
From: Countryman, Ryan
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 1:49 PM
To: Eco, Debbie
Cc: Beazizo, Heidi; Lao, Elena; Hickey, Lisa; Danner, Cheri
Subject: RE: ECAF 24-0700

Got it. Thank you!

From: Eco, Debbie <Debbie.Eco@snoco.org>
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 1:49 PM
To: Countryman, Ryan <Ryan.Countryman@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Cc: Beazizo, Heidi <Heidi.Beazizo@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Lao, Elena <Maria.Lao@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Hickey, Lisa <Lisa.Hickey@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Danner, Cheri <Cheri.Danner@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Subject: RE: ECAF 24-0700

Yes, that is correct. Brand new, start over. We would let 24-0700 lapse, that ECAF number and motion number would no longer be used.

Debbie Eco, CMC
Clerk of the Council
Snohomish County Council
425-388-7038

Please be advised: All e-mail correspondence sent to and from this e-mail address is subject to the State of Washington's Public Records Act (chapter 42.56 RCW).
E-mail and data attached to e-mail (including metadata) sent to and from this e-mail address may be monitored and archived, and may be disclosed to third parties pursuant to state law.

From: Countryman, Ryan <Ryan.Countryman@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 1:47 PM
To: Eco, Debbie <Debbie.Eco@snoco.org>
Cc: Beazizo, Heidi <Heidi.Beazizo@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Lao, Elena <Maria.Lao@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Hickey, Lisa <Lisa.Hickey@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Danner, Cheri <Cheri.Danner@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Subject: RE: ECAF 24-0700

If I understand option 3 correctly, then we would be asking the Airport to submit the corrected contract and motion as a new ECAF with a different number so that 24-0700 can lapse next year. Is that right?

From: Eco, Debbie <Debbie.Eco@snoco.org>
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 1:02 PM
To: Countryman, Ryan <Ryan.Countryman@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Cc: Beazizo, Heidi <Heidi.Beazizo@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Lao, Elena <Maria.Lao@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Hickey, Lisa <Lisa.Hickey@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Danner, Cheri <Cheri.Danner@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Subject: RE: ECAF 24-0700

Thank you Ryan for bringing this to our attention, here are your options:

1. It is too late to return this ECAF/Motion to the Airport as it has already been assigned to committee
2. This can be moved along in the normal timeline
 - a. You can direct the clerk to make corrections to the motion, including attaching a new attachment (contract), at committee
 - i. New corrected motion, with corrected contract, would then be moved to GLS for consideration
3. This ECAF/Motion can lapse Feb 1, 2025, and the Airport can start over fresh
 - a. This may be the cleanest option and easiest to follow, and you wouldn't have all the outdated copies in the record with the correct ones.
 - b. This may be the best option, especially since it sounds like they have enough time.

Debbie Eco, CMC
Clerk of the Council
Snohomish County Council
425-388-7038

Please be advised: All e-mail correspondence sent to and from this e-mail address is subject to the State of Washington's Public Records Act (chapter 42.56 RCW).
E-mail and data attached to e-mail (including metadata) sent to and from this e-mail address may be monitored and archived, and may be disclosed to third parties pursuant to state law.

From: Countryman, Ryan <Ryan.Countryman@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 12:21 PM
To: Eco, Debbie <Debbie.Eco@snoco.org>; Lao, Elena <Maria.Lao@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Hickey, Lisa <Lisa.Hickey@co.snohomish.wa.us>; Danner, Cheri <Cheri.Danner@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Cc: Beazizo, Heidi <Heidi.Beazizo@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Subject: ECAF 24-0700

Clerk Team,

ECAF 24-0700/Motion 24-193 may need to be sent back to the Airport for corrections to both the motion and the contract attached to it. I spoke with Nick Landgraff about some errors in the documents. He acknowledged these and agreed that they should be corrected. Corrections could take a few weeks because this would involve several people at the Airport and require new signatures on their end. At this point, I am not sure what our protocol is for allowing departments to make corrections vs returning the ECAF. Please advise.

Thank you!

Ryan

From: Countryman, Ryan
Sent: Monday, May 6, 2024 11:13 AM
To: Landgraff, Nickolis <Nickolis.Landgraff@co.snohomish.wa.us>
Subject: Sierra Services option

Hi Nick,

I have some questions about the area involved in the Option to Lease with Sierra Services (ECAF 24-0700/Motion 24-193). It looks like you are handling this for the Airport, but please let me know if I should be checking with someone else.

The motion and option documents both describe the area involved as being 139,288 square feet (3.20 acres). This is the size of parcel 28042200402300 minus a non-exclusive portion of that parcel which appears to be roadway (Exhibit A-1).

Q1. The lease document and diagram of the area (Exhibit A-2) both identify parcel 28042200403600 as part of the lease option area too. Parcel -03600 is 147,289 sq ft (3.39 acres). Does the lease option include this parcel?

Q2. The legal description in Exhibit A lists both parcels. Like the other documents, it says that the site contains 139,288 square feet of area (3.20 acres), but the legal description incorrectly states that this square footage is 30.10 acres. How does this information fit with the rest of the area information in the ECAF package?

Please call to discuss.

Thank you!

Ryan Countryman, Sr. Legislative Analyst
Snohomish County Council
3000 Rockefeller Ave., M/S 609 | Everett, WA 98201-4046
425-309-6164 | ryan.countryman@snoco.org