3, 2022,14 and dismissed on December 21, 2022.15 ¹¹ Ex. C.6. ¹² SCC 30.25.025(1) (2018). ¹³ Ex. E.1. ¹⁴ Ex. O.1.

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions

Page 9 of 27

¹⁵ E. T.3.

c. Critical Areas Regulations (Chapters 30.62A, 30.62B, and 30.62C SCC)

Three wetlands lie on the site. All three are category III wetlands. One has a habitat score of 6,

requiring a buffer of 150 feet, and the other two have habitat scores of 5, requiring buffers of 80

feet. Tulalip Tribes will use mitigation measures to reduce the buffers from 150 to 110 feet and

Douglas Creek is approximately 500 feet east of the northeastern corner of the site. An on-site

swale converges with Douglas Creek approximately one-quarter mile south of the site, but the

swale does not meet the definition of a regulated stream for at least 550 feet south of the site.

Full infiltration of stormwater is not feasible at the site because of relatively low permeability and

and conveyed to a detention vault. Enhanced water quality treatment will be provided by a

the fine-grained nature of lodgement till at a shallow depth. Stormwater will therefore be collected

Department of Ecology approved filter plus a bioretention system. Stormwater will be discharged at

a rate, volume, and duration mimicking predeveloped forested conditions to the existing discharge

Grading quantities are expected to be approximately 8,500 cubic yards of cut and 10,000 cubic

How Fulfilled?

The targeted drainage report and preliminary civil

Tulalip Tribes submitted a SWPPP that is adequate

Natural drainage systems will be preserved to the extent feasible. Stormwater will be discharged at

the natural location with an approved dispersion

Tulalip Tribes must comply with source best

drawings satisfy this requirement.¹⁶

for preliminary approval.

management practices.

d. Drainage and Grading (Chapters 30.63A, 30.63B, and 30.63C SCC)

location to maintain the hydroperiod of the on-site wetlands.

2 3

4

5 6

8 9

10

12

19

13

17

21

vards of fill.

1

2

3

Description

Stormwater Site Plan

Stormwater Pollution

Prevention Plan (SWPPP)

for new development or

Preservation of natural

redevelopment

drainage systems

Water pollution source control

from 80 feet to 60 feet. Tulalip Tribes will also use buffer averaging allowed by SCC 30.62A.320(1)(q)(i) in the eastern portion of the buffers. The buffer will be reduced by 12,610 sq. ft.

7 and replaced by the same amount between the on-site wetlands. The maintenance road. stormwater facilities, and septic drain field line will suffer temporary and permanent impacts, but will be mitigated at an enhanced ratio as provided in SCC 30.62A.320.

11

14

15

16

18

20

¹⁶ Exhibits B.2 and C.2.

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions

Page 10 of 27

8

		device. No impact to downstream drainage is expected based upon analysis of downstream conditions.
5	On-site stormwater management	On-site stormwater management will be adequate as described in the stormwater site plan and drainage narrative.
6	Runoff treatment	Enhanced water quality treatment will be provided by two modular wetland systems for parking, the drive aisle, and fire turnaround. A bioretention cell will provide treatment for roadway runoff from 300 th St. NW.
7	Flow control requirements for new development or redevelopment	Flow control will be provided by a detention vault.
8	Detention or treatment in wetlands or wetland buffers	Stormwater discharge will meet wetland hydroperiod protection criteria.
9	Inspection, operation, and maintenance requirements	Tulalip Tribes provided sufficient operation and maintenance information for preliminary approval.

e. Impact Mitigation Fees (Chaps. 30.66A, 30.66B, and 30.66C SCC)

The project is not defined as development under SCC 30.91D.200 (2005) and is therefore not subject to parks and recreation impact mitigation fees otherwise required by chapter 30.66A SCC. School impact mitigation fees will not be assessed because the project is not a development as defined by SCC 30.91D.220 (2005).

f. Transportation (Title 13 SCC, EDDS §3-02, and SCC 30.66B.420)

i. Area Transportation

a. Concurrency Determination (SCC 30.66B.120)

County ordinances prescribe the measures and tests with which a development must comply. This project meets those measures and tests. The project must be approved if it does not affect a county arterial unit in arrears or cause a county arterial to go into arrears, i.e., fall below the minimum level of service established by ordinance.¹⁷ Transportation Service Area (TSA) A had no

¹⁷ SCC 30.66B.120(1) (2003). Public Works deemed the proposed development concurrent as of August 8, 2022.

arterial units in arrears or at ultimate capacity as of the date of submittal. The development will not likely generate more than 50 peak-hour trips. 18

b. Inadequate Road Conditions (IRC) (SCC30.66B.210)

Irrespective of the existing level of service, a development which adds at least three evening peak hour trips to a place in the road system that has an Inadequate Road Condition (IRC) must eliminate the IRC to be approved. The development will not affect any IRCs in TSA A with three or more evening peak hour trips, nor will it create an IRC. Therefore, it is expected that mitigation will not be required with respect to IRC and no restrictions to issuance of building permits, certificates of occupancy, or final inspection will be imposed under SCC 30.66B.210.

c. Impact Fees

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

i. County

The proposed development must mitigate its impact upon the future capacity of the county's road system by paying a road system impact fee.¹⁹ The road system impact fee will be the product of the average daily trips (ADT)²⁰ created by the development multiplied by the amount per trip for TSA D identified in SCC 30.66B.330. Based on the average daily trips projected for the facility, Tulalip Tribes must pay \$49,104.32 for impacts to the county road system.

1	Number of Beds	32
2	ADT per Bed	8.87
3	New ADT (line 1 x line 2)	283.84
4	ADT Credit for Existing Trips	-0-
5	Net New ADT (line 3 – line 4)	283.84
6	TSA A mitigation fee per ADT	\$173.00
7	Total Road System Impact Fee (line 5 x line 6)	\$49,104.32
8	Number of new square feet to be constructed	31,000
9	Impact Fee per square foot (line 7 ÷ line 8)	\$1.58

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

 $^{^{18}}$ 32 beds x 0.91 AM peak-hour trips/beds = 29.12 net new morning peak-hour trips. 32 beds x 0.67 PM peak-hour trips/bed = 21.44 net new evening peak-hour trips.

¹⁹ SCC 30.66B.310 (2003).

²⁰ Public Works accepted the ADT calculation using sample data from five similar sites.

1 ii. Other Jurisdictions 2 a. State Highway Impacts (SCC 30.66B.710) 3 When a development's road system includes a state highway, mitigation requirements will be 4 established using the county's SEPA authority consistent with the terms of the interlocal agreement between the county and the WSDOT. This is consistent with the county's SEPA policy²¹ through 5 6 which the county designates and adopts by reference the formally designated SEPA policies of 7 other affected agencies for the exercise of the county's SEPA authority. 8 No state highway mitigation payment will be required because no projects on Exhibit C of the 9 interlocal agreement between WSDOT and the county will be affected by three or more directional trips from the development. 10 11 b. Cities (SCC 30.66B.710) 12 The county has reciprocal traffic mitigation interlocal agreements with the cities of Arlington and 13 Stanwood. The proposed project will not sufficiently affect the road network of the city of Stanwood 14 as defined by the interlocal agreement to require a mitigation payment to the city. 15 With respect to Arlington, Tulalip Tribes contends that project will not trigger any mitigation fees 16 under the interlocal agreement. Arlington disagreed and requested mitigation based upon the use 17 of mitigation measure two's mitigation zone map. However, an applicant can choose between 18 mitigation measure one or mitigation measure two. Measure one requires a mitigation impact 19 payment if one percent or more the development's evening peak-hour trips affect any 20 improvements identified in the comprehensive plan. Tulalip Tribes chose mitigation measure one 21 and no planned Arlington improvements will be affected by one percent or more of the 22 development's peak-hour trips. Therefore, Arlington's requested mitigation based on measure two 23 is not reasonably related to the impacts of the development as defined by the interlocal agreement 24 and will not be imposed. 25 ii. Project Site 26 a. Access 27 The development site will access the public road network on 300th St. NW. Sight distance at the access point to the west is adequate, but sight distance to the east is not.²² Tulalip Tribes applied 28 29 for a deviation from the Engineering Design and Development Standards (EDDS) §3-08.23 Tulalip ²¹ SCC 30.61.230(9) (2012). ²² Ex. C.7, p.5. ²³ PDS file no. 22-102225 WMD.

Residential Treatment Facility North
22-102230 CUP
Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions
Page 13 of 27

1 Tribes proposed restricting the access to right-in only from eastbound 300th St. NW and right-out 2 only from the development on to 300th St. NW. The County Traffic Engineer approved the 3 deviation, conditioned on installation of a median island to restrict turning movements.²⁴ 4 b. Right of Way The site fronts on 300th St. NW, a minor arterial usually requiring 40 feet of right of way on each 5 6 side of the center line. Only 35 feet exists on the development's side of the center line. An additional five feet of right of way will be required. The additional right of way will not be credited 7 against the road mitigation payment because 300th St. NW is not in the impact fee's cost basis. 8

c. Internal Road System

No new public roads will be created within the development. A private commercial access and fire lane will provide internal vehicular circulation.

d. Frontage Improvements (SCC 30.66B.410)

Full urban frontage improvements are usually required where the project abuts a public road.²⁵ Approval will be conditioned installation of asphalt concrete pavement 12 feet wide from the center line with an eight-foot-wide paved shoulder. This work will not be credited against the county's road impact mitigation fee because 300th St. NW is not in the impact fee's cost basis.

- 17 ADA ramps at the intersections of all the roads of the development must comply with minimum
- 18 ADA standard requirements for grades and landings as detailed in the current EDDS §4-05 D and
- 19 WSDOT Standard Plans F-40 series. A detail of each ADA ramp will be required in the
- 20 construction plans.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

A horizontal clear/control zone is required along the parcel's frontage.²⁶ Existing or proposed fixed object obstructions must be removed or relocated from this buffer for motorist safety, including utility poles. The clear zone must be established as part of the frontage improvements which must be implemented before the earlier of (a) approval of the final plat or (b) issuance of any occupancy certificate. The clear zone will be addressed during construction plan review.

e. Bicycle

The site borders a bicycle route shown on the county-wide bicycle facility system map. The frontage improvements will provide the needed bicycle path.

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions

Page 14 of 27

²⁴ Ex. G.1.

²⁵ Snohomish County Department of Public Works Rule 4222.020(1).

²⁶ EDDS §§4-15, 8-03; WSDOT Utility Manual.

f. Signing and Striping

Approval will be conditioned on payment by Tulalip Tribes to the county for signing and striping installed or applied by county forces.

3. Not Materially Detrimental to Nearby Uses or Property

The facility is not physically detrimental to uses or property in the immediate facility, nor is the proposed use materially detrimental. The facility will be set back from the property lines and visually screened by landscaping buffers. The architectural elements of the proposed facility are consistent with the area.²⁷ The buildings are a single story with sloped roofs and residential style window design and spacing. The buildings are therefore architecturally consistent with area development and not detrimental to nearby uses or property. External speakers or public address systems will not be allowed, nor will exterior light fixtures without full cut-off features that prevent glare and light pollution. Parking will be behind the buildings; it will not look a strip mall was transplanted from the suburbs to a rural area. Water will be provided by the city of Stanwood and the facility will not impair any neighboring wells or the aquifer. Too, the on-site sewer system will be designed and installed consistent with health department requirements that will protect wellheads of other property in the area.

4. Compatibility with Site and Surrounding Property

As found above, the proposed facility is visually compatible with the site and surrounding property.
The buildings are consistent with the scale of barns, landscaping will screen them visually, and
parking will be hidden from view. The wetlands will be protected by a recorded critical area site
plan and buffers. Stormwater will be collected, detained, treated, and discharged at its historic
location at a rate and volume to maintain the hydroperiod of the wetlands.

²⁷ Ex. B.4.

V. CONCLUSIONS

1

- The Hearing Examiner has authority to approve conditional use permits. SCC 30.42C.020
 (2003); SCC 30.42C.100 (2012); SCC 30.70.025 (2021); SCC 30.72.025 (2012).
- The proposal is consistent with the county's comprehensive plan, complies or can comply with the applicable requirements of title 30 SCC, will not be materially detrimental to the uses or property in the immediate vicinity, and is compatible with, and incorporates specific features, conditions, or revisions that ensures it responds to, the existing or intended character, appearance, quality of development, and physical characteristics of the site and surrounding property. ²⁸
- 9 2. The Hearing Examiner concludes that Tulalip Tribes met its burden of showing the criteria 10 established by county code have been met. The proposal is consistent with the comprehensive 11 plan, county codes, the type and character of land use permitted on the project site, and applicable 12 design and development standards, subject to the conditions described below.
- 13 3. The Hearing Examiner concludes that adequate public services exist to serve the proposed project.
- 15 4. The proposed project will make adequate provisions for public health, safety, and general welfare with conditions as described below.
- 17 | 5. Any finding of fact in this decision which should be deemed a conclusion of law is hereby adopted as a conclusion of law.
- 19 6. Any conclusion of law in this decision which should be deemed a finding of fact is hereby 20 adopted as a finding of fact.

21 VI. DECISION

24

25

26

27

28

Based on the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, the Hearing Examiner hereby approves the conditional use permit, subject to the following conditions:

VII. CONDITIONS

A. Operating Conditions

 The facility and its operation shall comply with all applicable federal and state laws and regulations, including those of the Washington State departments of Social and Health Services and Health. If applicable state or federal standards and regulations change, the facility and its

Residential Treatment Facility North
22-102230 CUP
Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions
Page 16 of 27

²⁸ SCC 30.42C.100(1) (2012).

- operation shall comply with the changed regulation within the timelines required by the revised regulation.
 - 2. The use of external speakers or an external public address system is prohibited.
 - 3. Patients shall not be discharged as pedestrians at the facility's location. Discharge plans shall include transportation from the facility to the patient's next residence. For example, a patient should not be allowed to walk out the facility's doors on discharge except to a waiting vehicle that will transport them to their next residence, such as a friend, family, or caregiver's vehicle, medical transport vehicle, taxi, or shared ride service vehicle.
 - 4. Tulalip Tribes will develop written procedures for notification of the public in case of elopement. The procedures will be developed in consultation with law enforcement and with due regard for privacy and safety of the patient and community. The procedures may provide for different notification procedures and recipients for different situations. The procedures will be available to the public upon request and a copy provided to PDS. The procedures shall be finalized prior to occupancy and shall be updated no less often than every three years.
 - 5. The facility will be staffed at a ratio of at least one clinical staff per four patients, unless subsequent standards or best practices identify a higher ratio of staff to patients.
 - 6. All approved landscaping shall be maintained after installation. Dead or significantly damaged plants and other landscaping material shall be replaced within three months of the death or damage. PDS may authorize delay in replacement up to 180-days when plant death or damage occurs outside the normal planting season.
 - 7. Buildings shall be equipped with NFPA 13 automatic sprinkler systems and NFPA 72 fire alarm systems, which shall be maintained in good working order.
 - 8. All exterior lighting installed initially or in the future shall prevent glare and light pollution on adjacent properties by being shielded, directed downward, and have full-cutoff features. All site area lighting shall be equipped with (a) motion sensors and (b) integral photocells for dusk to dawn operation. All building-mounted exterior lighting shall be controlled by dusk to dawn sensors.
 - 9. Access from and to 300th St. NW shall be restricted to right-in/right-out only, as required by the EDDS deviation approved by the County Traffic Engineer.²⁹
 - 10. Minor and major revisions to the administrative site plan shall be subject to SCC 30.70.210 or 30.70.220.

²⁹ PDS file no. 22-102225 WMD.

11. Nothing in this approval excuses Tulalip Tribes, an owner, lessee, agent, successor or assigns from compliance with any other federal, state, or local statutes, ordinances, or regulations applicable to this project.

B. Development Conditions

1. General

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

29

30

31

- 12. Exhibit B.1 received by PDS on August 22, 2022 shall be the official site plan. No changes to the scope or configuration are permitted without prior PDS approval. Any discrepancies between the approved site plan and title 30 SCC shall be resolved in favor of title 30 SCC.
- 13. Exhibit B.3 received by PDS on August 22, shall be the approved preliminary landscaping plan. Any discrepancies between the approved site plan and title 30 SCC shall be resolved in favor of title 30 SCC.

2. Prior to Commencement of Any Work

- 14. No on-site construction activity other than surveying and marking is authorized unless and until the required plan approvals have been obtained. 14
- 15 15. Boundary line adjustment 22-104576 BLA shall be recorded, and the recording number shall be 16 provided to PDS.
- 17 16. Tulalip Tribes shall have installed advance warning signs that warn drivers of construction 18 vehicles entering and exiting the site. The signs and locations shall be approved by the county. 19 The signs shall remain in place until the access point is restricted to right-in and right-out only.
- 20 17. A landscape maintenance security may be required in accordance with SCC 30.84.150 if 21 Tulalip Tribes requests a planting delay and PDS concurs with the suitability of the delay.
- 22 18. Tulalip Tribes must temporarily mark the boundary of all Critical Area Protection Areas (CAPAs) 23 required by chapter 30.62A SCC and the limits of the proposed site disturbance outside of the 24 CAPA, using methods and materials acceptable to the county.
- 25 19. A right-of-way use permit is required for work within the county road right-of-way.
- 26 20. Tulalip Tribes shall obtain the permits required for the facility, including a land disturbing activity 27 permit required by chapters 30.63A and .63B SCC.
- 28 21. The application for a land disturbing activity permit shall include:
 - a. A proposed final landscaping plan generally consistent with the approved preliminary landscaping plan. The final landscaping plan shall include specifications for design and locations for CAPA signs and split rail fencing.

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions

Page 18 of 27

30

- Revised civil and landscape plans that correctly identify the wetlands as wetlands A, B, and C and are consistent with the approved Wetland Buffer Mitigation Plan written by Widener and Associates and Wetland and Fish and Wildlife Habitat Assessment Report written by Soundview Consultants, LLC dated January 26, 2022.
- c. A final mitigation plan based on the approved mitigation plan contained in the Wetland Buffer and Mitigation Plan written by Widener and Associates dated June 16, 2022, and revised August 19, 2022. The mitigation plan shall be included as a plan sheet(s) in the land disturbing activity plan set. Any temporary or permanent impacts resulting to Wetlands A and B from the proposed culvert replacement shall be accounted for in the final mitigation plan. Culvert designs and specifications shall be provided in the land disturbing activity construction plans.
- d. The landscape plan review fee. SCC 30.86.145(1).
- e. A full drainage plan pursuant to chapters 30.63A and 30.63B SCC.
- 22. The land disturbing activity permit shall include:
 - Conditions that incorporate the inadvertent discovery protocols contained in the Cultural Resources Assessment for a Proposed 32-Bed Behavioral Health Center, prepared by Drayton Archaeology, dated January 5, 2022.
 - The following text required by SCC 30.32B.210:30

Your real property is on, adjacent to, or within 1,300 feet of designated farmland; therefore, you may be subject to inconveniences or discomforts arising from agricultural activities, including but not limited to, noise, odors, fumes, dust, smoke, the operation of machinery of any kind (including aircraft), the storage and disposal of manure, the application by spraying or otherwise of chemical or organic fertilizers, soil amendments, herbicides and pesticides, hours of operation, and other agricultural activities.

Snohomish County has adopted an Agricultural Lands Regulations (chapter 30.32B SCC) which may affect you and your land. You may obtain a copy of chapter 30.32B SCC from Snohomish County.

A provision of chapter 30.32B SCC provides that "agricultural activities conducted on designated farmland in compliance with acceptable agriculture

³⁰ SCC 30.32B.210(2) (2018) requires the disclosure in all development permits. Although "development permit" is undefined in county code, and "development" is defined in chap. 30.91D SCC in a way that does not include this work, the definition of "development activity" includes land disturbing activity. SCC 30.91D.240 (2003).

practices are presumed to be reasonable and shall not be found to constitute a nuisance unless the activities have a substantial adverse effect on the public health or safety."

This disclosure applies to the real property upon any development or building permit approval; or, in the case of real property transfers, the disclosure applies to the subject property as of the date of the transfer. This disclosure may not be applicable thereafter if areas designated as farmland are changed from the farmland designation.

Prior to issuance of the land disturbing activity permit:

- 23. Tulalip Tribes shall obtain approval of its on-site sewer system design from the Health Department and provide a copy of the design and approval to PDS.
- 24. Tulalip Tribes shall record the following with the County Auditor and provide PDS with a copy of the recorded document and Auditor's file number:
 - a. Stormwater facility easement.
 - b. Off-site septic easement.
 - c. Declaration of covenant for maintenance of the pre-treatment system of the on-site sewer system.³¹
 - d. A Critical Areas Site Plan (SCC 30.62.160) that designates critical areas and their buffers as Critical Area Protection Areas (CAPAs). A copy of the recorded plan and the Auditor's recording file shall be provided to PDS. The plan must identify areas which are currently being used for other purposes (e.g., mowed fields). The plan must contain the following restrictive language:

Except as provided herein All CRITICAL AREA PROTECTION AREAS shall be left permanently undisturbed in a substantially natural state. No clearing, grading, filling, building construction or placement, or road construction of any kind shall occur except: non-ground disturbing interior or exterior building improvements; routine landscape maintenance of established, ornamental landscaping; non-ground disturbing normal maintenance or repair; felling or topping of hazardous trees based on review by a qualified arborist; removal of noxious weeds conducted in accordance with chapter 16-750 WAC; maintenance or replacement that does not expand the affected area of septic tanks and

³¹ See testimony of Evan Haines.

- drainfields, wells, or individual utility service connections; data collection by nonmechanical means; and non-mechanical survey and monument placement.
- e. An executed land use permit binder.
 - 25. Tulalip Tribes shall have paid:

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

29

30

- a. A landscape site inspection fee consistent with SCC 30.86.145(3).
- 6 b. The amount required by the county for installation of signs and striping. SCC 13.10.180.

3. Prior to Combustible Construction

26. Tulalip Tribes shall have provided PDS with a final certificate of water availability that verifies all hydrants have been installed, are charged and operational, and the minimum required fire flow can be met.

4. Prior to Final Inspection of the Land Disturbing Activity Permit

- 27. The high decorative screen fence detailed on sheet L-520 of the approved preliminary landscape plan must be satisfactorily installed adjacent to the parking lot, as depicted on sheets L-210, L-211, and L-212 of the preliminary landscape plan.
- 28. All CAPA boundaries shall have been permanently marked on the site prior to final inspection by the county, with both CAPA signs and adjacent markers which can be magnetically located (e.g., rebar, pipe, or 20 penny nails). Tulalip Tribes may use other permanent methods and materials if they are approved by the county before installation. Where a CAPA boundary crosses another boundary (e.g., lot, tract, plat, or road), a rebar marker with surveyors' cap and license number must be placed at the line crossing.
- 29. CAPA signs shall have been placed no greater than 100 feet apart around the perimeter of the CAPA. Minimum placement shall include one Type 1 sign per wetland, and at least one Type 1 sign shall be placed in any lot that borders the CAPA, unless otherwise approved by the county biologist. The design and proposed locations for the CAPA signs shall be submitted to PDS for review and approval prior to installation.
- 30. The final mitigation plan shall have been implemented to the satisfaction of the county.
- 31. Mitigation maintenance and warranty security shall have been provided in accordance with the mitigation and warranty security requirements of chapter 30.84 SCC to ensure that the mitigation meets the performance requirement targets contained in the approved mitigation plan.
- 31 | 32. Split-rail fencing shall be satisfactorily installed around the boundary of CAPA.

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions

Page 21 of 27

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

1

- 33. The architectural plans submitted for building permit review shall comply with all applicable building and fire code requirements and with conditions 7 (automatic fire sprinklers and alarms) and 8 (exterior lighting).
- 34. Prior to building permit issuance:
 - a. Tulalip Tribes shall provide PDS with a copy of the Snohomish County Department of Health's approval of the on-site sewer system installation.
 - b. Tulalip Tribes shall pay an impact fee to Snohomish County for traffic impacts on the county's road system in the amount of \$49,104.32. The impact fee shall be distributed to each Transportation Service Area in accordance with SCC 30.66B.340, as indicated in the allocation table below. This payment may be made proportionately with each building permit.

Road System Impact Fee Allocation Table								
To TSA	Total Amount							
TSA A	\$16,312.46							
TSA B	\$4,556.88							
TSA C	\$373.19							
TSA D	\$21,055.93							
TSA E	\$2,293.17							
TSA F \$4,512.69								
Total Owed: \$49,104.32								

13

141516

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

25

- c. Tulalip Tribes shall have deeded five feet as right of way along the property frontage on 300th Street NW for a total of 40 feet from the center line of the right of way, or as determined by the Department of Public Works.
- 35. As required by SCC 30.32B.210, the following disclosure language of SCC 30.32B.220 shall be included on the commercial building permit:

Your real property is on, adjacent to, or within 1,300 feet of designated farmland; therefore, you may be subject to inconveniences or discomforts arising from agricultural activities, including but not limited to, noise, odors, fumes, dust, smoke, the operation of machinery of any kind (including aircraft), the storage and disposal of manure, the application by spraying or otherwise of chemical or organic fertilizers, soil amendments, herbicides and pesticides, hours of operation, and other agricultural activities.

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions

Page 22 of 27

Snohomish County has adopted an Agricultural Lands Regulations (chapter 30.32B SCC) which may affect you and your land. You may obtain a copy of chapter 30.32B SCC from Snohomish County.

A provision of chapter 30.32B SCC provides that "agricultural activities conducted on designated farmland in compliance with acceptable agriculture practices are presumed to be reasonable and shall not be found to constitute a nuisance unless the activities have a substantial adverse effect on the public health or safety."

This disclosure applies to the real property upon any development or building permit approval; or, in the case of real property transfers, the disclosure applies to the subject property as of the date of the transfer. This disclosure may not be applicable thereafter if areas designated as farmland are changed from the farmland designation.

6. Prior to Occupancy

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

22

23

24

2526

27

28

29

30

31

32

- 36. The elopement notification procedures required by condition 4 shall be finalized and a copy provided to PDS.
- 37. Prior to installation of the proposed monument sign, Tulalip Tribes shall obtain a sign permit.
 The proposed monument sign shall substantially match the proposed monument sign on the conditional use application signage plan and be located as shown on the approved site plan.
- 38. All required landscaping, including perimeter, parking, and site, shall be installed, and a
 qualified landscape designer shall certify to PDS that the installation complies with county code
 and the approved plans.
 - 39. All fire hydrants shall have been equipped with the following:
 - a. A 4-inch Storz steamer port.
 - b. The top of the hydrant shall be painted pursuant to the level of service provided. The tops of the hydrants shall be painted blue because the level of service provided is greater than 1,500 gpm.
 - 40. Tulalip Tribes shall have installed blue street reflectors hydrant side of the center line to assist approaching emergency vehicles apparatus to locate the hydrant.
 - 41. Tulalip Tribes shall have installed all fire lane pavement striping per the approved site plan. The fire lane shall be labeled "No Parking Fire Lane" every 50 feet.
 - 42. Tulalip Tribes shall have constructed rural frontage improvements along the parcel's frontage on 300th Street NW to the satisfaction of the county.

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions

Page 23 of 27

1 2		e access point shall be restricted to right-in/right-out only and the construction of this access criction will be to the satisfaction of the county
3	C. Te	rmination and Expiration
4	44. This	s conditional use permit shall expire:
5 6	a.	Five years from the date of this approval if the proposed use has not commenced (SCC 30.70.140); or
7	b.	One year after the site ceases to be used as a secure inpatient behavioral health facility.
8	45. This	s conditional use permit shall terminate if:
9	a.	Conditions of this permit are violated and not promptly corrected;
10	b.	Conditions of this permit are repeatedly violated, even if promptly corrected;
11 12	C.	Any license or permit required by state or other law or regulation for operation of the facility expires or is terminated; or
13	d.	Applicable federal, state, or local laws or regulations are violated and not promptly corrected.
	Decisio	on issued this 7 th day of March, 2023.
		Peter B. Camp
		Peter B. Camp
	1	·

Hearing Examiner

VIII. EXPLANATION OF RECONSIDERATION AND APPEAL PROCEDURES

- 2 The decision of the Hearing Examiner is final. Any party of record petition the Hearing Examiner to
- 3 reconsider the decision and any party of record may appeal the decision to the County Council.
- 4 However, reconsideration by the Hearing Examiner may also be sought by a party of record. The
- 5 | following paragraphs summarize the reconsideration and appeal processes. For more information
- 6 about reconsideration and appeal procedures, please see chapter 30.72 SCC and the respective
- 7 Hearing Examiner and Council Rules of Procedure.

Reconsideration

- 9 Any party of record may request reconsideration by the Hearing Examiner from the date of this
- 10 decision. A petition for reconsideration must be filed in writing with the Office of Hearings
- 11 Administration, 2nd Floor, Robert J. Drewel Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett,
- 12 Washington, (Mailing Address: M/S No. 405, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett WA 98201) by
- hand delivery, US mail, or email³² on or before March 17, 2023. There is no fee for filing a petition
- 14 | for reconsideration. The petitioner for reconsideration shall mail or otherwise provide a copy of the
- petition for reconsideration to all parties of record on the date of filing. SCC 30.72.065.
- 16 A petition for reconsideration does not have to be in a special form but must contain the name,
- 17 | mailing address and daytime telephone number of the petitioner, the signature of the petitioner or
- 18 of the petitioner's attorney, if any; identify the specific findings, conclusions, actions and/or
- 19 conditions for which reconsideration is requested; state the relief requested; and, where applicable,
- 20 | identify the specific nature of any newly discovered evidence and/or changes proposed by the
- 21 applicant.

1

8

- 22 The grounds for seeking reconsideration are limited to the following:
- 23 (a) The Hearing Examiner exceeded his jurisdiction;
- 24 (b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching his decision;
- 25 (c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law;
- 26 (d) The Hearing Examiner's findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by the record;
- 28 (e) New evidence is discovered which could not reasonably have been produced at the hearing and which is material to the decision; or

³² Hearing.Examiner@snoco.org.

(f) The applicant proposed changes to the application in response to deficiencies identified in the decision.

Petitions for reconsideration will be processed and considered by the Hearing Examiner pursuant to the provisions of SCC 30.72.065. Please include the county file number in any correspondence regarding this case.

Appeal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

21

22

23 24

25

26

2728

29

30

31

32

34

35

36

- An appeal to the County Council may be filed by any aggrieved party of record on or before
- 8 March 21, 2023. Where the reconsideration process of SCC 30.72.065 has been invoked, no
- 9 appeal may be filed until the reconsideration petition has been decided by the hearing examiner.
- 10 An aggrieved party need not file a petition for reconsideration but may file an appeal directly to the
- 11 County Council. If a petition for reconsideration is filed, issues subsequently raised by that party on
- 12 appeal to the County Council shall be limited to those issues raised in the petition for
- 13 reconsideration.
- 14 Appeals shall be addressed to the Snohomish County Council but shall be filed in writing with the
- 15 Department of Planning and Development Services, 2nd Floor, County Administration-East
- 16 Building, 3000 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, Washington (Mailing address: M/S No. 604, 3000
- 17 Rockefeller Avenue, Everett, WA 98201), and shall be accompanied by a filing fee in the amount of
- 18 | five hundred dollars (\$500.00) for each appeal filed; PROVIDED, that the fee shall not be charged
- 19 to a department of the County. The filing fee shall be refunded in any case where an appeal is
- 20 | summarily dismissed in whole without hearing under SCC 30.72.075.
 - 1. Scan the original manually signed (handwritten) copy of the appeal document;
 - 2. Send your appeal as an email attachment to epermittech@snoco.org. Please include your phone number where you can be reliably reached.
 - 3. Staff will call you to collect your credit card information and process your payment.
 - 4. Mail the original to Snohomish County PDS, 3000 Rockefeller M/S 604, Everett, WA 98201.

An appeal must contain the following items in order to be complete: a detailed statement of the grounds for appeal; a detailed statement of the facts upon which the appeal is based, including citations to specific Hearing Examiner findings, conclusions, exhibits or oral testimony; written arguments in support of the appeal; the name, mailing address and daytime telephone number of each appellant, together with the signature of at least one of the appellants or of the attorney for the appellant(s), if any; the name, mailing address, daytime telephone number and signature of the appellant's agent or representative, if any; and the required filing fee.

- 33 The grounds for filing an appeal shall be limited to the following:
 - (a) The decision exceeded the Hearing Examiner's jurisdiction;
 - (b) The Hearing Examiner failed to follow the applicable procedure in reaching his decision;
 - (c) The Hearing Examiner committed an error of law; or

Residential Treatment Facility North

22-102230 CUP

Decision Approving Conditional Use Permit with Conditions

Page 26 of 27

1 2	(d) The Hearing Examiner's findings, conclusions and/or conditions are not supported by substantial evidence in the record. SCC 30.72.080
3 4 5	Appeals will be processed and considered by the County Council pursuant to the provisions of chapter 30.72 SCC. Please include the county file number in any correspondence regarding the case.
6	Staff Distribution:
7	Department of Planning and Development Services: Rebecca Samy
8 9 0 1	The following statement is provided pursuant to RCW 36.70B.130: "Affected property owners may request a change in valuation for property tax purposes notwithstanding any program of revaluation." A copy of this Decision is being provided to the Snohomish County Assessor as required by RCW 36.70B.130.
	Residential Treatment Facility North 22-102230 CUP

	Name	Address	City	State	Zip	E-Mail	Concerns	
POR & Agency List	22-102230-CUP	Residential Treatment Facility North	Hearing: Ja	n 24, 2	5 & 26 2	023, 9:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. on Jan 26, 202	23	
PUBLIC COMMENTS	Allen Saunders					allen.saunders@comcast.net	Comments/Opposition	
TODEIC COMMENTO	Allie Perry					sheparda@hotmail.com	Comments/ Opposition	
	Allison Warner	316 Dove Drive	Camano Isla	a WA	98282	allisivy@gmail.com	Comments/Support	
	Amy Bergemeier				30202	abergemeier@yahoo.com	Comments/ Opposition	
	Anna Nepomuceno	1107 NE 45th St, Suite 330	Seattle	wa	98105	anepomuceno@namiwa.org	Comments/Support	
	Anne Jones	7607 Stauffer Rd	Stanwood	WA		anniewaynorth@yahoo.com /ajones@sno-	• •	
	Brent Koos					brentkoos@gmail.com	POR/Comments	
	Bruce Collins					bruceposu@frontier.com	Comment/Opposition	
	Bruce & Peggy Kitting	7229 286th Pl NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	peggypooh321@yahoo.com	POR/Opposition	
	Candace Trautrman	1025 Aqua Vista Lane	Camano Isla	a WA		philandcandy@wavecable.com	Comments/Support	
	Carol Dvorak Volkman	·				caroldvorak@outlook.com	Comments/support	
	Carol Korpi					korpcj1@gmail.com	Comments/Support	
	Catherine Carpenter	5128 Happy Hollow Road	Stanwood	WA	98292	uryurhere@earthlink.net	Comments/Opposition	
	Chris Davis	,				cdavisbusiness@yahoo.com	POR/Comments	
	Chris Larson					captlarski1@gmail.com	POR/Opposition	
	Christi Bell					christimbell@yahoo.com	Comments/Opposition	
	Christina Gravin					cgarvin86@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition	
	Christina Robertson					moosetales@aol.com	Comments/Opposition	
	Claudia Davidson					claudia@mainstreetyarn.com	Comments/Support	
	CM Nate Nehring					nate.nehring@co.snohomish.wa.us	Comments	
	Darren and Alyona Franz					izbushka.llc@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition	
	David Fugate	Mount Baker Meadows				mountbakermeadows@gmail.com	POR/Comments	
	Dean Van Vleet					dean.namiskagit@gmail.com	POR	
	Deana Ottum					kezo@comcast.net	POR/Comments/Support	
	Deb Hubenthal					deborahhubenthal@gmail.com	POR	
	Debbie Jadwin					djadwin53@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition	
	Dee Shishido	31707 West Lake Ketchum Road	Stanwood	WA	98292	tangles39@hotmail.com	POR/Opposition	
	Delbert Fox	7229 300th St. NW	Stanwood	WA	98292		Comments/Support	US Mail
	Diana Perry					diventuresinc@aol.com	Comments/Opposition	
	Dinah Aldrich					dinahaldrich@gmail.com	Comments/Support	
	Donna Knight					errymor@gmail.com	Comments	
	Donna Olson	Board Chair for Take the Next Step				donnavolson@gmail.com	Comments/Support	
	Elizabeth Reed	31522 West Lake Ketchum Road	Stanwood	WA		elizabeth@interfacetechnw.com	Comments	
	Frederic Berg	8202 317th Pl NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	fredericpberg@msn.com	POR Request/General Opposition	
	Garry Olson	273rd Street NW	Stanwood	WA		stanwoodstumpy@hotmail.com	Comments/Opposition	
	Gay-Lynn Beighton					gay-lynnb@namisnohomishcounty.org	POR Request/Comments	
	Ganelle Swindler	4621 191st PL NE	Arlington	WA		swindler_gan@LIVE.COM	Comments/opposition	
	G.L. DeBortole	30432 80th Ave NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	Geno6860@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition	
	Gregg Small					gregg.small@wsu.edu	Comments/opposition	
	Gretchen Saari					gsaari@msn.com	Comments/support	
	Gwen Phillips					mcinlineq@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition	
	Hank Tingler	7420 300th St NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	brownshooo@earthlink.net	POR Request	
	Harvey Stackhouse	0740 27467 67 104	CI.		00000	hstackhouse1948@gmail.com	Comments, safety, response times. E	
	James Hamilton	9718 271ST ST NW	Stanwood	WA	98292		Comments/opposition	US Mail

Jan Iverson					janiverson4950@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Janet Graafstra					graafstrajan@gmail.com	Comment/Opposition
Jayson Russell					jaysonrussell@outlook.com	Comment/Opposition
, Jeremiah Bauman					jeremiah.r.bauman@gmail.com	Comment/Support
Jessica Gilman					jessicamarie0125@aol.com	Comment/Opposition
Jim Bloss					jbloss132@gmail.com	POR request
Jim Dolan	10027 269th Place NW	Stanwood	WA		jbdolan@jbdolan.com	comment/support
Joan Andrews	28130 Lund Hill Rd	Stanwood	WA		andfre65@yahoo.com	POR/General Opposition
Joan Rave					fedheads@hotmail.com	Comment/Support
Joseph Chartier					jac98270@comcast.net	Comments/Support
Joseph Wilson					joeyw206@gmail.com	Comments/Support
Julia Katzenmaier					j_katzenmaier@icloud.com	Comments/Road Improvements
Julie Melville					juliemelville@gmail.com	POR Request/Comments Support
Kaitlinn Donham					kkaters20@aol.com	Comments/ Opposition
Kandyce Hansen	30627 87th AVE NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	kandycehansen1@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Kara Dineen	202 South Sams Street	Monroe	WA		kara@ttns.org	Comments/Support
Karen Dickson					kranmom@hotmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Karen Schilde	5012 West View Drive	Everett	WA	98203	schildek@comcast.net	Comments/Support
Kathleen Chiles	21423 55th Ave SE	Woodinville			k.chiles22@live.com	Comments/Support
Kathleen McKee	PO Box 121	Stanwood	WA		mckee.kdm@gmail.com	POR request
Kathy Richarson					kathymrichardson@yahoo.com	POR/Questions
Katie & Ed Farrey	27313 Pioneer Hwy	Stanwood	WA	98292	kffarrey@gmail.com	Comments/Support
Katie Mahoney	,				katie.a.mahoney@gmail.com	Comments/Support
, Katie Weeks	32030 76th Ave NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	klweeks@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Kelsey Edwardsen					kelseyedwardsen@gmail.com	POR request
Kelsi Opland					KelsiOpland@hotmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Kevin & Jenell Jones					jordannursery@yahoo.com	Comments
Kiley Casey					caseykiley@me.com	Comments/Opposition
Kimberly Acuff					kimberly.acuff@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Kip Litehiser & Margo Townsend					litetown@frontier.com	Comments/Opposition
Konni Kasemeier					katokon@aol.com	Comment/Opposition
Kris Cimino					kriscimino@comcast.net	Comments/Opposition
Laura Oltman					horsenerd801@gmail.com	Comments/ Opposition
Lauren Simonds	1107 NE 45th St, Suite 330	Seattle	WA	98105	LSimonds@namiwa.org	POR/supportive
Leanna Partridge	·				leannapartridge@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Liliana Uribe					lilianadelourdes@yahoo.com	Support comment
Linda Godwin					lgodwin5601@gmail.com	Comments/support
Lynn White					ldaviswhite@yahoo.com	POR/Opposition
Lynne Donovan					lynne51donovan@yahoo.com	POR/Opposition
Marcy Imus					deterow@aol.com	Comments/ Opposition
, Maria Arreola					Maria_arreola@nsbhaso.org	POR
Mark Schinman	8324 300th St NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	mark@schinman.com	Comments/Opposition
Marsha J. Hicks	6705 57th Steet NE		WA		marjon.hicks@gmail.com	Comments/Support
Mary Anne Osborn	PO Box 670	Edmonds	WA		mawosborn@msn.com	Comments/Support
Mary Gage					meliz50@hotmail.com	Comments
MaryAnn Kridler	8120 300th Street NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	mi.cha.el9.mk@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Meagen Watne					meagen.watne@gmail.com	Comment
-					- -	

Meg McClure					megmcclure234@gmail.com	Comments/Support
Megan Tucker					malone812003@yahoo.com	Comments/Opposition
Melanie & Paul Sobotta					paulandmelanie@wavecable.com	Comments/Opposition
Melissa Walstad					braaten794@icloud.com	Comments
Michael Carmichael	28807 80th Av NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	stokewood33@yahoo.com	POR /Comments
Michael James					mfj62@yahoo.com	POR
Michele Meaker					michelem@namisnohomishcounty.org	Comments/Support
Mike Buckland					bucklandmike@hotmail.com	Comments/Support
Mike Hayslip					mikejenhayslip@hotmail.com	Comments/Support
Murphy Russell	7314 300th St NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	jnmr0617@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Nora Davis	731130011301111	Stanwood	**/	30232	lowcforme@gmail.com	Comments/support
North Stanwood Concerned Citize	ens				northstanwoodconcernedcitizens@gmail.	• •
Pam Reeves	29106 68th Ave Nw	Stanwood	WA	98292	preevesrq@gmail.com	Comments
Pamela Thompson	29330 46th Drive NW	Stanwood	WA		dogday@myfrontiermail.com	Comments/Opposition
Pat Wilder	8305 311th St NW		WA		fanta002@aol.com	
	8303 311(II 3) NVV	Stanwood	WA	90292	_	POR Request/Comments
Patrick & Heidi Wade					pjwade_454@yahoo.com	Comments
Patty Tingler	21000 76+b A NIA	Chamilia	١٨/٨	00202	animalfancy@gmail.com	Comments (Opposition
Paul & Candice Amrine	31009 76th Ave. NW	Stanwood	WA		candipaul@aol.com	Comments/Opposition
Paul Miller	30733 76th Ave NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	millerpaulcutler@gmail.com	POR request
Paula Segale		a			PaulaSegale@msn.com	POR/Opposition
Peggy Kitting	7229 286th Pl NW	Stanwood	WA		peggypooh321@yahoo.com>	Comments/Opposition
Peggy Miller	30733 76th Ave NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	pfmiller.49@gmail.com	POR Request/Comments/Opposition
Rachelle Cummings					rachellecummings92@gmail.com	POR/Opposition
Ralph & Amy Esary	4626 Village Road	Stanwood	WA	98292	esary5@frontier.com	Comments/Opposition
Ramona Snowden					ramona.thepest@frontier.com	Comments/Opposition
Richard Moparman					richardmoparman@aol.com	Comments/Opposition
Richard Vaughan					windenrayn@yahoo.com	POR
Rick Flores					rick.d.flores@gmail.com	POR/Questions
Rob Gilden					robgilden@yahoo.com	Comment/Opposition
Robert and Gloria Drury					drurylane1@yahoo.com	Comment
Robert James					lovemychevytruck@gmail.com	Comment/Opposition
Robin Carmichael					robinwood333@yahoo.com	Comments/Opposition
Robyn Gibson	8217 313th Pl NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	gibsonrobyn9@gmail.com	POR/Comments
Ron Howell					rhowell@wavecable.com	Comment/Opposition
Rose Dennis					roseden21@hotmail.com	Comment/Support
Roy Everett					royamy@wavecable.com	POR/Comments
Sam Keller					sam_c_Keller@hotmail.com	POR request
Sandra E. Sanford					sandysanford@comcast.net	Comments/Support
Sarah Gibson					s.j.gibs1@gmail.com	Comments/ Opposition
Saranne Moreschi					saranne.moreschi@gmail.com	Comments/Support
Sean Gillespie					omalley1537@yahoo.com	Comments/ Opposition
Sharon Swift					sharonbuddy@wavecable.com	Comments/support
Sheila Harrington	3520 214th St SW	Brier	WA	98036	sheharr@aol.com	Comment/Support
Shirley O	5525 22 101 50 500	Dilei		20000	zocs@comcast.net	Comment/Support
Sid Roberts	10220 270th St NW	Stanwood	WA	98797	sid.roberts@stanwoodwa.org	Comments
Skyler Malan	10220 270th St IVVV	Stanwood	v v /^\	JUZJZ	Skyler.Malan@snoco.org	Comments/support
Sonya Johnson					sjohnson409@gmail.com	Comments/Support

Stacy Moore					stacyamoore@ymail.com	comments/support
Stan Burwell	8627 Myrtle Road	Stanwood	WA	98292	stanburwell78@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Stephen Hendrickson	29206 64th Ave NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	steve@hendricksonhomestead.com	POR
Steve Snowden					steve.snowden@frontier.com	Comments/Opposition
Stuart Heady	851 Westview Court	Stanwood	WA	98282	stuart.heady@gmail.com	POR/Supportive Comments
Sue Keller	PO Box 601	Stanwood	WA	98292	sjkeller61@gmail.com	POR request
Susann E Hendrickson					suehenhome@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Teresa Buckland					bucklandmike@hotmail.com	Comments/Support
Tim Schmitt	26910 92nd Ave NW, Suite C5, Box 115	Stanwood	WA	98292	lethalwit@hotmail.com	POR request/questions
Tina Sharp					myemail2tina@yahoo.com	Comments/Opposition
Toni Reading					toni.reading@gmail.com	Comments/POR support
Tracy Sellers					tracy271wa@yahoo.com	POR request/questions
Trisha Pearce	PO Box 121	Stanwood	WA	98292	tpearcern@yahoo.com	Comments
Tyler Shellenberg					tyler.shellenberg@outlook.com	Comments/support
Vicki Russell	PO Box 626	Stanwood	WA	98292	vmrranch@msn.com	POR/Opposition
Vivian Henderson					vmail@cedarcomm.com	Comment/Support
Amy Rusko	Ci of Arlington				arusko@arlingtonwa.gov	
Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury	PUD - electrial				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com	
Roland Storme	WSDOT				stormer@wsdot.wa.gov	
Tansy Schroeder	Ci of Stanwood				Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us	
Kevin Hushagen	Ci of Stanwood Public Works				kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us	
Antonia Weiss	Health Department				antonia.weiss@snoco.org	
Lucas Larson	Health Department				lucas.larson@snoco.org	
lan Huri	Sno Co Sheriffs Office				ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us	
Scott Robertson	Sno Co Sheriffs Office				Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us	
Steve Goforth	North County EMS				sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com	
Rebecca Samy	Sno Co Pland & Dev Svcs				rebecca.samy@snoco.org	
Doug McCormick	Sno Co DPW				Doug.McCormick@so.snohomish.wa.us	
Shelley Klasse	Stanwood -Camano School District				rklasse@stanwood.wednet.edu	
Ann C. House	Staff Attorney for Snoqualmie Tribe				ann.harrie@snoqualmietribe.us	wetland information request
Gene Enick	Tulalip Tribe Cultural Division				genick@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov	Requesting Cultural Assessment
Todd Gray	Tulalip Tribe Environmental Division				toddgray@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov	Comments/Wetlands
Kelsey Payne	Snoqualmie Tribe/Water Quality Manag	ger			kelsey.payne@snoqualmietribe.us	wetland information request
Richard Young	Tulalip Tribes Cultural Division				ryoung@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov	
Hannah Furfaro	Seattle Times				hfurfaro@seattletimes.com	POR Request
Izzie Lund	Stanwood Camano News				ilund@scnews.com	POR Request
Jacqueline Allision	Everett Herald				jacqueline.allison@soundpublishing.com	Inquiry for Hearing Date
Kelsey Edwardson	Tulalip Tribes				kelseye@wenahagroup.com	
Christine Phillips	BCRA				cphillips@bcradesign.com	
					ehaines@korsmo.com	
Evan Haines	Korsmo				enames@korsino.com	
Evan Haines Keith Banes	Korsmo Wenaha Group representing Tulalip Trik	oes			KeithB@wenahagroup.com	
		oes			_	
Keith Banes	Wenaha Group representing Tulalip Trib	oes			KeithB@wenahagroup.com	

AGENCIES/TRIBAL

MEDIA

APPLICANT/OWNER CONTACT PERSON

Jim Wolch BCRA

Aaron Van Aken Heath and Associates

jwolch@bcradesign.com avanaken@heathtraffic.com

SEPA APPEAL (Dismissed 12/21/22)

APPEAL Applicant

Christine Phillips, BCRA (Applicant) BCRA Evan Haines, Korsmo (Applicant) Korsmo

Keith Banes, Wenaha Group (Applic Wenaha Group representing Tulalip Tribes

Jim Wolch, BCRA (Applicant) BCRA

Rhylee Marchand, Tulalip Tribes (Ar Counsel for Tulalip Tribes Lisa Koop, Tulalip Tribes (Applicant) Counsel for Tulalip Tribes Tyler Eastman, Tulalip Tribes (Applic Counsel for Tulalip Tribes

PDS

Rebecca Samy, PDS Laura Kisielius, PDS David Irwin, PDS Erin Harker, PDS

Kenneth Crossman, PDS
Caleb Duhnke, PDS
Seth Henderson, PDS
Tom Barnett, PDS
Michael Dobesh, PDS
Mohammad Uddin, PDS
Douglas McCormick, PDS

Health Dist

Antonia Weiss, SnoHD Lucas Larson, SnoHD

Appellant

Kathy Richardson, Appellant

cphillips@bcradesign.com ehaines@korsmo.com KeithB@wenahagroup.com jwolch@bcradesign.com

rmarchand@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov lkoop@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov teastman@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov

rebecca.samy@snoco.org

Laura.Kisielius@co.snohomish.wa.us
David.Irwin@co.snohomish.wa.us
Erin.Harker@co.snohomish.wa.us
Ken.Crossman@co.snohomish.wa.us
Caleb.Duhnke@co.snohomish.wa.us
Seth.Henderson@co.snohomish.wa.us
Tom.Barnett@co.snohomish.wa.us

Michael.Dobesh@snoco.org Mohammad.Uddin@co.snohomish.wa.us

DMcCormick@co.snohomish.wa.us

antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org

kathymrichardson@yahoo.com

Before the

HEARING EXAMINER

Snohomish County, Washington

LIST OF EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

Applicant: Tulalip Tribes Case No.: 22-102230 CUP

Project Name: Residential Treatment Facility North

EXHIBITS: Submitted for the January 24, 2023 open record hearing:

A. APPLICATION:

- A.1 Master Permit Application filed 6-26-2022
- A.2 Project Narrative
- A.3 Conditional Use Criteria Narrative
- A.4 120 Day Waiver Letter

B. PLANS:

- B.1 Site Plan dated 8-22-2022
- B.2 Civil Drawings, dated 10-20-2022
- B.3 Preliminary Landscape Plans dated 8-23-2022
- B.4 Preliminary Building Elevations dated 6-27-2022
- B.5 Signage Plan dated 6-27-2022
- B.6 Security Plan dated 6-27-2022

C. REPORTS:

- C.1 Traffic Impact Analysis, dated 9-2022
- C.2 Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan from BCRA dated 6-2022
- C.3 Geotechnical Report from Associated Earth Sciences, dated 12-9-2021
- C.4 Wetland and Fish and Wildlife Habitat Assessment Report dated 1-26-2022
- C.5 Wetland Buffer Mitigation Plan dated 8-19-2022
- C.6 Parking Assessment dated 6-15-2022
- C.7 Cultural Resources Cover Sheet 2-1-2022
- C.8 Soil Logs from Cascade Surveying Memo dated 1-26-2022
- C.9 Drainage Report Addendum dated 11-10-2022

D. PROPERTY:

- D.1 Ownership Zoning Map
- D.2 Aerial Map
- **D.3** Verification of Legal Description

E. ENVIRONMENTAL:

<u>E.1</u> Determination of Nonsignificance with Environmental Checklist

Snohomish County, Washington LIST OF EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

Applicant: Christine Phillips Case No.: 22 102230 CUP

Project Name: Residential Treatment Facility North

F. NOTICE AND ROUTING DOCUMENTS:

- <u>F.1</u> Affidavit of Mailing Notice of Open Record Hearing, Threshold Determination, and Concurrency and Traffic Impact Fee Determinations
- <u>F.2</u> Affidavit of Notification (publication) Notice of Open Record Hearing, Threshold Determination, and Concurrency and Traffic Impact Fee Determinations
- <u>F.3</u> Posting Verification Notice of Open Record Hearing, Threshold Determination, and Concurrency and Traffic Impact Fee Determinations
- <u>F.4</u> Affidavit of Notification (publication) Notice of Application for Everett Herald and Stanwood Camano News
- <u>F.5</u> Affidavit of Mailing Issued DNS
- F.6 Affidavit of Mailing Notice of Cancellation of Open Record Hearing
- <u>F.7</u> Affidavit of Notification (publication) Notice of Cancellation for Everett Herald and Stanwood Camano News
- F.8 Posting Verification Cancelled Notice of Open Record Hearing
- F.9 Affidavit of Mailing Notice of Rescheduled Open Record Hearing
- <u>F.10</u> Affidavit of Notification (publication) Notice of Reschedule Hearing for Everett Herald and Stanwood Camano News
- F.11 Posting Verification Rescheduled Open Record Hearing
- F.12 Affidavit of Mailing Corrected Postcard for Corrected Re-Notice of Rescheduled Open Record Hearing
- <u>F.13</u> Affidavit of Notification (publication) combined for Stanwood Camano News and Everett Herald
- F.14 Posting Verification Corrected Re-Notice of Rescheduled Open Record Hearing

G. OTHER ITEMS:

- G.1 EDDS Deviation Approval
- G.2 Boundary Line Adjustment 22-104576 BLA
- G.3 FAQ sheet from Washington Health Care Authority dated 6-27-2022.
- G.4 90- and 180-day Civil Commitment: Best Practices Toolkit, submitted 9-19-2022
- G.5 Applicant's Local Agencies Meeting Outline received 9-16-2022
- G.6 Tax Sharing Compact between the Tulalip Tribes and the State of Washington
- G.7 1st Review Completion Letter from PDS dated 5-18-2022
- G.8 Snohomish County Ordinance 04-010
- G.9 Fire Marshal's Memo dated 7-12-2022
- G.10 Drainage Review Memo dated 7-12-2022
- G.11 Critical Areas Review Memo and Addendum dated 8-24-2022
- G.12 Transportation Review Memo dated 10-11-2022
- G.13 Traffic Pre-submittal
- G.14 March 2022 Townhall Slides from Washington Health Care Authority

H. GOVERNMENT / TRIBAL COMMENTS:

- H.1 City of Stanwood Water Availability letter dated 6- 20-2022
- H.2 Snohomish P.U.D. comments dated 2-14-2022

HEARING EXAMINER

Snohomish County, Washington LIST OF EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

Applicant: Christine Phillips Case No.: 22 102230 CUP

Project Name: Residential Treatment Facility North

- H.3 Snohomish Health District comments dated 3-28-2022
- H.4 North County Fire and Rescue communications from 2-8 to 9-12-2022
- H.5 PDS email to Snohomish County Sherriff's Office dated 7-15-2022
- H.6 Washington State Department of Transportation dated 7-29-22
- H.7 City of Arlington Traffic comments and PDS response dated 8-8-22
- H.8 City of Stanwood Traffic comments dated 8-3-2022
- H.9 Tulalip Tribes Cultural comments 2-25-2022
- H.10 Tulalip Tribes Environmental comments 2-24-2022
- H.11 Snoqualmie Tribe Environmental comment 2-23, 3-2-2022

I. PUBLIC COMMENTS:

- I.1 Allen Saunders comments 3-3-2022
- I.2 Allie Perry comments 2-16-2022
- Allison Warner comments 9-29-2022
- 1.4 Amy Bergemeier comments 2-16-2022
- 1.5 Anna Nepomuceno comments 10-3-2022
- 1.6 Anne Jones comments 2-16-2022
- I.7 Brent Koos comments 3-2-2022
- I.8 Bruce and Peggy Kitting comments 3-2-2022
- I.9 Bruce Collins comments 3-14-2022
- I.10 Candace Trautman comments 3-2-2022
- I.11 Carol Korpi comments 3-22-2022
- I.12 Catherine Carpenter comments 3-1-2022
- I.13 Chris Davis comments 2-21-2022
- I.14 Chris Larson comments 3-14-2022
- I.15 Christi Bell comments 2-28-2022
- I.16 Christina Gravin comments 2-24-2022
- I.17 Claudia Davidson comments 3-31-2022
- I.18 Darren and Alyona Franz comments 3-1-2022
- 1.19 David Fugate comments 3-17-2022
- I.20 Deana Ottum comments 3-22-2022
- I.21 Dee Shishido comments 2-17-2022
- I.22 Dinah Aldrich comments 3-22-2022
- 1.23 Donna Knight comments 2-14-2022
- 1.24 Donna Olson comments 10-2-2022
- 1.25 Elizabeth Reed comments 2-12-2022
- 1.26 Frederic and Amy Berg party of record request 2-12-2022
- <u>I.27</u> Garry Olson comment 3-4-2022
- I.28 Gay-Lynn Beighton comments 3-4 to 9-27, 2022
- I.29 GL DeBortole comments 2-24-2022
- 1.30 Gretchen Saari comments 3-22-2022
- I.31 Gwen Phillips comments 2-14 to 3-2-2022
- 1.32 Hank Tingler comments 2-21 to 10-3-2022
- 1.33 Hannah Furfaro party of record request 10-18-2022

HEARING EXAMINER

Snohomish County, Washington LIST OF EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

Applicant: Christine Phillips Case No.: 22 102230 CUP

Project Name: Residential Treatment Facility North

- 1.34 Harvey Stackhouse comments 8-17-2022
- 1.35 Izzie Lund party of records request 3-19-2022
- 1.36 Jan Iverson comments 3-25-2022
- I.37 Janet Graafstra comments 2-27-2022
- I.38 Jayson Russell comments 2-28-2022
- 1.39 Jeremiah Bauman comments 2-25-2022
- 1.40 Jessica Gilman comments 2-28-2022
- 1.41 Jim Bloss comments 3-16 to 9-29-2022
- <u>1.42</u> Jim Dolan comments 7-11-2022
- I.43 Joan Andrews comments 2-17-2022
- I.44 Joan Rave comments 3-20-2022
- 1.45 Joseph Chartier comments 2-18-2022
- I.46 Joseph Wilson comments 3-21-2022
- Julie Katzenmaier comments 11-28-2022
- 1.48 Julie Melville comments 3-16-2022 to 9-28-2022
- I.49 Kaitlinn Donham comments 2-22-2022
- 1.50 Kara Dineen comments 3-22-2022
- I.51 Karen Dickson comments 3-15-2022
- L52 Karen Schilde comments 3-22 and 9-30-2022
- I.53 Kathleen Chiles comments 10-1-2022
- 1.54 Kathleen McKee comments 3-2 and 4-3-2022
- 1.55 Kathy Richardson comments 2-17-2022
- 1.56 Katie Farrey comments 2-23-2022
- 1.57 Katie Mahoney comments 3-22-2022
- I.58 Katie Weeks comments 4-11-2022
- 1.59 Kelsey Edwardsen party of record request 11-7-2022
- I.60 Kelsi Opland comments 2-23-2022
- I.61 Kevin and Jeanell Jones comments 3-6-2022
- I.62 Kiley Casey comments 2-28-2022
- 1.63 Kimberly Acuff comments 3-2-2022
- I.64 Kip Litehiser & Margo Townsend comments 9-29-22
- I.65 Konni Kasemeier comments 2-25-2022
- 1.66 Kris Cimino comments 3-1-2022
- 1.67 Laura Oltman comments 2-22-22
- 1.68 Lauren Simonds comments 3-16-2022
- 1.69 Leanna Partridge comments 3-4-2022
- 1.70 Liliana Uribe comments 9-7-2022
- I.71 Linda Godwin comments 3-4-2022
- <u>1.72</u> Lynn White comments 2-17-2022
- 1.73 Lynne Donovan comments 2-17 to 10-2-2022
- I.74 Marcy Imus comments 2-15-2022
- 1.75 Mark Schinmann comments 9-24-2022
- I.76 Marsha Hicks comments 10-1-2022
- I.77 Mary Anne Osborn comments 10-2-2022

HEARING EXAMINER

Snohomish County, Washington LIST OF EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

Applicant: Christine Phillips Case No.: 22 102230 CUP

Project Name: Residential Treatment Facility North

- I.78 Mary Gage comments 2-27-2022
- I.79 MaryAnn Kridler comments 2-26-2022
- I.80 Meagen Watne comments 3-14-2022
- I.81 Meg McClure comments 3-24 and 11-2-2022
- I.82 Melanie and Paul Sobotta comments 9-24-2022
- I.83 Melissa Walstad comments 2-13-2022
- 1.84 Michael Carmichael comments 2-17-2022
- I.85 Michael James comments 2-25-2022
- I.86 Mike Buckland comments 3-22-2022
- I.87 Mike Hayslip comments 11-1-2022
- 1.88 Murphy Russell comments 2-23-2022
- 1.89 Nate Nehring, Council Member comments 3-31-2022
- 1.90 North Stanwood Concern Citizens comments 9-2-2022
- I.91 Pamela Reeves comments 2-14-2022
- I.92 Pamela Thompson comments 3-21-2022
- I.93 Pat Wilder comments 2-16-2022
- 1.94 Patrick and Heidi Wade comments 2-18-2022
- 1.95 Patty Tingler comments 2-14 to 11-11-2022
- 1.96 Paul and Candice Amrine comments 9-27-2022
- 1.97 Paul Miller comments 2-24 and 2-27-2022
- 1.98 Paula Segale comments 2-17-2022
- 1.99 Peggy Kitting comments 9-23-2022
- 1.100 Peggy Miller comments 2-26 and 9-27-2022
- I.101 Rachelle Cummings comments 2-18-2022
- I.102 Ralph and Amy Esary comments 3-24-2022
- I.103 Richard Moparman comments 3-17-2022
- I.104 Richard Vaughn comments 2-26-2022
- 1.105 Rick Flores comments 9-5-2022
- I.106 Rob Gilden comments 2-27-2022
- 1.107 Robert and Gloria Drury comments 3-2-2022
- I.108 Robert James comments 2-15-2022
- I.109 Robin Carmichael comments 3-1-2022
- I.110 Robyn Gibson comments 3-15-2022
- I.111 Ron Howell comments 2-18-2022
- <u>I.112</u> Roy Everett comments 2-16-2022
- I.113 Sam Keller party of record request 2-11-2022
- I.114 Sandra E Sanford comments 10-31-2022
- I.115 Sarah Gibson comments 2-16-2022
- I.116 Saranne Moreschi comment 3-22-2022
- I.117 Sean Gillespie comments 2-22-2022
- I.118 Sheila Harrington comments 9-11 and 10-4-2022
- I.119 Shirley O. comments 9-10-2022
- I.120 Sid Roberts comments 9-28-2022
- I.121 Sonya Johnson comments 3-2-2022

HEARING EXAMINER

Snohomish County, Washington LIST OF EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

Applicant: Christine Phillips Case No.: 22 102230 CUP

Project Name: Residential Treatment Facility North

- I.122 Stacy Moore comments 7-18-2022
- I.123 Stan Burwell comments 2-26-2022
- 1.124 Stephen Hendrickson comments 3-5 and 3-11-2022
- 1.125 Steve Snowden comments 3-2 to 8-5-2022
- 1.126 Stuart Heady comments 2-15 to 10-5-2022.
- I.127 Sue Keller party of record request 2-11-2022
- 1.128 Susann Hendrickson comments 2-28 to 10-6-2022
- I.129 Teresa Buckland comments 3-26-2022
- 1.130 Tim Schmitt comments 3-26 to 11-16-2022
- I.131 Tina Sharp comments 2-24-2022
- 1.132 Toni Reading comments 7-13-2022
- 1.133 Tracy Seller party of record request 2-16-2022
- 1.134 Trisha Pearce comments 2-15 to 3-28-2022
- 1.135 Vicki Russell comments 2-17 to 10-3-2022
- I.136 Vivian Henderson comments 9-21-2022
- I.137 Kathleen Richardson Request for Additional Public Comment 12-30-22
- <u>I.138</u> Melanie and Paul Sobotta Comment, 1-12-23
- I.139 Carol Dvorak Volkman comment, 1-12-23
- 1.140 Rose Dennis comment, 1-17-23
- I.141 Mark Schinman comment, 1-19-23
- I.142 Trisha Pearce comment, 1-19-23
- I.143 Pamela Reeves comment, 1-20-23
- I.144 Sharon Swift comment, 1-20-23
- I.145 Vicki Russell comment, 1-20-23
- 1.146 Nora Davis comment, 1-23-23
- I.147 Debbie Jadwin comment, 1-23-23
- I.148 Meg McClure comment, 1-23-23
- I.149 Rose Dennis comment, 1-23-23
- I.150 Gregg Small comment, 1-23-23

J. RESPONSE TO AGENCY / PUBLIC COMMENTS:

- J.1 Comment Tracking Spread Sheet dated 8-22-2022
- J.2 Applicant's response to first comment review letter dated 6-24-2022
- K. STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Department of Planning and Development Services
 - K.1 Staff Recommendation

L. ADDITIONAL SUBMITTALS PRIOR TO HEARING:

<u>L.1</u> Applicant's Presentation: Proposed community behavioral health facility at Stanwood, received 1-23-23

M. **SUBMITTED DURING HEARING (1-24-23 – 1-26-23)**

- M.1 Applicant: Testimony of Experts, 1-24-23
- M.2 Public: Michele Meeker, Testimony & North Sound Needs Assessment, 1-24-23

HEARING EXAMINER

Snohomish County, Washington LIST OF EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

Applicant: Christine Phillips Case No.: 22 102230 CUP

Project Name: Residential Treatment Facility North

- M.3 Applicant: Non-climbing Fence Photos, 1-24-23
- M.4 PDS: Non-climbing Fence Photos, 1-24-23
- M.5 PDS: Interlocal Agreements for Arlington and Stanwood, 1-24-23
- M.6 Dave Kraski, North County Regional Fire Authority comment, 1-24-23
- M.7 Public: Susann Hendrickson Herald Article & comment, 1-24-23
- M.8 Public: Skyler Malan comment, 1-25-23
- M.9 Public: Tyler Shellenberg comment, 1-26-23
- M.10 Public: Kathy Richardson comment, 1-26-23
- M.11 Public: Katie & Ed Farrey comment, 1-26-23
- M.12 Public: Patty Tingler comment, 1-26-23
- M.13 Public, Diana Perry comment, 1-26-23
- M.14 Public, Steve Snowden comment, 1-26-23
- M.15 Public, Ramona Snowden comment, 1-26-23
- M.16 Public, Megan Tucker comment, 1-26-23
- M.17 Applicant, Closing Statement
- M.18 Applicant, HCA Response to Public Testimony
- M.19 Applicant, Adverse Events Facilities by Event
- M.20 Applicant, Evan Haines Statement
- M.21 Public, Ganelle Swindler Hearing Document
- M.22 Public, Hank Tingler comment
- M.23 Public, Stuart Heady comment
- M.24 Public, Christina Robertson comment
- M.25 Applicant, Valuation Proximity Impact Analysis
- M.26 Public, Kandyce Hansen comment
- M.27 PDS, Transportation Response to Kathy Richardson Public Comment (m.10), 2-3-23
- M.28 Applicant, Final Statement, 2-3-23

U. NOT CONSIDERED BY THE HEARING EXAMINER (UNTIMELY):

U.1 Public, Paul Sobotta Comment, 2-2-23

WITNESSES AT THE 1/24/23 HEARING:

Christine Phillips, BCRA

Dr. Charissa Fotinos, HCA

Dr. Keri Waterland, HCA

Keith Baines, Wenaha Group

Jim Wolch, BCRA

Zachary Crum, BRCA

Evan Haines, Korsmo

Rebecca Samy, PDS

Caleb Duhnke, PDS

Ken Crossman, PDS

PUBLIC COMMENT, 1/24/23:

HEARING EXAMINER

Snohomish County, Washington LIST OF EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

Applicant: Christine Phillips Case No.: 22 102230 CUP

Project Name: Residential Treatment Facility North

Michele Meaker, NAMI Snohomish County Gay-Lynn Beighton, NAMI Snohomish County Stuart Heady Peggy Miller Kathleen Richardson Henry (Hank) Tingler Allison Warner Jim Bloss Kathleen Chiles, NAMI Snohomish County Christi Bell

ZOOM RECORDING, 1/24/23:

https://us02web.zoom.us/rec/share/13XDWNEJHy_bB_rstwj268FXRclfYvru4VJxiFu3NWjAKlaApD3ofSa9NgEyUt0.Cgtdr C2ixkhF-RWL

WITNESSES AT THE 1/26/23 HEARING:

Rebecca Samy, PDS
Caleb Duhnke, PDS
Laura Kisielius, PDS
Tyler Eastman, Tulalip Tribes, Applicant
Christine Phillips, BCRA, Applicant
Aaron Van Aken, Heath and Associates, Applicant
Dr. Keri Waterland, HCA, Applicant
Evan Haines, Korsmo

PUBLIC COMMENT, 1/26/23:

Tim Schmitt
Steve Snowden
Deborah Hubenthal
Delbert Fox
Ganelle Swindler
Dean Van Vleet
Debbie Jadwin
James Hamilton
Kandyce Hansen
Trisha Pearce

ZOOM RECORDING, 1/26/23:

 $\frac{https://us02web.zoom.us/rec/share/jaNwmRnos0IsS2LTYuGWDCX2PV_1WbRFZo_uGVUL5TMCEIA0e6cu3QFn63hVRlay.}{MEBwbL4TKlC7GlaL}$

HEARING EXAMINER

Snohomish County, Washington LIST OF EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

Applicant: Christine Phillips Case No.: 22 102230 CUP

Project Name: Residential Treatment Facility North

SEPA APPEAL DISMISSED 12/21/22 O. APPEAL DOCUMENTS

No.	Title	Date	
<u>0.1</u>	Appeal	10/3/22	
0.2	Verification of Appeal	10/3/22	
0.3	Appellant Declaration	10/5/22	

P. PLEADINGS

No.	Title	Date	
<u>P.1</u>	Applicant NOA Koop Gunn	10/26/22	
P.1 P.2	Applicant POA Marchand	11/3/22	
P.3	PDS Motion to Dismiss, Inclusive of Ex. A-D	11/14/22	
<u>P.4</u>	Applicant Joinder to PDS Motion to Dismiss	11/14/22	
<u>P.5</u>	Appellant Response to Motion to Dismiss	11/30/22	
P.6	Correction to Appellant Response to Motion to	12/1/22	
	Dismiss		
<u>P.7</u>	PDS Reply to Motion to Dismiss	12/7/22	
P.8	Applicant Joinder to PDS Reply	12/7/22	

Q. PDS EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

No.	Title	Date	Admitted (y/n)
	Using A-K series		

R. APPELLANT EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

No.	Title	Date	Admitted (y/n)
	None submitted for SEPA appeal		

S. APPLICANT EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

No.	Title	Date	
	None submitted for SEPA appeal		

T. ADMINISTRATIVE

No.	Title	Date
<u>T.1</u>	Notice of Prehearing Conference	10/11/22
<u>T.2</u>	Scheduling Order	11/14/22
<u>T.3</u>	Order Granting Motions to Dismiss	12/21/22
<u>T.4</u>	Order re Proceedings and Evening Public	1/5/23
	Comment	

Before the
HEARING EXAMINER
Snohomish County, Washington
LIST OF EXHIBITS & WITNESSES

Applicant: Christine Phillips Case No.: 22 102230 CUP

Project Name: Residential Treatment Facility North

SEPA PREHEARING CONFERENCE ON 10/27/22

[Date] ATTENDEES:

Laura Kieselius, PDS
Rebecca Samy, PDS
Kathy Richardson, Appellant
Lisa Koop Gunn, Tulalip Tribes
Keith Banes, Wenaha Group/Tulalip Tribes
Evan Haines, Korsmo
Jim Wolch, BCRA Design
Christine Phillips, BCRA Design

ZOOM RECORDING:

https://us02web.zoom.us/rec/share/30wiH08QaYVfMZKnn-hvLV1F3SrgGa6sRXB7cMeUF6jx564QTy69MDJDNn4 ixm.bq-tmdU9liSU8BVW

POR & Agency List	Name 22-102230-CUP	Address Residential Treatment Facility North	City Hearing: Ja	State n 24, 25	•	E-Mail 023, 9:00 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. on Jan 26, 2023	Concerns
		·				•	
PUBLIC COMMENTS	Allen Saunders					allen.saunders@comcast.net	Comments/Opposition
	Allie Perry					sheparda@hotmail.com	Comments/ Opposition
	Allison Warner	316 Dove Drive	Camano Isla	a WA	98282	allisivy@gmail.com	Comments/Support
	Amy Bergemeier					abergemeier@yahoo.com	Comments/ Opposition
	Anna Nepomuceno	1107 NE 45th St, Suite 330	Seattle	wa		anepomuceno@namiwa.org	Comments/Support
	Anne Jones	7607 Stauffer Rd	Stanwood	WA	98292	anniewaynorth@yahoo.com /ajones@sno-i	· ••
	Brent Koos					brentkoos@gmail.com	POR/Comments
	Bruce Collins					bruceposu@frontier.com	Comment/Opposition
	Bruce & Peggy Kitting	7229 286th Pl NW	Stanwood		98292	peggypooh321@yahoo.com	POR/Opposition
	Candace Trautrman	1025 Aqua Vista Lane	Camano Isla	a WA	98282	philandcandy@wavecable.com	Comments/Support
	Carol Dvorak Volkman					caroldvorak@outlook.com	Comments/support
	Carol Korpi					korpcj1@gmail.com	Comments/Support
	Catherine Carpenter	5128 Happy Hollow Road	Stanwood	WA	98292	uryurhere@earthlink.net	Comments/Opposition
	Chris Davis					cdavisbusiness@yahoo.com	POR/Comments
	Chris Larson					captlarski1@gmail.com	POR/Opposition
	Christi Bell					christimbell@yahoo.com	Comments/Opposition
	Christina Gravin					cgarvin86@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
	Christina Robertson					moosetales@aol.com	Comments/Opposition
	Claudia Davidson					claudia@mainstreetyarn.com	Comments/Support
	CM Nate Nehring					nate.nehring@co.snohomish.wa.us	Comments
	Darren and Alyona Franz					izbushka.llc@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
	David Fugate	Mount Baker Meadows				mountbakermeadows@gmail.com	POR/Comments
	Dean Van Vleet					dean.namiskagit@gmail.com	POR
	Deana Ottum					kezo@comcast.net	POR/Comments/Support
	Deb Hubenthal					deborahhubenthal@gmail.com	POR
	Debbie Jadwin					djadwin53@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
	Dee Shishido	31707 West Lake Ketchum Road	Stanwood	WA	98292	tangles39@hotmail.com	POR/Opposition
	Delbert Fox	7229 300th St. NW	Stanwood	WA	98292		Comments/Support
	Diana Perry					diventuresinc@aol.com	Comments/Opposition
	Dinah Aldrich					dinahaldrich@gmail.com	Comments/Support
	Donna Knight					errymor@gmail.com	Comments
	Donna Olson	Board Chair for Take the Next Step				donnavolson@gmail.com	Comments/Support
	Elizabeth Reed	31522 West Lake Ketchum Road	Stanwood	WA	98292	elizabeth@interfacetechnw.com	Comments
	Frederic Berg	8202 317th Pl NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	fredericpberg@msn.com	POR Request/General Opposition
	Garry Olson	273rd Street NW	Stanwood	WA		stanwoodstumpy@hotmail.com	Comments/Opposition
	Gay-Lynn Beighton					gay-lynnb@namisnohomishcounty.org	POR Request/Comments
	Ganelle Swindler	4621 191st PL NE	Arlington	WA		swindler_gan@LIVE.COM	Comments/opposition
	G.L. DeBortole	30432 80th Ave NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	Geno6860@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
	Gregg Small					gregg.small@wsu.edu	Comments/opposition
	Gretchen Saari					gsaari@msn.com	Comments/support
	Gwen Phillips					mcinlineq@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
	Hank Tingler	7420 300th St NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	brownshooo@earthlink.net	POR Request

Harvey Stackhouse					hstackhouse1948@gmail.com	Comments, safety, response times. Etc.
James Hamilton	9718 271ST ST NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	- 5	Comments/opposition
Jan Iverson					janiverson4950@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Janet Graafstra					graafstrajan@gmail.com	Comment/Opposition
Jayson Russell					jaysonrussell@outlook.com	Comment/Opposition
Jeremiah Bauman					jeremiah.r.bauman@gmail.com	Comment/Support
Jessica Gilman					jessicamarie0125@aol.com	Comment/Opposition
Jim Bloss					jbloss132@gmail.com	POR request
Jim Dolan	10027 269th Place NW	Stanwood	WA		jbdolan@jbdolan.com	comment/support
Joan Andrews	28130 Lund Hill Rd		WA		andfre65@yahoo.com	POR/General Opposition
Joan Rave	28130 Lunu IIII Nu	Stariwood	VVA		fedheads@hotmail.com	Comment/Support
					•	
Joseph Wilson					jac98270@comcast.net	Comments/Support
Joseph Wilson					joeyw206@gmail.com	Comments/Support
Julia Katzenmaier					j_katzenmaier@icloud.com	Comments/Road Improvements
Julie Melville					juliemelville@gmail.com	POR Request/Comments Support
Kaitlinn Donham					kkaters20@aol.com	Comments/ Opposition
Kandyce Hansen	30627 87th AVE NW		WA		kandycehansen1@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Kara Dineen	202 South Sams Street	Monroe	WA	98272	kara@ttns.org	Comments/Support
Karen Dickson					kranmom@hotmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Karen Schilde	5012 West View Drive	Everett	WA		schildek@comcast.net	Comments/Support
Kathleen Chiles	21423 55th Ave SE	Woodinville	WA	98072	k.chiles22@live.com	Comments/Support
Kathleen McKee	PO Box 121	Stanwood	WA	98292	mckee.kdm@gmail.com	POR request
Kathy Richarson					kathymrichardson@yahoo.com	POR/Questions
Katie & Ed Farrey	27313 Pioneer Hwy	Stanwood	WA	98292	kffarrey@gmail.com	Comments/Support
Katie Mahoney					katie.a.mahoney@gmail.com	Comments/Support
Katie Weeks	32030 76th Ave NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	klweeks@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Kelsey Edwardsen					kelseyedwardsen@gmail.com	POR request
Kelsi Opland					KelsiOpland@hotmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Kevin & Jenell Jones					jordannursery@yahoo.com	Comments
Kiley Casey					caseykiley@me.com	Comments/Opposition
Kimberly Acuff					kimberly.acuff@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Kip Litehiser & Margo Townsend					litetown@frontier.com	Comments/Opposition
Konni Kasemeier					katokon@aol.com	Comment/Opposition
Kris Cimino					kriscimino@comcast.net	Comments/Opposition
Laura Oltman					horsenerd801@gmail.com	Comments/ Opposition
Lauren Simonds	1107 NE 45th St, Suite 330	Seattle	WA	98105	LSimonds@namiwa.org	POR/supportive
Leanna Partridge					leannapartridge@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
Liliana Uribe					lilianadelourdes@yahoo.com	Support comment
Linda Godwin					lgodwin5601@gmail.com	Comments/support
Lynn White					ldaviswhite@yahoo.com	POR/Opposition
Lynne Donovan					lynne51donovan@yahoo.com	POR/Opposition
Marcy Imus					deterow@aol.com	Comments/ Opposition
Maria Arreola					Maria_arreola@nsbhaso.org	POR
Mark Schinman	8324 300th St NW	Stanwood	WA		mark@schinman.com	Comments/Opposition
Marsha J. Hicks	6705 57th Steet NE	Marysville			marjon.hicks@gmail.com	Comments/Support
		,541110		30_,0	, 2	

Mary Am Krider	Mary Anne Osborn	PO Box 670	Edmonds	WA	98020	mawosborn@msn.com	Comments/Support
Many Number	•	FO BOX 070	Lamonas	VVA	38020	_	
Mega McTucker	, ,	8120 300th Street NIW	Stanwood	۱۸/Δ	98292	_	
Meg McClure Meg Magan Tucker Image Megan Tucker Image May Sobotta Comments/Opposition Melania & Paul Sobotta 1	•	SIZO SOUTI STICEL IVV	Stanwood	***	30232		
Megan I Vacker Medanic & Paul Sobota Comments/Opposition Melanisa Walstad I Samood Reliance Walstad Vac Stanwood Reliance Walstad Comments (Comments (Co	· ·						
Mellisa Walsdats							
Melicael Carmichale 28807 80th Av NW Stanwood WA 98295 braaken794@icloud.com Comments Michael Carmichale 28807 80th Av NW Stanwood WA 98295 stokewood33@yaho.com POR /Comments Michael Meaker Image of the Michael Meaker Image of the Michael Meaker Comments/Support Milce Buckland Image of the Michael Meaker Comments/Support Milce Buckland Stanwood VA 98295 jamnfolf16penhayslip@hotmail.com Comments/Support Murphy Russell 7314 300th St NW Stanwood WA 98295 jamnfolf16penhayslip@hotmail.com Comments/Opposition North Stanwood Concerned Citizers To Taylor Stanwood WA 98295 dreevers@gmail.com Comments/Support Part Wilder 3305 311h St NW Stanwood WA 98295 drabtoconcerned Citizers@gmail.com Comments/Support Paul Wilder 3305 315h Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 drabtoconcerned Citizers@gmail.com Comments/Opposition Paul Wilder 30733 56th Ave NW Stanwood WA	-					•	
Micheal James						•	
Michael James Intichele Meaker Intichele Meaker Intichele Meaker Comments/Support Michael Michael Michael Michael Michael Michael Michael Maker Hayslip Intichele Meaker Comments/Support Mike Buckland 17314 300th St NW Stanwool WA 98292 jmm6617-8gmall.com Comments/Support Murphy Russell 7314 300th St NW Stanwool WA 98292 jmm6617-8gmall.com Comments/Support Norb Stanwood Concerned Citizers Stanwool WA 98292 greeverg@mail.com Comments/Support Pam Reeves 29106 68th Ave Nw Stanwool WA 98292 greeverg@mail.com Comments/Support Pam Reeves 29106 68th Ave Nw Stanwool WA 98292 greeverg@mail.com Comments/Support Pam Wilder 8305 311th St NW Stanwool WA 98292 greeverg@mail.com Comments/Copposition Patitis & Heidil Wade 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwool WA 98292 greeypoolb321@yahoo.com POR Request/Comments/Opposition Peggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwool WA 98292 greeypoolb321@yahoo.com Comments/Opposition <		28807 80th Av NW	Stanwood	۱۸/Δ	98292	_	
Miche Merker Miche Buckland Uniche Merker Uniche Merker Mike Buckland Comments/Support Mike Buckland 7314 300th 5t NW Stanwood Wa 98292 Immofia Tøgmail.com Comments/Support Murphy Russell 7314 300th 5t NW Stanwood Wa 98292 Immofia Tøgmail.com Comments/Support North Stanwood Concerned Cititærs 8105 68th Ave Nw Stanwood Wa 98292 prowengamill.com Comments/Support Pam Reeves 29330 46th Drive NW Stanwood Wa 98292 freatwergemail.com Comments/Opposition Patt Vilder 8305 311th St NW Stanwood Wa 98292 freatwergemail.com POR Request/Comments Pattiric & Heidi Wade 1 <td></td> <td>20007 00til AV IVV</td> <td>Stanwood</td> <td>VVA</td> <td>30232</td> <td>- ·</td> <td>•</td>		20007 00til AV IVV	Stanwood	VVA	30232	- ·	•
Mike Buckland Image: Stanwood Mike Hayslip Water Hayslip Boucklandmike@hotmail.com Comments/Support Mike Hayslip 7314 300th St NW Stanwood Water Hayslip@hotmail.com Comments/Support Nora Davis Vername Murphy Russell 29106 68th Ave Nw Stanwood Water Hayslip@hotmail.com Comments/Support Nora Davis 29106 68th Ave Nw Stanwood Water Hayslip 98292 dogday@myfrontermail.com Comments/Support Pamela Thompson 2930 46th Drive NW Stanwood Water Hayslip 98292 dogday@myfrontermail.com Comments Patrick & Heidil Wade Stanwood Water Hayslip 98292 fantoon.com Comments Patrick & Heidil Wade 3703 376th Ave NW Stanwood Water Hayslip 98292 milerpaulcutle@gmail.com Comments/Opposition Paul & Candice Amrine 31009 76th Ave NW Stanwood Water Hayslip 98292 milerpaulcutle@gmail.com POR Popposition Peggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood Water Hayslip 98292 peggyponba?21@wanco.com Comments/Opposition <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>							
Mike Hayslip Alian Murph Russell 7314 300th St NW Stanwood WAA PRUSSEL PROVINGE PROMISED PROVINGE PROVINGE PROMISED PROVINGE PROMISED PROVINGE PR							
Murphy Russell 7314 300th St NW 7314 30th						•	· · ·
Note Samwood Concerned City Log Comments/Support Comments/Support Comments/Support North Stanwood Concerned City 29106 68th Ave Nw Stanwood WA 98229 Perevesrq@gmail.com Comments/Opposition Pam Reeves 29304 68th Drive NW Stanwood WA 98292 Perevesrq@gmail.com Comments/Opposition Patt Wilder 3805 311th St NW Stanwood WA 98292 Perevesrq@gmail.com Comments/Opposition Patt Tingler Facult Stanwood WA 9829 Perevesrq@gmail.com Comments/Opposition Paul & Candice Amrine 31009 76th Ave. NW Stanwood WA 9829 Peregy Riller Comments/Opposition Paul A Segale 7229 286th Pl NW Stanwood WA 9829 Peregy Riller Poll Agenalicom POR /Opposition Peggy Killer 7229 286th Pl NW Stanwood WA 9829 Peregy Riller Pol Pol Agenetic Comments/Opposition Rachelle Cummings 7229 286th Pl NW Stanwood WA 9829 Peregy Riller Pol	•	721/ 200th St NW	Stanwood	۱۸/۸	08202		
North Stanwood Concerned Citizens North Stanwood Concerned Citizens@gmail.com Comments/Support Pam Reeves 2930 46th Drive NW Stanwood WA 98292 preevesrg@gmail.com Comments/Opposition Pam Ila Thompson 29330 46th Drive NW Stanwood WA 98292 fanta002@aol.com POR Request/Comments Patifick & Heidi Wade 1009 76th Ave. NW Stanwood WA 98292 fanta002@aol.com Comments Paul & Candite Amrine 31009 76th Ave. NW Stanwood WA 98292 fanta002@aol.com Comments/Opposition Paul & Candite Amrine 31009 76th Ave. NW Stanwood WA 98292 fantale paul tree gmail.com Comments/Opposition Paul Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 fall pequal-tree gmail.com POR Popposition Peggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 fall pequal-tree gmail.com POR Popposition Rachelle Cummings 5tanwood WA 98292 fall pequal-tree gmail.com POR Request/Comments/Opposition Rainba Amy Eary 4626 Village Road Stanwood WA 98292 fall pequal-tree gmail.com	·	7314 300til 3t NW	Stanwood	VVA	30232		
Pam Reeves 29106 68th Ave Nw Stanwood WA 98229 braws of gemail.com Comments / Opposition Pamela Thompson 29330 46th Drive NW Stanwood WA 98292 dragday@myfrontlermail.com Comments / Opposition Pat Wilder 8305 311th St NW Stanwood WA 98292 dragday@ajol.com Comments / Opposition Pattry Tingler 1 31009 76th Ave. NW Stanwood WA 98292 andigul@ajol.com Comments / Opposition Paul Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 andigul@ajol.com POR request Paula Segale 7229 286th Pl NW Stanwood WA 98292 peggypooh321@yahoo.com Comments / Opposition Peggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 peggypooh321@yahoo.com Comments / Opposition Peggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 peggypooh321@yahoo.com POR Request / Opposition Rachelle Cummings Value Stanwood WA 98292 peggypooh321@yahoo.com Comments / Opposition Richard Moparman Value Stanwood Value Stanwood Value Stanwood <td></td> <td>c.</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>• • •</td>		c.					• • •
Pamela Thompson 29330 46th Drive NW Stanwood WA 98292 dogday@myfrontiermail.com Comments/Opposition Pattivk R Heidi Wade \$305 311th St NW Stanwood WA 98292 fanta002@aol.com POR Request/Comments Patty Tingler \$1009 76th Ave. NW Stanwood WA 98292 animalfancy@gmail.com Comments/Opposition Paul Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 anillerpaulcutler@gmail.com POR/Opposition Peggy Kitling 7229 286th Pl NW Stanwood WA 98292 paulaSegale@msn.com POR/Opposition Rachelle Cummings 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 pfmiller.49@gmail.com POR Request/Comments/Opposition Rachelle Cummings 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 pfmiller.49@gmail.com POR/Opposition Rachelle Cummings 4626 Village Road Stanwood WA 98292 pfmiller.49@gmail.com Comments/Opposition Rick Flores 5100 Gilden 5100 Gilden 5100 Gilden 5100 Gilden 5100 Gilden		-	Stanwood	۱۸/۸	ດອວດວ	_	
Pat Wilder 8305 311th St NW Stanwood WAL 98292 98294 Fanta00@aol.com POR Request/Comments Patty Tingler pain/ad_454@yahoo.com Comments Paul & Candice Amrine 31009 76th Ave. NW Stanwood WA 98292 9801 pain/ad_aol.com Comments/Opposition Paul A Segale 7229 286th PI NW Stanwood WA 98292 peggypooh321@yahoo.com> Comments/Opposition Peggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 peggypooh321@yahoo.com> Comments/Opposition Peggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 peggypooh321@yahoo.com> Comments/Opposition Rachelle Cummings 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 peggypooh321@yahoo.com> Comments/Opposition Rachelle Cummings 4626 Village Road Stanwood WA 98292 peggypooh321@yahoo.com Comments/Opposition Richard Moparman Fichard Moparman							
Patrick & Heldi Wade Patty Tingler Paul & Candice Amrine 31009 76th Ave. NW Stanwood Paul Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood Stanwood Paul Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood Paul Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood Stanwood WA 98292 Wallegagelegmsncom PoR/Opposition Peggy Kilting Peggy Kilting 7229 286th PI NW Stanwood Stanwood WA 98292 Peggy PoStallegamsncom POR Request Yopposition Rachelle Cummings Rachelle Cummings Rachelle Cummings Rachelle Cummings Rachelle Cummings Rachelle Cummings Richard Moparman Richard Moparman Richard Vaughan Rick Flores Robert James Robert James Robert James Robyn Gilson Robyn Gilson Rathelle Rotyn Gilson Robyn Gilson Robyn Gilson Robyn Gilson Robyn Gilson Robyn Gilson Robyn Gilson Roy Everett Sandra E. Sanford Saranne Moreschil	•						
Patty Tingler Paul & Candice Amrine Paul & Candice Amrine Paul & Candice Amrine Paul Miller Paul Mille		0303 311(11 3t NW	Stallwood	WA	96292	•	·
Paul & Candice Amrine 31009 76th Ave. NW Stanwood WA 98292 candipau@aol.com Comments/Opposition Paul Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 millerpaulcutler@gmail.com POR request Paula Segale Pola Segale@msn.com POR Opposition Comments/Opposition Peggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 gegypooh321@yahoo.com> Comments/Opposition Rachele Cummings 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 gegypooh321@yahoo.com> Comments/Opposition Ralph & Amy Esary 4626 Village Road Stanwood WA 98292 geary Seffrontier.com Comments/Opposition Richard Moparman Island Waughan Island Waughan Island Waughan Island Waughan POR/Questions Rob Gilden Island Gloria Drury Robert James Island Gloria Drury <							
Paul Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Paul Segale	, ,	21,000 76th Aug NIM	Chamusad	14/4	00202		
Paula Segale Faula Segale (Peggy Kitting) 7229 286th PI NW Stanwood WA 98292 peggypoch321@yahoo.com> Comments/Opposition Peggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 peggypoch321@yahoo.com> POR Request/Comments/Opposition Rachelle Cummings POR/Opposition POR/Opposition POR/Opposition Ralph & Amy Esary 4626 Village Road Stanwood WA 98292 peggypoch321@yahoo.com Comments/Opposition Richard Moparman Foresamona Snowden Foresamona Snowden Foresamona Snowden Comments/Opposition Richard Waughan Foresamona Snowden Foresamona Snowden Foresamona Snowden POR/Opposition Richard Waughan Foresamona Snowden Foresamona Snowden POR/Opposition Comments/Opposition Richard Waughan Foresamona Snowden Foresamona Snowden POR/Questions Comment/Opposition Richard Waughan Foresamona Snowden Foresamona Snowden Foresamona Snowden POR/Questions Richard Moparman Foresamona Snowden Foresamona Snowden <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>• –</td> <td></td>						• –	
Peggy Kitting 7229 286th PI NW Stanwood WA 98292 peggypoch321@yahoo.com> Comments/Opposition Peggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood WA 98292 pfmiller.49@gmail.com POR Request/Comments/Opposition Ralph & Amy Esary 4626 Village Road Stanwood WA 98292 esary5@frontier.com Comments/Opposition Richard Moparman Fishard Maughan Fishard Vaughan Fishard Vaughan Comments/Opposition Rick Flores Fishard Vaughan Fishard Vaughan POR Questions Rob Gilden Fishard Vaughan Fishard Vaughan POR Questions Rob Gilden Fishard Vaughan Fishard Vaughan POR Questions Rob Gilden Fishard Vaughan POR Questions POR Questions Rob Gilden Fishard Vaughan Fishard Vaughan POR Questions Rob Gilden Fishard Vaughan Fishard Vaughan POR Questions Rob Gilden Fishard Vaughan Fishard Vaughan Comment/Opposition Rob Gilden Fishard Vaughan Fishard Vaughan Fishard Vaughan Comments/Opposition Rob Gilden Fishard Vaughan <td></td> <td>30/33 /6th Ave NW</td> <td>Stanwood</td> <td>WA</td> <td>98292</td> <td></td> <td>•</td>		30/33 /6th Ave NW	Stanwood	WA	98292		•
Peggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood Poggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood Poggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Stanwood Poggy Miller 30733 76th Ave NW Pogg	· ·	7220 20CH PLANA	C		00000	_	
Rachelle Cummings Rachelle Cummings92@gmail.com Ralph & Amy Esary A626 Village Road Stanwood Ramona Snowden Richard Moparman Richard Moparman Richard Vaughan Rick Flores Rob Gilden Robert and Gloria Drury Robert James Robin Carmichael Robyn Gibson Robyn Gibson Robyn Gibson Rose Dennis Rose Dennis Rose Dennis Rose Verett Sam Keller Sam Keller Sandra E. Sanford Saranne Moreschi Robin Garmichael Stanwood St							• • •
Ralph & Amy Esary	007	30/33 /6th Ave NW	Stanwood	WA	98292		
Ramona Snowden Richard Moparman Richard Moparman Richard Vaughan Richard Vaughan Rick Flores Rob Gilden Robert and Gloria Drury Robert James Robin Carmichael Robyn Gibson Robyn Gibson Rose Dennis Rose Dennis Rose Dennis Rose Verett Sam Keller Sandra E. Sanford Saranne Moreschi Robend Saranne Moreschi Robend Saranne Moreschi Robend Roben							
Richard Moparman Richard Vaughan Richard Vaughan Richard Vaughan Rick Flores Rob Gilden Robert and Gloria Drury Robert James Robyn Gilson Robyn Gils		4626 Village Road	Stanwood	WA	98292	•	• • •
Richard Vaughan Rick Flores Rob Gilden Robert and Gloria Drury Robert James Robin Carmichael Robyn Gibson Robentiael Ron Howell Rose Dennis Roy Everett Sam Keller Sandra E. Sanford Saranne Moreschi Rick Flores windenrayn@yahoo.com rick.d.flores@gmail.com robgilden@yahoo.com drurylane1@yahoo.com comment/Opposition robgilden@yahoo.com Comment/Opposition Comments/Opposition Robert James Ron Howell WA 98292 gibsonrobyn9@gmail.com robert James poR/Comments Comments/Opposition Robert James robgilden@yahoo.com Comment/Opposition Comments/Opposition Robert James Roment/Opposition Foredean21@hotmail.com Robert James Sam_C_Keller@hotmail.com POR/Comments Sam_C_Keller@hotmail.com POR request Sam_C_Keller@hotmail.com Comments/Support Saranne.moreschi@gmail.com Comments/Opposition Comments/Support						•	
Rick Flores Rob Gilden Robert and Gloria Drury Robert James Robin Carmichael Robyn Gibson Robert Mewell Rose Dennis Roy Everett Sam Keller Sam Keller Sandra E. Sanford Saranne Moreschi Robert Sandra E. Saranne Moreschi Robert Sandra E. Saranne Moreschi Robert James	•					· -	• • •
Rob Gilden Robert and Gloria Drury Robert James Robin Carmichael Robyn Gibson Robert Sandra E. Sanford Saranne Moreschi Robert Sames Robert James Ro	•						
Robert and Gloria Drury Robert James Robert James Robin Carmichael Robin Carmichael Robyn Gibson Robyn Gibson Robyn Gibson Ron Howell Rose Dennis Roy Everett Sam Keller Sandra E. Sanford Saranne Moreschi Saranne Moreschi Robert James Rourylane1@yahoo.com Comment/Opposition Comments/Opposition Comments/Opposition Comments Comments/Opposition Comment/Opposition Comment/Support Comment/Support Comment/Support Comment/Support Si.j.gibs1@gmail.com Comments/Support Comments/Support Si.j.gibs1@gmail.com Comments/Support Comments/Support Comments/Support Si.j.gibs1@gmail.com Comments/Support						_	•
Robert James Robin Carmichael Robin Carmichael Robyn Gibson Robyn Gibson Robert James Robin Carmichael Robyn Gibson Robyn Gibson Robyn Gibson Robert James Robert						· - /	
Robin Carmichael Robyn Gibson 8217 313th PI NW Stanwood WA 98292 gibsonrobyn9@gmail.com POR/Comments Ron Howell Rose Dennis Roy Everett Sam Keller Sandra E. Sanford Saranne Moreschi Saranne Moreschi	Robert and Gloria Drury					,	
Robyn Gibson 8217 313th PI NW Stanwood WA 98292 gibsonrobyn9@gmail.com POR/Comments Ron Howell Rose Dennis Roy Everett Roy Everett Sam Keller Sandra E. Sanford Sarahn Gibson Saranne Moreschi							
Ron Howell Rose Dennis Roy Everett Roy Everett Sam Keller Sandra E. Sanford Sarah Gibson Saranne Moreschi Roy Howell@wavecable.com roseden21@hotmail.com roseden21@hotmail.com royamy@wavecable.com royamy@wavecable.com pOR/Comments POR request Comments/Support Sandysanford@comcast.net Sandysanford@comcast.net Comments/Support Comments/Support Comments/Opposition Comments/Opposition Comments/Support						= ,	
Rose Dennisroseden21@hotmail.comComment/SupportRoy Everettroyamy@wavecable.comPOR/CommentsSam Kellersam_c_Keller@hotmail.comPOR requestSandra E. Sanfordsandysanford@comcast.netComments/SupportSarah Gibsons.j.gibs1@gmail.comComments/ OppositionSaranne Moreschisaranne.moreschi@gmail.comComments/Support	Robyn Gibson	8217 313th Pl NW	Stanwood	WA	98292		·
Roy Everettroyamy@wavecable.comPOR/CommentsSam Kellersam_c_Keller@hotmail.comPOR requestSandra E. Sanfordsandysanford@comcast.netComments/SupportSarah Gibsons.j.gibs1@gmail.comComments/ OppositionSaranne Moreschisaranne.moreschi@gmail.comComments/Support	Ron Howell					-	· • •
Sam Kellersam_c_Keller@hotmail.comPOR requestSandra E. Sanfordsandysanford@comcast.netComments/SupportSarah Gibsons.j.gibs1@gmail.comComments/ OppositionSaranne Moreschisaranne.moreschi@gmail.comComments/Support	Rose Dennis					roseden 21@hotmail.com	• • •
Sandra E. Sanford sandysanford@comcast.net Comments/Support Sarah Gibson s.j.gibs1@gmail.com Comments/ Opposition Saranne Moreschi saranne.moreschi@gmail.com Comments/Support	Roy Everett					royamy@wavecable.com	POR/Comments
Sarah Gibson s.j.gibs1@gmail.com Comments/Opposition Saranne Moreschi saranne.moreschi@gmail.com Comments/Support	Sam Keller					sam_c_Keller@hotmail.com	POR request
Saranne Moreschi@gmail.com Comments/Support	Sandra E. Sanford					sandysanford@comcast.net	
-g	Sarah Gibson					s.j.gibs1@gmail.com	Comments/ Opposition
Sean Gillespie omalley1537@yahoo.com Comments/ Opposition	Saranne Moreschi					saranne.moreschi@gmail.com	
	Sean Gillespie					omalley1537@yahoo.com	Comments/ Opposition

	Sharon Swift					sharonbuddy@wavecable.com	Comments/support
	Sheila Harrington	3520 214th St SW	Brier	WA	98036	sheharr@aol.com	Comment/Support
	Shirley O					zocs@comcast.net	Comment/Support
	Sid Roberts	10220 270th St NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	sid.roberts@stanwoodwa.org	Comments
	Skyler Malan					Skyler.Malan@snoco.org	Comments/support
	Sonya Johnson					sjohnson409@gmail.com	Comments/Support
	Stacy Moore					stacyamoore@ymail.com	comments/support
	Stan Burwell	8627 Myrtle Road	Stanwood	WA	98292	stanburwell78@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
	Stephen Hendrickson	29206 64th Ave NW	Stanwood	WA	98292	steve@hendricksonhomestead.com	POR
	Steve Snowden					steve.snowden@frontier.com	Comments/Opposition
	Stuart Heady	851 Westview Court	Stanwood	WA	98282	stuart.heady@gmail.com	POR/Supportive Comments
	Sue Keller	PO Box 601	Stanwood	WA	98292	sjkeller61@gmail.com	POR request
	Susann E Hendrickson					suehenhome@gmail.com	Comments/Opposition
	Teresa Buckland					bucklandmike@hotmail.com	Comments/Support
	Tim Schmitt	26910 92nd Ave NW, Suite C5, Box 115	Stanwood	WA	98292	lethalwit@hotmail.com	POR request/questions
	Tina Sharp					myemail2tina@yahoo.com	Comments/Opposition
	Toni Reading					toni.reading@gmail.com	Comments/POR support
	Tracy Sellers					tracy271wa@yahoo.com	POR request/questions
	Trisha Pearce	PO Box 121	Stanwood	WA	98292	tpearcern@yahoo.com	Comments
	Tyler Shellenberg					tyler.shellenberg@outlook.com	Comments/support
	Vicki Russell	PO Box 626	Stanwood	WA	98292	vmrranch@msn.com	POR/Opposition
	Vivian Henderson					vmail@cedarcomm.com	Comment/Support
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Amy Rusko	Ci of Arlington				arusko@arlingtonwa gov	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Amy Rusko	Ci of Arlington				arusko@arlingtonwa.gov	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury	PUD - electrial				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme	PUD - electrial WSDOT				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson Steve Goforth	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office North County EMS				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson Steve Goforth Rebecca Samy	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com rebecca.samy@snoco.org	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson Steve Goforth Rebecca Samy Doug McCormick	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office North County EMS Sno Co Pland & Dev Svcs Sno Co DPW				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com rebecca.samy@snoco.org Doug.McCormick@so.snohomish.wa.us	
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson Steve Goforth Rebecca Samy Doug McCormick Shelley Klasse	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office North County EMS Sno Co Pland & Dev Svcs Sno Co DPW Stanwood -Camano School District				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com rebecca.samy@snoco.org Doug.McCormick@so.snohomish.wa.us rklasse@stanwood.wednet.edu	wetland information request
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson Steve Goforth Rebecca Samy Doug McCormick Shelley Klasse Ann C. House	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office North County EMS Sno Co Pland & Dev Svcs Sno Co DPW Stanwood -Camano School District Staff Attorney for Snoqualmie Tribe				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com rebecca.samy@snoco.org Doug.McCormick@so.snohomish.wa.us rklasse@stanwood.wednet.edu ann.harrie@snoqualmietribe.us	wetland information request Requesting Cultural Assessment
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson Steve Goforth Rebecca Samy Doug McCormick Shelley Klasse	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office North County EMS Sno Co Pland & Dev Svcs Sno Co DPW Stanwood -Camano School District				MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com rebecca.samy@snoco.org Doug.McCormick@so.snohomish.wa.us rklasse@stanwood.wednet.edu ann.harrie@snoqualmietribe.us genick@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov	wetland information request Requesting Cultural Assessment Comments/Wetlands
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson Steve Goforth Rebecca Samy Doug McCormick Shelley Klasse Ann C. House Gene Enick	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office North County EMS Sno Co Pland & Dev Svcs Sno Co DPW Stanwood -Camano School District Staff Attorney for Snoqualmie Tribe Tulalip Tribe Cultural Division Tulalip Tribe Environmental Division	ger			MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com rebecca.samy@snoco.org Doug.McCormick@so.snohomish.wa.us rklasse@stanwood.wednet.edu ann.harrie@snoqualmietribe.us	Requesting Cultural Assessment
AGENCIES/TRIBAL	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson Steve Goforth Rebecca Samy Doug McCormick Shelley Klasse Ann C. House Gene Enick Todd Gray	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office North County EMS Sno Co Pland & Dev Svcs Sno Co DPW Stanwood - Camano School District Staff Attorney for Snoqualmie Tribe Tulalip Tribe Cultural Division	ger			MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com rebecca.samy@snoco.org Doug.McCormick@so.snohomish.wa.us rklasse@stanwood.wednet.edu ann.harrie@snoqualmietribe.us genick@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov toddgray@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov	Requesting Cultural Assessment Comments/Wetlands
	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson Steve Goforth Rebecca Samy Doug McCormick Shelley Klasse Ann C. House Gene Enick Todd Gray Kelsey Payne Richard Young	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office North County EMS Sno Co Pland & Dev Svcs Sno Co DPW Stanwood -Camano School District Staff Attorney for Snoqualmie Tribe Tulalip Tribe Cultural Division Tulalip Tribe Environmental Division Snoqualmie Tribe/Water Quality Manage Tulalip Tribes Cultural Division	ger			MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com rebecca.samy@snoco.org Doug.McCormick@so.snohomish.wa.us rklasse@stanwood.wednet.edu ann.harrie@snoqualmietribe.us genick@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov toddgray@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov kelsey.payne@snoqualmietribe.us ryoung@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov	Requesting Cultural Assessment Comments/Wetlands wetland information request
AGENCIES/TRIBAL MEDIA	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson Steve Goforth Rebecca Samy Doug McCormick Shelley Klasse Ann C. House Gene Enick Todd Gray Kelsey Payne Richard Young Hannah Furfaro	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office North County EMS Sno Co Pland & Dev Svcs Sno Co DPW Stanwood -Camano School District Staff Attorney for Snoqualmie Tribe Tulalip Tribe Cultural Division Tulalip Tribe Environmental Division Snoqualmie Tribe/Water Quality Manage Tulalip Tribes Cultural Division Snoqualmie Tribes Cultural Division Seattle Times	ger			MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com rebecca.samy@snoco.org Doug.McCormick@so.snohomish.wa.us rklasse@stanwood.wednet.edu ann.harrie@snoqualmietribe.us genick@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov toddgray@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov kelsey.payne@snoqualmietribe.us ryoung@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov	Requesting Cultural Assessment Comments/Wetlands wetland information request POR Request
	Mary Wicklund for Mark Flury Roland Storme Tansy Schroeder Kevin Hushagen Antonia Weiss Lucas Larson Ian Huri Scott Robertson Steve Goforth Rebecca Samy Doug McCormick Shelley Klasse Ann C. House Gene Enick Todd Gray Kelsey Payne Richard Young	PUD - electrial WSDOT Ci of Stanwood Ci of Stanwood Public Works Health Department Health Department Sno Co Sheriffs Office Sno Co Sheriffs Office North County EMS Sno Co Pland & Dev Svcs Sno Co DPW Stanwood -Camano School District Staff Attorney for Snoqualmie Tribe Tulalip Tribe Cultural Division Tulalip Tribe Environmental Division Snoqualmie Tribe/Water Quality Manage Tulalip Tribes Cultural Division	ger			MLWicklund@SNOPUD.com stormer@wsdot.wa.gov Tansy.Schroeder@ci.stanwood.wa.us kevin.hushagen@ci.stanwood.wa.us antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org ian.huri@co.snohomish.wa.us Scott.Robertson@co.snohomish.wa.us sgoforth@northcountyfireems.com rebecca.samy@snoco.org Doug.McCormick@so.snohomish.wa.us rklasse@stanwood.wednet.edu ann.harrie@snoqualmietribe.us genick@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov toddgray@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov kelsey.payne@snoqualmietribe.us ryoung@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov	Requesting Cultural Assessment Comments/Wetlands wetland information request

APPLICANT/OWNER
CONTACT PERSON

Kelsey Edwardson Tulalip Tribes
Christine Phillips BCRA

Evan Haines Korsmo

Keith Banes Wenaha Group representing Tulalip Tribes

Zachary Crum BCRA
Dr. Charissa Fotinos HCA
Dr. Keri Waterland HCA
Jim Wolch BCRA

Aaron Van Aken Heath and Associates

SEPA APPEAL (Dismissed 12/21/22)

APPEAL

Applicant

Christine Phillips, BCRA (Applicant) BCRA Evan Haines, Korsmo (Applicant) Korsmo

Keith Banes, Wenaha Group (Applica Wenaha Group representing Tulalip Tribes

Jim Wolch, BCRA (Applicant) BCRA

Rhylee Marchand, Tulalip Tribes (Ap Counsel for Tulalip Tribes Lisa Koop, Tulalip Tribes (Applicant) Counsel for Tulalip Tribes Tyler Eastman, Tulalip Tribes (Applic; Counsel for Tulalip Tribes

PDS

Rebecca Samy, PDS Laura Kisielius, PDS David Irwin, PDS Erin Harker, PDS

Erin Harker, PDS
Kenneth Crossman, PDS
Caleb Duhnke, PDS
Seth Henderson, PDS
Tom Barnett, PDS
Michael Dobesh, PDS
Mohammad Uddin, PDS
Douglas McCormick, PDS

Health Dist

Antonia Weiss, SnoHD Lucas Larson, SnoHD

Appellant

Kathy Richardson, Appellant

cphillips@bcradesign.com ehaines@korsmo.com KeithB@wenahagroup.com jwolch@bcradesign.com

kelseye@wenahagroup.com

cphillips@bcradesign.com

KeithB@wenahagroup.com

charissa.fotinos@hca.wa.gov

keri.waterland@hca.wa.gov

ehaines@korsmo.com

zcrum@bcradesign.com

jwolch@bcradesign.com avanaken@heathtraffic.com

rmarchand@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov lkoop@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov teastman@tulaliptribes-nsn.gov

rebecca.samy@snoco.org

Laura.Kisielius@co.snohomish.wa.us
David.Irwin@co.snohomish.wa.us
Erin.Harker@co.snohomish.wa.us
Ken.Crossman@co.snohomish.wa.us
Caleb.Duhnke@co.snohomish.wa.us
Seth.Henderson@co.snohomish.wa.us
Tom.Barnett@co.snohomish.wa.us
Michael.Dobesh@snoco.org

Mohammad.Uddin@co.snohomish.wa.us DMcCormick@co.snohomish.wa.us

antonia.weiss@snoco.org lucas.larson@snoco.org

kathymrichardson@yahoo.com