

Index of Records					
2024-2029 School CFPs Ordinance 24-082 (ECAF 2024-1943)					
Hearing Date: October 22, 2024 @ 10:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m. cont to Wed. November 13 @ 10:30 a.m.					
Council Staff: Ryan Countryman PDS Staff: Eileen Canola DPA: Justin Kasting					
<i>Click on exhibit number to view document</i>					
EXHIBIT	RECORD TYPE	DATE	RECEIVED FROM	EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION	# OF PAGES
2.0 Planning Commission					
2.0003	Staff Report	07/05/24	Eileen Canola, PDS	2024 Biennial Update School Districts CFPs	9
2.0012	Letter	08/29/24	Robert Larsen, Planning Commission Chair	Letter of Recommendation	3
3.1 ECAF and Materials					
3.1.001	ECAF	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Transmitting Executive Recommended Ordinance	2
3.1.002	Ordinance	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Introduced Ordinance	9 plus exhibits
3.1.002 A-1	Exhibit	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Exhibit A-1 to the Ordinance Arlington School District Capital Facilities Plan	33
3.1.002 A-2	Exhibit	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Exhibit A-2 to the Ordinance Edmonds School District Capital Facilities Plan	29
3.1.002 A-3	Exhibit	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Exhibit A-3 to the Ordinance Everett School District Capital Facilities Plan	58
3.1.002 A-4	Exhibit	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Exhibit A-4 to the Ordinance Granite Falls School District Capital Facilities Plan	29
3.1.002 A-5	Exhibit	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Exhibit A-5 to the Ordinance Lake Stevens School District Capital Facilities Plan	60

3.1.002 A-6	Exhibit	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Exhibit A-6 to the Ordinance Lakewood School District Capital Facilities Plan	35
3.1.002 A-7	Exhibit	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Exhibit A-7 to the Ordinance Monroe School District Capital Facilities Plan	33
3.1.002 A-8	Exhibit	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Exhibit A-8 to the Ordinance Mukilteo School District Capital Facilities Plan	22
3.1.002 A-9	Exhibit	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Exhibit A-9 to the Ordinance Northshore School District Capital Facilities Plan	47
3.1.002 A-10	Exhibit	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Exhibit A-10 to the Ordinance Snohomish School District Capital Facilities Plan	38
3.1.002 A-11	Exhibit	09/04/24	Executive/PDS	Exhibit A-11 to the Ordinance Sultan School District Capital Facilities Plan	35
3.1.003	Staff Report	08/14/24	Eilileen Canola, PDS	2024 Biennial Update School Districts CFPs	5
3.1.004	Analysis	07/18/24	PDS	Capital Facility Development Cost Analysis Summary	1
3.1.005	Introduction	09/04/24	Council Chair Mead	Ordinance Introduction Slip	1
3.2 Council Planning Committee Materials					
3.3 Correspondence, Comments, Testimony					
3.3.001	E-mail	10/18/24	Robb Stanton	Public Testimony	2
3.4 Staff Reports and Submissions					
3.5 Public Participation					
3.5.001	Notice	09/12/24	Council Staff	Notice of Introduction and Public Hearing	3
3.6 Council Deliberations					

Index of Records					
Project Name		2024 - 2029 School District Capital Facilities Plan Update			
Part 1 - DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES					
Exhibit #	Record Type	Date	Received From	Exhibit Description	# of Pages
1.0001	Project Administration	4/3/2024	OSPI	2023 State Funding Assistance Percentages	1
1.0002	Project Administration	4/3/2024	Snohomish County	Appendix F of the GPP	3
1.0003	Project Administration	4/29/2024	Arlington School District	Arlington SD - first draft-Capital Facilities Plan (April Draft)	32
1.0004	Project Administration	6/4/2024	Arlington School District	Arlington SD - 2nd draft-Capital Facilities Plan	33
1.0005	Project Administration	2024	Arlington School District	Arlington SD Responses - Compiled TRC Comments-ArlingtonSD (1)	3
1.0006	Project Administration	3/15/2024	PDS	2024 AVERAGE ASSESSED VALUE BY SCHOOL DISTRICT	1
1.0007	Project Administration	2/27/2024	PDS	Capital Bond Interest Rate	3
1.0008	Project Administration	1/9/2024	Snohomish County	Chapter 30.66C	21
1.0009	Project Administration	5/20/2024	PDS	Compiled TRC Comments - 1st DRAFT Sultan SD CFP	1
1.0010	Project Administration	4/10/2024	PDS	Compiled TRC Comments - Edmonds SD- 1st DRAFT CFP	3
1.0011	Project Administration	4/19/2024	PDS	Compiled TRC Comments -1st DRAFT Snohomish SD	3
1.0012	Project Administration	5/20/2024	PDS	Compiled TRC Comments 1st DRAFT- Lakewood SD	2
1.0013	Project Administration		PDS	Compiled TRC Comments -Northshore SD	3
1.0014	Project Administration		PDS	Compiled TRC Comments-ArlingtonSD	3
1.0015	Project Administration		PDS	Compiled TRC Comments-Lake Stevens SD- 1st DRAFT CFP	3
1.0016	Project Administration		Edmonds SD	Edmonds SD-first draft_CFP-April	30
1.0017	Project Administration	5/8/2024	Everett SD	Everett SD 1st Revised-DRAFT	57
1.0018	Project Administration	5/22/2024	Everett SD	Everett SD 2nd DRAFT CFP v3 20240522	57
1.0019	Project Administration	2/22/2024	Everett SD	EVERETT SD Response to TRC Comments on 1st DRAFT Everett SD	3

Index of Records					
Project Name		2024 - 2029 School District Capital Facilities Plan Update			
Part 1 - DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES					
Exhibit #	Record Type	Date	Received From	Exhibit Description	# of Pages
1.0020	Project Administration		Granite Falls SD	Granite Falls SD 1st DRAFT Capital Facilities Plan (April Draft) (1)	28
1.0021	Project Administration		Granite Falls SD	Granite Falls SD 2nd DRAFT CFP (Second Draft)	29
1.0022	Project Administration	6/13/2024	Snohomish County	Granite Falls SD Agreement - SIGNED	9
1.0023	Project Administration		Granite Falls SD	Granite Falls SD Response Compiled TRC Comments Granite Falls SD (2)	2
1.0024	Project Administration	4/11/2024	Lake Stevens SD	Lake Stevens SD CFP - 2024 - Final Draft - 24-06-07	60
1.0025	Project Administration		Lake Stevens SD	Lake Stevens SD Revised 1st DRAFT - 4-11-24	58
1.0026	Project Administration		Lake Stevens SD	Lake Stevens SD-Responses to TRC comments on 1st DRAFT CFP	3
1.0027	Project Administration		Lakewood SD	Lakewood SD 1st DRAFT CFP (April draft)	35
1.0028	Project Administration		Lakewood SD	Lakewood SD 2nd DRAFT CFP (Revised Second Draft)	35
1.0029	Project Administration		Lakewood SD	Lakewood SD Response - Compiled TRC Comments -Lakewood SD (2)	2
1.0030	Project Administration		Monroe SD	Monroe SD 2024 CFP 2nd Draft with updated enrollment	33
1.0031	Project Administration		Monroe SD	Monroe SD First Draft for submittal to Snohomish County - April 2024	33
1.0032	Project Administration		Monroe SD	Monroe SD Responses TRC-Compiled Comments 1st DRAFT Monroe SD	2
1.0033	Project Administration		Mukilteo SD	Mukilteo School District CFP 2024 - Final Draft	22
1.0034	Project Administration		Mukilteo SD	Mukilteo School District CFP 2024 - First Draft	22
1.0035	Project Administration		Mukilteo SD	Mukilteo SD response to TRC comments	2
1.0036	Project Administration		Northshore SD	Northshore SD 1st DRAFT CFP 4.30.24	45
1.0037	Project Administration	5/31/2024	Northshore SD	NSD CFP Final Draft 5.31.24	47

Index of Records					
Project Name		2024 - 2029 School District Capital Facilities Plan Update			
Part 1 - DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES					
Exhibit #	Record Type	Date	Received From	Exhibit Description	# of Pages
1.0038	Project Administration	5/31/2024	Northshore SD	NSD Response - Compiled TRC Comments -Northshore SD	7
1.0039	Project Administration	1/16/2024	PDS	ppt_Kickoff-School 2024 CFP Update	15
1.0040	Project Administration	1/16/2024	WA State RCW	RCW 36.70A.213-Extension of public facilities and utilities to serve schools sited in the rural area-	1
1.0041	Staff Research	9/1/2023	WA State RCW	RCW 82.02.060-Impact Fees-Local Ordinances-Required Provisions-Exemptions- 2023 revisions	3
1.0042	Project Administration	3/14/2024	PDS Staff	2024 School District Population Forecast	1
1.0043	Project Administration	4/10/2021	Darrington SD	GMA-required information-Darrington SD	2
1.0044	Project Administration	6/17/2024	PDS Staff	Follow-up Request for GMA Marysville	1
1.0045	Project Administration	6/1/2024	PDS Staff	Marysville- Snohomish County School Impact Fee Program	4
1.0046	Project Administration	3/29/2024	OSPI	School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP)	1
1.0047	SEPA Documents	5/29/2024	Northshore SD	Northshore DNS	2
1.0048	SEPA Documents	5/29/2024	Northshore SD	Northshore SEPA checklist	35
1.0049	SEPA Documents	6/25/2024	Lake Stevens SD	Lake Stevens DNS	1
1.0050	SEPA Documents	6/4/2024	Monroe SD	Monroe DNS	1
1.0051	SEPA Documents	6/20/2024	Mukilteo SD	Mukilteo DNS	1
1.0052	SEPA Documents	5/16/2024	Everett SD	Everett DNS	1
1.0053	SEPA Documents	5/16/2024	Everett SD	Everett SEPA Checklist	28
1.0054	SEPA Documents	6/10/2024	Granite Falls SD	Granite Falls SEPA DNS & Checklist	30
1.0055	SEPA Documents	6/25/2024	Lake Stevens SD	Lake Stevens SEPA Checklist	25
1.0056	SEPA Documents	6/20/2024	Lakewood SD	Lakewood DNS/SEPA	30
1.0057	Project Administration	6/26/2024	Granite Falls SD	Granite Falls School Board Adopted CFP	29
1.0058	Project Administration	6/26/2024	Granite Falls SD	Granite Falls SBA - Resolution	2
1.0059	Project Administration	6/24/2024	Northshore SD	Northshore SD School Board Adopted CFP	47

Index of Records					
Project Name		2024 - 2029 School District Capital Facilities Plan Update			
Part 1 - DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES					
Exhibit #	Record Type	Date	Received From	Exhibit Description	# of Pages
1.0060	SEPA Documents	6/20/2024	Sultan SD	Sultan SD SEPA Checklist	26
1.0061	SEPA Documents	6/20/2024	Sultan SD	Sultan SD SEPA DNS	1
1.0062	SEPA Documents	5/31/2024	Monroe SD	Monroe SD Checklist	21
1.0063	SEPA Documents	5/31/2024	Mukilteo SD	Mukilteo SEPA Checklist	22
1.0064	SEPA Documents	5/28/2024	Monroe SD	Monroe SD Checklist #2	26
1.0065	SEPA Documents	6/11/2024	Arlington SD	Arlington SD SEPA Checklist	32
1.0066	SEPA Documents	6/18/2024	Snohomish County	Snohomish SD SEPA Checklist	30
1.0067	Project Administration	4/29/2024	Snohomish SD	Snohomish SD 1st draft CFP	38
1.0068	Project Administration	6/7/2024	Snohomish SD	Snohomish SD 2nd draft CFP	38
1.0069	Project Administration	5/20/2024	PDS	Snohomish SD TRC comments 1st draft CFP	3
1.0070	Project Administration	4/29/2024	Sultan SD	Sultan CFP 1st draft	35
1.0071	Project Administration	6/11/2024	Sultan SD	Sultan CFP 2nd Draft	35
1.0072	Project Administration	5/20/2024	PDS	Sultan CFP TRC 1st draft comments	2
1.0073	Project Administration	5/20/2024	PDS	Monroe TRC 1st draft	3
1.0074	Project Administration	5/20/2024	PDS	Mukilteo TRC 1st draft	2
1.0075	Project Administration	5/14/2024	PDS	Everett SD TRC 1st draft	3
1.0076	Project Administration	4/12/2024	Stanwood SD	Stanwood Minimum LOS	1
1.0077	SEPA Documents	7/15/2024	Edmonds SD	Edmonds DNS	1
1.0078	Project Administration	7/10/2024	Lake Stevens SD	Lake Stevens School Board Adopted CFP	60
1.0079	Project Administration	7/16/2024	PDS	CFP webpage update for second drafts	6
1.0080	Project Administration	7/17/2024	Lakewood SD	Lakewood School District School Board Adopted CFP	35
1.0081	SEPA Documents	8/1/2024	Commerce	2024-S-7308 - Commerce Receipt of 60-Day Notice	1
1.0082	Project Administration	7/30/2024	Arlington SD	Arlington SD - School Board Adopted CFP	33
1.0083	Project Administration	7/16/2024	Mukilteo SD	Mukilteo SD - School Board Adopted CFP	22
1.0084	Project Administration	7/24/2024	Snohomish SD	Snohomish SD-School Board Adopted CFP	38
1.0085	Project Administration	7/22/2024	Sultan SD	Sultan SD -School Board Adopted CFP	35

Index of Records					
Project Name		2024 - 2029 School District Capital Facilities Plan Update			
Part 1 - DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT SERVICES					
Exhibit #	Record Type	Date	Received From	Exhibit Description	# of Pages
1.0086	Project Administration	6/25/2024	Everett SD	Everett SD-School Board Adopted CFP	58
1.0087	Project Administration	8/12/2024	MonroeSD	Monroe SD-School Board Adopted CFP	33
<i>*Contact the Clerk of the Council for copies of Part 1 Exhibits - 425-388-3494 or contact.council@snoco.org</i>					

Index of Records					
Project Name		2024 - 2029 School District Capital Facilities Plan Update			
Part 2 - PLANNING COMMISSION					
Exhibit #	Record Type	Date	Received From	Exhibit Description	# of Pages
2.0001	Public Outreach	7/30/2024	Planning Commission	Planning Commission Agenda (Briefing)	3
2.0002	Public Outreach	8/13/2024	The Herald	Affidavit of Agenda publication in The Herald (Briefing)	3
2.0003	Legislative Documents	7/29/2024	PDS Staff	Staff Report (Briefing)	9
2.0004	Public Outreach	8/12/2024	PDS Staff	Presentation (Briefing)	15
2.0005	Public Outreach	9/9/2024	Planning Commission	Draft Planning Commission Written Meeting Minutes (Briefing)	4
2.0006	Public Outreach	8/14/2024	Planning Commission	Planning Commission Recording of Meeting (Briefing)	NA
2.0007	Public Outreach	8/13/2024	PDS Staff	School District CFPs	1
2.0008	Public Outreach	8/15/2024	Planning Commission	Planning Commission Agenda (Hearing)	4
2.0009	Public Outreach	8/27/2024	The Herald	Affidavit of Agenda publication in The Herald (Hearing)	3
2.0010	Public Outreach	9/9/2024	Planning Commission	Draft Planning Commission Written Meeting Minutes (Hearing)	5
2.0011	Public Outreach	8/27/2024	Planning Commission	Planning Commission Meeting Recording (Hearing)	NA
2.0012	Public Outreach	9/3/2024	Planning Commission	Recommendation Letter to County Council	3
2.0013	Public Testimony	8/29/2024	Campbell, Tom	School District Capital Plans Comments	1
2.0014	Public Testimony	8/27/2024	Northshore School District	Northshore School District - SCPC Comments	2
2.0015	Legislative Documents	8/29/2024	PDS Staff	2024 Edmonds Capital Facility Plan	29
*Contact the Clerk of the Council for copies of Part 2 Exhibits - 425-388-3494 or contact.council@snoco.org					

Adopted: _____
Effective: _____

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL
Snohomish County, Washington

ORDINANCE NO. 24-082

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15, EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO. 103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE NORTHSORE NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC 30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100

WHEREAS, in 1999 Snohomish County ("the County") adopted an impact fee ordinance to provide mitigation for the impacts of new development on public school facilities pursuant to RCW 82.02.050; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to RCW 82.02.050(5)(a), impact fees may be collected and spent only for the public facilities defined in RCW 82.02.090, which are addressed by the capital facilities element of the County's Growth Management Act Comprehensive Plan ("GMACP") created under the Growth Management Act (GMA), Chapter 36.70A RCW; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Snohomish County Code (SCC) 30.66C.035, school districts must submit capital facilities plans to the County for inclusion in the County's capital facilities plan, part of the capital facilities element of the GMACP, to be eligible to receive payment of school impact fees; and

WHEREAS, under SCC 30.66C.230, each participating school district must enter into an agreement with the County addressing the reimbursement of the actual administrative costs of assessing, collecting and handling fees for the district, any legal expenses and staff time associated with defense of the impact fee program against district-specific challenges, and payment of any refunds required under the impact fee program; and

WHEREAS, Granite Falls School District No.332 indicated its desire to establish its participation in the impact fee program; and

ORDINANCE NO. 24-082

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15, EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO. 103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE ORTHSHORE NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC 30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100

1 WHEREAS, the County has negotiated and prepared a new agreement with the
2 Granite Falls School District No.332, which is consistent with the general provisions in
3 the other school district agreements; and
4

5 WHEREAS, the other ten participating school districts and the County executed
6 agreements as required under SCC 30.66C.230; and
7

8 WHEREAS, capital facilities plans for the Arlington School District No. 16,
9 Edmonds School District No. 15, Everett School District No. 2, Lake Stevens School
10 District No. 4, Lakewood School District No. 306, Marysville School District No. 25,
11 Monroe School District No. 103, Mukilteo School District No. 6, Northshore School
12 District No. 417, Snohomish School District No. 201, and Sultan School District No. 311
13 were last adopted by Snohomish County in 2022 and will expire on December 31, 2024;
14 and
15

16 WHEREAS, the Marysville School District No. 25 indicated that it will not be
17 submitting an updated capital facilities plan for the 2024 biennial update as required for
18 participation in the County's school impact fee program; and
19

20 WHEREAS, participating school districts must submit updated capital facilities
21 plans to the County for review and adoption before December 31, 2024, to maintain or
22 re-establish their eligibility to receive school impact fees after December 31, 2024; and
23

24 WHEREAS, the Index School District No. 63, Darrington School District No. 330,
25 Marysville School District No. 25, and Stanwood/Camano Island School District No. 401
26 have not submitted school capital facilities plans for this 2024 update; and
27

28 WHEREAS, the Arlington School District No. 16, Edmonds School District No.
29 15, Everett School District No. 2, Granite Falls School District No.332, Lake Stevens
30 School District No. 4, Lakewood School District No. 306, Monroe School District No.
31 103, Mukilteo School District No. 6, Northshore School District No. 417, Snohomish
32 School District No. 201, and Sultan School District No. 311 each submitted an updated
33 capital facilities plan to the Snohomish County Department of Planning and
34 Development Services (PDS) pursuant to SCC 30.66C.035; and
35

36 WHEREAS, PDS has reviewed the 2024 capital facility plans of the
37 aforementioned eleven school districts (collectively referred to as the "Districts"),
38 including the impact fee calculations using SCC 30.66C.045, consulted with the school
39 technical review committee authorized by SCC 30.66C.050(3), and determined that
40 each 2024 capital facilities plan meets the requirements of SCC 30.66C.040 and
41 Appendix F of the GMACP - General Policy Plan (GPP); and
42

43 WHEREAS, the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), Chapter 43.21C RCW,
44 requirements have been satisfied and review has been performed by each school
45 district acting as lead agency; and

ORDINANCE NO. 24-082

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS
FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15,
EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE
STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO.
103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND
SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-
2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE ORTHSHORE
NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC
30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE
SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100

1 WHEREAS, SCC 30.66C.020 provides that any school capital facilities plan
2 adopted by the Snohomish County Council ("County Council") shall be incorporated by
3 reference into the capital facilities element of the GMACP; and
4

5
6 WHEREAS, the Snohomish County Planning Commission ("the Planning
7 Commission") held a public hearing on August 27, 2024, on the Districts' 2024 capital
8 facilities plans and the proposed amended impact fee schedule; and
9

10 WHEREAS, at the conclusion of the public hearing, the Planning Commission
11 voted to recommend adoption of each of the Districts' 2024 capital facilities plans and
12 proposed an amended impact fee schedule as shown in its recommendation letter
13 dated _____, 2024; and
14

15 WHEREAS, on _____, 2024, the County Council held a public hearing
16 after proper notice, received public testimony related to this Ordinance No. 24-_____,
17 and considered the entire record, including the Planning Commission's
18 recommendations; and
19

20 WHEREAS, following the public hearing on _____, 2024, the County
21 Council deliberated on this Ordinance No. 24-_____; and
22

23 WHEREAS, the County Council considered the entire hearing record, including
24 the Planning Commission's recommendation and written and oral testimony submitted
25 during the public hearings;
26

27 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED:
28

29 Section 1. The County Council adopts the foregoing recitals as findings of fact
30 as if set forth in full herein.
31

32 Section 2. The County Council makes the following additional findings of fact in
33 support of this ordinance:
34

35 A. A school district must prepare and adopt a capital facilities plan that meets
36 the requirements of Chapter 36.70A RCW and RCW 82.02.020 to participate in the
37 impact fee program. A school district's capital facilities plan expires two years from the
38 date of its effective date or when the County Council adopts an updated capital facilities
39 plan that meets the requirements of Chapter 30.66C SCC and the GMA.
40

41 B. The Districts submitted capital facilities plans to PDS for the 2024 biennial
42 update as required under SCC 30.66C.035.
43

44 C. Index School District No. 63, Darrington School District No. 330, Marysville
45 School District No. 25, and Stanwood/Camano Island School District No. 401 did not

ORDINANCE NO. 24-082

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS
FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15,
EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE
STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO.
103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND
SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-
2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE ORTHSHORE
NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC
30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE
SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100
Page 3 of 10

1 submit capital facilities plans for the period for this 2024 biennial update meaning the
2 County will not collect impact fees for those districts during the 2025 -2026 biennial
3 period.
4

5 D. PDS reviewed each of the Districts' 2024 capital facilities plans, including the
6 impact fee calculations, using the formula in SCC 30.66C.045 and determined that each
7 capital facilities plan meets the requirements of SCC 30.66C.040. This determination
8 was made after consultation with the school technical review committee that reviewed
9 each capital facilities plan prior to the Planning Commission's public hearing.
10

11 E. This ordinance is adopted to implement Chapter 30.66C SCC and to adopt
12 the Districts' 2024 capital facilities plans.
13

14 F. The adoption of this ordinance exercises the County's authority to impose
15 impact fees pursuant to RCW 82.02.050.
16

17 G. The Districts' 2024 capital facilities plans adopted herein will further the goals
18 of the GMA by providing adequate public-school facilities to accommodate growth.
19

20 H. Amendment of SCC 30.66C.100 is necessary to adopt an updated impact fee
21 schedule consistent with the Districts' 2024 capital facilities plans.
22

23 I. Pursuant to SCC 30.66C.100, the County reduces the amount of the impact
24 fee calculated by the Districts by fifty percent.
25

26 J. SEPA requirements have been satisfied by each school district, acting as lead
27 agency, completing an environmental checklist and issuing a Determination of
28 Nonsignificance for its capital facilities plan. The County adopts and incorporates by
29 this reference the SEPA determinations made by the respective school districts.
30

31 K. The Planning Commission reviewed the Districts' 2024 capital facilities plans,
32 conducted a public hearing on each 2024 capital facilities plan and made its
33 recommendation as evidenced in its recommendation letter dated _____, 2024.
34

35 L. The County Council conducted a public hearing on _____, 2024,
36 on this Ordinance No. 24-_____.
37

38 Section 3. The County Council makes the following conclusions:
39

40 A. The Districts' 2024 capital facilities plans each individually meet the
41 requirements of Chapter 30.66C SCC and the requirements of Appendix F of the GPP
42 concerning the operation and administration of a school impact fee program.
43

ORDINANCE NO. 24-082

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS
FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15,
EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE
STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO.
103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND
SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-
2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE ORTHSHORE
NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC
30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE
SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100
Page 4 of 10

1 B. The public participation requirements of the SCC and GMA have been met
2 through the public hearings conducted by the Planning Commission and the County
3 Council.

4
5 C. The SEPA requirements for the Districts' 2024 capital facilities plans have
6 been met.

7
8 D. The adoption of the Districts' capital facilities plans is consistent with the
9 GMACP, the Countywide Planning Policies for Snohomish County, and the GMA.

10
11 E. The GMA allows the County to amend the GMACP more frequently than once
12 per year if the amendment is to the capital facilities element and occurs concurrently
13 with the adoption or amendment of the County's budget. This criterion is met because
14 this ordinance will be considered concurrently with the County's annual budget
15 ordinance, fulfilling the GMA, the Snohomish County Charter, and SCC requirements
16 that link the capital improvement program to the budget.

17
18 F. Each of the Districts' 2024 capital facilities plans shall be incorporated by
19 reference into the capital facilities element of the GMACP as provided by SCC
20 30.66C.055.

21
22 Section 4. Arlington School District No. 16's 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plan,
23 attached as Exhibit A-1, is adopted and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth
24 in full and replaces the 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan adopted by Ordinance No. 22-
25 057, based on the foregoing findings and conclusions.

26
27 Section 5. Edmonds School District No. 15's 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plan,
28 attached as Exhibit A-2, is adopted and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth
29 in full and replaces the 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan adopted by Ordinance No. 22-
30 057, based on the foregoing findings and conclusions.

31
32 Section 6. Everett School District No. 2's 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plan,
33 attached as Exhibit A-3, is adopted and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth
34 in full and replaces the 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan adopted by Ordinance No. 22-
35 057, based on the foregoing findings and conclusions.

36
37 Section 7. Granite Falls School District No. 332's 2024-2029 Capital Facilities
38 Plan, attached as Exhibit A-4, is adopted and incorporated herein by reference as if set
39 forth in full, based on the foregoing findings and conclusions.

40
41 Section 8. Lake Stevens School District No. 4's 2024-2029 Capital Facilities
42 Plan, attached as Exhibit A-5, is adopted and incorporated herein by reference as if set
43 forth in full and replaces the 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan adopted by Ordinance
44 No. 22-057, based on the foregoing findings and conclusions.

45
ORDINANCE NO. 24-082

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS
FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15,
EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE
STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO.
103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND
SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-
2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE ORTHSHORE
NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC
30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE
SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100
Page 5 of 10

1 Section 9. Lakewood School District No. 306's 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plan,
2 attached as Exhibit A-6, is adopted and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth
3 in full and replaces the 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan adopted by Ordinance No. 22-
4 057, based on the foregoing findings and conclusions.

5
6 Section 10. Monroe School District No. 103's 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plan,
7 attached as Exhibit A-7, is adopted and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth
8 in full and replaces the 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan adopted by Ordinance No. 22-
9 057, based on the foregoing findings and conclusions.

10
11 Section 11. Mukilteo School District No. 6's 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plan,
12 attached as Exhibit A-8, is adopted and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth
13 in full and replaces the 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan adopted by Ordinance No. 22-
14 057, based on the foregoing findings and conclusions.

15
16 Section 12. Northshore School District No. 417's 2024-2030 Capital Facilities
17 Plan, attached as Exhibit A-9, is adopted and incorporated herein by reference as if set
18 forth in full and replaces the 2022-2028 Capital Facilities Plan adopted by Ordinance
19 No. 22-057, based on the foregoing findings and conclusions.

20
21 Section 13. Snohomish School District No. 201's 2024-2029 Capital Facilities
22 Plan, attached as Exhibit A-10, is adopted and incorporated herein by reference as if set
23 forth in full and replaces the 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan adopted by Ordinance
24 No. 22-057, based on the foregoing findings and conclusions.

25
26 Section 14. Sultan School District No. 311's 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plan,
27 attached as Exhibit A-11, is adopted and incorporated herein by reference as if set forth
28 in full and replaces the 2022-2027 Capital Facilities Plan adopted by Ordinance No. 22-
29 057, based on the foregoing findings and conclusions.

30
31 Section 15. Each of the Districts' capital facilities plans adopted by this
32 ordinance shall remain in effect for a period of two years from the effective date of this
33 ordinance, unless an updated plan is submitted and approved prior to that date
34 pursuant to the requirements of Chapter 30.66C SCC and the GMA.

35
36 Section 16. Snohomish County Code Section 30.66C.100, last amended by
37 Ordinance No. 22-057 on, November 9, 2022, is hereby amended to read:

38
39 **30.66C.100 Fee required.**

40
41
42 (1) Each development, as a condition of approval, shall be subject to the school impact
43 fee established pursuant to this chapter. The school impact fee shall be calculated in
44 accordance with the formula established in SCC 30.66C.045.

ORDINANCE NO. 24-082

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS
FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15,
EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE
STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO.
103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND
SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-
2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE ORTHSHORE
NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC
30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE
SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100
Page 6 of 10

(2) The fees listed in Table 30.66C.100(1) represent one-half of the amount calculated by each school district in its respective capital facilities plan in accordance with the formula identified in SCC 30.66C.045.

(3) The payment of school impact fees will be required prior to issuance of building permits, except as provided in SCC 30.66C.200(2). The amount of the fee due shall be based on the fee schedule in effect at the time of filing a complete application for development. For building permit applications received by the department more than five years after the filing of a complete application for development, the amount of the fee due shall be based on the fee schedule in effect at the time of building permit application.

(4) The department shall maintain and provide to the public upon request a table summarizing the schedule of school impact fees for each school district within the county.

(5) The fees set forth in Table 30.66C.100(1) apply to developments that vest to county development regulations from January 1, ((2023)) 2025, to December 31, ((2024)) 2026.

(6) Building permits submitted after January 1, 1999, for which prior plat approval has been obtained under chapter 30.66C SCC as codified prior to January 1, 1999, shall be subject to the school impact fees established pursuant to this chapter, as set forth in this section, except as provided in SCC 30.66C.010(2).

Table 30.66C.100(1) School Impact Mitigation Fees

SCHOOL DISTRICT	SINGLE FAMILY per dwelling unit	MULTI-FAMILY 1-BEDROOM per dwelling unit	MULTI-FAMILY 2+ BEDROOMS per dwelling unit	DUPLEXES AND TOWNHOMES per dwelling unit
Arlington No. 16	(((\$4,002)) <u>\$544</u>	\$0	(((\$2,328)) <u>\$0</u>	(((\$2,328)) <u>\$441</u>
Edmonds No. 15	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Everett No. 2	(((\$6,286)) <u>\$12,556</u>	\$0	(((\$3,834)) <u>\$4,257</u>	(((\$3,834)) <u>\$4,257</u>
<u>Granite</u>	<u>\$6,368</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$3,160</u>	<u>\$3,160</u>

ORDINANCE NO. 24-082

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15, EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO. 103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE ORTHSHORE NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC 30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100

SCHOOL DISTRICT	SINGLE FAMILY per dwelling unit	MULTI-FAMILY 1-BEDROOM per dwelling unit	MULTI-FAMILY 2+ BEDROOMS per dwelling unit	DUPLEXES AND TOWNHOMES per dwelling unit
<u>Falls No.</u>				
Lake Stevens No. 4	(((\$11,434)) <u>\$13,730</u>	\$0	(((\$2,526)) <u>\$741</u>	(((\$2,526)) <u>\$2,627</u>
Lakewood No. 306	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(Marysville No. 25))	(((\$0))	(((\$0))	(((\$0))	(((\$0))
Monroe No. 103	(((\$2,961)) <u>\$0</u>	\$0	(((\$2,112)) <u>\$0</u>	(((\$2,112)) <u>\$0</u>
Mukilteo No. 6	(((\$1,121)) <u>\$0</u>	(((\$700)) <u>\$1,148</u>	(((\$11,846)) <u>\$2,985</u>	(((\$11,846)) <u>\$0</u>
Northshore No. 417	(((\$17,963)) <u>\$15,159</u>	\$0	(((\$0)) <u>\$254</u>	(((\$7,152)) <u>\$5,414</u>
Snohomish No. 201	(((\$6,495)) <u>\$5,361</u>	\$0	(((\$4,514)) <u>\$1,357</u>	(((\$4,514)) <u>\$5,462</u>
Sultan No. 311	(((\$14,842)) <u>\$14,002</u>	\$0	(((\$9,576)) <u>\$7,161</u>	(((\$9,576)) <u>\$7,161</u>

Section 17. The County Council bases its findings and conclusions on the entire record of the County Council, including all testimony and exhibits. Any findings, which should be deemed a conclusion, and any conclusion, which should be deemed a finding, are hereby adopted as such.

Section 18. The effective date of this ordinance shall be January 1, 2025.

ORDINANCE NO. 24-082

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15, EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO. 103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE ORTHSHORE NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC 30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100
Page 8 of 10

Section 19. If any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance shall be held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the Growth Management Hearings Board ("Board") or a court of competent jurisdiction, such invalidity or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of any other section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance. Provided, however, if any section, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held to be invalid or unconstitutional by the Board or court of competent jurisdiction, then the section, sentence, clause or phrase in effect prior to the effective date of this ordinance shall be in full force and effect for that individual section, sentence, clause or phrase as if this ordinance had never been adopted.

PASSED this ____ day of _____, 2024.

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL
Snohomish County, Washington

Chairperson

ATTEST:

Clerk of the Council

() APPROVED
() VETOED
() EMERGENCY

DATE: _____, 2024

Snohomish County Executive

ATTEST:

Approved as to form only:

/s/Justin Kasting 07/19/24
Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

ORDINANCE NO. 24-082

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15, EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO. 103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE ORTHSHORE NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC 30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100

Page 9 of 10

ORDINANCE NO. 24-082

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15, EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO. 103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE ORTHSHORE NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC 30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100
Page 10 of 10

ARLINGTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS
CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN
2024-2029



ARLINGTON
PUBLIC SCHOOLS
EDUCATE • PREPARE • INSPIRE

Presented for Board Approval: July 8, 2024

ARLINGTON PUBLIC SCHOOLS
CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN
2024-2029

BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Mary Levesque, President
Erica Knapp, Vice President
Matt Dimond
Sheri Kelly
Director District #3 - Vacant

SUPERINTENDENT
Dr. Chrys Sweeting

For information regarding the Arlington Public Schools Capital Facilities Plan, contact the Office of the Superintendent, District Administration Office, 315 N. French Avenue, Arlington, WA 98223. Telephone: (360) 618-6200.

Presented to the Board of Directors for Approval on July 8, 2024

Table of Contents

	Page
Section 1. Introduction.....	2
Section 2. District Educational Program Standards.....	6
Section 3. Capital Facilities Inventory.....	9
Section 4. Student Enrollment Projections	13
Section 5. Capital Facilities Needs	15
Section 6. Capital Facility Financing Plan.....	17
Section 7. School Impact Fees	20
Appendix A	Population and Enrollment Data
Appendix B	Student Generation Rates
Appendix C	Impact Fee Calculations

INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

The Washington State Growth Management Act (the “GMA”) includes schools in the category of public facilities and services. School districts have adopted capital facilities plans to satisfy the requirements of the GMA and to identify additional school facilities necessary to meet the educational needs of the growing student populations anticipated in their districts.

Arlington Public Schools (the “District”) has prepared this Capital Facilities Plan (the “CFP”) to provide Snohomish County (the “County”) and the City of Arlington (the “City”) with a schedule and financing program for capital improvements over the next six years (2024-2029).

In accordance with the Growth Management Act, the Snohomish County Ordinance Nos. 97-095 and 99-107, this CFP contains the following required elements:

- Future enrollment forecasts for each grade span (elementary, middle, and high schools).
- An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by the District, showing the locations and capacities of the facilities.
- A forecast of the future needs for capital facilities and school sites.
- The proposed capacities of expanded or new capital facilities.
- A six-year plan for financing capital facilities within projected funding capacities, which clearly identifies sources of public money for such purposes. The financing plan separates projects and portions of projects which add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding.
- A calculation of impact fees to be assessed and support data substantiating said fees.

In developing this CFP, the District followed the following guidelines set forth in the Snohomish County General Policy Plan:

- District should use information from recognized sources, such as the U.S. Census or the Puget Sound Regional Council. School districts may generate their own data if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies. The information must not be inconsistent with Office of Financial Management (“OFM”) population forecasts. Student generation rates must be independently calculated by each school district.
- The CFP must comply with the GMA.
- The methodology used to calculate impact fees must comply with the GMA. In the event that impact fees are not available due to action by the state, county or cities within the District, the District in a future CFP update must identify alternative funding sources to replace the intended impact fee funding.
- The methodology used to calculate impact fees complies with the criteria and the formulas established by the County and the City.

Snohomish County’s Countywide Planning Policies direct jurisdictions in Snohomish County to “ensure the availability of sufficient land and services for future K-20 school needs.” Policy ED-11. The District appreciates any opportunity for cooperative planning efforts with its jurisdictions.


B. Overview of Arlington Public Schools

Two-hundred square miles in area, the District encompasses the City of Arlington and portions of unincorporated Snohomish County. The District is bordered by the Conway, Darrington, Granite Falls, Lakewood, Marysville, Sedro-Woolley, and Stanwood-Camano School Districts.

The District serves a student population of 5,466 (October 1, 2023 HC enrollment) with four elementary schools (K-5), two middle schools (grades 6-8), one high school (grades 9-12), one alternative high school (grades 9-12), and one support facility for home schooled children (grades K-12). For the purposes of facility planning, this CFP considers grades K-5 as elementary, grades 6-8 as middle school, and grades 9-12 as high school. For purposes of this CFP, enrollment in the Stillaguamish Valley School (a home school support facility serving grades K-12), the alternative high school (Weston), and the Arlington Online Program (AOP) is not included.

The District has experienced moderate growth in recent years after a period of declining student population. For a period of years (2012-2015) the District, due to the declining student population, did not prepare an updated Capital Facilities Plan. The District prepared a CFP in 2016 in anticipation of potential growth, enrollment increases, and future capacity needs. Growth has been steady in the District since 2016 and is projected to continue to increase at all grade levels over the six year planning period. Similar to school districts nationwide, the COVID-19 pandemic affected student enrollment. The District saw a drop in enrollment starting in the 2020-21 school year but enrollment has increased each year since. The District anticipates that enrollment will return to pre-pandemic projections and continue to grow over the six-year planning period. This 2024 update builds on the 2022 CFP and identifies growth-related projects at the middle school level. The District in 2022 completed construction of an addition at Arlington High School, which continues to provide new capacity needed to serve students generated from new growth.


FIGURE 1 - MAP OF FACILITIES



ARLINGTON SCHOOL DISTRICT #16 FACILITIES MAP

Annotations to District Map:

Site Name	Site Type	Street Address	City	State	Zip
District Office	Support	315 N French Ave	Arlington	WA	98223
Support Services, Old High School Building	Support	135 S French Ave	Arlington	WA	98223
Transportation Center	Support	19124 63rd Ave NE	Arlington	WA	98223
Arlington High School	Instructional	18821 Crown Ridge Blvd.	Arlington	WA	98223
Weston High School	Instructional	4407 - 172nd Street NE	Arlington	WA	98223
Stillaguamish Valley Learning Center	Instructional	1215 East 5th Street	Arlington	WA	98223
Haller Middle School	Instructional	600 East 1st Street	Arlington	WA	98223
Post Middle School	Instructional	220 East 5th Street	Arlington	WA	98223
Eagle Creek Elementary	Instructional	1216 East 5th Street	Arlington	WA	98223
Kent Prairie Elementary	Instructional	8110 - 207th Street NE	Arlington	WA	98223
Pioneer Elementary	Instructional	8213 Eaglefield Drive	Arlington	WA	98223
Presidents Elementary	Instructional	505 East 3rd Street	Arlington	WA	98223



Arlington School District # 16

2



SECTION 2

DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM STANDARDS

School facility and student capacity needs are dictated by the types and amounts of space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program. The educational program standards which typically drive facility space needs include grade configuration, optimum facility size, class size, educational program offerings, classroom utilization and scheduling requirements, and use of relocatable classrooms (portables).

In addition to student population, other factors such as collective bargaining agreements, government mandates, and community expectations also affect classroom space requirements. Traditional educational programs are often supplemented by programs such as special education, bilingual education, preschool and daycare programs, computer labs, and music programs. These programs can have a significant impact on the available student capacity of school facilities.

A. Districtwide Educational Program Standards

Special programs offered by the District at specific school sites include, but are not limited to:

- APPLE (formerly named ECEAP);
- Elementary program for students with special needs; and
- Enhanced Learning Program/Highly Capable; and
- English Language Learner Program (Eagle Creek Elementary).

District educational program standards may change in the future as a result of various external or internal changes. External changes may include mandates or needs for special programs, or use of technology. Internal changes may include modifications to the program year, class sizes, and grade span configurations. Changes in physical aspects of the school facilities could also affect educational program standards. The school capacity inventory will be reviewed periodically and adjusted for any changes to the educational program standards. These changes will also be reflected in future updates of this CFP.

The District educational program standards which directly affect school capacity are outlined below for the elementary, middle, and high school grade levels. Each grade span has a targeted level of service (LOS) which is expressed as a "not to exceed" number. The minimum LOS for each grade span is expressed as "maximum average class size". This figure is used to determine when another class is added. When this average is exceeded, the District will add additional classes if space is available. Only academic classes are used to compute the maximum average class size.

The District has fully implemented full-day kindergarten in and reduced K-3 class size requirements.

B. Educational Program Standards for Elementary Schools

- Class size for Kindergarten and grades 1-3 is targeted not to exceed 21 students, with a maximum average class size of 21 students;
- Class size for grade 4 is targeted not to exceed 25 students, with a maximum average class size of 27 students;
- Class size for grade 5 is targeted not to exceed 27 students, with a maximum average class size of 29 students;
- Special Education for some students is provided in a self-contained classroom;
- Music instruction will be provided in a separate classroom (when available); and
- All elementary schools currently have a room dedicated as a computer lab, or have access to mobile carts with laptop computers for classroom use.

C. Educational Program Standards for Middle and High Schools

- Class size for grade 6 is targeted not to exceed 27 students, with a maximum average class size of 29 students
- Class size for middle school grades 7-8 is targeted not to exceed 29 students, with a maximum average class size of 31 students;
- Class size for high school grades 9-12 is targeted not to exceed 30 students, with a maximum average class size of 32 students;
- It is not possible to achieve 100% utilization of all regular teaching stations throughout the day. Therefore, high school classroom capacity has been adjusted using a utilization factor in the range of 90% to 96% (based on a regular school day). Middle school classroom capacity has been adjusted using a utilization factor of 85%;
- Special Education for some students will be provided in a self-contained classroom; and
- Identified students will also be provided other programs in classrooms designated as follows:
 1. Resource Rooms (i.e. computer labs, study rooms).
 2. Learning Support Centers.
 3. Program Specific Classrooms (i.e., music, drama, art, home and family education).

D. Minimum Educational Service Standards

The District will evaluate student housing levels based on the District as a whole system and not on a school by school or site by site basis. This may result in portable classrooms being used as interim housing, attendance boundary changes or other program changes to balance student housing across the system as a whole, while meeting the District's paramount duties under the State Constitution. A boundary change or a significant programmatic change would be made by the District's Board of Directors following appropriate public review and comment. The District

may also request that development be deferred until planned facilities can be completed to meet the needs of the incoming population; however, the District has no control over the ultimate land use decisions made by the permitting jurisdictions.

The District's intent is to adhere to the target facility service standards noted above without making significant changes in program delivery. At a minimum, average class size in the grade K-8 classrooms will not exceed 26 students and average class size in 9-12 classrooms will not exceed 32 students. For purposes of this determination, the term "classroom" does not include special education classrooms or special program classrooms (i.e. computer labs, art rooms, chorus and band rooms, spaces used for physical education, and other special program areas). Furthermore, the term "classroom" does not apply to special programs or activities that may occur in a regular classroom or to classes held in assembly halls, gyms, cafeterias, or other common areas.

The minimum educational service standards are not the District's desired or accepted operating standard.

For the school years of 2021-22 and 2022-23, the District's compliance with the minimum level of service was as follows

2021-22 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
	26	20.06	26	19.09	32	28.24

* The District determines the reported service level by adding the number of students in regular classrooms at each grade level and dividing that number by the number of teaching stations.

2022-23 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
	26	20.70	26	19.31	32	28.63

* The District determines the reported service level by adding the number of students in regular classrooms at each grade level and dividing that number by the number of teaching stations. Portables are not included in this analysis.

SECTION 3

CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY

The facilities inventory serves to establish a baseline for determining the facilities necessary to accommodate future demand (student enrollment) at acceptable levels of service. This section provides an inventory of capital facilities owned and operated by the District including schools, relocatable classrooms, undeveloped land, and support facilities. School facility capacity was inventoried based on the space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program standards. *See Section 2.* A map showing locations of District facilities is provided as Figure 1.

A. *Schools*

The District maintains four elementary schools, two middle schools, one high school, an alternative high school, and the Stillaguamish Valley School (a Home-School Support center). Elementary schools currently accommodate grades K-5, the middle schools serve grades 6-8, and the high school and alternative high school provide for grades 9-12. The Stillaguamish Valley School serves grades K-12.

School capacity was determined based on the number of teaching stations within each building and the space requirements of the District's adopted educational program. It is this capacity calculation that is used to establish the District's baseline capacity, and to determine future capacity needs based on projected student enrollment. The school capacity inventory is summarized in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

The Stillaguamish Valley School and Weston High School are housed in separate District-owned facilities and are not included in this CFP for the purposes of measuring capacity or projecting enrollment. Relocatable classrooms are not viewed by the District as a solution for housing students on a permanent basis. Therefore, these facilities were not included in the school capacity calculations provided in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

Table 1
Elementary School Inventory

Elementary School	Site Size (Acres)	Building Area (Square Feet)	Teaching Stations	Permanent Capacity	Year Built or Remodeled
Eagle Creek	23.70	57,362	28	630	1989
Kent Prairie	10.10	57,362	28	630	1993
Presidents	12.40	60,977	31	680	2004
Pioneer	20.60	61,530	25	562	2002
TOTAL	66.80	237,231	112	2,502	

Table 2
Middle School Inventory

Middle School	Site Size (Acres)	Building Area (Square Feet)	Teaching Stations*	Permanent Capacity	Year Built or Remodeled
Post Middle	24.60	76,323	36	757	1993
Haller Middle	25.46	86,002	31	612	2006
TOTAL	50.06	162,325	67	1,369	

*Includes a total of six special education classrooms between both schools.

Table 3
High School Inventory

High School	Site Size (Acres)	Building Area (Square Feet)	Teaching Stations	Permanent Capacity	Year Built or Remodeled
Arlington High	54.00	273,871	63	2,036	2003; 2022

B. Relocatable Classrooms

Relocatable classrooms are used on an interim basis to house students until funding can be secured to construct permanent classrooms. The District currently uses seventeen relocatable classrooms at various school sites throughout the District to provide additional interim capacity (an additional 10 relocatables are located at Stillaguamish Valley School). A typical relocatable classroom can provide capacity for a full-size class of students and a single classroom ranges in size from approximately 700 to 900 square feet. See Table 11 for total portable square footage by grade level. The District's relocatable classrooms have adequate useful remaining life and are evaluated regularly. Current use for the 2023-24 school year of relocatable classrooms throughout the District is summarized in Table 4.

Table 4
Relocatable Classroom (Portable) Inventory

Elementary School	Relocatables	Interim Capacity
Eagle Creek	6	150
Kent Prairie	4	84
Presidents	2	58
Middle School	Relocatables	Interim Capacity
Post Middle	4	113
High School	Relocatables	Interim Capacity
Arlington High	1	32
TOTAL	17	437

C. Support Facilities

In addition to schools, the District owns and operates additional facilities, which provide operational support functions to the schools. An inventory of these facilities is provided in Table 5.

Table 5
Support Facility Inventory

Facility	Building Area (Square Feet)	Site Location	Address
Administration and Special Programs	21,402	Roosevelt Building, Presidents	315 N. French Ave
Transportation	41,550	Leased	19124 63 rd Ave Ne
Support Services	70,991	Old HS "A" Bldg	135 S. French Ave

D. Land Inventory & Other Facilities

The District owns the following undeveloped sites:

- A 167-acre site ("Hwy 530 Site") located 1.5 miles from the city limits of Arlington adjacent to SR 530. The property is outside of the Urban Growth Area boundary and not serviced by municipal utilities. The District is currently negotiating a sale of this property.
- Seven sites ranging from 25 to 160 acres that are managed as forest land by a forestland manager and generally topographically unsuitable for school site development.

- An additional 58.9 acres at the Post Middle School site of farmland located in a floodplain and therefore unsuitable for development.

The District owns the “A” Building on the former high school campus. The “A” Building has been taken out of educational use and is no longer eligible (by OSPI) for use as for classroom space.

The Stillaguamish Valley School, is an alternative learning program serving on-line students and on-site K-8 students, is located on the Eagle Creek Elementary site. This facility consists of 10 portable classrooms and is not considered part of the District’s permanent facility capacity.

Additionally, the District leases a 33,000 square foot building on a 10 acre site near the Arlington Airport. This remodeled building houses the (alternative) Weston High School. Since this site houses only alternative educational programs, the building’s capacity is not included as part of the District’s eligible facility inventory¹.

¹ Students enrolled in these alternative programs are not included in enrollment numbers for the purposes of this CFP update.

SECTION 4 STUDENT ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS

A. *Projected Student Enrollment 2024-2029*

Enrollment projections are most accurate for the initial years of the forecast period. In the past, the District has used the methodology from the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) to determine enrollment projections. The cohort survival method uses historical enrollment data to forecast the number of students who will be attending school the following year. The cohort method has not proven to be a reliable measure for the Arlington School District. It uses a weighted average of the most recent years to project enrollment and is not designed to anticipate fluctuations in development patterns or isolated variances in student enrollment. This deficiency is exacerbated by enrollment anomalies that occurred as a result of the COVID pandemic, particularly in 2020. For information purposes only, the OSPI cohort survival projections are included in Appendix A-1.

The District prepared modified cohort survival projections using work from 2022 from an outside demographer, FLO Analytics, that considered historic enrollment patterns, demographic and land use analysis based upon information from Snohomish County and the cities of Arlington and Marysville, census data, OFM forecasts, and Washington State Department of Health birth data. It also considered the impacts of the pandemic on enrollment. The District updated that analysis with current information. See Appendix A-2. Using the District's enrollment projections, the District anticipates an increase in enrollment of approximately 6.92% by the 2029-30 school year, with growth occurring at the elementary and high school grade levels.

OFM population-based enrollment projections were estimated for the District using OFM population forecasts as adopted by Snohomish County. Between 2020 and 2023, the District's enrollment constituted 15.75% of the total population in the District. Using this percentage, a total enrollment of 6,082 HC students is projected in 2029.

**Table 6
Projected Student Enrollment
2023-2029**

								Change	% Change
Projection	2023*	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	23-29	23-29
District	5,466	5,450	5,491	5,591	5,662	5,768	5,844	378	6.92%
OFM/County	5,466	5,569	5,672	5,775	5,878	5,981	6,082	616	11%

* Actual October 2023 HC enrollment

The District uses the adjusted District demographer's enrollment projections for purposes of predicting enrollment during the six years of this Plan. The District will monitor actual enrollment over the next two years and, if necessary, make appropriate adjustments in the next Plan update.

B. 2044 Enrollment Projections

Student enrollment projections beyond 2029 are highly speculative. Based on OFM/County data for 2029 and an estimated student-to-population ratio of 15.75%, 7,402 HC students are projected for 2044. The total enrollment estimate was broken down by grade span to evaluate long-term site acquisition needs for elementary, middle, and high school facilities. Enrollment by grade span was determined based on recent and projected enrollment trends at the elementary, middle school, and high school levels.

Projected enrollment by grade span for the year 2044² is provided in Table 7. Again, these estimates are highly speculative and are used only for general planning purposes.

Table 7
Projected Student Enrollment
(Ratio Method – OFM/County)
2044

Grade Span	Projected Enrollment
Elementary (K-5)	3,257
Middle School (6-8)	1,703
High School (9-12)	2,442
TOTAL (K-12)	7,402

² Snohomish County Planning & Development Services provided the underlying data for the 2044 projections.

SECTION 5 CAPITAL FACILITIES NEEDS

Projected available student capacity was derived by subtracting projected student enrollment from existing school capacity (excluding relocatable classrooms) for each of the six years in the forecast period (2024-2029). Capacity needs are expressed in terms of “unhoused students.” Note that the identified capacity needs do not include growth-related capacity needs from recent development.

Table 8A below shows future capacity needs assuming no new construction during the planning period.

**Table 8A
Future Capacity Needs**

Grade Span	2029 Projected Unhoused Students - Total	2029 Projected Unhoused Students – Growth Post-2021
Elementary (K-5)	129	129
Middle School (6-8)	--	--
High School (9-12)	--**	--**
TOTAL (K-12)	129	129

**Growth continues at the 9-12 level but benefits from a recently constructed and front funded addition at Arlington High School.

Projected student capacity is depicted on Table 8B. This is derived by applying the projected number of students to the projected capacity. Planned improvements (if any) by the District through 2029 are included in Table 8B. It is not the District’s policy to include relocatable classrooms when determining future capital facility needs; therefore interim capacity provided by relocatable classrooms (including additions and adjustments) is not included. Information on relocatable classrooms and interim capacity can be found in Table 4. Information on planned construction projects can be found in Section 6 and the Financing Plan, Table 9.

Table 8B
Projected Student Capacity
2024 - 2029

Elementary School Surplus/Deficiency

Elementary	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	2,502	2,502	2,502	2,502	2,502	2,502	2,502
Added Capacity							
Total Capacity	2,502	2,502	2,502	2,502	2,502	2,502	2,502
Enrollment	2,378	2,351	2,367	2,428	2,466	2,552	2,631
Surplus (Deficiency)	124	151	135	74	36	(50)	(129)

Middle School Surplus/Deficiency

Middle	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	1,369	1,369	1,369	1,369	1,369	1,369	1,519
Added Capacity						150^	
Total Capacity	1,369	1,369	1,369	1,369	1,369	1,519	1,519
Enrollment	1,273	1,249	1,290	1,283	1,292	1,232	1,237
Surplus (Deficiency)	96	120	79	86	77	287	282

^Replacement and Expansion of Post Middle School

High School Surplus/Deficiency

High	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	2,036^	2,036	2,036	2,036	2,036	2,036	2,036
Added Capacity							
Total Capacity	2,036	2,036	2,036	2,036	2,036	2,036	2,036
Enrollment	1,815	1,850	1,835	1,880	1,905	1,984	1,975
Surplus (Deficiency)	221	186	201	156	131	52	61

^Includes Arlington High School Addition – 256 seats (complete summer 2022)

SECTION 6

CAPITAL FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN

A. *Planned Improvements*

The District has identified several capacity projects within the six year planning period needed to meet growth-related needs:

Permanent Capacity Adding Projects:

- Replacement of Post Middle School with the addition of 150 new student seats.

Temporary Capacity Projects:

- The District may add additional portable facilities during the six year planning period of this CFP.

The District completed in 2022 an addition to Arlington High School that continues to provide capacity to serve growth projected through the six years of this planning period. The District is also starting to plan for elementary capacity solutions as growth continues at that grade level. Future updates to the CFP will include any specifically planned projects.

In the event that planned construction projects do not fully address space needs for student growth and a reduction in interim student housing, the Board could consider various courses of action, including, but not limited to:

- Alternative scheduling options;
- Changes in the instructional model;
- Grade configuration changes;
- Increased class sizes; or
- Modified school calendar.

Funding for planned improvements is typically secured from a number of sources including voter approved bonds, state school construction assistance program funds, and impact fees. Each of these funding sources is discussed in greater detail below.

B. Financing Sources

1. General Obligation Bonds/Capital Levies

Bonds are typically used to fund construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects, and require a 60% voter approval. Capital levies require a 50% voter approval and can be used for certain capital improvement projects. In February 2020, the District presented and the voters approved a \$25.1 million capital levy to its voters to fund, among other things, new classrooms and a science, technology, engineering, art and math (STEAM) workshop wing addition at Arlington High School. In February 2024, the District presented a six-year, \$26.3 million capital levy and \$95.0 million bond measure to its voters. The voters approved the capital levy, which will provide funding for, among other things, roofing, HVAC, and building preservation projects. The bond proposal included funding for the construction of a new middle school to replace Post Middle School. The bond did not achieve the required 60% minimum for passage. Subject to future Board action, the District anticipates presenting a funding proposal during the six years of this planning period, which would include the replacement/expansion of Post Middle School.

2. State School Construction Assistance Funds

State School Construction Assistance funds come from the Common School Construction Fund. The State deposits revenue from the sale of renewable resources from State school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889 into the Common School Account. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate General Obligation Bond funds or the Superintendent of Public Instruction can prioritize projects for funding. School districts may qualify for State School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP) funds for specific capital projects based on a prioritization system. The District is currently eligible for state school construction assistance funds at the 62.00% level for eligible projects. The Construction Cost Allowance, the maximum cost/square foot recognized for SCAP funding, is established in the State's biennial budget and currently is \$375.00/eligible square foot.

3. Impact Fees

Impact fees are a means of supplementing traditional funding sources for construction of public facilities needed to accommodate new development.

C. Six-Year Financing Plan

Table 9 demonstrates how the District intends to fund new construction and improvements to school facilities for the years 2024-2029. The financing components include current capital levy funds, future capital levy/bond revenue, impact fees, and other future sources. Projects and portions of projects which remedy existing deficiencies are not appropriate for impact fee funding. Thus, impact fees will not be used to finance projects or portions of projects which do not add capacity or which remedy existing deficiencies.

Table 9
Capital Facilities Financing Plan

Improvements Adding Permanent Capacity (Costs in Millions)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bonds/ Levy/Other Local	State Match	Impact Fees
Elementary										
Potential Property Purchase							TBD	X		X
Middle School										
Post Middle School Replacement and Expansion		\$15.830	\$15.830	\$15.830	\$15.830	\$15.830	\$79.150	X	X	
High School										
Arlington High School Addition	\$8.186**						\$8.186	X		X

***Project complete summer 2022; funds reflect total costs with some funds expended in previous years.

Improvements Adding Temporary Capacity (Costs in Millions)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bonds/ Levy/Other Local	State Match	Impact Fees
Relocatables – various schools							TBD			X

Noncapacity Improvements (Costs in Millions)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bonds/ Levy/Other Local	State Match	Impact Fees
Various Schools (all grade levels)										
Roofing, HVAC and paving improvements		\$6.334	\$6.492	\$6.654	\$6.821		\$26.301	X		

SECTION 7

SCHOOL IMPACT FEES

The GMA authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands.

A. School Impact Fees in Snohomish County

The Snohomish County General Policy Plan (“GPP”) which implements the GMA sets certain conditions for school districts wishing to assess impact fees:

- The District must provide support data including: an explanation of the calculation methodology, a description of key variables and their computation, and definitions and sources of data for all inputs into the fee calculation.
- Such data must be accurate, reliable and statistically valid.
- Data must accurately reflect projected costs in the Six-Year Financing Plan.
- Data in the proposed impact fee schedule must reflect expected student generation rates from at least the following residential dwelling unit types: single family; multi-family/studio or 1-bedroom; and multi-family/2-bedroom or more.

Snohomish County and the City of Arlington’s impact fee programs require school districts to prepare and adopt CFPs meeting the specifications of the GMA. Impact fees are calculated in accordance with the formula, which are based on projected school facility costs necessitated by new growth and are contained in the District’s CFP.

B. Methodology and Variables Used to Calculate School Impact Fees

Impact fees are calculated utilizing the formula in the Snohomish County Impact Fee Ordinance. The resulting figures are based on the District’s cost per dwelling unit to, as applicable, purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools, and purchase/install relocatable facilities that add interim capacity needed to serve new development.

- The Site Acquisition Cost, School Construction Cost, and Temporary/Portable Facility Cost factors are based on planned or actual costs (on/off site improvements) of growth-related school capacity. Costs vary with each site and each facility. See Table 9, Finance Plan. The “Permanent Facility Square Footage” is used in combination with the “Temporary Facility Square Footage” to apportion the impact fee amounts between permanent and temporary capacity figures. A student factor (or student generation rate) is

used to identify the average cost per dwelling unit by measuring the average number of students generated by each housing type. A description of the student factor methodology is contained in Appendix B. The District obtained for the first time a data set for multi-family dwelling units of one bedroom and less. However, the low rate of students residing in these units does not generate an impact fee.

- Where applicable, credits are applied in the formula to account for State School Construction Assistance funds to be reimbursed to the District and projected future property taxes to be paid by the dwelling unit. See page 18. The tax credit uses the 20-year general obligation bond rate from the Bond Buyer index, the District's current levy rate for bonds, and average assessed value of all residential dwelling units constructed in the District (provided by Snohomish County) by dwelling unit type to determine the corresponding tax credit.

The costs of projects that do not add capacity are not included in the impact fee calculations. Furthermore, because the impact fee formula calculates a "cost per dwelling unit", an identical fee is generated regardless of whether the total new capacity project costs are used in the calculation or whether the District only uses the percentage of the total new capacity project costs allocated to the District's growth-related needs, as demonstrated in Table 8-A. For purposes of this Plan, the District has chosen to use the full project costs in the fee formula. Furthermore, impact fees will not be used to address existing deficiencies. See Table 9 for a complete identification of funding sources.

The following projects are included in the impact fee calculation:

- A capacity addition at Arlington High School (completed in 2022 but continuing to provide capacity for growth)

Please see Tables 9 and 11 for relevant cost data related to each capacity project.

C. Proposed Arlington School District Impact Fee Schedule

Using the variables and formula described in subsection B, impact fees proposed for the District are summarized in Table 10. See also Appendix C.

Table 10
School Impact Fees
2024

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Dwelling Unit
Single Family	\$544
Townhomes/Duplexes	\$441
Multi-Family (2+ Bedroom)	\$0*
Multi-Family (1 Bedroom)	No fee (\$0)

Table 10 reflects a 50% adjustment to the calculated fee as required by local ordinances.

**The fee formula generates an \$88 fee for Multi-Family 2+ units. However, because Snohomish County charges the District an administrative fee per dwelling units that is nearly equal to this amount, the District is foregoing requesting the fee for this unit type.*

Table 11: Impact Fee Variables

Student Generation Factors – Single Family			Average Site Cost/Acre		
Elementary		.265			N/A
Middle		.112			
Senior		.168			
Total		.544			
Student Generation Factors – Townhomes/Duplexes			Temporary Facility Capacity		
Elementary		.208	Capacity		22
Middle		.104	Cost		\$300,000
Senior		.083			
Total		.396	SCAP Funding Credit (OSPI)		
			Current State Match Percentage		62.00%
			Current Construction Cost Allocation (CCA)		\$375.00
Student Generation Factors – Multi Family (2+ Bdrm)			District Average Assessed Value (Snohomish Co.)		
Elementary		.106	Single Family Residence		\$588,440
Middle		.049			
Senior		.060			
Total		.215			
Projected Student Capacity per Facility					
Arlington HS (expansion) - 256			Townhome/Duplex		\$242,411
			Multi Family (1 Bedroom)		\$175,133
			Multi Family (2+ Bedroom)		\$242,411
Required Site Acreage per Facility			SPI Square Footage per Student (WAC 392-343-035)		
Facility Construction/Cost Average			Elementary		90
			Middle		108
Arlington HS (expansion)	\$8,186,671		High		130
			Debt Service Tax Rate for Bonds/Capital Levy (Sno Co.)		
			Current/\$1,000		\$0.8418972
Permanent Facility Square Footage (ASD Inventory)			General Obligation Bond Interest Rate (Bond Buyer)		
Elementary		237,231	Bond Buyer Index (avg 2/24)		3.48%
Middle		162,325			
Senior		273,871			
Total	98.00%	673,427	Developer Provided Sites/Facilities		
			Value		0
			Dwelling Units		0
Temporary Facility Square Footage (ASD Inventory)					
Elementary		7,560			
Middle		3,356			
Senior		839			
Total	2.00%	11,755			
Total Facility Square Footage					
Elementary		244,791			
Middle		165,681			
Senior		274,710			
Total	100.00%	685,182			

APPENDIX A

POPULATION AND ENROLLMENT DATA

OSPI Cohort Projections
Form 1049 (Printed February 2024)

School District	Grade	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Actual	2021 Actual	2022 Actual	2023 Actual	Survival Percentage	2024 Projected	2025 Projected	2026 Projected	2027 Projected	2028 Projected	2029 Projected
Arlington	Kindergarten	386	426	330	335	337	367		328	318	307	297	287	277
Arlington	Grade 1	409	403	392	350	390	367	105.55	387	346	336	324	313	303
Arlington	Grade 2	394	414	360	404	367	411	100.76	370	390	349	339	326	315
Arlington	Grade 3	423	406	385	389	421	378	102.25	420	378	399	357	347	333
Arlington	Grade 4	423	432	375	388	406	440	100.82	381	423	381	402	360	350
Arlington	Grade 5	424	437	405	381	397	415	100.63	443	383	426	383	405	362
Arlington	Grade 6	473	441	424	434	407	415	103.90	431	460	398	443	398	421
Arlington	Grade 7	416	486	398	431	433	421	99.56	413	429	458	396	441	396
Arlington	Grade 8	458	416	464	414	454	437	101.14	426	418	434	463	401	446
Arlington	Grade 9	457	489	402	495	439	474	104.10	455	443	435	452	482	417
Arlington	Grade 10	435	463	468	404	506	435	99.76	473	454	442	434	451	481
Arlington	Grade 11	422	402	430	448	400	498	95.68	416	453	434	423	415	432
Arlington	Grade 12	430	431	406	432	459	408	101.60	506	423	460	441	430	422
Arlington	Total	5,550	5,646	5,239	5,305	5,416	5,466		5,449	5,318	5,259	5,154	5,056	4,955

DISTRICT ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS

Arlington Public Schools
Estimated October Headcount

October Headcount	2024-2025	2025-2026	2026-2027	2027-2028	2028-2029	2029-2030
Kindergarten	372	397	391	399	405	411
1st Grade	376	411	437	431	440	446
2nd Grade	366	379	415	442	435	444
3rd Grade	414	374	388	424	452	445
4th Grade	384	414	375	389	425	453
5th Grade	439	391	422	382	396	433
6th Grade	422	448	399	430	389	403
7th Grade	409	425	451	402	433	392
8th Grade	418	417	433	460	410	442
9th Grade	441	471	471	488	518	462
10th Grade	466	444	474	474	492	522
11th Grade	437	475	452	483	483	501
12th Grade	505	445	483	460	491	491
Total FTE's	5,450	5,491	5,591	5,662	5,768	5,844

Source:

For grades 1-12: District maintained enrollment changes by grade and by month

For grades K: Flo Analytics demographic study 2022–23 to 2031–32 Enrollment Forecasts Report—Arlington Public Schools

APPENDIX B

STUDENT GENERATION FACTOR REVIEW



MEMORANDUM

To: Brian Lewis
Arlington Public Schools
315 N French Ave.
Arlington, WA 98223

Date: April 3, 2024

Project No.: F2116.01.003

From: Alex Brasch
Senior Population Geographer

Re: 2023–24 Student Generation Rates—Arlington Public Schools

At the request of the Arlington Public Schools (APS/District), FLO Analytics (FLO) estimated student generation rates (SGRs) for residential housing units built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022. The SGRs represent the average number of APS K–12 students (October 2023 headcount) residing in new single-family (SF) detached, townhome/duplex, and multifamily (MF) housing units. This memo details the methodology FLO used to create the SGRs and presents the findings by grade group and housing type.

Methods

As described by Snohomish County Planning & Development Services ([2022 Biennial Update to School District Capital Facilities Plans](#)), Snohomish County operates a school impact fee program authorized by RCW 82.02.040 and the Washington State Growth Management Act under Chapter 36.70A RCW. School districts that wish to collect impact fees must provide a school board adopted Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) for review by the County Planning Commission and County Council that fulfills the specifications of state law, the County comprehensive plan, and the County code. One requirement of CFPs is “impact fee support data required by the formula in Chapter 30.66C SCC, including a district-specific analysis to determine the student generation rate component of the fee calculation”.

As defined in Snohomish County code 30.91S.690, “SGRs mean the number of students of each grade span (elementary, middle/jr. high, high school) that a school district determines are typically generated by different dwelling unit types within the district.” In other words, SGRs represent the number of students residing in housing constructed within the most recent five-to-eight-year period by housing type and grade group (i.e., elementary, middle, and high school).

SGR calculations are based on housing information and student residences. FLO obtained and processed the necessary housing data from the Snohomish County Assessor’s Office and Information Technology Department, as well as the Puget Sound Regional Council, including parcel/tax lot boundaries with essential attributes—housing type, number of housing units, and year built. Housing units constructed in 2023 were excluded from the analysis, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023. To link the housing information to APS students, the District provided FLO with 2023–24 headcount enrollment, which FLO geocoded to represent student residences. The student residences were then spatially matched to residential housing built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022.

FLO Analytics | 1-888-847-0299 | www.flo-analytics.com

R:\F2116.01 Arlington Public Schools\003_2024.04.03 SGR Memo\Arlington SD 2023 SGR Memo.docx
© 2024 FLO Analytics

With this combination of information, SGRs were calculated by dividing the number of students per grade group by the total number of housing units for each housing type. SGRs were calculated for the types of housing built in the district within the analysis period; namely, SF detached, townhome/duplex, and MF units. The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: SF attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units in the townhome/duplex category, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household. The MF category includes all structures with five or more housing units and structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked. The housing inventory does not include the information needed to differentiate between MF units with 2+ bedrooms and 1 bedroom or less; therefore, the MF rate includes all MF housing units and only applies to the "Multifamily 2+ bedrooms" category in Snohomish County code.

Results

Table 1 includes the number of housing units and SGRs for SF detached, townhome/duplex, and MF housing types, as well as the number of students by grade group that have addresses matching the housing units. Table 2 includes the unit counts, number of students, and SGRs for individual MF developments. Of the 4,850 students residing within the district, 370 live in the 680 SF detached units that were built between 2015 and 2022, while 38 live in the 96 townhomes/duplexes and 140 live in the 651 MF units built in the same period. On average, each SF detached unit yields 0.544 K–12 students, each townhome/duplex yields 0.396 K–12 students, and each MF unit yields 0.215

Table 1: K–12 Students by Grade Group per Housing Unit Built 2015–2022

Housing Type	Housing Units	Students				SGRs			
		K–5	6–8	9–12	K–12	K–5	6–8	9–12	K–12
Single-family Detached	680	180	76	114	370	0.265	0.112	0.168	0.544
Townhome / Duplex ^(a)	96	20	10	8	38	0.208	0.104	0.083	0.396
Multifamily ^(b)	651	69	32	39	140	0.106	0.049	0.060	0.215

Notes

Housing units built in 2023 are excluded, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023.

(a) The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: single-family attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household.

(b) The multifamily category includes all structures with five or more housing units and structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked. The housing inventory does not include the information needed to differentiate between MF units with 2+ bedrooms and 1 bedroom or less; therefore, the MF rate includes all MF housing units and only applies to the "Multifamily 2+ bedrooms" category in Snohomish County code.

Sources

Arlington Public Schools 2023–24 headcount enrollment, Snohomish County parcels, and Puget Sound Regional Council 2015–2022 new housing inventory.

APPENDIX C

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS									
DISTRICT	Arlington School District								
YEAR	2024								
School Site Acquisition Cost:									
((AcresxCost per Acre)/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor									
	Facility	Cost/	Facility	Student	Student	Student	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
	Acreage	Acre	Capacity	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	15.00	\$ -	550	0.265	0.208	0.106	\$0	\$0	\$0
Middle	20.00	\$ -	907	0.112	0.104	0.048	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	40.00	\$ -	256	0.168	0.083	0.060	\$0	\$0	\$0
						TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0
School Construction Cost:									
((Facility Cost/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor)x(permanent/Total Sq Ft)									
	%Perm/	Facility	Facility	Student	Student	Student	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
	Total Sq.Ft.	Cost	Capacity	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	97.00%		550	0.265	0.208	0.106	\$0	\$0	\$0
Middle	97.00%	\$ -	907	0.112	0.104	0.048	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	97.00%	\$ 8,186,671	256	0.168	0.083	0.060	\$5,211	\$2,575	\$1,861
						TOTAL	\$5,211	\$2,575	\$1,861
Temporary Facility Cost:									
((Facility Cost/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor)x(Temporary/Total Square Feet)									
	%Temp/	Facility	Facility	Student	Student	Student	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
	Total Sq.Ft.	Cost	Size	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	3.00%	\$ -	25	0.265	0.208	0.106	\$0	\$0	\$0
Middle	3.00%	\$ -	30	0.112	0.104	0.048	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	3.00%	\$ -	32	0.168	0.083	0.060	\$0	\$0	\$0
						TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0
State School Construction Funding Assistance Credit:									
CCA X SPI Square Footage X District Funding Assistance % X Student Factor									
	CCA	SPI	Funding	Student	Student	Student	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
		Footage	Asst %	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	\$ 375.00	90	0.00%	0.265	0.208	0.106	\$0	\$0	\$0
Middle	\$ 375.00	108	0.00%	0.112	0.104	0.048	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	\$ 375.00	130	0.00%	0.168	0.083	0.060	\$0	\$0	\$0
						TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0
Tax Payment Credit:							SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Average Assessed Value							\$588,440	\$242,111	\$242,411
Capital Bond Interest Rate							3.48%	3.48%	3.48%
Net Present Value of Average Dwelling							\$4,898,760	\$2,015,573	\$2,018,070
Years Amortized							10	10	10
Property Tax Levy Rate							\$0.84	\$0.84	\$0.84
Present Value of Revenue Stream							\$4,124	\$1,693	\$1,695
Fee Summary:				Single	Townhome	Multi-			
				Family	Duplex	Family (2+)			
Site Acquisition Costs				\$0	\$0	\$0			
Permanent Facility Cost				\$5,211	\$2,575	\$1,861			
Temporary Facility Cost				\$0	\$0	\$0			
State SCFA Credit				\$0	\$0	\$0			
Tax Payment Credit				(\$4,124)	(\$1,693)	(\$1,695)			
FEE (AS CALCULATED)				\$1,087	\$882	\$166			
FEE (AS DISCOUNTED)				\$544	\$441	\$83			



ALDERWOOD MIDDLE SCHOOL

2024 - 2029

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

APPROVED
8/27/2024



**Edmonds
School District**

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN EDMONDS SCHOOL DISTRICT

SCHOOL BOARD MEMBERS

Nancy Katims, President

Director District 5

Thom Garrard

Director District 4

Carin Chase, Legislative Representative

Director District 1

Hawk Cramer

Director District 3

Keith Smith

Director District 2

**SUPERINTENDENT
Dr. Rebecca Miner**

Adopted by Board of Directors, August 27, 2024

For information on the Edmonds School District Capital Facilities Plan
contact Capital Projects at (425) 431-7163.

This document is also available at:
<https://www.edmonds.wednet.edu/our-district/capital-projects/capital-facilities-plan>

EDMONDS SCHOOL DISTRICT CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1 — INTRODUCTION	5
PURPOSE OF THE CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN	
OVERVIEW OF EDMONDS SCHOOL DISTRICT	
PLANNING OBJECTIVES	
SECTION 2 — STUDENT ENROLLMENT TRENDS AND PROJECTIONS	7
HISTORIC TRENDS	
FORECAST METHOD	
PROJECTED STUDENT ENROLLMENT 2023-24 to 2032-33	
STUDENT GENERATION RATES	
SECTION 3 — DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL FACILITY STANDARDS	9
EDUCATIONAL FACILITY CLASS SIZE AND CAPACITY FOR ELEMENTARY	
SCHOOLS EDUCATIONAL FACILITY CLASS SIZE AND CAPACITY FOR	
MIDDLE & HIGH SCHOOLS MINIMUM LEVELS OF SERVICE	
Elementary Schools, grades K-6	
Middle Schools, grades 7-8	
High Schools, grades 9-12	
SECTION 4 — CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY	12
SCHOOLS	
PROGRAM IMPROVEMENTS AND POPULATION GROWTH	
MEASURES OF CAPACITY	
RELOCATABLE CLASSROOM FACILITIES (PORTABLES)	
LAND INVENTORY	
SECTION 5 — PROJECTED FACILITY NEEDS	19
FACILITY NEEDS THROUGH 2044	
SECTION 6 — PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS	20
CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS (SIX-YEAR PLAN)	
RELOCATABLE CLASSROOM FACILITIES (PORTABLES) – (SIX-YEAR	
PLAN) SITE ACQUISITION AND IMPROVEMENTS	
SECTION 7 — CAPITAL FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN	22
GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS	
STATE CONSTRUCTION ASSISTANCE PROGRAM (SCAP)	
SALES AND GROUND LEASE OF DISTRICT SURPLUS PROPERTY	
SECTION 8 — IMPACT FEES	23
APPENDIX A – DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE	
APPENDIX B – SNOHOMISH COUNTY GENERAL POLICY PLAN	

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 — Enrollment History	7
Figure 2 — Inventory of School & Facility Locations	13

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 — Comparison of Student Enrollment Projections Edmonds School District 2023-2029	7
Table 2 — Projected Student Enrollment by Grade Span Edmonds School District 2023-2029	8
Table 3 — Student/Population Ratios	8
Table 4 — Enrollment Estimates	9
Figure 2 — Inventory of School & Facility Locations	13
Table 5 — Elementary School Capacity Inventory	16
Table 6 — Middle School Capacity Inventory	17
Table 7 — High School Capacity Inventory	17
Table 8 — Relocatable Classroom Inventory	18
Table 9 — Inventory of Support Facilities	18
Table 10 — Inventory of Undeveloped Sites	19
Table 11 — Inventory of Developed Sites	19
Table 12 — Existing and Future Capacity: 2024-2044	20
Table 13 — Construction Projects	21
Table 14 — Capital Construction Finance Detail	21

SECTION 1 – INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

This Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) is intended to provide Edmonds School District No. 15 (District), Snohomish County (County), other jurisdictions, and the community with a description of facilities needed to accommodate projected student enrollment at acceptable levels of service over the next twenty years (2044). It also meets the State Growth Management Act (GMA) planning requirements, the County's GMA Comprehensive Plan, and the County Code (SCC 30.66C). A more detailed schedule and financing programs are also included describing capital improvements over the next six years (2024-2030).

Per the Growth Management Act (GMA), this CFP contains the following elements:

- Minimum level of service (LOS) and how the District is meeting that LOS
- An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by the District, showing the locations and capacities of those facilities.
- A forecast of the future needs for capital facilities owned and operated by the District.
- A description of the forecasting methodology and justification for its consistency with the Office of Financial Management population forecasts used in the county's comprehensive plan.
- Inventory of Existing Facilities
- The proposed locations and capacities of expanded or new capital facilities Six-year plan for financing capital facilities.

Cities within the District include Brier, Edmonds, Lynnwood, Mountlake Terrace, and Woodway. Upon adoption of this CFP by Snohomish County, each City may be asked to adopt it as well.

Section 8 of this CFP addresses development fees, mitigation, and other funding sources from developers. Impact fees are not anticipated during this 2024-2029 planning period. Should available funding fall short of meeting existing capital facility needs, the District will, first, assess its ability to meet its Planning Objectives (See below) and Educational Service Standards (Section 3) by reconfiguring schools or attendance boundaries or other methods discussed in this report.

If those strategies are unsuccessful, GMA rules allow the County to reassess the Land Use Element of its comprehensive plan to ensure that land use, development, and the CFP are coordinated and consistent. This may include changes to the Plan to reduce lands available for residential development and reductions in student enrollments. The County's update of its Plan is due in late 2024.

If impact fees are deemed desirable at some point, the District may request an amendment to this CFP during the 2024-26 biennium.

Overview of Edmonds School District

The District is the largest school district in the County and the eleventh largest of Washington's 295 public school systems. The District covers an area of 36 square miles. It currently serves a total student population (headcount, including Kindergarten) of 19,932¹, as of October 2023 with twenty schools serving grades K-6; two schools serving grades K-8; four schools serving grades 7-8; five schools serving grades 9-12; one Alternative Learning Experience (ALE) family partnership program school for grades K-12; one e-learning program; one District program for students with severe disabilities; one school for the Regional Visually-impaired Program; one K-8 serving the Regional Deaf and Hard of Hearing Program; and one high school serving the Regional Deaf and Hard of Hearing Program. The grade configuration of schools has changed over time in response to the community's desires, needs of the educational program, and variability in financial resources available for staffing classrooms. These changes are made after a process that allows for community participation, with ultimate approval by the Board of Directors.

Planning Objectives

The objective of this Capital Facilities Plan is to assess existing school facility capacities, forecast future facility needs within six years, approximate twenty-year planning horizons, and articulate a facility and financing plan to address those needs. This CFP replaces and supersedes the District's 2022 Capital Facilities Plan. The current projection cycle is 2024 to 2029.

The process of delivering education within the District is not a static function. The educational program changes and adapts in response to the changing conditions within the learning community. This CFP must be viewed as a work-in-progress that responds to the changing educational program to assist in decision-making.

The District monitors proposed new residential growth (e.g. the County Plan update) for impacts and implications to its facility planning and educational programs. The District comments, as needed, on specific proposed new developments, to ensure appropriate provisions for students are factored into the development proposal.


As the Urban Growth Area builds out, changes may require the District to modify its facilities (i.e., the location, design, etc.), and its educational program (i.e., school year, grade configuration, etc.). Changes would be made in consultation with the community and approved by the Board of Directors.

¹ Headcount differs from FTE in that the figure reflects the total number of students served by District educational programming, whereas, FTE is Full-Time Equivalent and adjusts for students who attend part-time. Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction Enrollment Reporting Handbook, 2022-23

SECTION 2 – STUDENT ENROLLMENT TRENDS AND PROJECTIONS

Historic Trends

Figure 1 - Enrollment History



Student enrollment in the District reached its highest levels during the late 1960s and early 1970s, with 28,076 students attending District schools in 1970. Since the establishment of full-day kindergarten in 2013-14, enrollment has been approximately 20,000 students. Enrollment has been relatively stable except for recent declines due to COVID-19. Enrollment declined by approximately 700 full-time equivalent students between 2019-20 and 2022-23. Enrollment for 2023-24 is slightly higher than 2022-23. Enrollment for 2022-23 was 19,688.

Future Forecasts

The District previously engaged FloAnalytics to provide 10-year enrollment forecasts that were utilized in long-range facilities planning. For this Capital Facilities Plan, the District has updated those projections based on current year results as shown in Table 1. Table 2 shows the estimated grade level enrollments based on the projection in Table 1. Refer to Section 6 for other planned improvements.

**Table 1 — Comparison of Student Enrollment Projections
Edmonds School District 2023-2029**

Source	2023	2024*	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Actual	19,688	19,817					
Projection			19,789	19,810	19,766	19,847	19,866

* = March 2024

**Table 2 — Projected Student Enrollment by Grade Span
Edmonds School District 2023-2029**

Grade Span	Actual		Projected					Change 2023-29	% Change
	2023	2024*	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029		
Elementary (K-6)	10,460	10,582	10,590	10,572	10,578	10,616	10,711	251	2.40%
Middle School (7-8)	3,022	2,966	2,946	2,950	2,935	2,950	2,936	-86	-2.80%
High School (9-12)	6,206	6,269	6,253	6,288	6,253	6,281	6,219	13	.20%
Total	19,688	19,817	19,789	19,810	19,766	19,847	19,866	178	.90%

* = March 2024

2044 Student Enrollment Projection

School districts monitor long-range population growth trends as a general guide to future enrollment forecasting. While the accuracy of future projections diminishes the further out into the future they go, they do provide some indication of what buildings may be needed and what future land purchases may be needed as new residential development is built within their attendance areas. These forecasts are reviewed during each biennial CFP update and adjusted accordingly.

In 2024, Snohomish County adopted future population estimates through 2044 as part of its Growth Management Act (GMA) responsibilities and the Vision 2050 programs organized through the Puget Sound Regional Council (PSRC). The County and its cities must update their comprehensive plan, in 2024. The planning horizon year for that update is 2044.

Area Population Estimates

The County's population estimate was used for the 2044 long-range enrollment estimate, where assumptions are made of what proportion of the official population forecast will be students.

For future planning purposes, the District assumes that the trend will decrease from the forecasted 2029 of 9.5% and continue to decrease to 8.1% by 2044. Applying that ratio to the County's official 2044 population estimate of 263,675, the enrollment estimate for that year is 21,339 (Table 3).

Table 3 — Student/Population Ratios

	Population	Enrollment	Ratio
2023	185,354	19,688	10.6%
2029	209,794	19,866	9.5%
2044	263,675	21,339	8.1%

Student Generation Rates

Student Generation Rates (SGRs) are the average number of students by grade span (elementary, middle, and high school) typically generated by housing type. Student Generation Rates are calculated based on a survey of all new residential units permitted by the jurisdictions within the school district during the most recent five to eight-year period. For This CFP estimates of rates were provided in a previous demographer's report at .36 students per Single Family home and .20 students per Multi Family home.

The purpose of SGRs in the Capital Facilities Plan is primarily to assist districts with the calculation of school impact fees. The Edmonds School District does not charge impact fees currently. However, based on future growth in the District, this may change. Updated student generation numbers will be provided at that time.

SECTION 3 – DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL FACILITY STANDARDS

Table 4 – Enrollment Estimates			
Grade Span	2024 Actual Student Headcount *	2029 Projected Student Headcount	2044 Projected Headcount
Elementary (K-6)	10,582	10,711	11,497
Middle School (7-8)	2,966	2,936	3,170
High School (9-12)	6,269	6,219	6,672
Total	19,817	19,866	21,339

* = March 2024

School facility and student capacity needs are dictated by the types and amounts of space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program. The educational program standards that typically drive facility space needs include grade configuration, optimum facility size, class size, educational program offerings, and current understanding of educational best practices, as well as classroom utilization, scheduling requirements, and use of relocatable classroom facilities (portables).

Program factors, as well as government mandates, funding, or community expectations, affect how classroom space is used. The District's basic educational program is a fully integrated curriculum offering instruction to meet Federal, State, and District mandates. In addition, the District's basic educational program is supplemented by special programs, such as music, intervention programs, and preschool programs that are developed in response to local community choices.

Special programs require classroom space that may reduce the overall capacity of

buildings. Some students, for example, leave their regular classroom for a short period to receive instruction in special programs. Newer schools within the District have been designed to accommodate most of these programs. Older schools, however, often require space modifications to accommodate special programs, and, in some circumstances, these modifications may reduce the classroom capacity and, therefore, the student capacity of these schools.

Grade configurations have changed over time in response to desires from the community and to provide additional learning opportunities for students. New program offerings continue to evolve in response to research. It is expected that changes will continue in the type of educational program opportunities and grade clustering offered by the District. Refer to Section 6 for more information regarding planned improvements moving 6th grade to middle school.

The total curriculum program, including both the basic educational program and local-choice educational programs, are hereafter referred to as the *total local educational program*. This program may cause variations in student capacity between schools.

District educational program standards will undoubtedly change in the future as a result of changes in the program year, funding, special programs, class sizes, grade span configurations, and use of new technology, as well as other physical aspects of the school facilities. The school capacity inventory will be reviewed periodically and adjusted for any changes to the educational program standards. These changes will also be reflected in future updates of this CFP.

The District educational program standards, as they relate to class size and facility design capacity, are outlined below for the elementary, middle, and high school grade levels.

Educational Facility Class Size and Design Capacity Standards for Elementary Schools

The District's student-to-classroom teacher ratio for staffing purposes for grades K-3 is 20 students and 28.2 students for grades 4-6.

Some local-choice educational opportunities for students will be provided in self-contained classrooms designated as resource or program-specific classrooms (e.g. computer labs, music rooms, band rooms, remediation rooms, and learning assistance programs).

Current capacity for new elementary schools is based upon a District-wide Educational Specification which assigns a range of approximately 21-28 classrooms for K-6 or K-8 basic educational programs and two or more classrooms for self-contained resource or program-specific activities.

The actual capacity of individual schools may be lower than the maximum capacity depending on the local educational program offered at each school.

The application of these classroom staffing ratios and capacity standards to the District's current educational program causes average classroom utilization to be approximately 90%.

Educational Facility Class Size and Design Capacity Standards for Middle and High Schools

The District utilizes available teaching stations in our secondary schools from between the rates of 83% to over 100% with a class size average of 27 students in grades 7 through 12. At 83%, utilization, a teacher's classroom is open one period without students for teacher planning. As the building increases in student population and fewer classrooms can be freed up during the day for planning, higher utilization percentages are seen. In

the most difficult cases, the building is over capacity and is using spaces not originally designed for instruction. In the event of overcrowding, the District may remediate by using facilities differently or continue adding relocatable classrooms.

Actual capacity and actual enrollment of individual schools may vary. Actual capacity may be lower than the design might suggest depending on the total local educational programs offered at each school and the size and configuration of older schools. Likewise, actual capacity may be higher than the design capacity based on the design of the District's educational program and the length of the educational day. These standards are used in Section 4 to determine existing and future capacities.

Minimum Levels of Service

RCW 36.70A.020 requires that public facilities and services necessary to support new housing developments shall be adequate to serve the development at the time the development is available for occupancy and use without decreasing current service levels below locally established minimum standards.

The minimum level of service is not the District's desired level for providing education. These "minimum levels of service" in the Edmonds School District are established as an average class size no larger than the following:

Average Class Size Maximums:

24 Kindergarten

25 Grades 1-3 General Education

26 Grade 4 General Education

28 Grade 5-6 General Education

The weighted average of these values for a K-6 elementary school is 25.84.

30 Grades 7-8 General Education

31 Grades 9-12 General Education

2022-23 Actual Class Size Average – based on OSPI's Report Card for 2022-23

21 Grades K-6 General Education

25 Grades 7-8 General Education

21 Grades 9-12 General Education

SECTION 4 – CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY

The purpose of the facilities inventory is to establish a baseline for determining what facilities will be required to accommodate future demand (student enrollment) at acceptable or established levels of service. This section provides an inventory of capital facilities owned and operated by the District including schools, relocatable classrooms (portables), undeveloped land, developed properties, and support facilities. School facility capacity was inventoried based on the space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program standards for class size and design capacity (see Section 3). A map showing the locations of the District's developed educational facilities is provided in Figure 2.


Schools

Edmonds School District currently operates:

- Twenty schools serving grades K-6;
- Two schools serving grades K-8;
- Four schools serving grades 7-8;
- Five schools serving grades 9-12;
- One ALE Family Partnership program serving K-12;
- One e-learning Program;
- One visually impaired program;
- One preK-8 Deaf and Hard of Hearing program;
- One High School Deaf and Hard of Hearing Program;
- One early learning program serving ages 2-5;
- One former elementary school and one former middle school as reserve campuses for schools displaced due to construction or renovation.













Edmonds offers a District program, Maplewood, for severely developmentally and physically challenged students 5 to 21 years of age. Additionally, the district offers an inclusive preschool program. The Edmonds Preschool program is open to all children residing within the district boundaries between the ages of two to five years old by August 31. The main programming site is located at the Alderwood Early Childhood Center (AECC) in Lynnwood, with locations at Lynndale Elementary and Chase Lake Elementary schools.

Figure 2 - Inventory of School & Facility Locations



**Figure 3
Inventory of School and
Facility Locations**

Edmonds School District

	District Support Sites	90 - ESC - Educational Services Center		Elementary Schools		Middle Schools
		92 - Warehouse 93 - Stadium 101 - New Transportation Maintenance				
	Undeveloped Parcels	97 - Site 28 98 - Site 32 100 - Chase Lake Bog		High Schools		Early Childhood
		68 - Former Alderwood Middle 106 - Former Lynnwood High School (leased) 108 - Meadowdale Playfields 109 - Former Woodway Elementary				
	Developed Parcels			Elementary Schools		Middle Schools
	Undeveloped Parcels			High Schools		Early Childhood

64 - Meadowdale Middle
69 - Brier Terrace Middle
70 - College Place Middle
99 - Alderwood Middle

82 - Mountlake Terrace High
83 - Meadowdale High
85 - Lynnwood High
86 - Edmonds-Woodway High
87 - Scriber Lake High

7 - Alderwood Early Childhood Center

1 - Beverly Elementary
2 - Meadowdale Elementary
4 - Lynndale Elementary
5 - Seaview Elementary
6 - Maplewood Center (K-12)
8 - Sherwood Elementary
9 - Westgate Elementary
13 - Mountlake Terrace Elementary
14 - Terrace Park School
15 - Brier Elementary
16 - Cedar Way Elementary
20 - Chase Lake Community School
22 - Hazelwood Elementary
23 - Cedar Valley Community School
24 - Lynnwood Elementary
25 - Spruce Elementary
27 - Martha Lake Elementary
30 - Oak Heights Elementary
33 - Hilltop Elementary
35 - Edmonds Elementary
36 - College Place Elementary
39 - Madrona School (K-8)
40 - Maplewood Parent Cooperative (K-8)
77 - Edmonds Heights K-12

Program Improvements and Population Growth

The District continues to review the changing nature of educational programs and classroom needs. The traditional use of a classroom count to calculate building capacity has been limited in scope. Classrooms alone, for instance, do not include small group instructional areas, the library, or gymnasiums. Educational best practices have evolved to allow for more specialized support which amends the traditional classroom model through the use of smaller instructional spaces to provide enhanced opportunities for learning. This process has been ongoing for many years and is a fluid and flexible model to enhance the quality and amount of small group or one-on-one time with students. The district currently uses 44 relocatables at the campuses with capacity needs. While this is a response to total additional space requirements, the assignment of how and what grade levels will use these remains flexible.

In this edition of the Capital Facilities Plan, capacity figures have been refined to mirror current educational practice.

Review of Capacity

The OSPI calculates school capacity by dividing the gross square footage of a building by a standard square footage per student (e.g., 90 square feet per elementary student, 117 square feet per middle school student, and 130 square feet per high school student)². This method is used by the State as a simple and uniform approach to determining school capacity for purposes of allocating available State Construction Assistance Funds to school districts for new school construction. However, this method is not considered to be an accurate reflection of the actual capacity required to accommodate the adopted educational program of the Edmonds School District.

For this plan, school capacity was determined by applying the District's educational facility standards for class size and design capacity to individual schools. It is this capacity calculation that is used to establish the District's maximum capacity and determine future capacity based on projected student enrollment.

² WAC 392-343-035 Space Allocation

Table 5 — Elementary School Capacity Inventory

Elementary School	Site Size Acres	Bldg. Area (Sq. Ft.)	Year Built or Last Remodel	Total Class Rooms	Special Program class ^{1.}	Max Student Capacity	Maximum Program Capacity 90%	Future Capacity Improvements ***	Meets. Level of Service
Alderwood	8.9	36,869	1965	20		n/a*	n/a*		
Beverly	9.1	48,020	1988	29	7	517	465		21.2
Brier	10.0	43,919	1989	25	6	447	402		21.2
Cedar Valley	22.1	64,729	2001	25	4	494	444		21.2
Cedar Way	9.4	53,819	1993	31	5	611	550		21.2
Chase Lake	10.3	57,697	2000	25	7	423	381		21.2
College Place	9.0	48,180	1968	27	4	541	486	10	21.2
Edmonds	8.4	34,726	1966	20	4	376	338		21.2
Hazelwood	10.3	51,453	1987	27	8	447	402		21.2
Hilltop	9.8	49,723	1967	29	5	564	508		21.2
Lynndale	10.0	69,045	2016	27	4	541	486		21.2
Lynnwood	8.9	81,405	2018	29	5	564	508		21.2
Madrona K-8	26.9	78,930	2018	28		680	612		
Maplewood K-8	7.4	76,554	2002	27		656	590		
Martha Lake	10.0	50,753	1993	28	7	494	444		21.2
Meadowdale	9.1	57,111	2000	25	5	470	423		21.2
Mountlake Terrace	8.0	67,379	2018	25	5	470	423		21.2
Oak Heights	9.4	49,355	1966	33	7	611	550		21.2
Seaview	8.3	49,420	1997	22	4	423	381		21.2
Sherwood	13.6	43,284	1966	25	4	494	444		21.2
Spruce	8.9	80,000	2022	32	5	635	571		21.2
Terrace Park	15.3	71,664	2002	34	3	729	656		21.2
Westgate	8.1	44,237	1989	26	6	470	423	30	21.2
Woodway	13.1	37,291	1962	20	2	n/a**	n/a**		
Totals	264.3	1,345,563		639	107	11,653	10,488		21.2

Source: Facilities Operations Department, Edmonds School District, OSPI

1. Excluded special programs: special education

District Notes:

* Alderwood Early Childhood Center serves Pre-K developmentally challenged children and is not included In total program capacity calculations for K-12 purposes.

** Woodway is a reserve campus.

*** Future improvements are currently planned by the District using 2024 Bond Funding: for Oak Heights, College Place, and Westgate (See Discussion of Six-year Plan and Table 12).

Table 6 — Middle School Capacity Inventory

Middle School	Site Size (Acres)	Building Area (Sq. Ft.)	Year Built or Last Remodel	Teaching Stations	Special Program class ^{1.}	Max Student Capacity **	Maximum Program Capacity 83%	Future Capacity Improvements ***	Meets. Level of Service
Alderwood	18.9	114,400	2016	38	1	999	829.17		22.4
Brier Terrace	22.7	89,258	1969	38	2	972	806.76		22.4
College Place	18.7	87,031	1970	40	1	1053	873.99	235	22.4
Meadowdale	20.7	102,925	2011	35	1	918	761.94		22.4
Madrona – 7 & 8*						150	125		
Maplewood–7 & 8*						120	100		
New Middle School 6-8	19.3	TBD		TBD		0	0	1000	
Totals	100.3	393,614		151	5	4,212	3,497		22.4

Source: Facilities Operations Department, Edmonds School

1. Excluded special programs: special education

District Notes:

*Madrona K-8: Grades 7 and 8

*Maplewood K-8: Grades 7 and 8

**Maximum Capacity equals 83% utilization of total seats.

***Future improvements are currently planned by the District using 2024 Bond Funding (See Discussion of Six-year Plan and Table 12.)

Table 7 — High School Capacity Inventory

High School	Site Size (acres)	Building Area (Sq. Ft.)	Year Built or Last Remodel	Teaching Stations	Special Program class ^{1.}	Max. Student Capacity	Max. Program Capacity 83%	Meets. Level of Service
Edmonds-Woodway	28.5	208,912	1998	64*	2	1,674	1,389	22.4
Lynnwood	40.5	217,597	2009	64	2	1,674	1,389	22.4
Meadowdale	40.0	197,306	1998	59*	1	1,566	1,300	22.4
Mountlake Terrace	33.2	211,950	1991	64*	4	1,620	1,345	22.4
Scriber Lake**	39.00	73,965	2003	18		395	328	
Totals	181.2	909,730		269	9	6,929	5,751	22.4

Source: Facilities Operations Department, Edmonds School District

1. Excluded special programs: special education

District Notes:

* Capacity may vary depending on the educational program or schedules. These models assume that teachers use their classrooms one period a day for planning and preparation. If necessary, all classrooms could be used for all periods.

**Edmonds Heights and Scriber Lake High programs are housed at Woodway Campus. Scriber Lake occupies buildings F and H, and shares buildings C and E.

Relocatable Classroom Facilities (Portables)

Temporary classrooms provide students with supplemental housing and may be on campus for extended periods. They may be used additionally to temporarily house students pending the construction of permanent classrooms or provide non-disruptive space for music programs. The usable life of a portable is 30 years.

As of September 1, 2024, the District has 46 relocatable classrooms, however only 44 currently are being used for educational purposes. They help with added enrollment, K-3 class reductions, and all-day Kindergarten. Most portables are less than 30 years old; some are over 30 years, but still useable. There is no immediate need for replacements.

Table 8 – Relocatable Classroom Inventory

School	Single Unit	Double Unit	Available Classroom	Student Capacity
Alderwood Middle	2		2	48
Beverly Elementary	1	2	5	120
Cedar Way Elementary	5		5	120
College Place Elementary		1	2	48
Edmonds-Woodway High*	3		2*	48
Former Alderwood Middle		1	2	48
Hazelwood Elementary	1		1	24
Hilltop Elementary	3	1	5	120
Martha Lake	2		2	48
Meadowdale High	2	1	4	96
Oak Heights Elementary				
Sherwood Elementary	6		6	144
Terrace Park	2		2	48
Westgate Elementary	2	1	4	96
Woodway Elementary	4		4	96
Woodway Campus*	4		2*	48
Totals	37	7	46	1,152

* Two relocatable classrooms at Woodway Campus and one at Stadium are used for non-educational purposes.

Inventory of Support Facilities

In addition to schools, the District owns and operates additional facilities that provide operational support functions to the schools. An inventory of these facilities is provided in Table 9.

Table 9 – Inventory of Support Facilities

Facility Name	Building Area (Sq. Ft.)	Site Size (Acres)
Administration Center (ESC)	57,400	5.0
Maintenance/Transportation	65,000	19.6
Warehouse	9,600	3.4
District Stadium	7,068	6.0

Source: Facilities Operations Department, Edmonds School District

Land Inventory

Undeveloped Sites

The District owns three undeveloped parcels varying in size from 7.5 to 9.5 acres. An inventory of the undeveloped parcels (sites) owned by the District is summarized in Table 10.

Table 10 – Inventory of Undeveloped Sites

School District Site Description	Acres	Status	Jurisdiction	Zoning
Chase Lake Bog	7.5	Wetlands South of CLE	Edmonds	Residential R8400
Site 28	9.5	Vacant South of LHS	Sno Co	Residential R9600
Site 32	9.4	Vacant North of BEV	Sno Co	Residential R8400

Developed Sites

Table 11 provides an inventory of District-owned sites that are currently developed or planned for uses other than schools, and under long-term ground leases. Each lease retains a recapture provision that would allow the District to reclaim the property if needed for school capacity needs.

Table 11 – Inventory of Developed Sites

Facility/Site	Acres	Status	Jurisdiction	Zoning
Former LHS	40.1	Leased	Lynnwood	Mixed Use Commercial
Meadowdale Playfields	21	Leased	Lynnwood	Public
Former Alderwood Middle School	18.9	Held in reserve	Lynnwood	RMM
Former Woodway Elementary School	13.1	Held in reserve	Edmonds	RS6000

Source: Facilities Operations Department, Edmonds School District

SECTION 5 – PROJECTED FACILITY NEEDS

Facility Needs Through 2044

Projected permanent student capacity was derived by subtracting projected student enrollment for each of the six years in the forecast period from the existing 2024 school Maximum Program Capacity as shown in Tables 5-7 with totals used in the calculation highlighted in yellow. As described above, the District counts relocatable (portable) classrooms (Table 8) in its facilities planning. The figures in Table 12 do not include those temporary capacity figures.

Table 12 — Existing and Future Capacity: 2024-2044

	2024* Enrollment	Over Capacity/ (Under Capacity)	2029 Projected	Over Capacity/ (Under Capacity)	2044 Enrollment	Over Capacity/ (Under Capacity)
Elementary (K-6)	10,582	94	10,711	223	11,497	1,009
Middle School (7-8)	2,966	-531	2,936	-561	3,170	-327
High School (9-12)	6,269	518	6,219	468	6,672	921
Total	19,817	81	19,866	130	21,339	1,603

* = March 2024

The District has schools that are 50 years old and need replacing, modernizing, or rebuilding within the long-range (2044) planning horizon. When construction funding opportunities arise, the District may seek voter approval for capital construction funds and use revenues from real estate taxes.

Due to all-day kindergarten, class reduction, and increasing enrollment, student capacity has seen a significant impact from previous years, putting capacity at all three grade levels in negative territory.

SECTION 6 – PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS

Starting in 2020 the District has tried to fund capital improvements. A 2020 Technology/Capital Levy passed. That Levy totaled \$96M; \$34.87M was capital-related. In 2021 another Capital Levy passed totaling \$180M (\$70M for Oak Heights, \$45M for Spruce Phase 2, and \$65M for Renewal and Upgrade projects).

In 2024 both Proposition 1, a \$594M Bond, and Proposition 2, 120M Technology/Capital Levy passed with 40M funding Capital Construction. The bond funds the transfer of Oak Heights from Levy-funded to Bond-funded, College Place, and Westgate Elementary Schools Replacements, College Place Middle School Replacement, the addition of a new middle school, and multi-site renewal & upgrade projects.

Construction Projects - (Six-Year Plan)

With the passage of 2024 Construction Bonds and Levies, the District will see construction throughout the District over the 2024 to 2029 period. The Bond will fund the 6th-grade move to middle school which requires adding significant capacity at the middle school grade levels. It also relieves overcrowding at the elementary grade levels. The 2023 Facilities Advisory Committee made recommendations to the Board of Directors based on prior Bond Committee work and updated demographer information. The District's Board of Directors approved a variation on the Facility Advisory Committee's recommendations to replace three elementary schools, and a middle school, add a new middle school, and upgrade or replace systems at multiple sites. Planning for a secondary alternative program is also in progress.

Table 13 – Construction Projects

2024 Bond Projects	Estimated Completion Date	Student Capacity Change	Estimated Project Cost
New Middle School	2028	1000	\$150,000,000
New College Place Middle	2028	235	\$140,000,000
New Oak Heights Elementary ¹⁻³	2026	0	\$85,000,000
New College Place Elementary ¹⁻³	2028	10	\$70,000,000
New Westgate Elementary ¹⁻³	2029	30	\$85,000,000
Renewal & Upgrade Projects (Multi-Site)	2024-2028	0	\$35,000,000

1. New Oak Heights will have a capacity of 620 students. Other replacement elementary schools will have a capacity of 550 students.

2. Relocatable classrooms are excluded from the calculation of existing capacity.

3. Boundary Adjustment will affect capacity change. Precise numbers to be determined.

Table 14 – Capital Construction Finance Detail

	Budget	Funds	State Construction Assistance*	Other Property Revenue
Completed Spruce Phase 2	45M	2021 Levy	TBD	TBD
New Middle School	150M	2024 Bond	Not eligible	TBD
New College Place Middle	140M	2024 Bond	TBD	TBD
New College Place Elementary	70M	2024 Bond	TBD	TBD
New Oak Heights Elementary	85M	2024 Bond	TBD	TBD
New Westgate Elementary	85M	2024 Bond	TBD	TBD
Renewal & Upgrade Projects (Multi-Site)	75M	2024 Levy 2021 Levy	Not eligible	TBD

These construction projects will allow the District to continue to have sufficient capacity at the elementary, middle, and high school levels to house projected student enrollment through the year 2029 and to update existing classroom and building spaces to assist in achieving its total local educational program objectives. The District would adjust attendance boundaries to accommodate the 6th-grade move to middle school and balance enrollment across all the schools.

Relocatable Classroom Facilities (Portables) - (Six-Year Plan)

Forty-four relocatable classrooms are currently in use at school sites throughout the District, providing additional capacity for increased enrollment, full-day kindergarten, and reduced class size at the primary grade level. Future enrollment fluctuations may require these units to be moved to schools needing program capacity changes annually.

Site Acquisition and Improvements

The District currently owns enough school sites to accommodate projected student housing needs through the year 2044.

Future Lightrail Impacts and rezoning

The District has yet to see the impacts from the light rail station opening in Lynnwood at the center of the District and the Ashway Park and Ride at the north end of the District. The City of Lynnwood and Snohomish County have rezoned these areas for increased densification. With decreasing birthrates, we have not planned on student generation rates exceeding our capacity. However, this could quickly change.

SECTION 7 – CAPITAL FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN

Funding of school facilities is secured from several sources, with the major source being voter-approved bonds. Other sources may include State construction assistance funds, development mitigation fees, proceeds from real-estate leases, and surplus property sales. Each of these funding sources is discussed in greater detail below.

General Obligation Bonds

Bonds are typically used to fund the construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects. A 60% voter approval is required to pass a bond. Bonds are then retired through a collection of property taxes. Voters in the District passed a capital construction bond of \$594M in February 2024, and \$275 million in February 2014.

State Construction Assistance Program (SCAP)

State Construction Assistance Program funds (SCAP) come from the Common School Construction Fund. School districts may qualify for SCAP funds for specific capital projects based on an eligibility system. State construction assistance funds are generated from a complex formula based on many factors. Presently, the State provides construction assistance funds for Edmonds School District projects at a rate of 38.59% of **eligible** costs, which is a fraction of actual costs.

State Construction Assistance Program funds can only be generated by school construction projects. Site acquisition and improvements are not eligible to receive SCAP funds from the State. Because the availability of State construction assistance funding has not kept pace with enrollment growth, increasing construction costs, or actual square footage constructed per student, these funds from the State may not be received by a school district until two or three years after a school has been constructed. If a project is to stay on schedule, a District may have to commit to construction without any certainty of when State construction assistance funds will be available. In such cases, the District must "front fund" a project. That is, the District must finance the complete project with local funds (the future State's share coming from reserves in the Capital Projects Fund.) When the State share is disbursed (without accounting for escalation), the District's capital projects fund is reimbursed, but without interest earnings or accounting for escalating construction costs.

Sales and Ground Lease of District Surplus Property

School districts are permitted to sell or engage in long-term leases of surplus properties. The proceeds of these activities are deposited in the Capital Facilities Fund and become available to fund capital construction projects.

SECTION 8 – IMPACT FEES

As with the current 2022 CFP, the District will not seek development impact fees in its updated 2024 Plan. The County is currently the only local government within the District's jurisdictional boundaries that has adopted a GMA-based impact fee ordinance. The implementing ordinance is found at SCC Title 30.66C. Local city governments within the District's boundaries can adopt their approach to school impact fee assessment or to adopt an ordinance requiring compliance with the County's 30.66C criteria; and incorporating the County-approved CFP by reference. Additionally, the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) authorizes jurisdictions to require mitigation for impacts directly related to a proposed development. In previous years, some impacts to schools resulting from new residential development have been mitigated through voluntary agreements negotiated on a case-by-case basis. The State subdivision code also addresses the need to provide appropriate provisions for schools (Chapter 58.17 RCW).

The District may decide to collect impact fees in the future. This decision will be based on information available at the time. Given the dynamic development of additional residential capacity within the District's borders, and the recent State Clean Buildings Act enforcing energy efficiency and energy code compliance, the District cannot rule out the need for future fees. The District will closely monitor development as it occurs and will actively seek appropriate developer contributions for impacts upon the District on a case-by-case basis as authorized by applicable law.

Appendix A

Determination of Nonsignificance

DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE
Edmonds School District No. 15 Capital Facilities Plan 2024-2029

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL:

1. Adoption of the Six-Year Capital Facilities Plan 2024-2029 by Edmonds School District No. 15. The updated Capital Facilities Plan is prepared in accordance with the State Growth Management Act and is a non-project document. It provides an inventory of District-owned facilities, current student enrollment, 6-year and 20-year projected student enrollment and analyzes the implications of the data on facility needs. Board adoption will occur on August 27, 2024.
2. Incorporation of the Edmonds School District's Capital Facilities Plan 2024-2029 by Snohomish County into the Snohomish County Comprehensive Plan pursuant to the requirements of Snohomish County Ordinance 97-095.
3. Potential adoption of the Edmonds School District Capital Facilities Plan by the Cities of Brier, Edmonds, Lynnwood, Mountlake Terrace and the Town of Woodway, to be incorporated into their Comprehensive Plans.

PROPONENT: Edmonds School District No. 15

LOCATION OF PROPOSAL: The Edmonds School District (District) is the largest District in the County and the twelfth largest of Washington's 295 public school systems. The District covers an area of approximately 36 square miles and includes the incorporated Cities of Brier, Edmonds, Lynnwood, Mountlake Terrace, as well as the Town of Woodway and some unincorporated areas of south Snohomish County. The District is generally bounded by King County and Shoreline School District on the south, Puget Sound on the west, 148th Street SW and Mukilteo School District on the north, and Everett and Northshore School Districts on the east.

LEAD AGENCY: Edmonds School District No. 15

The lead agency for this non-project proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21 C.030(2)(c). This determination assumes compliance with State law and Snohomish County, Cities of Brier, Edmonds, Lynnwood, Mountlake Terrace and The Town of Woodway's ordinances related to general environmental protection. This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public upon request.

It is the policy of the District that when undertaking an action involving the exercise of substantive SEPA authority, the District shall consider, as appropriate under the circumstances, the ramifications of such action as to one or more of the factors listed in Edmonds School District Policy 9630, 7.0 SEPA and Agency Decisions.

This Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2). The lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the published date below. Comments may be submitted to the Responsible Official as named below.

Responsible Official: Lydia Sellie, Edmonds School District No. 15
Title: Executive Director of Business and Operations
Address: Edmonds School District No. 15
20420 68th Avenue West
Lynnwood, Washington 98036
Phone: (425) 431-7015

Issue Date: July 17, 2024

Signature: 

Date: 7-18-2024

There is no agency appeal process for this determination.

Appendix B

Snohomish County General Policy Plan (Appendix F)

Appendix F

Review Criteria for School District Capital Facility Plans

Required Plan Contents

1. Future Enrollment Forecasts by Grade Span, including:
 - a 6-year forecast (or more) to support the financing program;
 - a description of the forecasting methodology and justification for its consistency with OFM population forecasts used in the county's comprehensive plan.
2. Inventory of Existing Facilities, including:
 - the location and capacity of existing schools;
 - a description of educational standards and a clearly defined minimum level of service such as classroom size, school size, use of portables, etc.;
 - the location and description of all district-owned or leased sites (if any) and properties;
 - a description of support facilities, such as administrative centers, transportation and maintenance yards and facilities, etc.; and
 - information on portables, including numbers, locations, remaining useful life (as appropriate to educational standards), etc.
3. Forecast of Future Facility Needs, including:
 - identification of new schools and/or school additions needed to address existing deficiencies and to meet demands of projected growth over the next 6 years; and
 - the number of additional portable classrooms needed.
4. Forecast of Future Site Needs, including:
 - the number, size, and general location of needed new school sites.
5. Financing Program (6-year minimum Planning Horizon)
 - estimated cost of specific construction and site acquisition and development projects proposed to address growth-related needs;
 - projected schedule for completion of these projects; and

- proposed sources of funding, including impact fees (if proposed), local bond issues (both approved and proposed), and state matching funds.
6. Impact Fee Support Data (where applicable), including:
- an explanation of the calculation methodology, including description of key variables and their computation;
 - definitions and sources of data for all inputs into the fee calculation, indicating that it:
 - a) is accurate and reliable and that any sample data is statistically valid;
 - b) accurately reflects projected costs in the 6-year financing program; and
 - a proposed fee schedule that reflects expected student generation rates from, at minimum, the following residential unit types: single-family, multi-family/studio or 1-bedroom, and multi-family/2-bedroom or more.

Plan Performance Criteria

1. School facility plans must meet the basic requirements set down in RCW [36.70A](#) (the Growth Management Act). Districts proposing to use impact fees as a part of their financing program must also meet the requirements of RCW [82.02](#).
2. Where proposed, impact fees must utilize a calculation methodology that meets the conditions and tests of RCW [82.02](#).
3. Enrollment forecasts should utilize established methods and should produce results which are not inconsistent with the OFM population forecasts used in the county comprehensive plan. Each plan should also demonstrate that it is consistent with the 20-year forecast in the land use element of the county's comprehensive plan.
4. The financing plan should separate projects and portions of projects which add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding. The financing plan and/or the impact fee calculation formula must also differentiate between projects or portions of projects which address existing deficiencies (ineligible for impact fees) and those which address future growth-related needs.
5. Plans should use best-available information from recognized sources, such as the U.S. Census or the Puget Sound Regional Council. District-generated data may be used if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies.
6. Districts which propose the use of impact fees should identify in future plan updates alternative funding sources in the event that impact fees are not available due to action by the state, county or the cities within their district boundaries.
7. Repealed effective January 2, 2000.

Plan Review Procedures

1. District capital facility plan updates should be submitted to the County Planning and Development Services Department for review prior to formal adoption by the school district.
2. Each school district planning to expand its school capacity must submit to the county an updated capital facilities plan at least every 2 years. Proposed increases in impact fees must be submitted as part of an update to the capital facilities plan, and will be considered no more frequently than once a year.
3. Each school district will be responsible for conducting any required SEPA reviews on its capital facilities plan prior to its adoption, in accordance with state statutes and regulations.
4. School district capital facility plans and plan updates must be submitted no later than 180 calendar days prior to their desired effective date.
5. District plans and plan updates must include a resolution or motion from the district school board adopting the plan before it will become effective.

The Snohomish County Comprehensive Plan is current through legislation passed December 16, 2020.

Disclaimer: The Clerk of the Council's Office retains the official version of the Snohomish County Comprehensive Plan. The web version is updated as new ordinances become effective. New ordinances do not necessarily become effective in chronological or numerical order. Users should contact the Clerk of the Council's Office for information on legislation not yet reflected in the web version.

Note: This site does not support Internet Explorer. To view this site, Code Publishing Company recommends using one of the following browsers: Google Chrome, Firefox, or Safari.

[County Website: snohomishcountywa.gov](http://snohomishcountywa.gov)

County Telephone: (425) 388-3494

[Code Publishing Company](#)

EVERETT SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 2

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

2024-29



Adopted: June 25, 2024

EVERETT SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 2
RESOLUTION NO. 1309
Adoption of Capital Facilities Plan 2024-29

A Resolution of the Board of Directors (the "Board") of the Everett School District No. 2 (the "District") to adopt the Capital Facilities Plan 2024-29 (the "Plan") for school facilities conforming to the requirements of the State Growth Management Act and the Snohomish County General Policy Plan.

WHEREAS, in August 1998, the Board approved Resolution 651 adopting a Capital Facilities Plan meeting the requirements of RCW 36.70A (the Growth Management Act) and the Snohomish County General Policy Plan; and

WHEREAS, in June 2000, September 2002, September 2004, August 2006, August 2008, August 2010, August 2012, August 2014, August 2016, September 2016, August 2018, August 2020 and August 2022 the Board approved Resolutions 700, 742, 799, 860, 907, 1004, 1046, 1095, 1132, 1138, 1180, 1240 and 1281 adopting updated Capital Facilities Plans therefore meeting the requirements of RCW 36.70A (the Growth Management Act) and the Snohomish County General Policy Plan; and

WHEREAS, Districts are required to update their Capital Facilities Plans every two years in compliance with the Act and the General Policy Plan; and

WHEREAS, this Plan update was developed by the District in accordance with accepted methodologies and requirements of the Growth Management Act; and

WHEREAS, the proposed impact fees utilize calculation methodologies meeting the conditions and tests of RCW 82.02; and

WHEREAS, a draft of the Plan was submitted to the Snohomish County Department of Planning and Development Services for review, with changes having been made in accordance with Department comments; and

WHEREAS, the Board finds that the Plan meets the basic requirements of RCW36.70A and RCW 82.02; and


WHEREAS, the District conducted a review of the Plan in accordance with the State Environmental Policy Act, state regulations implementing the act, and District policies and procedures;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:


1. The Capital Facilities Plan 2024-29 is hereby adopted by the Board; and
2. The Snohomish County Council is hereby requested to adopt the Plan by reference as part of the capital facilities element of the County's General Policy Plan; and
3. The cities of Mill Creek and Everett are hereby requested to adopt the Plan by reference as part of the Capital Facilities Plan elements of their respective General Policy Plans.

ADOPTED this 25th day of June 2024 and authenticated by the signatures affixed below.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS:




Traci Mitchell, President




Jen Hirman, Vice President



Charles Adkins, Parliamentarian




Caroline Mason, Director



Roman Rewolinski, Director

ATTESTED BY:



Dr. Ian Saltzman, Superintendent
and Secretary for the Board

EVERETT SCHOOL DISTRICT No. 2

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

2024-29

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section 1	Introduction
Section 2	Educational Program Standards
Section 3	Capital Facilities Inventory
Section 4	Student Enrollment
Section 5	Capital Facilities Plan

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A	Impact Fee Calculations
Appendix B	Student Generation Rate Study
Appendix C	OSPI Enrollment Projection Methodology
Appendix D	OFM Ratio Enrollment Projection Methodology
Appendix E	Kendrick Enrollment Projection Methodology
Appendix F	Levels of Service Report
Appendix G	Impact Fee Report

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	School Inventory (page 3-3)
Table 2	Portable Inventory (page 3-4)
Table 3	Support Facility Inventory (page 3-5)
Table 4	Enrollment 2014-2023 & Projections 2024-29 (page 4-2)
Table 5	Comparison of Enrollment Projections, 2024-2029 (page 4-2)
Table 6	Actual Enrollment 2021 & Kendrick Projections 2024-29 (page 4-3)
Table 7	OFM Ratio Enrollment Projections 2044 (page 4-3)
Table 8	Permanent Facility Capacity Calculations 2023-2044 (page 4-3)
Table 9	Capital Facilities Plan (page 5-6)
Table 10	Student Generation Rates (page 5-9)
Table 11	School Impact Fees (page 5-9)
Table 12	Impact Fee Variables (page 5-10)

Section 1

Introduction

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

The Washington Growth Management Act (GMA) outlines fifteen broad goals including adequate provision of necessary public facilities and services. Schools are among these necessary facilities and services. Public school districts serving Snohomish County residents have developed capital facilities plans to satisfy the requirements of RCW 36.70A.070 and to identify additional school facilities necessary to meet the educational needs of the growing student populations anticipated in their districts.

This Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) is intended to provide the Everett School District (District), Snohomish County, and other jurisdictions with a description of facilities needed to accommodate projected student enrollment at acceptable levels of service through the year 2044, and a detailed schedule and financing program for capital improvements over the six years, 2024-2029.

In accordance with GMA mandates, and Chapter 30.66C Snohomish County Code (SCC), this CFP contains the following required elements:

- Future enrollment forecasts for each grade span (elementary K-5, middle 6-8, and high 9-12).

- An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by the district, showing the locations, sizes, and student capacities of the facilities.

- A forecast of the future needs for capital facilities and school sites, distinguishing between existing and projected deficiencies.

- The proposed capacities of expanded or new capital facilities.

- A 6-year plan for financing capital facilities within projected funding capacities, which identifies sources of public money for such purposes. The financing plan separates projects and portions of projects which add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding. The financing plan and/or the impact fee calculation formula must also differentiate between projects or portions of projects which address existing deficiencies (ineligible for impact fees) and those which address future growth-related needs.

- A calculation of impact fees to be assessed and support data substantiating said fees.

In developing this CFP, the guidelines of Appendix F of the General Policy Plan were used as follows:

- Information was obtained from recognized sources, such as the U.S. Census or the Puget Sound Regional Council.

- School districts may generate their own data if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies.

- Information is to be consistent with the State Office of Financial Management (OFM) population forecasts and those of Snohomish County.

- Chapter 30.66C SCC requires that student generation rates be independently calculated by each school district. Rates were updated for this CFP.

The CFP complies with RCW 36.70A (the Growth Management Act) and, where impact fees are to be assessed, RCW 82.02.

The calculation methodology for impact fees meets the conditions and tests of RCW 82.02.

Districts that propose the use of impact fees should identify in future plan updates alternative funding sources if impact fees are not available due to action by the state, county, or the cities within their district boundaries.

Unless otherwise noted, all enrollment and student capacity data in this CFP is expressed in Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) as of October 1 of the year indicated. For this CFP, kindergarten through grade twelve students are considered 1.0 FTE. The FTE enrollment and Headcount (HC) enrollment are equivalent.

Overview of the Everett School District

The Everett School District stretches approximately fifteen miles from its northernmost boundary at the Union Slough to its southernmost boundary at 194th Street S.E. The average width is a little more than two and a half miles. The district covers an area of approximately 39 square miles. The district includes most of the City of Everett, all but a very small portion of the City of Mill Creek, and portions of unincorporated Snohomish County. The total population within the district in 2023 is estimated at 152,913 (Snohomish County GMA Population Forecast).

The district serves 19,576 students (October 2023 – OSPI Report 1049) in eighteen elementary schools, five middle schools, three comprehensive high schools, one alternative high school, and 140 portable classrooms. The full and part-time district staff is approximately 2,550.

Significant Issues Related to Facility Planning in the Everett School District

The most significant school facility-related issues facing the Everett School District are 1) the need to construct new facilities to meet student enrollment growth; 2) the need to upgrade older facilities so they can continue to serve students in the decades ahead; 3) the availability of real property appropriately sized for anticipated future school facilities' needs.

Another future facility-related issue is the possible effects of Sound Transit's Light Rail expansion into Everett. Some of the proposed routes are located close or adjacent to several facilities including a high school, middle school and the Community Resource Center. Four locations are also being evaluated for a Sound Transit operations and maintenance facility serving the rail extension project, and one of those may involve acquisition of the Everett Public School's central bus facility property near Boeing. The possibility of losing the current central bus facility and the resulting impacts on operations is a major concern for the district. The district would need to find and acquire land centrally located within specific zoning and build a new transportation facility.

Memorial Stadium baseball field has been in use by the Everett AquaSox for forty years. In 2020, Major League Baseball (MLB) took over the minor league system and mandated that stadiums meet a long list of minimum standards. Currently, Memorial Stadium does not meet the requirements set by MLB. As a result, the Everett AquaSox and the City of Everett are evaluating the best course of action to meet the minimum MLB standards by considering options to either stay at their current venue or opting to build a brand new venue in downtown Everett. Both options come at considerable costs. The AquaSox, City and County are in discussions, as the

necessary upgrades or new facility costs range from \$40 to \$80 million dollars. If the AquaSox vacate their current location, the district will need to invest in downgrading the field amenities to align maintenance costs with student use.

Lastly, the Everett Public Schools faces a possible Urban Growth Area (UGA) expansion in the south-east portion of its district. Snohomish County has been reviewing and developing their 20-year comprehensive plan to accommodate population and employment growth. In the most recent 2024 Comprehensive Plan update, the county outlines three alternatives in regard to the UGA. These include (1) no action, (2) a medium growth alternative that would include minor UGA adjustments and (3) an alternative that would address higher growth. Both alternatives 2 and 3 would affect the district. Option 2 allows for a higher density in the current UGA and option 3 expands the UGA east between approximately 154th to 176th. For years, the district's growth in enrollment has been primarily in the south end. Increasing the density and/or expanding the UGA in this area will exacerbate the district's shortfall in permanent building capacity.




Figure 1
Map of School Facilities



Approximate Scale: 1 mile

School Facilities
Elementary Schools

1. Cedar Wood
2. Emerson
3. Forest View
4. Garfield
5. Hawthorne
6. Jackson
7. Jefferson
8. Lowell
9. Madison
10. Mill Creek
11. Monroe
12. Penny Creek
13. Silver Firs
14. Silver Lake
15. Tambark Creek
16. View Ridge
17. Whittier
18. Woodside

Middle Schools

19. Eisenhower MS
20. Evergreen MS
21. Gateway MS
22. Heatherwood MS
23. North MS

High Schools

24. Cascade HS
25. Everett HS
26. HM Jackson HS
27. Sequoia HS

1/24/2022

Everett School District No. 2

Section 2

Educational Program Standards

SECTION 2: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM STANDARDS

Educational Program Standards – Districtwide

School facility and student capacity needs are dictated by the types and amount of space required to accommodate the school board adopted educational programs. The educational program standards, which typically drive facility space needs, include grade configuration, optimum facility size, class size, educational program offerings, classroom utilization, scheduling requirements, and use of relocatable classroom facilities (portables).

In addition, government initiatives and community expectations may affect how classroom space is used. The district has implemented full-day kindergarten and reduced class sizes for grades K-3, all as required by the state legislature. Traditional educational programs offered by the Everett School District are supplemented by nontraditional or specialized programs.

Examples of specialized teaching stations and programs:

- Advanced Placement
- Athletics, Health, and Fitness
- Career and Technical Education (CTE)
 - Auto Shop
 - Business and Marketing
 - Communication and Information Technology
 - Education Careers
 - Energy and Sustainability
 - Engineering and Advanced Manufacturing
 - Health and Human Services
 - Health Science and Medical Careers
 - Horticulture, Agriculture, and Floriculture
- Contract Learning
- Counseling (career and mental health)
- Dual Language Spanish Immersion Program
- Early Childhood Educational Assistance Program (ECEAP)
- Elementary Music (designated classroom)
- Family Resource Centers
- Health Services
- High school credit classes offered at middle schools
- Highly Capable Programs
- Learning Assistance Programs
- Leadership and Activities
- Library Instruction
- Multilingual Learner (ML)
- Online High School
- Partnerships
 - Lighthouse Cooperative
 - Parent-Teacher-Student Association (PTSA)

- Port Gardner Parent Partnership
 - Mental Health providers
 - Natural Leaders
- Play and Learn (Early Learning Program)
- Science Resource Center
- Special Education
 - Achieve (behavior support)
 - Deaf and Hard of Hearing Specialists
 - Developmental Kindergarten
 - Developmental Pre-School
 - Extended Resource Room
 - Life Skills
 - Occupational / Physical Therapy
 - 18-21 transitional programs
 - GOAL – Gaining Ownership of Addult Life
 - STRIVE – Students Transitioning Responsibly into Vocational Experiences
 - Resource Room
 - School Psychologists
 - Speech and Hearing Therapy
 - Vision Impaired Service
- Technology Instruction & Labs - Video Production, Programing, Robotics, etc.
- Transitional Kindergarten
- Title I Programs – Math & Reading
- Wireless Computer Carts

These specialized or nontraditional educational programs can significantly impact the student capacity of school facilities. Variations in student capacity between schools are often a result of the number of specialized programs offered at specific schools. These specialized programs require classroom space, which can reduce the permanent capacity of the buildings housing these programs. For example, some students leave their regular classroom for some time to receive instruction in these specialized programs. Newer schools within the district have been designed to accommodate many of these programs. However, older schools often require space modifications to accommodate specialized programs, and in some circumstances, these may reduce the building's classroom capacities.

District educational program standards will change over time due to changes in the program year, specialized programs, class size, grade span configurations, use of new technology, and other physical aspects of the school facilities. The school capacity inventory will be reviewed periodically and adjusted for changes to the revised educational program standards.

Educational Program Standards - Elementary Schools

- School capacity is determined using the following:

<u>Students per room</u>	<u>Grade level / Program</u>
20.5	Kindergarten
20.5	General Education - Grades 1-3
24	General Education - Grades 4-5
10	Special Education - Pre-School (Developmental)
10	Special Education - Kindergarten (Developmental)
10	Special Education - Achieve (behavior support)
15	Special Education - Extended Resource Room
10	Special Education - Life Skills

- As a standard, students are provided Music, Physical Education and Library instruction. Some schools may have Art and or STEM/STEAM instruction if staffing and building space allow.
- At least one Special Education Resource Room is part of the curriculum.
- Design capacity for new schools is 600 students.
- Actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered and/or housed at a particular school.

Educational Program Standards – Middle Schools and High Schools

As a result of scheduling conflicts for student programs, the need for specialized rooms for specific programs, and the need for teachers to have a workspace during planning periods, it is not possible to achieve 100% utilization of teaching stations. Based on an analysis of the actual utilization of secondary schools, the standard utilization rate is ~85%, resulting in the following target class sizes.

Middle School

- School capacity is determined using the following:

<u>Students per room</u>	<u>Grade level / Program</u>
24	General Education - Grades 6-8
24	Special Education - Resource Room
10	Special Education - Achieve (behavior support)
15	Special Education - Extended Resource Room
10	Special Education - Life Skills
18	Multilingual Learner (MLL)

High School

- School capacity is determined using the following:

<u>Students per room</u>	<u>Grade level / Program</u>
24	General Education - Grades 9-12
24	Special Education - Resource Room
10	Special Education - Achieve (behavior support)
15	Special Education - Extended Resource Room

10	Special Education - Life Skills
18	Multilingual Learner (ML)

Middle School and/or High School

- Students are also provided educational opportunities such as:
 - Art Labs
 - Career and Technical Education (CTE)
 - Auto Shop (Cascade High School only)
 - Marketing (high school only)
 - Navy Junior Reserve Officer Training Corps (high school only)
 - Technology Labs
 - Challenge and Advanced Placement Program
 - Dual Credit Programs – College in the High School
 - Drama rooms/Performing Arts (high school and some middle schools)
 - Health and Fitness
 - Music rooms – Band, Orchestra and Choir
 - Science / STEM Labs
 - Design capacity for new schools is 825 students for middle schools and 1,500 students for high school.
- Actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered and/or housed at a particular school.

Minimum Levels of Service

RCW 36.70A.020 requires that public facilities and services necessary to support new housing developments shall be adequate to serve the development at the time the development is available for occupancy and use without decreasing current service levels below locally established minimum standards. These “minimum levels of service” in the Everett School District are established as an average class size no larger than the following:

- **Class Size Goals**
 - 24 Kindergarten
 - 25 Grades 1-3 General Education
 - 26 Grade 4 General Education
 - 27 Grade 5 General Education
 - 29 Grades 6-8 General Education
 - 30 Grades 9-12 General Education
- **2023 Actual Class Size Average - based on the October 1, 2023 count of student enrollment**
 - 20.0 Kindergarten
 - 21.0 Grades 1-3 General Education
 - 25.9 Grades 4-5 General Education
 - 23.7 Grades 6-8 General Education
 - 24.7 Grades 9-12 General Education

School Boundary Changes

The Everett School District recognizes that school boundaries need to be modified occasionally to respond to changes in student enrollment and/or educational programs. Boundary changes can be an effective method of reducing the need for new school construction and are also necessary when new schools or classroom additions are built.

An example of changing school boundaries to reduce the need for additional classroom space began with the 2020-21 school year. The district instituted a limited re-configuration of high school boundaries in response to significant enrollment growth in the southern end of the district. The re-configuration was phased over four years through 2023.

In 2023, the district made a small boundary change to mitigate a capacity shortage due to a new multi-family housing development built on the corner of Evergreen Way and Hwy 526. The development named Four Corners has 430 affordable housing units that contain 1 to 5 bedrooms. The anticipated student generation from this one complex was too large to accommodate at the original designated elementary school.

Trends in Programs, with Potential Impacts on district facilities

- Aerospace and Advanced Manufacturing Pathway
- Medical and Health Pathway
- Information and Communication Pathway
- STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics), CTE (Career and Technical Education), and AP (Advanced Placement) program growth
- Flexible space for multiple uses – “maker” spaces, robotics, project-based learning, etc.
- Extended learning opportunities – after-school and/or summer activities
- Expansion of high school credit class offerings at middle schools (science, languages, etc.)
- 1:1 technology for students
- Early learning programs - Birth to 3 years and 3 to 5 years
- Industry pathway partnerships
- Post high school support opportunities
- Technology accessibility for community
- Support for strategic partners whose work is aligned with the district’s student learning mission
- Centralized storage and staging facilities for assessment, curriculum and textbooks, and STEM materials
- Expanded course offerings
- Cost-effective solutions for serving high-need students that are currently outsourced to programs, such as the NW Regional Learning Center and Denny Youth Center

Section 3

Capital Facilities Inventory

SECTION 3: CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY

Under the GMA, cities, and counties are required to inventory capital facilities used to serve existing development. The purpose of the following facilities inventory is to establish a baseline for determining what facilities will be required to address existing deficiencies and accommodate future demand (student enrollment) at acceptable or established levels of service. This section provides an inventory of capital facilities owned and operated by the Everett School District including schools, portables, developed school sites, undeveloped land, and support facilities. School facility capacity was inventoried based on the space required to accommodate the district's educational program standards outlined in Section 2. A map showing the locations of district school facilities is provided in Figure 1 on page 1-3.

Schools

Everett School District's elementary schools include grades K-5, middle schools include grades 6-8, and high schools include grades 9-12.

OSPI calculates school capacity by dividing the gross square footage of a building by a standard square footage per student. OSPI uses the following in their calculations: 90 sq. ft. per kindergarten through grade six student, 117 sq. ft. per grade seven and grade eight student, 130 sq. ft. per grade nine through grade twelve student, and 144 sq. ft. per disabled student (WAC 392-343-035). This method is used by the state as a simple and uniform approach for determining school capacity for purposes of allocating available state funding assistance to school districts for school construction.

This method is not considered an accurate reflection of the actual capacity required to accommodate the educational programs of each school and/or the district.

For this CFP, capacity is based on the number of teaching stations within each building and the space requirements of the specific educational program as described in Section 2. The school capacity inventory is summarized in Table 1.

Portables

Portables are used as interim classroom space to house students until permanent classroom facilities can be provided, and to help prevent overbuilding. Portables are not a solution for housing students on a permanent basis. The typical useful life of a portable is 30 to 40 years. The ages of the district's portables range from 0 to 53 plus years. As the district is able, older portables will be replaced with newer units. The portables capacity inventory is summarized in Table 2.

Support Facilities

In addition to schools, the Everett School District owns and operates additional facilities which provide operational support functions to the schools. An inventory of these facilities is provided in Table 3.

Undeveloped Land

The Everett School District owns the following additional sites not currently used for school purposes:

- 35th Street & Grand Avenue
 - 1.38 acres
 - Long-term lease with the City of Everett - Doyle Park
- 36th Street & Norton Avenue
 - 2.96 acres
 - Long-term ground lease with Housing Hope
- Cadet Way Property
 - 9.25 acres
 - Located north of Jefferson ES
- Seattle Hill Road & State Route 527
 - 18.94 acres
 - Future school site
- 180th Street SE
 - 24.81 acres
 - Future site of comprehensive high school #4
- Strumme Road
 - 10.55 acres
 - Future site of elementary school #19

Table 1
School Capacity Inventory

School Name	Site Size (acres)	Building Area (Sq. Ft.) (1)	Teaching Stations General Education	Teaching Stations Special Education	2023 Permanent Student Capacity (2)	Teaching Stations Not Generating Capacity (3)
Elementary Schools						
Cedar Wood	14.40	55,454	20	2	437	4
Emerson	8.05	52,796	21	2	430	4
Forest View	15.30	66,629	23	1	495	4
Garfield	5.60	52,744	19	2	444	3
Hawthorne	8.84	72,395	23	4	458	6
Jackson	5.16	51,652	13	2	273	3
Jefferson (4)	18.81	55,154	19	3	443	2
Lowell	9.34	58,690	20	3	451	1
Madison	9.64	58,063	17	4	416	5
Mill Creek	9.69	55,646	22	2	505	2
Monroe	9.15	69,463	23	4	539	2
Penny Creek	13.90	64,882	30	1	649	1
Silver Firs	12.02	55,839	23	2	492	1
Silver Lake	11.09	56,774	19	2	420	4
Tambark Creek	18.64	83,665	28	1	591	3
View Ridge	9.47	66,154	25	2	562	2
Whittier	5.20	54,084	19	2	427	1
Woodside	10.84	55,587	22	1	468	3
Totals:	195.14	1,085,671	386	40	8,500	51
Middle Schools						
Eisenhower	19.67	107,252	34	4	889	
Evergreen	21.74	116,526	39	7	1,041	
Gateway	43.70	110,181	38	3	955	
Heatherwood	29.21	117,051	33	4	862	
North	10.66	101,770	36	6	959	0
Totals:	124.98	552,780	180	24	4,706	0
High Schools						
Cascade	38.85	244,345	71	9	1,867	0
Everett	11.12	280,459	76	10	1,997	
Jackson	42.79	247,043	73	7	1,856	
Sequoia (5)	3.02	67,007	15	1	375	
Totals:	95.78	838,854	235	27	6,095	0
	415.90	2,477,305				

Updated: 4/21/2024

Notes:

- (1) Building areas do not include covered play areas
- (2) Permanent student capacity figures are based on Educational Program Standards - Section 3 and are exclusive of portables
- (3) Programs not generating capacity: computer labs, specialists (reading, art, science, etc.), elementary music, ECEAP, developmental pre-school, and elementary resource rooms
- (4) Jefferson Elementary School's acreage excludes adjacent undeveloped site of 9.81 acres
- (5) Sequoia High School's acreage excludes two nearby sites - playfield at 36th Street and Norton Avenue - 2.96 acres and Doyle Park at 35th Street and Grand Avenue - 1.38 acres

Table 2
Portable Capacity Inventory

School Name	Teaching Stations General Education	Teaching Stations Special Education	2023 Portable Student Capacity (1)	Teaching Stations Not Generating Capacity (2)
Elementary Schools				
Cedar Wood	11		253	1
Emerson	8		188	1
Forest View	5		120	
Garfield	0		0	
Hawthorne	0		0	1
Jackson	3		72	1
Jefferson	4		96	1
Lowell	5		109	3
Madison	0		0	
Mill Creek	8		176	
Monroe	1		20	1
Penny Creek	7		161	
Silver Firs	1		24	1
Silver Lake	9		192	1
Tambark Creek	5		116	
View Ridge	5		120	
Whittier	1		24	2
Woodside	8		192	1
Totals:	81	0	1,863	14
Middle Schools				
Eisenhower	6		132	
Evergreen	7		168	
Gateway	3		72	
Heatherwood	11		288	1
North	0		0	
Totals:	27	0	660	1
High Schools				
Cascade	2		36	
Everett	0		0	
Jackson	14	1	351	
Sequoia	0		0	
Totals:	16	1	387	0

Updated: 4/21/2024

Notes:

- (1) Portable student capacity figures are based on Educational Program Standards - Section 3
- (2) Programs not generating capacity: computer labs, specialists (reading, art, STEM, etc.), elementary music, ECEAP, developmental pre-school, and elementary resource rooms

Table 3
Support Facility Inventory

Support Facility	Site Size (acres)	Building Area (Sq. Ft.)
Maintenance Facility	1.5	29,080
Vehicle Repair Building	-	7,851
Maintenance Storage Building	0.4	10,594
North Satellite Bus & Storage Facility	2.42	12,600
Central Bus Facility	5.25	24,102
Community Resource Center ⁽¹⁾	3.6	68,531
Longfellow Building & Annex	2.34	32,200
Lively Environmental Center	19.45	3,885
Memorial Stadium	22.79	-
Athletics Building	-	11,925
FB Press Box	-	1,602
Baseball Facility	-	7,625
Batting Cage/Storage	-	2,800
Other Buildings	-	5,639
Totals:	57.75	218,434

Updated: 4/2/2024

Note:

1. Building area does not include unheated garage space (18,409 sq. ft.)

Section 4

Student Enrollment

SECTION 4: STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Historical and Current Enrollment Trends

From the early 1970s through the early 1980s, student enrollment in the district was relatively constant. Beginning in 1983 student enrollment showed a steady increase through 2001. Fueled by historically low-interest rates and an active housing market in the Mill Creek East UGA Plan area, district enrollment rose again through 2009. Shortly thereafter the district's enrollment declined due to the effects of the economic recession and continued to go down through 2012. In the years between 2012 and 2019 the district's enrollment increased until the Pandemic. Due to COVID-19, district enrollment decreased in 2020, with little growth over the last three years. Now, districtwide enrollment is projected to increase through 2033. Enrollment projections from 2034 to 2044 are linked directly to OFM population forecasts and show a steady increase as well.

2024-2029 Enrollment Projections

This CFP has been prepared using enrollment projections, for 2024 through 2029, as provided by W. Les Kendrick of Educational Data Solutions (Kendrick). This enrollment projection method was chosen because it uses a grade progression method (cohort survival analysis) that tracks the progress of students as they progress from grade to grade. This method tracks enrollment each year at each grade span as students move through the K-12 system, and projects enrollment based on actual enrollment changes over the previous five years. After completing the initial forecast, the numbers were adjusted using new home construction data, county population forecasts, and forecasts of the future K-12 population in the county. The Kendrick methodology is described in more detail in Appendix E. The Kendrick enrollment projections (medium) are presented in Tables 4, 5, and 6. All enrollment figures shown in this CFP are FTE as of October 1 of the year indicated.

For comparison purposes, Table 5 also contains enrollment forecasts from two other sources. A historical cohort-survival projection was prepared by OSPI (detailed projections in Appendix C) and an OFM Ratio projection was prepared by Shockey Planning Group. The OFM Ratio method (described in more detail in Appendix D) is based on a percentage of the district's population as predicted by OFM and Snohomish County.

Based on the Kendrick enrollment projections (medium-range), overall district enrollment will increase by 992 students over the next six years, reflecting an increase of approximately 5.07% over the 2023 enrollment levels. Table 6 provides a breakdown of the Kendrick enrollment projections by grade level span for every year from 2024 to 2029.

2044 Enrollment Projections

Long-range enrollment projections are much more speculative than short-range projections. They are still useful in developing comprehensive plans for future facilities and sites. Kendrick

produces projections through 2031 and OSPI produces projections through 2027. Therefore, enrollment projections for 2044 are presented in Table 7 using just the OFM Ratio Method.

The OFM projections for 2044 indicate that total enrollment in the district will increase by 3,958 students to 23,578, an increase of 20.17% over the 2021 enrollment levels. Enrollment in 2044 is projected to be higher at all levels. An analysis of future capacities and facility needs is provided in Section 5.

Table 4
Enrollment 2014-23 & Projections 2024-29




Table 5
Comparison of Enrollment Projections 2024-29

	<i>Actual*</i>							Projected Total Change 2023-29	Projected Percent Change 2023-29
	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029		
Kendrick	19,576	19,645	19,802	19,965	20,143	20,380	20,568	992	5.07%
OFM	19,576	19,645	19,803	19,965	20,143	20,350	20,522	946	4.83%
OSPI	19,576	19,437	19,296	19,065	18,852	18,703	18,497	(1,079)	-5.51%

** Actual enrollment from OSPI Form 1049*

Table 6
OSPI Actual 2021 Enrollment &
Kendrick Medium-Range Projections 2024-29

	<i>Actual*</i>							Projected Total Change 2023-29	Projected Percent Change 2023-29
	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029		
Elementary	9,543	9,545	9,522	9,603	9,607	9,646	9,699	156	1.63%
Middle	4,512	4,666	4,781	4,802	4,850	4,900	4,937	425	9.42%
High	5,521	5,434	5,499	5,560	5,686	5,834	5,932	411	7.44%
Total:	19,576	19,645	19,802	19,965	20,143	20,380	20,568	992	5.07%

** Actual enrollment from OSPI Form 1049*

Table 7
OFM Enrollment Projections 2044

	2044
Elementary School	11,350
Middle School	5,627
High School	6,601
Total:	23,578

Table 8
Permanent Facility Capacity Calculations 2023-2029 & 2044

Elementary School	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2044
Enrollment	9,543	9,545	9,522	9,603	9,607	9,646	9,699	11,350
Capacity Change Due to Construction Projects		0	0	132	0	0	176	2,542
Total Permanent Capacity (after construction projects)	8,500	8,500	8,500	8,632	8,632	8,632	8,808	11,350
Permanent Capacity surplus/(short)	(1,043)	(1,045)	(1,022)	(971)	(975)	(1,014)	(891)	0
Growth Related Capacity by Year increased/(reduced)		(2)	21	72	68	29	152	
<i>Growth Related Capacity Need Over 6 yrs 156 / 1,199 = 13.01%</i>								



Middle School	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2044
Enrollment	4,512	4,666	4,781	4,802	4,850	4,900	4,937	5,627
Capacity Change Due to Construction Projects		0	0	0	0	0	0	921
Total Permanent Capacity (after construction projects)	4,706	4,706	4,706	4,706	4,706	4,706	4,706	5,627
Permanent Capacity surplus/(short)	194	40	(75)	(96)	(144)	(194)	(231)	0
Growth Related Capacity by Year increased/(reduced)		(154)	(269)	(290)	(338)	(388)	(425)	
<i>Growth Related Capacity Need Over 6 yrs 425 / 231 = 183.98%</i>								

High School	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2044
Enrollment	5,521	5,434	5,499	5,560	5,686	5,834	5,932	6,601
Capacity Change Due to Construction Projects		0	0	0	0	0	0	506
Total Permanent Capacity (after construction projects)	6,095	6,095	6,095	6,095	6,095	6,095	6,095	6,601
Permanent Capacity surplus/(short)	574	661	596	535	409	261	163	0
Growth Related Capacity by Year increased/(reduced)		87	22	(39)	(165)	(313)	(411)	
<i>Growth Related Capacity Need Over 6 yrs 985 / -163 = 0.00%</i>								

Section 5

Capital Facilities Plan

SECTION 5: CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

Facilities Needs 2024-29

Elementary School

There are currently existing permanent capacity deficiencies at the elementary school level. As of 2023, the district elementary enrollment was 1,043 students over the permanent building capacity. These students are housed in 95 portable classrooms. Thirteen of the district's eighteen elementary schools are currently over their permanent building capacity. By 2029, the district is projected to grow by an additional 156 elementary students. The plan to address these needs is through the construction of 10 additional classrooms and to purchase and/or relocate portables as needed. The 10 permanently constructed classrooms will increase capacity by 220.

Middle School

There are existing permanent capacity deficiencies at the middle school level. As of 2023, the district middle school enrollment was under the overall permanent building capacity. However, two of the five middle schools are considerably over capacity. These students are housed in 15 portable classrooms. Middle school enrollment is projected to continue to grow through 2029, with a growth of 425 students. The plan is to address the needs at individual schools through the purchase and placement and/or relocation of portables. The plan, as detailed in the CFP, does not include the construction of any new classroom space.

High School

District-wide, the high schools do not have an existing permanent capacity deficiency. Nonetheless, H.M. Jackson High School, the district's most southern high school, continues to be over its permanent building capacity. This is largely due to the continuous growth of new housing in the southern region of the district. The district implemented a three-year phased boundary adjustment from 2020 to 2023 in order help equalize enrollment to capacity ratios at the high school level. The outcome alleviated some of the stress in the south end, however the adjustment did not completely resolve the capacity shortage in the south end high school. By 2029, the district's overall high school enrollment is projected to grow by an additional 411 students in total. The plan to address part of these needs is through the purchase and placement and/or relocation of portables at the affected schools.

District-wide

Enrollment

The district-wide enrollment is projected to gradually increase each year from 2024 through 2029. During this same period, the anticipated enrollment levels will continue to exceed the 2023 capacities at the elementary and middle school levels. This increase in enrollment will be seen in all regions of the district. Enrollment and capacity projections are presented together for comparison purposes in Table 8 – *Permanent Facility Capacity Calculations 2024-2029 & 2044*.

Land

Most of the recent housing development and, as a result, the increase in our student enrollment has been and is anticipated to continue to be, in the southern part of the district. Most of the developable land in that part of the district within the urban growth area has already been developed. This trend could increase the need for school facilities in this area beyond those described below.

State law, Vision 2050, and the Snohomish County Code each address school facilities planning. To help plan for anticipated growth in student enrollment, especially in the southern part of the district, the district has been searching for developable assemblages of property large enough to site another elementary school. However, the availability of undeveloped land within this part of Snohomish County's Urban Growth Area (UGA) is extremely limited.

It would be more efficient from a student accessibility and transportation perspective to look at sites closer to the anticipated growth and outside the UGA rather than further away and within the UGA. It would be burdensome and inequitable to displace residents and diminish housing stock with school facilities where other alternatives exist that require less family displacement, less housing stock demolition, and are more proximate to the students than potential school sites further north.

The district anticipates the need to continue to look outside of the UGA to locate parcels large enough to accommodate a school, where appropriate. The district is allowed to locate elementary schools outside the UGA. Under Snohomish County's zoning code, elementary schools are allowed in rural areas, although RCW 36.70A.213 imposes certain conditions on the extension of public facilities and utilities to serve schools sited in rural areas. RCW 36.70A.213(1)(b) & (c). With Snohomish County's 2024 Comprehensive Plan, there is a possibility that the UGA will expand within the District if the County's 3rd UGA alternative is adopted. It would push the current UGA boundary east from to 47th (between 154th down to 176th).

Busing

Due to the impacts, difficulties, and high cost of transporting students over long distances, the district believes busing students long distances from the south end of the district to the north is not an appropriate solution of addressing the on-going south-end growth.

Planned Improvements Adding Student Capacity

The following is an outline of the projects that add capacity and are considered necessary to accommodate the students forecasted in the Kendrick enrollment projections for the district through 2029. Timelines for these projects can be found in Table 9 – *Capital Facilities Plan*.

Elementary Schools

District-wide elementary school enrollment is projected to reach 9,699 in 2029 as shown in Table 8, an increase of students from 2023 enrollment of 9,551. This equates to an overage of 1,199 students for the current combined elementary school capacity of 8,500. In response to the increase, the district is planning:

- 1) Additional classroom space as part of two new in lieu of modernization projects – 14 classrooms with a projected capacity of 308 will be constructed. The location of these additional classrooms: Jackson Elementary School – 6 classrooms (\$7,300,000); Madison ES – 4 classrooms (\$6,300,000); 4 classrooms added to another elementary school to be determined (\$6,600,000).

Total estimate - \$20,200,000

- 2) At least 5 portable classrooms for the elementary level will need to be purchased by 2029 and others relocated to provide enough classroom space.

Total estimate - \$5,475,000

The estimated cost of elementary school facility improvements that adds capacity is: \$25,675,000.

Middle Schools

District-wide middle school enrollment is projected to increase to 4,937 in 2029. The existing 2023 middle school capacity of 4,706 will not be adequate to accommodate the projected enrollment. To provide for the enrollment increases at individual schools, at least 3 portable classrooms will need to be purchased and others relocated to provide sufficient space. There are not any permanent facility construction plans through 2029. Total estimate - \$1,825,000.

The estimated cost of middle school facility improvements that add capacity is: \$1,825,000.

High Schools

District-wide high school enrollment is projected to increase to 5,932 by 2029. At that point, the southern high school is still projected to be over permanent building capacity, however the overage should be less than in prior years. It's anticipated that the District will also see increased enrollment in the North end of the district with more multi-housing developments in the pipeline. The district will add capacity by:

- 1) Purchase at least 1 portable and relocate portables as needed between 2024 and 2029. Total estimate - \$700,000.
- 2) Add additional capacity via the Everett High School classroom and cafeteria modernization. This will add an additional 3 classrooms with a capacity of approximately 90. The additional classroom portion of cost is estimated at \$3,393,000.

The estimated cost of high school facility improvements that will add capacity is: \$4,093,000.

Future School Site Properties

180th Street SE

In 2008 the district purchased property on 180th St. SE as a future site for two schools. The construction of the first school, Tambark Creek Elementary School, was completed in 2020. The remainder of the site remains undeveloped and is the planned location of a future high school. As part of the purchase and sale agreement the district issued, to the developer, the equivalent of \$4,660,000 worth of Mitigation Fee Credits toward future impact/mitigation fees. The developer can use the certificates in lieu of paying impact/mitigation fees until the current credit balance of \$79,750 is exhausted or until August 15, 2028; whichever comes first.

Seattle Hill Road & SR 527

In 1997 & 1998 the district purchased an assemblage of properties for a future school site at the southeast corner of Seattle Hill Rd and Bothell-Everett Highway. Over the years the district demolished and removed all structures from the site. There is an established wetland on the property. The site remains undeveloped and is the planned location of a future middle school.

Property Purchases

To accommodate future growth and the facilities needs of the district, the district plans to continue to acquire approximately 11 acres of additional property in the southeastern portion of the district in the vicinity of Strumme Road for a future elementary school. The district currently owns 2 properties in this area. In accordance with applicable state, regional, and county planning policies, the district finds that this property is an appropriate location for a future elementary school, given the anticipated student enrollment area and growth, and the limited availability of suitable land in south Snohomish County to equitably meet the anticipated student demand.

The cost to purchase these properties is estimated at: \$3,600,000.

Planned Improvements Not Adding Student Capacity

The following is an outline of the projects that do not add capacity but are considered necessary to accommodate and support the educational program in the district through 2029. Timelines for these projects can be found in Table 9 – *Capital Facilities Plan*.

School Improvements

- Cascade High School - Science Building - new in lieu of modernization
- Cascade High School - Cafeteria and kitchen upgrades
- Cascade High School - Bleacher and gym floor replacement
- Everett High School - Cafeteria & classroom modernization
- HM Jackson High School - STEM classroom upgrades
- HM Jackson High School - Bleacher replacement

The cost of these improvements is estimated at: \$68,313,000.

Safety and Security Projects

- Upgrades to building access control systems, fire alarm systems, secure locksets, keying systems, and intrusion detection.

The cost of these improvements is estimated at: \$6,398,000.

Clean Buildings Act

- Upgrades to buildings to meet the requirements of the Clean Building Act – HVAC, roofing, improved building envelope systems and controls:
 - HM Jackson High School - HVAC
 - HM Jackson High School - Roof
 - Gateway Middle School - HVAC
 - Sequoia High School - HVAC

- Heatherwood Middle School - HVAC
- Evergreen Middle School - HVAC
- Eisenhower Middle School - HVAC Controls
- Cascade High School - HVAC
- Cascade High School - Roof

The cost of these improvements is estimated at: \$37,428,000.

Technology Infrastructure & Upgrades (included in 2022 Levy)

- WIFI-mobile devices, multi-media classroom display systems, security cameras, network/data security, cybersecurity systems, data center systems, WIFI controller equipment for capacity, performance, and security
- Replace student Chromebooks and laptops.
- Upgrade electrical systems district-wide - Including data server rooms emergency backup generators and fiber optic network systems.
- Student Information System - including software and staff development.

The cost of these improvements is estimated at: \$79,000,000.

Other Projects

- Exterior and interior finishes such as paint and flooring, site work, freezer and cooler replacement , and other miscellaneous upgrades
- Replace playground equipment
- Replace reader boards
- South satellite bus facility

The cost of these improvements is estimated at: \$6,200,000.

Facilities Needs 2029-2044

Planned Improvements

To house the district-wide projected enrollment from 2029 through 2044, the district would need to construct new schools and/or classroom additions at various school sites throughout the district. To prepare for this projected growth, the district will need to acquire additional sites for new schools.

To accommodate the enrollment growth from 2029 to 2044 the district anticipates the need for the following facilities:

- Elementary school level
 - 120 Classrooms / 2,630 capacity (equivalent to four (4) new schools and additions to existing schools)
- Middle school level
 - 38 Classrooms / 821 capacity (equivalent to one (1) new school and additions to existing school(s))
- High school level
 - 21 Classrooms / 507 capacity (equivalent additions to existing school(s) or one (1) new small high school)

Table 9
Capital Facilities Plan

	Estimated Project Cost by Year - in \$ Millions						Potential Funding Source		
	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Secured 2022 Capital Levy Funds	Secured Mitigation & Impact Fees	Future Funds*
Improvements Adding Student Capacity									
Elementary School									
Jackson ES - Part of new in lieu of modernization project - 6 Classrooms	\$0.100	\$0.500	\$4.600	\$2.100			X		
Madison ES - Part of new in lieu of modernization project - 4 Classrooms				\$0.400	\$4.300	\$1.600	X		X
Elementary - 4 Classrooms - location TBD					\$4.300	\$2.300	X		X
Portable Relocations / Purchase	\$2.000	\$0.695	\$0.695	\$0.695	\$0.695	\$0.695		X	X
Middle School									
Portable Relocations / Purchase	\$0.000	\$0.175	\$0.650	\$0.650	\$0.175	\$0.175		X	X
High School									
Auditorium Bldg Modernization - 3 classrooms					\$3.393		X		X
Portable Relocations / Purchase			\$0.175	\$0.175	\$0.175	\$0.175		X	
Subtotal	\$2.100	\$1.370	\$6.120	\$4.020	\$13.038	\$4.945			
Property Adding Student Capacity									
Purchase property for future elementary school	\$0.000	\$3.600					X		X
Subtotal	\$0.000	\$3.600	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000	\$0.000			
Improvements Not Adding Student Capacity									
Local Projects - Sirework, Finishes, Mechanical, Electrical	\$1.775	\$1.900	\$1.125	\$1.150	\$1.050	\$1.100	X		
Freezer & Cooler replacement - 2 schools		\$0.385	\$0.594				X		
Jackson ES - New in lieu of modernization project	\$0.175	\$20.743	\$18.500	\$7.200					
Madison ES - New in lieu of modernization project		\$0.400	\$33.500	\$28.000	\$4.450	\$4.450	X		X
Cascade HS - Science building new in lieu of modernization		\$0.200	\$0.800	\$15.500	\$9.700	\$26.200	X		X
Everett HS - Cafeteria & classroom modernization			\$0.900	\$13.800	\$18.500	\$0.100	X		X
HM Jackson HS - STEM classroom upgrades	\$0.310	\$0.300					X		
Cascade HS - Cafeteria & kitchen upgrade					\$6.830	\$6.830	X		X
Safety and security upgrades	\$0.925	\$1.767	\$0.585	\$1.801	\$0.820	\$0.500	X		
Bleacher Replacement - 2 schools - HM Jackson HS & Cascade HS	\$1.100						X		
Replace playground equipment - 5 schools		\$0.450	\$0.450	\$0.450	\$0.450	\$0.450	X		
Cascade HS - Main Gym Floor Replacement	\$0.530								X
Readerboards - 12 Schools		\$0.360	\$0.360	\$0.360	\$0.360	\$0.360	X		
South satellite bus facility					\$0.900	\$0.900			X
Clean Building Act - Upgrade HVAC/Roofing/Floor systems	\$2.755	\$6.873	\$8.300	\$7.600	\$6.300	\$5.600			
District-wide technology infrastructure & upgrades	\$13.100	\$13.100	\$13.200	\$13.200	\$13.200	\$13.200	X		
Subtotal	\$20.670	\$45.878	\$44.614	\$79.861	\$85.080	\$43.190			
Total	\$22.770	\$50.848	\$50.734	\$83.881	\$98.118	\$48.135			

Source: Everett School District

*Funding from future bonds, levies, mitigation fees and impact fees.

Updated: 5/07/2024

CAPITAL FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN

Six-Year Finance Plan

The *Capital Facilities Plan* (Table 9) demonstrates how the Everett School District intends to fund new construction and improvements to school facilities for the years 2024 through 2029. The financing components include 1) secured funding from capital projects bonds and levies; 2) secured funding from other sources - property sales, school mitigation, and impact fees, state funding assistance from prior construction projects, and mitigation fee credits from the 2007 purchase of the 30-acre property on 180th St SE; and 3) unsecured future funding sources - school mitigation and impact fees not yet collected, bonds and levies not yet approved and grants. The financing plan also separates projects and portions of projects which add permanent building capacity from those which do not.

Funding for the Plan

General Obligation Bonds

Bonds are typically used to fund the construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects. A 60% voter approval is required to pass a bond. Bonds are sold and then retired through the collection of property taxes. The Everett School District passed capital improvements bonds for \$96.5 million in 1990, \$68.5 million in 1996, \$74.0 million in 2002, \$198.9 million in 2006, and \$149.7 million in 2016. Historically, most major projects have been financed by these bonds.

Capital Levies

In February 2022, the voters of the district approved a \$325.5 million replacement Capital Levy. In April 2016, the voters of the district approved an \$89.6 million replacement Capital Levy for Safety, Building, and Instructional Technology Improvements. In 2010, voters approved a Building Repair and Technology levy authorizing the district to collect \$48 million from property taxes over six years for capital improvements to facilities and technology.

School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP)

State funding assistance comes from the Common School Construction Fund (28A.515 RCW). Bonds are sold on behalf of the fund and then retired from revenues accruing predominantly from the sale of renewable resources (i.e. - timber) from state school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate funds or the State Board of Education can establish a moratorium on certain projects.

School districts may qualify for state funding assistance for a specific capital project. To qualify, a project must first meet a state-established criterion of need. This is determined through a formula that specifies the amount of square footage the state will help finance to house the enrollment projected for the district. If a project qualifies, it can become part of a state prioritization system. This system prioritizes the allocation of available funding resources to school districts statewide based on seven prioritization categories. Funds are then disbursed to the districts based on a formula that calculates district assessed valuation per pupil relative to the whole state assessed valuation per pupil to establish the percent of the total project cost to be paid by the state for eligible projects. The 2024 state funding assistance percentages, for

recognized project costs in Snohomish County, range from a minimum of 20.00% to a maximum of 68.20%. The district's current state funding assistance percentage is: 52.56%.

State funding assistance can only be applied for and received for major school construction projects. Site acquisition and minor improvements are not eligible to receive funding assistance from the state. Because the availability of state funding assistance has not kept pace with the rapid enrollment growth occurring in many of Washington's school districts, sometimes funding assistance from the state is not received by a school district until after a school has been constructed. In such cases, the district must "front fund" a project. That is, the district must finance the complete project with local funds. Sometimes borrowing funds that are allocated to future projects, until the state distributes their funding assistance. When the state funding assistance is received, the future projects' accounts are reimbursed.

Currently, the district is over the allowance of square footage per student for state assistance and, therefore, not currently eligible for state funding assistance on projects that provide increased student capacity. The district remains eligible for state funding assistance for modernization and new in lieu of modernization projects.

Construction Cost Allocation (CCA): This number is generated by OSPI as a guide for determining the area cost allocation for new school construction. The CCA is adjusted regularly for inflation. As of July 1, 2024, the CCA has been adjusted to \$375.00 per square foot.

School Impact Fees

Impact fees, assessed on new housing developments, have been adopted by several jurisdictions as a means of supplementing traditional funding sources for the construction of public facilities needed to accommodate the population growth attributed to the new development. School impact fees are generally collected by the permitting agency at the time of issuance of building permits or, in a limited number of instances, the issuance of certificates of occupancy. The district's impact fees are calculated on worksheets contained in Appendix A and are summarized in Table 11.

Impact fees have been calculated utilizing the formula in Chapter 30.66C SCC. The resulting figures are based on the district's cost per dwelling unit: to purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools, and purchase, install or relocate portables. Credits have also been applied in the formula to account for state funding assistance to be reimbursed to the district and projected future property taxes to be paid by the owner of a dwelling unit. The costs of projects that do not add capacity or which only address existing deficiencies have been eliminated from the variables used in the calculations as indicated in Table 12 – *Impact Fee Variables*.

Calculation Criteria / Impact Fee Variables (See Table 12 – *Impact Fee Variables*)

Student Factor: The student factor or Student Generation Rate (SGR) is the average number of students generated by each housing type, whether single-family detached dwellings or multiple-family dwellings. Multiple-family dwellings in a single structure, are broken out into zero-to-one bedroom units and two or more bedroom units.

Pursuant to a requirement of Chapter 30.66C SCC, each school district is required to conduct a student generation study within their jurisdiction. This is done to “localize” generation rates for purposes of calculating impact fees. A description of this methodology is contained in Appendix B.

The current student generation rates for the district are:

Table 10
Student Generation Rates

Housing Type	K-5	6-8	9-12	K-12
Single Family	0.407	0.154	0.102	0.664
Multiple Family, 2+ BR	0.139	0.053	0.052	0.243

Note: Due to rounding, calculated K-12 Student Generation Rate totals may not equal the sum of individual grade rates

Impact Fee Schedule

Table 11
Calculated Impact Fees
Everett School District

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Unit
Single Family	\$25,112
Multiple Family, 0-1 BR	\$0
Multiple Family, 2+ BR	\$8,514
Duplexes and Townhouses	\$8,514

School Impact Fees with 50% discount
Everett School District

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Unit
Single Family	\$12,556
Multiple Family, 0-1 BR	\$0
Multiple Family, 2+ BR	\$4,257
Duplexes and Townhouses	\$4,257

Table 12
Impact Fee Variables
Everett School District

Criteria	Elementary	Middle	High
Site Acquisition Cost Element			
Site Size (acres)	n/a	n/a	n/a
Average Land Cost Per Acre	n/a	n/a	n/a
Total Land Cost	n/a	n/a	n/a
Additional Land Capacity	n/a	n/a	n/a
Student Factor			
Single Family	0.407	0.154	0.102
Multiple Family 0-1 Bedroom	0.000	.000	0.000
Multiple Family 2+ Bedrooms	0.139	0.053	0.052
School Construction Cost Element	Additional Classrooms	n/a	n/a
Additional Building Capacity	308	0	0
Current Facility Square Footage	1,085,671	552,780	838,854
Estimated Facility Construction Cost	\$20,200,000	\$0	\$0
Relocatable Facilities (portables) Cost Element	Additional & Relocation of Portables	Additional & Relocation of Portables	n/a
Additional Building Capacity	330	96	0
Current Facility Square Footage	85,120	25,088	15,232
Estimated Facility Purchase & Relocate Cost	\$5,475,000	\$1,825,000	\$0
State Financing Assistance Credit*			
School Space per Student (OSPI)	90	117	130
Construction Cost Allotment -- July 2024	\$375.00		
State Financing Assistance Percentage	52.56%		
Tax Payment Credit			
Interest Rate	3.48%		
Loan Payoff (Years)	10		
Levy Rate	0.000132		
Average Assessed Value	\$697,666 (Single Family), \$212,571 (MF 0-1 BR), \$294,163 (MF 2+ BR)		
Growth-Related Capacity Need			
Permanent Facilities	13.01%	183.98%	0.00%
Discount	50%	50%	50%

* The district is currently not eligible for state funding assistance on construction that adds capacity.

Appendix A

Impact Fee Calculations

SITE ACQUISITION COST

acres needed	0.00	x	cost per acre	\$0	/	capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor	0.407	=	\$0	(elementary)
acres needed	0.00	x	cost per acre	\$0	/	capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor	0.154	=	\$0	(middle school)
acres needed	0.00	x	cost per acre	\$0	/	capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor	0.102	=	\$0	(high school)
TOTAL SITE ACQUISITION COST											=	\$0	

SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION COST

total const. cost	\$20,200,000	/	capacity (# students)	308	x	student factor	0.407	=	\$26,693	(elementary)
total const. cost	\$0	/	capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor	0.154	=	\$0	(middle school)
total const. cost	\$0	/	capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor	0.102	=	\$0	(high school)
Subtotal								=	\$26,693	

Total Square Feet of Permanent Space (District) 2,477,305 / Total Square Feet of School Facilities 2,601,905

TOTAL FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST

= \$25,415

RELOCATABLE FACILITIES (PORTABLE MOVES AND PURCHASES)

total cost	\$5,475,000	/	capacity (# students)	330	x	student factor	0.407	=	\$6,753	(elementary)
total cost	\$1,825,000	/	capacity (# students)	96	x	student factor	0.154	=	\$2,928	(middle school)
total cost	\$0	/	capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor	0.102	=	\$0	(high school)
Subtotal								=	\$9,681	

Total Square Feet of Portable Space (District) 124,600 / Total Square Feet of School Facilities 2,601,905

TOTAL FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST

= 4.79%

STATE FINANCING ASSISTANCE CREDIT

Const. Cost Allocation	\$375.00	x	OSPI Allowance	90	x	State Financing Assistance %	0.00%	x	student factor	0.407	=	\$0	(elementary)
Const. Cost Allocation	\$375.00	x	OSPI Allowance	117	x	State Financing Assistance %	0.00%	x	student factor	0.154	=	\$0	(middle school)
Const. Cost Allocation	\$375.00	x	OSPI Allowance	130	x	State Financing Assistance %	0.00%	x	student factor	0.102	=	\$0	(high school)
Subtotal								x	Subtotal		=	\$0	

TOTAL STATE MATCH CREDIT

=

TAX PAYMENT CREDIT

3.48%) ^	10	years to pay off bond	- 1]	/	[interest rate	3.48%	x					
(1 + interest rate) ^	10	years to pay off bond] x		0.000132	Property tax levy rate	x					
assessed value											=	\$767	(tax payment credit)

IMPACT FEE CALCULATION

SITE ACQUISITION COST	\$0
FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST	\$25,415
RELOCATABLE FACILITIES COST (PORTABLES)	\$464
(LESS STATE FINANCING ASSISTANCE CREDIT)	\$0
(LESS TAX PAYMENT CREDIT)	(\$767)
(LESS COUNTY DISCOUNT)	(\$12,556)
(LESS ELECTIVE DISTRICT DISCOUNT)	\$0

FINAL IMPACT FEE PER UNIT

\$12,556

SITE ACQUISITION COST									
acres needed	0.00	x	cost per acre	\$0	/	capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor
acres needed	0.00	x	cost per acre	\$0	/	capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor
acres needed	0.00	x	cost per acre	\$0	/	capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor
TOTAL SITE ACQUISITION COST									
SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION COST									
total const. cost	\$20,200,000	/				capacity (# students)	308	x	student factor
total const. cost	\$0	/				capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor
total const. cost	\$0	/				capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor
TOTAL SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION COST									
Total Square Feet of Permanent Space (District)	2,477,305	/	Total Square Feet of School Facilities	2,601,905					
TOTAL FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST									
RELOCATABLE FACILITIES (PORTABLE MOVES AND PURCHASES)									
total cost	\$5,475,000	/				capacity (# students)	330	x	student factor
total cost	\$1,825,000	/				capacity (# students)	96	x	student factor
total cost	\$0	/				capacity (# students)	0	x	student factor
TOTAL RELOCATABLE FACILITIES CONSTRUCTION COST									
Total Square Feet of Portable Space (District)	124,600	/	Total Square Feet of School Facilities	2,601,905					
TOTAL FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST									
STATE FINANCING ASSISTANCE CREDIT									
Const. Cost Allocation	\$375.00	x	OSPI Allowance	90	x	State Financing Assistance %	0.00%	x	student factor
Const. Cost Allocation	\$375.00	x	OSPI Allowance	117	x	State Financing Assistance %	0.00%	x	student factor
Const. Cost Allocation	\$375.00	x	OSPI Allowance	130	x	State Financing Assistance %	0.00%	x	student factor
TOTAL STATE MATCH CREDIT									
TAX PAYMENT CREDIT									
[(1+ interest rate)	3.48%) ^	10	years to pay off bond	- 1]	/	[interest rate	3.48%	x
(1+ interest rate	3.48%) ^	10	years to pay off bond] x		Property tax levy rate	x	
assessed value	\$294,163								
IMPACT FEE CALCULATION									
SITE ACQUISITION COST									
FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST									
RELOCATABLE FACILITIES COST (PORTABLES)									
(LESS STATE FINANCING ASSISTANCE CREDIT)									
(LESS TAX PAYMENT CREDIT)									
(LESS COUNTY DISCOUNT)									
(LESS ELECTIVE DISTRICT DISCOUNT)									
FINAL IMPACT FEE PER UNIT									

Appendix B

Student Generation Rate Study



MEMORANDUM

To: Kim Ames
Everett Public Schools
3900 Broadway
Everett, WA 98201

Date: April 5, 2024

Project No.: F2253.01.003

From: Alex Brasch
Senior Population Geographer

Re: 2023–24 Student Generation Rates—Everett Public Schools

At the request of the Everett Public Schools (EPS/District), FLO Analytics (FLO) estimated student generation rates (SGRs) for residential housing units built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022. The SGRs represent the average number of EPS K–12 students (2023–24 headcount) residing in new single-family (SF) detached, townhome/duplex, and multifamily (MF) housing units. This memo details the methodology FLO used to create the SGRs and presents the findings by grade group and housing type.

Methods

As described by Snohomish County Planning & Development Services ([2022 Biennial Update to School District Capital Facilities Plans](#)), Snohomish County operates a school impact fee program authorized by RCW 82.02.040 and the Washington State Growth Management Act under Chapter 36.70A RCW. School districts that wish to collect impact fees must provide a school board adopted Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) for review by the County Planning Commission and County Council that fulfills the specifications of state law, the County comprehensive plan, and the County code. One requirement of CFPs is “impact fee support data required by the formula in Chapter 30.66C SCC, including a district-specific analysis to determine the student generation rate component of the fee calculation”.

As defined in Snohomish County code 30.91S.690, “SGRs mean the number of students of each grade span (elementary, middle/jr. high, high school) that a school district determines are typically generated by different dwelling unit types within the district.” In other words, SGRs represent the number of students residing in housing constructed within the most recent five-to-eight-year period by housing type and grade group (i.e., elementary, middle, and high school).

SGR calculations are based on housing information and student residences. FLO obtained and processed the necessary housing data from the Snohomish County Assessor’s Office and Information Technology Department, as well as the Puget Sound Regional Council, including parcel/tax lot boundaries with essential attributes—housing type, number of housing units, and year built. Housing units constructed in 2023 were excluded from the analysis, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023. To link the housing information to EPS students, the District provided FLO with 2023–24 headcount enrollment, which FLO geocoded to represent student residences. The student residences were then spatially matched to residential housing built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022.

With this combination of information, SGRs were calculated by dividing the number of students per grade group by the total number of housing units for each housing type. SGRs were calculated for the types of housing built in the district within the analysis period; namely, SF detached, townhome/duplex, and MF units. The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: SF attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units in the townhome/duplex category, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household. The MF category includes all structures with five or more housing units and structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked. The housing inventory does not include the information needed to differentiate between MF units with 2+ bedrooms and 1 bedroom or less; therefore, the MF rate includes all MF housing units and only applies to the "Multifamily 2+ bedrooms" category in Snohomish County code.

Results

Table 1 includes the number of housing units and SGRs for SF detached, townhome/duplex, and MF housing types, as well as the number of students by grade group that have addresses matching the housing units. Table 2 includes the unit counts, number of students, and SGRs for individual MF developments. Of the 19,118 students residing within the district, 1,190 live in the 1,793 SF detached units that were built between 2015 and 2022, while 230 live in the 980 townhomes/duplexes and 477 live in the 1,961 MF units built in the same period. On average, each SF detached unit yields 0.664 K–12 students, each townhome/duplex yields 0.235 K–12 students, and each MF unit yields 0.243 K–12 students.

Table 1: K–12 Students by Grade Group per Housing Unit Built 2015–2022

Housing Type	Housing Units	Students				SGRs			
		K–5	6–8	9–12	K–12	K–5	6–8	9–12	K–12
Single-family Detached	1,793	730	277	183	1,190	0.407	0.154	0.102	0.664
Townhome / Duplex ^(a)	980	133	40	57	230	0.136	0.041	0.058	0.235
Multifamily ^(b)	1,961	273	103	101	477	0.139	0.053	0.052	0.243

Notes

Housing units built in 2023 are excluded, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023.

(a) The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: single-family attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household.

(b) The multifamily category includes all structures with five or more housing units and structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked. The housing inventory does not include the information needed to differentiate between MF units with 2+ bedrooms and 1 bedroom or less; therefore, the MF rate includes all MF housing units and only applies to the "Multifamily 2+ bedrooms" category in Snohomish County code.

Sources

Everett Public Schools 2023–24 headcount enrollment, Snohomish County parcels, and Puget Sound Regional Council 2015–2022 new housing inventory.

**Table 2: K–12 Students by Grade Group per Housing Unit Built 2015–2022
for Multifamily Developments**

Name	Year Built	Housing Units	Students				SGRs			
			K–5	6–8	9–12	K–12	K–5	6–8	9–12	K–12
Baker Heights Property 00386200700001	2022	105	59	15	17	91	0.562	0.143	0.162	0.867
The Nines 00393200102400	2017	9	8	1	2	11	0.889	0.111	0.222	1.222
Kinect @ Broadway 00439076302200	2019	140	0	0	2	2	--	--	0.014	0.014
Rockefeller Square 00439161001000	2021	31	0	0	0	0	--	--	--	--
Marquee Apts. 00439162600800	2021	77	2	0	0	2	0.026	--	--	0.026
Nimbus Apts. 00439162700100	2022	166	1	0	1	2	0.006	--	0.006	0.012
The Landing at Port Gardner 00451401301700	2019	52	1	0	0	1	0.019	--	--	0.019
Riverview Apts. 00556332400500	2020	203	5	1	0	6	0.025	0.005	--	0.030
4220 Colby Ave 00582202100600	2019	18	0	0	1	1	--	--	0.056	0.056
Gateway Apts. 00633800002400	2017	177	75	44	43	162	0.424	0.249	0.243	0.915
Farm by Vintage Apts. 01213100000101	2020	354	77	32	22	131	0.218	0.090	0.062	0.370
Hamptons At Mill Creek 27050400200300	2019	71	4	2	0	6	0.056	0.028	--	0.085
Trinity Apts. 27050400200400	2017	51	13	1	4	18	0.255	0.020	0.078	0.353
Silver Creek Apts. 27051700303200	2020	42	2	1	3	6	0.048	0.024	0.071	0.143
North Creek Landing 27051800102300	2019	20	2	3	0	5	0.100	0.150	--	0.250
Northlake Court Townhomes 28051900103200	2015	55	21	3	4	28	0.382	0.055	0.073	0.509
Koz on N. Broadway 29051700203200	2020	124	0	0	1	1	--	--	0.008	0.008
Waterfront Place 29051800402000	2020	266	3	0	1	4	0.011	--	0.004	0.015

Notes

Housing units built in 2023 are excluded, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023. The multifamily category includes all structures with five or more housing units and structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked. The housing inventory does not include the information needed to differentiate between MF units with 2+ bedrooms and 1 bedroom or less; therefore, the MF rate includes all MF housing units and only applies to the "Multifamily 2+ bedrooms" category in Snohomish County code.

Sources

Everett Public Schools 2023–24 headcount enrollment, Snohomish County parcels, and Puget Sound Regional Council 2015–2022 new housing inventory.

Appendix C

OSPI Enrollment Projection Methodology

OSPI PROJECTED STUDENT ENROLLMENT 2024-2029

School Type	Grade Level	School Year & Grade Progression Percentage												AVG GP%
		2024	GP%	2025	GP%	2026	GP%	2027	GP%	2028	GP%	2029	GP%	
Elementary	K	1,477	--	1,453	--	1,430	--	1,406	--	1,382	--	1,359	--	--
	1	1,556	102.3%	1,511	102.3%	1,487	102.3%	1,463	102.3%	1,439	102.3%	1,414	102.3%	102.3%
	2	1,601	100.3%	1,561	100.3%	1,516	100.3%	1,492	100.3%	1,468	100.3%	1,443	100.3%	100.3%
	3	1,626	99.3%	1,589	99.3%	1,549	99.2%	1,504	99.2%	1,481	99.3%	1,457	99.3%	99.2%
	4	1,567	98.9%	1,609	99.0%	1,572	98.9%	1,533	99.0%	1,488	98.9%	1,465	98.9%	98.9%
	5	1,572	99.1%	1,553	99.1%	1,595	99.1%	1,558	99.1%	1,519	99.1%	1,475	99.1%	99.1%
Middle	6	1,593	98.5%	1,547	98.4%	1,529	98.5%	1,570	98.4%	1,534	98.5%	1,495	98.4%	98.4%
	7	1,550	98.2%	1,564	98.2%	1,519	98.2%	1,501	98.2%	1,541	98.2%	1,506	98.2%	98.2%
	8	1,472	98.1%	1,521	98.1%	1,535	98.1%	1,491	98.2%	1,473	98.1%	1,512	98.1%	98.1%
	9	1,388	96.9%	1,426	96.9%	1,473	96.8%	1,487	96.9%	1,444	96.8%	1,427	96.9%	96.9%
High	10	1,446	96.5%	1,339	96.5%	1,376	96.5%	1,421	96.5%	1,435	96.5%	1,393	96.5%	96.5%
	11	1,372	92.0%	1,329	91.9%	1,231	91.9%	1,265	91.9%	1,306	91.9%	1,319	91.9%	91.9%
	12	1,217	94.3%	1,294	94.3%	1,253	94.3%	1,161	94.3%	1,193	94.3%	1,232	94.3%	94.3%
			Growth%		Growth%		Growth%		Growth%		Growth%		Growth%	AVG%
Elementary Middle High TOTAL		9,399	98.5%	9,276	98.7%	9,149	98.6%	8,956	97.9%	8,777	98.0%	8,613	98.1%	98.3%
		4,615	102.3%	4,632	100.4%	4,583	98.9%	4,562	99.5%	4,548	99.7%	4,513	99.2%	100.0%
		5,423	98.2%	5,388	99.4%	5,333	99.0%	5,334	100.0%	5,378	100.8%	5,371	99.9%	99.5%
		19,437	99.3%	19,296	99.3%	19,065	98.8%	18,852	98.9%	18,703	99.2%	18,497	98.9%	99.1%

Source: OSPI Report 1049

Note: All projected enrollments shown are Full Time Equivalents (FTE).

School Type	Grade Level	School Year & Growth Progression Percentage																							
		2013	GP%	2014	GP%	2015	GP%	2016	GP%	2017	GP%	2018	GP%	2019	GP%	2020	GP%	2021	GP%	2022	GP%	2023	GP%	Avg GP%	
Elementary	K	1,592	--	1,545	--	1,464	--	1,571	--	1,623	--	1,657	--	1,624	--	1,445	--	1,576	--	1,532	--	1,521	--	--	
	1	1,569	105.2%	1,678	105.4%	1,622	105.0%	1,519	103.8%	1,596	101.6%	1,652	101.8%	1,688	101.9%	1,542	95.0%	1,550	107.3%	1,630	103.4%	1,596	104.2%	103.1%	
	2	1,517	98.1%	1,605	102.3%	1,693	100.9%	1,666	102.7%	1,524	100.3%	1,619	101.4%	1,646	99.6%	1,653	97.9%	1,591	103.2%	1,556	100.4%	1,638	100.5%	100.7%	
	3	1,461	99.3%	1,530	100.9%	1,636	101.9%	1,699	100.4%	1,682	101.0%	1,549	101.6%	1,638	101.2%	1,566	95.1%	1,624	98.2%	1,589	99.9%	1,584	101.8%	100.1%	
	4	1,528	98.6%	1,499	102.6%	1,585	103.6%	1,616	98.8%	1,691	99.5%	1,671	99.3%	1,567	101.2%	1,552	94.7%	1,558	99.5%	1,617	99.6%	1,586	99.8%	99.7%	
Middle	5	1,419	98.7%	1,546	101.2%	1,512	100.9%	1,589	100.3%	1,620	100.2%	1,710	101.1%	1,653	98.9%	1,520	97.0%	1,538	99.1%	1,565	100.4%	1,618	100.1%	99.8%	
	6	1,341	100.0%	1,400	98.7%	1,570	101.6%	1,486	98.3%	1,598	100.6%	1,593	98.3%	1,715	100.3%	1,593	96.4%	1,460	96.1%	1,517	98.6%	1,579	100.9%	99.1%	
	7	1,454	101.7%	1,366	101.9%	1,380	98.6%	1,566	99.7%	1,504	101.2%	1,587	99.3%	1,564	98.2%	1,628	94.9%	1,566	98.3%	1,469	100.6%	1,500	98.9%	99.4%	
	8	1,406	100.0%	1,449	99.7%	1,372	100.4%	1,424	103.2%	1,557	99.4%	1,485	98.7%	1,585	99.9%	1,507	96.4%	1,614	99.1%	1,533	97.9%	1,433	97.5%	99.3%	
	9	1,441	100.3%	1,438	102.3%	1,481	102.2%	1,375	100.2%	1,425	100.1%	1,565	100.5%	1,455	98.0%	1,508	95.1%	1,456	96.6%	1,565	97.0%	1,498	97.7%	99.1%	
High	10	1,422	98.8%	1,414	98.1%	1,422	98.9%	1,479	99.9%	1,366	99.3%	1,398	98.1%	1,510	96.5%	1,432	98.4%	1,449	96.1%	1,401	96.2%	1,492	95.3%	97.8%	
	11	1,275	93.7%	1,346	94.7%	1,318	93.2%	1,359	95.6%	1,328	89.8%	1,273	93.2%	1,291	92.3%	1,363	90.3%	1,327	92.7%	1,338	92.3%	1,291	92.1%	92.7%	
	12	1,357	103.9%	1,343	105.3%	1,398	103.9%	1,351	102.5%	1,340	98.6%	1,292	97.3%	1,207	94.8%	1,216	94.2%	1,311	96.2%	1,243	93.7%	1,240	92.7%	98.5%	
	Elementary	9,086	102.8%	9,403	103.5%	9,512	101.2%	9,660	101.6%	9,736	100.8%	9,858	101.3%	9,816	99.6%	9,278	94.5%	9,437	101.7%	9,489	100.6%	9,543	100.6%	100.7%	
	Middle School	4,201	98.3%	4,215	100.3%	4,322	102.5%	4,476	103.6%	4,659	104.1%	4,665	100.1%	4,864	104.3%	4,728	97.2%	4,640	98.1%	4,519	97.4%	4,512	99.8%	100.5%	
High School	5,495	100.3%	5,541	100.8%	5,619	101.4%	5,564	99.0%	5,459	98.1%	5,528	101.3%	5,463	98.8%	5,519	101.0%	5,543	100.4%	5,547	100.1%	5,521	99.5%	100.1%		
	TOTAL:	18,782	101.0%	19,159	102.0%	19,453	101.5%	19,700	101.3%	19,854	100.8%	20,051	101.0%	20,143	100.5%										

Note: All enrollments shown are Full Time Equivalents (FTE) as of October 1 of the year indicated.

Appendix D

OFM Ratio Enrollment Projection Methodology

Appendix D Enrollment Forecasts Ratio Method

The Growth Management Act requires that capital facility plans for schools consider *enrollment* forecasts that are related to official *population* forecasts for the District. Snohomish County prepares the population estimates by distributing official estimates from the Washington Office of Financial Management (OFM) to the school district level. In February 2022 the County adopted updated official school district population projections through 2044 (the horizon year for its GMA planning).

Table D-1			
Historical Student/Population Ratio			
Year	Population*	FTE Student Enrollment	Ratio
2006	122,733	18,538	15.10%
2007	124,578	18,573	14.91%
2008	126,150	18,743	14.86%
2009	127,730	18,828	14.74%
2010	129,842	18,660	14.37%
2011	130,441	18,613	14.27%
2012	131,111	18,590	14.18%
2013	132,833	18,272	13.76%
2014	135,654	19,159	14.15%
2015	138,715	19,453	14.02%
2016	142,060	19,700	13.87%
2017	145,052	19,854	13.69%
2018	148,092	20,051	13.54%
2019	149,372	20,143	13.49%
2020	148,194	19,525	13.18%
2021	150,072	19,620	13.07%
2022	151,916	19,555	12.87%
2023	152,913	19,576	12.80%
Projected			
2024	155,916	19,645	12.60%
2025	158,642	19,803	12.48%
2026	161,368	19,965	12.37%
2027	163,817	20,143	12.30%
2044	214,341	23,578	11.00%

The official Census population count for Snohomish County in 2020 was 827,957. The official population projections for all of Snohomish County is 1,136,310 in 2044. For the Everett School District, the County's official Census total in 2020 is 148,194, increasing to an estimated 214,341 in 2044.

The OFM ratio method computes past enrollment as a percentage of past population and then projects how those percentage trends will continue into the future. Table D-1 shows population estimates developed by Snohomish County over the past 27 years. Years 2010 and 2020 are official Census counts. Past enrollments as reported by the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) are shown along with the computed ratio of the population and enrollment figures.

Ratio estimates have shown a continual decline since 2006, reflecting a decline in the students per household as the population grows. A more significant decline in the ratio occurred in 2020-21, likely due to the effects of the COVID pandemic with its remote teaching, home schooling, student transfers and other anomalies. Future ratio trends and enrollment estimates (Table D-2) did not rely on 2020-21 numbers for this reason.

For its planning purposes, the District has accepted the County's estimated population for 2044 (214,341). The 2024-2044 population estimates were prorated using that figure, an average of

2,726 new residents per year through 2029 and 3005 new residents per year through 2044. These are official estimates from the County. The District assumes that the student population ratio will decline to 11.00% in 2044. The resulting enrollment forecasts are presented on Table D-2.

Table D-2								
Future Enrollments -- Ratio Method								
Actual		Estimated						
2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2044
Population								
151,916	152,913	155,916	158,642	161,368	163,817	166,543	169,266	214,341
Ratio								
12.87%	12.80%	12.60%	12.48%	12.37%	12.30%	12.22%	12.14%	11.00%
Enrollment								
19,555	19,576	19,645	19,803	19,965	20,143	20,350	20,522	23,578

Readers are reminded that long range enrollment forecasts are general estimates only. They will be reviewed and revised every two years as part of the updates required by County Code (SCC 30.66C).

Appendix E

Kendrick Enrollment Projection Methodology

Kendrick Enrollment Projection Methodology

W. Les Kendrick, Ph.D., Educational Data Solutions, LLC

Enrollment for the Everett School District was projected using grade progression methods (cohort survival ratios) that track the progress of students as they progress from grade to grade. This method compares the enrollment in a given year at a specific grade (e.g., 2nd grade) to the enrollment at the previous grade from the previous year (1st grade). The ratio of these two numbers provides an indication of whether enrollment typically stays the same, grows, or declines as students progress from one grade to the next. The progression ratios at each grade level were averaged over several years and then applied to the current year's grade level enrollment (e.g., 2nd grade) to predict next year's enrollment at the subsequent grade (e.g., 3rd grade). This was done for every grade except kindergarten. The numbers were then adjusted and modified based on additional information about housing and population growth within the District (more on this below).

Kindergarten enrollment was projected by comparing the kindergarten enrollment in a given year to county births 5 years prior to that year (birth-to-k ratio). The average of this number for the last several years was then used to predict next year's enrollment. The average was also applied to future known birth cohorts to project subsequent years. For years in which birth data was not available, births were projected based on forecasts of the county population available from State and local jurisdictions, State birth forecasts, the correlation between State and County birth rates, and an assessment of the most recently available fertility rates for the county.

After completing the initial forecast, the numbers were adjusted using new home construction data, county population forecasts, and forecasts of the future K-12 population in the county. New Home construction data was obtained from New Home Trends, including information about currently permitted units as well as information about future planned development within the Everett School District. Population forecasts for the county were obtained from State and county planning offices. And a forecast of the population for the Everett School District was created based on forecasts of growth for neighborhoods in and around the District and recent population estimates for the District. All of this information was considered and used to adjust the final forecast numbers so that they would more closely reflect expected changes in housing and population growth within the District's boundary area in the coming years.

**Kendrick Enrollment Projections – Medium Range
2024-29**

Enrollment Projections by Grade

Grade Level	Actual 2023	Projections					
		2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
K	1,521	1,518	1,478	1,566	1,598	1,597	1,601
1	1,596	1,582	1,557	1,519	1,604	1,637	1,636
2	1,638	1,609	1,602	1,580	1,537	1,623	1,656
3	1,584	1,657	1,624	1,621	1,593	1,550	1,637
4	1,586	1,584	1,666	1,636	1,628	1,600	1,557
5	1,618	1,596	1,596	1,681	1,647	1,638	1,611
6	1,579	1,618	1,585	1,588	1,668	1,634	1,625
7	1,500	1,578	1,623	1,593	1,596	1,676	1,642
8	1,433	1,470	1,573	1,622	1,587	1,590	1,670
9	1,498	1,398	1,454	1,559	1,603	1,568	1,571
10	1,492	1,439	1,368	1,425	1,524	1,567	1,533
11	1,291	1,382	1,339	1,276	1,325	1,417	1,457
12	1,240	1,215	1,338	1,299	1,234	1,282	1,371
Total	19,576	19,646	19,803	19,965	20,144	20,379	20,567

Enrollment Projections by Level

K-5	9,543	9,546	9,523	9,603	9,607	9,645	9,698
6-8	4,512	4,666	4,781	4,803	4,851	4,900	4,937
9-12	5,521	5,434	5,499	5,559	5,686	5,834	5,932

Appendix F

Levels of Service Report

2023-2024

Levels of Service Report

(October 2023 Enrollment)

Minimum Levels of service

Washington state law (RCW 36.70A.020) requires that public facilities and services necessary to support new housing developments shall be adequate to serve the development at the time the development is available for occupancy and use without decreasing current service levels below locally established minimum standards (minimum levels of services).

The Everett School District sets the minimum levels of service as the district-wide average class size and no larger than the class size goals. The class size goals are listed on page 2-4. The average class sizes for the 2023-24 school year are shown below.

Average Class Size	
Elementary	
Kindergarten	20.0
Grades 1 - 3	21.0
Grades 4 - 5	25.9
Middle School	
Grades 6 - 8	23.7
High School	
Grades 9 - 12	24.7

Appendix G

Impact Fee Report

Annual School District Report of Impact Fees Collected and Spent

Reporting Year (Calendar Year): 2022

School District Name: Everett School District #2

Date Submitted: 3/8/2023

Report Submitted By: Kim Ames, Facilities and Planning Specialist

IMPACT FEE RECEIPTS for reporting period (calendar year)

Total Amount Received: \$31,884

Details of Amount Received: (See Appendix A for listing of sources and amounts collected from each source.)

EXPENDITURES OF IMPACT FEES for reporting period (calendar year), received from Snohomish County,

Total Expenditures: \$758.34

List of Capital Facilities Projects and expenditure for each:

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Expenditures for Reporting Year</u>
Merchant Fees Sno County	\$758.34



Annual School District Report of Impact Fees Collected and Spent

Reporting Year (Calendar Year): 2023

School District Name: Everett School District #2

Date Submitted: 3/29/2024

Report Submitted By: Kim Ames, Facilities and Planning Specialist

IMPACT FEE RECEIPTS for reporting period (calendar year)

Total Amount Received: \$184,158

Details of Amount Received: (See Appendix A for listing of sources and amounts collected from each source.)

EXPENDITURES OF IMPACT FEES for reporting period (calendar year), received from Snohomish County,

Total Expenditures: \$725,049.32

List of Capital Facilities Projects and expenditure for each:

<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Expenditures for Reporting Year</u>
Merchant Fees Sno County	\$3,451.05
View Ridge ES Portable Moves	\$260,413.22
Woodside ES Portable Moves	\$298,039.49
Mill Creek ES Portable Moves	\$92,538.51
Penny Creek ES Portable Moves	\$70,607.05

GRANITE FALLS SCHOOL DISTRICT
CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN
2024–2029



Adopted: June 26, 2024

GRANITE FALLS SCHOOL DISTRICT
CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN
2024-2029

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Carl G. Cary, President
Karley Kincaid, Vice-President
Bill Dane
Erik Gochmour
Peter LeDoux

SUPERINTENDENT

Dana Geaslen

For information regarding the Granite Falls School District Capital Facilities Plan, contact the Office of the Superintendent, District Administration Office, 205 North Alder Avenue, Granite Falls, Washington 98252. Telephone: (360) 691-7717.

Approved by the Board of Directors on June 26, 2024

Table of Contents

	Page
Section 1. Introduction.....	2
Section 2. District Educational Program Standards.....	7
Section 3. Capital Facilities Inventory.....	10
Section 4. Student Enrollment Projections	13
Section 5. Capital Facilities Needs	15
Section 6. Capital Facility Financing Plan.....	17
Section 7. School Impact Fees	20
Appendix A	Population and Enrollment Data
Appendix B	Student Generation Rates
Appendix C	Impact Fee Calculations

INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

The Washington State Growth Management Act (the “GMA”) includes schools in the category of public facilities and services. School districts have adopted capital facilities plans to satisfy the requirements of the GMA, and to identify additional school facilities necessary to meet the educational needs of the growing student populations anticipated in their districts.

Granite Falls School District (the “District”) has prepared this Capital Facilities Plan (the “CFP”) to provide Snohomish County (the “County”) and the City of Granite Falls (the “City”) with a schedule and financing program for capital improvements over the next six years (2024-2029).

In accordance with the Growth Management Act, and Snohomish County Ordinance Nos. 97-095 and 99-107, this CFP contains the following required elements:

- Future enrollment forecasts for each grade span (elementary, middle, and high schools).
- An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by the District, showing the locations and capacities of the facilities.
- A forecast of the future needs for capital facilities and school sites.
- The proposed capacities of expanded or new capital facilities.
- A six-year plan for financing capital facilities within projected funding capacities, which clearly identifies sources of public money for such purposes. The financing plan separates projects and portions of projects which add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding.
- A calculation of impact fees to be assessed and support data substantiating said fees.

In developing this CFP, the District followed the following guidelines set forth in the Snohomish County General Policy Plan:

- Districts should use information from recognized sources, such as the U.S. Census or the Puget Sound Regional Council. Districts may generate their own data if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies. The information must not be inconsistent with Office of Financial Management (“OFM”) population forecasts. Student generation rates must be independently calculated by each district.
- The CFP must comply with the GMA.
- The methodology used to calculate impact fees must comply with the GMA. In the event that impact fees are not available due to action by the State of Washington (the “State”), the County or cities within the district, the district in a future CFP update must identify alternative funding sources to replace the intended impact fee funding.
- The methodology used to calculate impact fees must comply with the criteria and the formulas established by the County and the City.

The County's Countywide Planning Policies direct jurisdictions within the County to "ensure the availability of sufficient land and services for future K-20 school needs." Policy ED-11. The District appreciates any opportunity for cooperative planning efforts with its jurisdictions.



B. Overview of the District

The District's service area includes 466 square miles within the County, encompassing the City of Granite Falls and portions of unincorporated Snohomish County.

The District serves a student population of 2,146 (October 1, 2023 HC enrollment) with two elementary schools (K-2 and 3-5), one middle school (grades 6-8), one high school (grades 9-12), and one alternative high school (grades 9-12). For the purposes of facility planning, this CFP considers grades K-5 as elementary, grades 6-8 as middle school, and grades 9-12 as high school. For purposes of this CFP, capacity and enrollment in the alternative high school programs at Crossroads and Open Doors Academy are not included due to the specialized program criteria and, for Crossroads, a cooperative agreement to serve students from Lake Stevens School District.

The District has experienced moderate growth in recent years. Growth has been steady in the District since 2018 and is projected to continue to increase at all grade levels over the six year planning period. The District commissioned a Long-Range Facility Planning Report in 2023 in anticipation of potential growth, enrollment increases, and future capacity needs. This CFP identifies capital projects within the six year planning period needed to meet growth-related needs. These include projects to add permanent classrooms at both District elementary schools, which would increase permanent capacity by approximately 322 student seats, and an addition at Granite Falls Middle School to increase permanent capacity by 232 student seats. The schools will also be modernized/remodeled. The District is also beginning to plan for high school capacity solutions as growth continues at those grade levels.

FIGURE 1 - MAP OF DISTRICT AND FACILITIES



SECTION 2

DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM STANDARDS

School facility and student capacity needs are dictated by the types and amounts of space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program. The educational program standards which typically drive facility space needs include grade configuration, optimum facility size, class size, educational program offerings, classroom utilization and scheduling requirements, and use of relocatable classrooms (portables).

In addition to student population, other factors such as collective bargaining agreements, government mandates, and community expectations also affect classroom space requirements. Traditional educational programs are often supplemented by programs such as special education, bilingual education, preschool and daycare programs, computer labs, and music programs. These programs can have a significant impact on the available student capacity of school facilities.

A. Districtwide Educational Program Standards

Special programs offered by the District at specific school sites include, but are not limited to:

- Early Childhood Education, including ECEAP Preschool and Developmental Preschool;
- Highly Capable Program;
- English Language Learners; and
- Title 1 and Learning Assistance Programs.

District educational program standards may change in the future as a result of various external or internal changes. External changes may include mandates or needs for special programs, or use of technology. Internal changes may include modifications to the program year, class sizes, and grade span configurations. Changes in physical aspects of the school facilities could also affect educational program standards. The school capacity inventory will be reviewed periodically and adjusted for any changes to the educational program standards. These changes will also be reflected in future updates of this CFP.

The District educational program standards that directly affect school capacity are outlined below for the elementary, middle, and high school grade levels. Each grade span has a targeted level of service ("LOS") which is expressed as a "not to exceed" number. The minimum LOS for each grade span is expressed as "maximum average class size". This figure is used to determine when another class is added. When this average is exceeded, the District will add additional classes if space is available. Only academic classes are used to compute the maximum average class size.

The District has fully implemented full-day kindergarten and reduced K-3 class size requirements.

B. Educational Program Standards for Elementary Schools

- Class size for Kindergarten and grades 1-3 is targeted not to exceed 17 students, with a maximum average class size of 20 students (including for physical education, health, and library classes);
- Class size for grades 4 and 5 is targeted not to exceed 25 students, with a maximum average class size of 28 students;

C. Educational Program Standards for Middle and High Schools

- Class size for middle school grades 6–8 is targeted not to exceed 29 students, with a maximum average class size of 33 students
- Class size for high school grades 9-12 is targeted not to exceed 29 students, with a maximum average class size of 33 students;
- Special Education for some students will be provided in a self-contained classroom; and
- Identified students will also be provided other programs in classrooms designated as follows:
 1. Resource Rooms (i.e. computer labs, study rooms).
 2. Learning Support Rooms.
 3. Program Specific Classrooms (i.e., music, drama, art, culinary and manufacturing).

D. Minimum Educational Service Standards

The District will evaluate student housing levels based on the District as a whole system and not on a school by school or site by site basis. This may result in portable classrooms being used as interim housing, attendance boundary changes or other program changes to balance student housing across the system as a whole, while meeting the District’s paramount duties under the State Constitution. A boundary change or a significant programmatic change would be made by the District’s Board of Directors following appropriate public review and comment. The District may also request that development be deferred until planned facilities can be completed to meet the needs of the incoming population; however, the District has no control over the ultimate land use decisions made by the permitting jurisdictions.

The District’s intent is to adhere to the target facility service standards noted above without making significant changes in program delivery. At a minimum, average class size in the grade K-8 classrooms will not exceed 33 students and average class size in 9-12 classrooms will not exceed 33 students. For purposes of this determination, the term “classroom” does not include special education classrooms or special program classrooms (i.e. computer labs, art rooms, chorus and band rooms, spaces used for physical education, and other special program areas). Furthermore, the term “classroom” does not apply to special programs or activities that may occur in a regular classroom or to classes held in assembly halls, gyms, cafeterias, or other common areas.

The minimum educational service standards are not the District's desired or accepted operating standard.

For the school years of 2021-22 and 2022-23, the District's compliance with the minimum level of service was as follows:

2021-22 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
	33	21.61	33	31.06	33	26.39

* The District determines the reported service level by adding the number of students in regular classrooms at each grade level and dividing that number by the number of teaching stations. Portables are not included in this analysis.

2022-23 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
	33	23.34	33	30.63	33	28.11

* The District determines the reported service level by adding the number of students in regular classrooms at each grade level and dividing that number by the number of teaching stations. Portables are not included in this analysis.

SECTION 3 CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY

The facilities inventory serves to establish a baseline for determining the facilities necessary to accommodate future demand (student enrollment) at acceptable levels of service. This section provides an inventory of capital facilities owned and operated by the District including schools, relocatable classrooms, undeveloped land, and support facilities. School facility capacity was inventoried based on the space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program standards. *See* Section 2. A map showing locations of District facilities is provided as Figure 1.

A. Schools

The District maintains two elementary schools, one middle school, one high school, and an alternative high school. Mountain Way Elementary currently accommodates grades K-2, Monte Cristo Elementary serves grades 3-5, Granite Falls Middle School serves grades 6-8, and Granite Falls High School and Crossroads High School each serve grades 9-12.

School capacity was determined based on the number of teaching stations within each building and the space requirements of the District's adopted educational program. It is this capacity calculation that is used to establish the District's baseline capacity, and to determine future capacity needs based on projected student enrollment. Special purpose program spaces are not included within the "Teaching Station" count. The school capacity inventory is summarized in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

The alternative high school (Crossroads) is housed in separate District-owned facilities, and is not included in this CFP for the purposes of measuring capacity or projecting enrollment. Relocatable classrooms are not viewed by the District as a solution for housing students on a permanent basis. Therefore, these facilities were not included in the school capacity calculations provided in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

**Table 1
Elementary School Inventory**

Elementary School	Site Size (Acres)	Building Area (Square Feet)	Teaching Stations	Permanent Capacity	Year Built or Remodeled
Mountain Way Elementary	9.91	51,515	22	506	1989
Monte Cristo Elementary	26.0 ⁽²⁾	51,530	19	485	1995
TOTAL	35.91	103,045	41	991	

⁽¹⁾ Campus includes Granite Falls High School and the District's maintenance building.

Table 2
Middle School Inventory

Middle School	Site Size (Acres)	Building Area (Square Feet)	Teaching Stations	Permanent Capacity	Year Built or Remodeled
Granite Falls Middle	24.5 ⁽¹⁾	80,617 ⁽²⁾	16	464	1974, 2001, 2019

⁽¹⁾ Campus includes the District's Administration Building and Crossroads High School.

⁽²⁾ Includes main instructional building (63,091 sq. ft.), multi-purpose room (4,458 sq. ft.), and STEAM building (13,068 sq. ft.).

Table 3
High School Inventory

High School	Site Size (Acres)	Building Area (Square Feet)	Teaching Stations	Permanent Capacity	Year Built or Remodeled
Granite Falls High	26.0 ⁽¹⁾	132,718	18	522	2008

⁽¹⁾ Campus includes Monte Cristo Elementary School and the District's maintenance building.

B. Relocatable Classrooms

Relocatable classrooms are used on an interim basis to house students until funding can be secured to construct permanent classrooms. The District currently uses 14 relocatable classrooms at various school sites throughout the District to provide additional interim capacity (an additional three relocatable classrooms are located at Crossroads High School). A typical relocatable classroom can provide capacity for a full-size class of students. The District's relocatable classrooms have adequate useful remaining life and are evaluated regularly. Current use for the 2023-24 school year of relocatable classrooms throughout the District is summarized in Table 4.

Table 4
Relocatable Classroom (Portable) Inventory

Elementary School	Relocatables	Interim Capacity
Mountain Way Elementary	4	92
Monte Cristo Elementary	4	96
Middle School	Relocatables	Interim Capacity
Granite Falls Middle	6	159
High School	Relocatables	Interim Capacity
Granite Falls High	0	0
TOTAL	14	347

C. Support Facilities

In addition to schools, the District owns and operates additional facilities, which provide operational support functions to the schools. An inventory of these facilities is provided in Table 5.

Table 5
Support Facility Inventory

Facility	Building Area (Square Feet)	Site Location	Address
Administration	52,819	Administration Building	205 N. Alder Ave.
Technology Services ⁽¹⁾	3,200	Pop Rogers Building	307 N. Alder Ave.
Maintenance & Operations	52,819	Maintenance Building	1401 100th St. NE

⁽¹⁾ The Granite Falls Food Bank occupies approximately 75 percent of the building.

D. Land Inventory

The District owns undeveloped property adjacent to Granite Falls Middle School; the property is marshland and unsuitable for development.

E. Leased Facilities

The District does not lease any facilities for program needs. The District does lease space in the Pop Rogers Building to the local food bank.

SECTION 4 STUDENT ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS

A. *Projected Student Enrollment 2024-2029*

Enrollment projections are most accurate for the initial years of the forecast period. The District has used the methodology from the Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) to determine enrollment projections. The cohort survival method uses historical enrollment data to forecast the number of students who will be attending school the following year, applying a weighted average from the most recent years to project future enrollment. The OSPI cohort survival projections are included in Appendix A. Using these projections, the District anticipates an increase in enrollment increase of approximately 13.4% by the 2029-30 school year, with growth occurring at all grade levels.

OFM population-based enrollment projections were estimated for the District using OFM population forecasts as adopted by Snohomish County. Between 2018 and 2023, the District's total enrollment constituted 13.0% of the total population in the District. Assuming that between 2024 and 2029 the District's total enrollment will constitute 13.0% of the District's total population and using OFM/County data, a total enrollment of 2,327 HC is projected in 2029.

**Table 6
Projected Student Enrollment
2023-2029**

								Change	% Change
Projection	2023*	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	23-29	23-29
District/OSPI	2,146	2,238	2,268	2,304	2,351	2,379	2,434	288	13.4%
OFM/County	2,146	2,176	2,206	2,236	2,266	2,296	2,327	181	8%

* Actual October 2023 HC enrollment (including Crossroads)

For purposes of the capacity need analysis in Table 8B, the District uses the OSPI cohort survival projections as adjusted for students expected to be enrolled at Crossroads. Specifically, Table 8B uses the adjusted cohort survival projections, which figures reflect the "District/OSPI" figures in Table 6 above, with a downward adjustment for anticipated Crossroads enrollment. The District will monitor actual enrollment over the next two years and, if necessary, make appropriate adjustments in the next Plan update.

B. 2044 Enrollment Projections

Student enrollment projections beyond 2029 are highly speculative. Based on OFM/County data for 2044 and an estimated student-to-population ratio of 13%, 2,523 HC students are projected for 2044. The total enrollment estimate was broken down by grade span to evaluate long-term site acquisition needs for elementary, middle, and high school facilities. Enrollment by grade span was determined based on recent and projected enrollment trends at the elementary, middle school, and high school levels.

Projected enrollment by grade span for the year 2044¹ is provided in Table 7. Again, these estimates are highly speculative and are used only for general planning purposes.

Table 7
Projected Student Enrollment
(Ratio Method – OFM/County)
2044

Grade Span	Projected Enrollment
Elementary (K-5)	1,160
Middle School (6-8)	530
High School (9-12)	833
TOTAL (K-12)	2,523

¹ Snohomish County Planning & Development Services provided the underlying data for the 2044 projections.

SECTION 5 CAPITAL FACILITIES NEEDS

Projected available student capacity was derived by subtracting projected student enrollment (as adjusted) from existing school capacity (excluding relocatable classrooms) for each of the six years in the forecast period (2024-2029). Capacity needs are expressed in terms of “unhoused students.” Note that the identified capacity needs do not include growth-related capacity needs from recent development.

Table 8A below shows future capacity needs assuming no new construction during the planning period.

**Table 8A
Future Capacity Needs**

Grade Span	2029 Projected Unhoused Students - Total	2029 Projected Unhoused Students – Growth Post- 2023
Elementary (K-5)	67	67
Middle School (6-8)	126	126
High School (9-12)	48	48
TOTAL (K-12)	241	241

Projected student capacity is depicted on Table 8B. This is derived by applying the projected number of students to the projected capacity. Planned improvements (if any) by the District through 2029 are included in Table 8B. It is not the District’s policy to include relocatable classrooms when determining future capital facility needs; therefore interim capacity provided by relocatable classrooms (including additions and adjustments) is not included. Information on relocatable classrooms and interim capacity can be found in Table 4. Information on planned construction projects can be found in Section 6 and the Financing Plan, Table 9.

Table 8B
Projected Student Capacity
2024 - 2029

Elementary School Surplus/Deficiency

Elementary	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	991	991	991	991	991	991	991
Added Capacity							322
Total Capacity	991	991	991	991	991	991	1,313
Enrollment	977	989	1,003	1,040	1,041	1,057	1,074
Surplus (Deficiency)	14	2	(12)	(49)	(50)	(66)	239

Middle School Surplus/Deficiency

Middle	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	464	464	464	464	464	464	464
Added Capacity							232
Total Capacity	464	464	464	464	464	464	696
Enrollment	460	468	498	532	550	559	590
Surplus (Deficiency)	4	(4)	(34)	(68)	(86)	(95)	106

High School Surplus/Deficiency

High	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	522	522	522	522	522	522	522
Added Capacity							
Total Capacity	522	522	522	522	522	522	522
Enrollment	509	581	567	532	560	563	570
Surplus (Deficiency)	13	(59)	(45)	(10)	(38)	(41)	(48)

*Enrollment reflects the “District/OSPI” projections in Table 6 with a downward adjustment for students expected to be enrolled at Crossroads. See page 13.

SECTION 6

CAPITAL FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN

A. *Planned Improvements*

After conducting a Long Range Facility Planning process (completed in July 2023), the District has identified several capacity projects within the six year planning period needed to meet growth-related needs.

Permanent Capacity Adding Projects:

- Expanding Mountain Way Elementary School by adding eight new permanent classrooms, resulting in an increase in permanent capacity of 184 student seats. (Anticipated completion in 2029.)
- Expanding Monte Cristo Elementary School by adding six new permanent classrooms, resulting in an increase in permanent capacity of 138 student seats. (Anticipated completion in 2029.)
- Expanding Granite Falls Middle School by adding eight new permanent classrooms, resulting in an increase in permanent capacity of 232 students.
- Adding a new Early Learning Center, including kindergarten, which will relieve capacity at Mountain Way Elementary School.

The classroom addition projects described above will include expansion of core facilities needed to support the expanded capacity at the subject schools.

Noncapacity Projects:

- Modernization of the existing facilities for Mountain Way Elementary School, Monte Cristo Elementary School, and Granite Falls Middle School.

The District is starting to plan for high school capacity solutions as growth continues at those grade levels. Future updates to the CFP will include any specifically planned projects.

In the event that planned construction projects do not fully address space needs for student growth and a reduction in interim student housing, the Board could consider various courses of action, including, but not limited to:

- Alternative scheduling options;
- Changes in the instructional model;
- Grade configuration changes;
- Increased class sizes; or
- Modified school calendar.

Funding for planned improvements is typically secured from a number of sources including voter approved bonds, state school construction assistance program funds, and impact fees. Each of these funding sources is discussed in greater detail below.

B. Financing Sources

1. General Obligation Bonds/Capital Levies

Bonds are typically used to fund construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects, and require a 60% voter approval. Capital levies require a 50% voter approval and can be used for certain capital improvement projects. In April 2022, the District presented a \$3,000,000 technology and school improvements capital levy measure to its voters. The voters approved the levy, which includes funding for, among other things, the acquisition of computers and other technology equipment for student learning, and safety, energy efficiency and other capital improvements to school facilities. Subject to future Board action, the District anticipates presenting a bond proposal to the voters in 2027, which would include the addition/modernization projects at Mountain Way Elementary School, Monte Cristo Elementary School, Granite Falls Elementary School, and a new Early Learning Center.

2. State School Construction Assistance Funds

State School Construction Assistance funds come from the Common School Construction Fund. The State deposits revenue from the sale of renewable resources from State school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889 into the Common School Account. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate General Obligation Bond funds or the Superintendent of Public Instruction can prioritize projects for funding. School districts may qualify for State School Construction Assistance funds for specific capital projects based on a prioritization system. The District is currently eligible for state school construction assistance funds at the 57.08% level for eligible projects. The current Construction Cost Allowance, the maximum cost/square foot recognized for SCAP funding, is established in the State's biennial budget and currently is \$375.00/eligible square foot.

3. Impact Fees

Impact fees are a means of supplementing traditional funding sources for construction of public facilities needed to accommodate new development.

C. Six-Year Financing Plan

Table 9 demonstrates how the District intends to fund new construction and improvements to school facilities for the years 2024-2029. The anticipated financing components include future bond revenue, impact fees, and other future sources. Projects and portions of projects that remedy existing deficiencies are not appropriate for impact fee funding. Thus, impact fees will not be used to finance projects or portions of projects which do not add capacity or which remedy existing deficiencies.

Table 9
Capital Facilities Financing Plan

Improvements Adding Permanent Capacity (Costs in Millions)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bonds/ Levy/Other Local	State Match	Impact Fees
Elementary										
Mountain Way Addition					\$12.870		\$12.870	X	X	X
Monte Cristo Addition					\$11.160		\$11.160	X		X
Early Learning Center						\$35.000	\$35.000	X		X
Middle School										
Granite Falls MS Addition					\$14.150		\$14.150	X		X
High School										

Improvements Adding Temporary Capacity (Costs in Millions)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bonds/ Levy/Other Local	State Match	Impact Fees
Portables										
Various sites							TBD			X

Noncapacity Improvements (Costs in Millions)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bonds/ Levy/Other Local	State Match	Impact Fees
Elementary										
Mountain Way Modernization					\$30.430		\$30.430	X	X	
Monte Cristo Modernization					\$39.092		\$39.092	X		
Middle School										
Granite Falls MS Modernization					\$57.040		\$57.040	X		
High School										
Other										

SECTION 7 SCHOOL IMPACT FEES

The GMA authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands.

A. School Impact Fees in Snohomish County

The Snohomish County General Policy Plan (“GPP”) which implements the GMA sets certain conditions for school districts wishing to assess impact fees:

- The District must provide support data including: an explanation of the calculation methodology, a description of key variables and their computation, and definitions and sources of data for all inputs into the fee calculation.
- Such data must be accurate, reliable and statistically valid.
- Data must accurately reflect projected costs in the Six-Year Financing Plan.
- Data in the proposed impact fee schedule must reflect expected student generation rates from at least the following residential dwelling unit types: single family; multi-family/studio or 1-bedroom; and multi-family/2-bedroom or more.

Snohomish County and the City of Granite Falls’s impact fee programs require school districts to prepare and adopt CFPs meeting the specifications of the GMA. Impact fees are calculated in accordance with the formula, which are based on projected school facility costs necessitated by new growth and are contained in the District’s CFP.

B. Methodology and Variables Used to Calculate School Impact Fees

Impact fees are calculated utilizing the formula in the Snohomish County Impact Fee Ordinance. The resulting figures are based on the District’s cost per dwelling unit to purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools, and purchase/install relocatable facilities that add interim capacity needed to serve new development.

- The Site Acquisition Cost, School Construction Cost, and Temporary/Portable Facility Cost factors are based on planned or actual costs (on/off site required improvements) of growth-related school capacity. Costs vary with each site and each facility. See Table 9, Finance Plan. The “Permanent Facility Square Footage” is used in combination with the “Temporary Facility Square Footage” to apportion the impact fee amounts between permanent and temporary capacity figures. A student factor (or student generation rate) is used to identify the average cost per dwelling unit by measuring the average number of

students generated by each housing type. A description of the student factor methodology is contained in Appendix B. The District obtained for the first time a data set for multi-family dwelling units of one bedroom and less. However, the low rate of students residing in these units does not generate an impact fee.

- Where applicable, credits are applied in the formula to account for State School Construction Assistance funds to be reimbursed to the District and projected future property taxes to be paid by the dwelling unit. See page 18. The tax credit uses the 20-year general obligation bond rate from the Bond Buyer index, the District's current levy rate for bonds, and average assessed value of all residential units constructed in the District (provided by Snohomish County) by dwelling unit type to determine the corresponding tax credit.

The costs of projects that do not add capacity are not included in the impact fee calculations. Because the impact fee formula calculates a "cost per dwelling unit", an identical fee is generated regardless of whether the total new capacity project costs are used in the calculation or whether the District only uses the percentage of the total new capacity project costs allocated to the District's growth-related needs, as demonstrated in Table 8-A. For purposes of this Plan, the District's capacity adding projects are 100% growth-related. Furthermore, impact fees will not be used to address existing deficiencies. See Table 9 for a complete identification of funding sources.

The following projects are included in the impact fee calculation:

- A capacity addition at Mountain Way Elementary School.
- A capacity addition at Granite Falls Middle School

Please see Table 11 for relevant cost data related to each capacity project. The capacity addition at Monte Cristo Elementary School is not included in the impact fee calculation (as the Mountain Way Elementary School is used as the representative K-5 capacity project) but is eligible for impact fee revenue contribution as a growth-related project.

C. Proposed Granite Falls School District Impact Fee Schedule

Using the variables and formula described in subsection B, impact fees proposed for the District are summarized in Table 10. See also Appendix C.

**Table 10
School Impact Fees
2024**

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Dwelling Unit
Single Family	\$6,368
Townhomes/Duplex	\$3,160
Multi-Family (1 Bedroom)	No fee (\$0)
Multi-Family (2+ Bedroom)	\$3,160

Table 10 reflects a 50% adjustment to the calculated fee as required by local ordinances.

Table 11: Impact Fee Variables

Student Generation Factors – Single Family			Average Site Cost/Acre		
Elementary		.260			N/A
Middle		.099			
Senior		.105			
Total		.464			
Student Generation Factors – Multi Family (1 Bdrm)			Temporary Facility Capacity		
Elementary		.000	Capacity		22
Middle		.000	Cost		\$300,000
Senior		.000			
Total		.000	SCAP Funds Credit (OSPI)		
			Current State Match Percentage		57.08%
			Current Construction Cost Allocation		\$375.00
Student Generation Factors – Multi Family (2+ Bdrm)					
<i>[Used also for Townhomes – see Appendix B]</i>					
Elementary		.096	District Average Assessed Value (Sno Cty)		
Middle		.072	Single Family Residence		\$535,648
Senior		.062			
Total		.220	District Average Assessed Value (Sno Cty)		
Projected Student Capacity per Facility			Multi Family (1 Bedroom)		\$175,173
Mountain Way ES (addition) - 184			Multi Family (2+ Bedroom)		\$242,411
Granite Falls MS (addition) – 232					
Required Site Acreage per Facility					
Facility Construction/Cost Average (Table 9)			SPI Square Footage per Student (WAC 392-343-035)		
Mountain Way ES (addition)	\$12,870,000		Elementary		90
Granite Falls MS (addition)	\$14,150,000		Middle		108
			High		130
Permanent Facility Square Footage (GFSD Inventory)			Debt Service Tax Rate for Bonds/Capital Levy (Sno Cty)		
Elementary		103,045	Current/\$1,000		\$1.287320
Middle		80,617			
Senior		132,718	General Obligation Bond Interest Rate (Bond Buyer)		
Total	97.00%	316,380	Bond Buyer Index (avg 2/24)		3.48%
Temporary Facility Square Footage (GFSD Inventory)			Developer Provided Sites/Facilities		
Elementary		6,000	Value		0
Middle		4,500	Dwelling Units		0
Senior		0			
Total	3.00%	10,500			
Total Facility Square Footage					
Elementary		109,045			
Middle		85,157			
Senior		132,718			
Total	100.00%	326,880			

APPENDIX A

POPULATION AND ENROLLMENT DATA

Grade	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Actual	2021 Actual	2022 Actual	2023 Actual	Survival Percentage	2024 Projected	2025 Projected	2026 Projected	2027 Projected	2028 Projected	2029 Projected
Kindergarten	158	158	124	174	165	159		164	166	168	170	173	175
Grade 1	114	171	156	136	180	163	103.76	165	170	172	174	176	180
Grade 2	123	116	167	159	141	176	100.55	164	166	171	173	175	177
Grade 3	148	132	108	163	164	141	100.23	176	164	166	171	173	175
Grade 4	151	158	134	111	178	165	104.16	147	183	171	173	178	180
Grade 5	141	155	162	143	129	173	105.05	173	154	192	180	182	187
Grade 6	169	148	157	157	152	125	101.26	175	175	156	194	182	184
Grade 7	141	175	164	171	170	157	106.96	134	187	187	167	208	195
Grade 8	126	151	163	169	168	178	101.24	159	136	189	189	169	211
Grade 9	163	120	160	172	183	169	103.11	184	164	140	195	195	174
Grade 10	129	167	128	163	177	177	102.12	173	188	167	143	199	199
Grade 11	137	132	182	131	169	190	104.93	186	182	197	175	150	209
Grade 12	153	154	213	209	177	173	125.21	238	233	228	247	219	188
Total	1,853	1,937	2,018	2,058	2,153	2,146		2,238	2,268	2,304	2,351	2,379	2,434

Source: OSPI Form 1049 - January 2024

APPENDIX B

STUDENT GENERATION FACTOR REVIEW

The District does not yet have a reliable data set for purposes of calculating student generation rates. This is due in part to recent development moratoria in the City of Granite Falls. As such, the District has calculated student generation rates using an average of the rates published in the 2022 capital facilities plans (the last County-adopted set of plans) for the four school districts immediately surrounding Granite Falls School District: Arlington School District, Lake Stevens School District, Marysville School District, and Snohomish School District. All four of those districts prepared their own student generation rates in 2022 with those rates included in the adopted capital facilities plans. These averages reflect recent development trends in this area of Snohomish County. As a comparison to Snohomish County, King County has recognized that, where there is a lack of adequate development data within a district, data from adjacent districts, districts with similar demographics, or county wide averages must be used. See KCC 21A.06.1260.

The resulting average student generation rates are as follows:

	K-5	6-8	9-12
Single Family+	0.260	0.099	0.105
Townhome/Duplex^	0.096	0.072	0.062
Multi-Family 2+ Bedroom*	0.096	0.072	0.062
Multi-Family 1 Bdroom/less	<i>see note below</i>		

Student generation rates were not calculated for multi-family dwelling units with one bedroom or less as current data is insufficient for purposes of calculating an average.

^ For Townhome/Duplex units, the District is applying the MF 2+ bedroom SGR as those units previously were included by the sample districts within the Multi-Family 2+ bedroom data set.

APPENDIX C

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS									
DISTRICT	Granite Falls School District								
YEAR	2024								
School Site Acquisition Cost:									
((AcresxCost per Acre)/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor									
	Facility	Cost/	Facility	Student	Student	Student	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
	Acreage	Acre	Capacity	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	15.00	\$ -	450	0.260	0.096	0.096	\$0	\$0	\$0
Middle	20.00	\$ -	600	0.099	0.072	0.072	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	40.00	\$ -	256	0.105	0.062	0.062	\$0	\$0	\$0
						TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0
School Construction Cost:									
((Facility Cost/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor)x(permanent/Total Sq Ft)									
	%Perm/	Facility	Facility	Student	Student	Student	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
	Total Sq.Ft.	Cost	Capacity	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	97.00%	\$ 12,870,000	184	0.260	0.096	0.096	\$17,640	\$6,513	\$6,513
Middle	97.00%	\$ 14,150,000	232	0.099	0.072	0.072	\$5,857	\$4,260	\$4,260
High	97.00%		256	0.105	0.062	0.062	\$0	\$0	\$0
						TOTAL	\$23,497	\$10,773	\$10,773
Temporary Facility Cost:									
((Facility Cost/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor)x(Temporary/Total Square Feet)									
	%Temp/	Facility	Facility	Student	Student	Student	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
	Total Sq.Ft.	Cost	Size	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	3.00%	\$ -	25	0.260	0.096	0.096	\$0	\$0	\$0
Middle	3.00%	\$ -	30	0.099	0.072	0.072	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	3.00%	\$ -	32	0.105	0.062	0.062	\$0	\$0	\$0
						TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0
State School Construction Funding Assistance Credit:									
CCA X SPI Square Footage X District Funding Assistance % X Student Factor									
	CCA	SPI	Funding	Student	Student	Student	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
		Footage	Asst %	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	\$ 375.00	90	57.08%	0.260	0.096	0.096	\$5,009	\$1,849	\$1,849
Middle	\$ 375.00	108		0.099	0.072	0.072	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	\$ 375.00	130	0.00%	0.105	0.062	0.062	\$0	\$0	\$0
						TOTAL	\$5,009	\$1,849	\$1,849
Tax Payment Credit:							SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Average Assessed Value							\$535,648	\$242,411	\$242,411
Capital Bond Interest Rate							3.48%	3.48%	3.48%
Net Present Value of Average Dwelling							\$4,459,267	\$2,018,070	\$2,018,070
Years Amortized							10	10	10
Property Tax Levy Rate							\$1.29	\$1.29	\$1.29
	Present Value of Revenue Stream						\$5,752	\$2,603	\$2,603
Fee Summary:				Single	Townhome	Multi-			
				Family	Duplex	Family (2+)			
Site Acquisition Costs				\$0	\$0	\$0			
Permanent Facility Cost				\$23,497	\$10,773	\$10,773			
Temporary Facility Cost				\$0	\$0	\$0			
State SCFA Credit				(\$5,009)	(\$1,849)	(\$1,849)			
Tax Payment Credit				(\$5,752)	(\$2,603)	(\$2,603)			
FEE (AS CALCULATED)				\$12,736	\$6,320	\$6,320			
Fee (AS DISCOUNTED)				\$6,368	\$3,160	\$3,160			

Inspiring Excellence



LAKE STEVENS

School District

2024 – 2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN LAKE STEVENS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 4

prepared for:

Snohomish County

And

City of Lake Stevens
City of Marysville

Final
July 10, 2024

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

LAKE STEVENS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 4

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Mari Taylor, President
David Iseminger, Vice President
Nina Kim Hanson
Vildan Kirby
Paul Lund

SUPERINTENDENT

Mary Templeton, Ed.D.

This plan is not a static document. It will change as demographics, information and District plans change. It is a “snapshot” of one moment in time.

For information on the Lake Stevens School District Capital Facilities Plan contact Robb Stanton at the District (425) 335-1500

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION	1
Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan	1
Overview of the Lake Stevens School District	2
Significant Issues Related to Facility Planning in the Lake Stevens School District	3
SECTION 2: DEFINITIONS	4
SECTION 3: DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM STANDARDS	8
Educational Program Standards for Elementary Grades	9
Educational Program Standards for Middle, Mid-High and High Schools	9
Minimum Educational Service Standards	10
SECTION 4: CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY	11
Capital Facilities	11
Schools	11
Leased Facilities	12
Relocatable Classroom Facilities (Portables)	12
Support Facilities	13
Land Inventory	14
SECTION 5: STUDENT ENROLLMENT TRENDS AND PROJECTIONS	16
Historic Trends and Projections	16
2044 Enrollment Projection	17
SECTION 6: CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN	19
Existing Deficiencies	19
Facility Needs (2024-2029)	19
Forecast of Future Facility Needs through 2044	20
Planned Improvements (2024-2029)	20
Capital Facilities Six-Year Finance Plan	20
Impact Fee Calculation Criteria	25
Proposed Impact Fee Schedule	30

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 3-1: Minimum Educational Program Standards (MPES) Met	10
Table 4-1: School Capacity Inventory	12
Table 4-2: Portables	13
Table 4-3: Support Facilities	13
Table 5-1: Enrollment 2014-2023	16
Table 5-2: Projected Enrollment 2024-2029	17
Table 5-3: Projected 2044 Enrollment	18
Table 6-1: Projected Additional Capacity Needs 2024-2029	19
Table 6-2 Additional Capacity Need: 2029 & 2044	20
Table 6-3: Capital Facilities Plan 2024-2029	22
Table 6-4: Impact Fee Revenues and Expenditures	24
Table 6-5: Projected Growth-Related Capacity Surplus (Deficit) After Programmed Improvements	25
Table 6-6: Student Generation Rates	26
Table 6-7: Impact Fee Variables	29
Table 6-8: Calculated Impact Fees	30

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 1: Map of District Facilities	15
Figure 2: Lake Stevens School District Enrollment 2014-2023	16
Figure 3: Projected Lake Stevens School District Enrollment 2024-2029	17

APPENDICES

Appendix A: Impact Fee Calculations
Appendix B: OSPI Enrollment Forecasting Methodology
Appendix C: OFM Ration Method – 2044 Enrollment Estimate
Appendix D: Student Generation Rates – FLO Analytics
Appendix E: Board Resolution Adopting Capital Facilities Plan
Appendix F: SEPA Checklist and Determination of Non-Significance
Appendix G: Snohomish County General Policy Plan -- Appendix F

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

The Washington Growth Management Act (GMA) outlines thirteen broad goals including adequate provision of necessary public facilities and services. Schools are among these necessary facilities and services. The public school districts serving Snohomish County residents have developed capital facilities plans to satisfy the requirements of RCW 36.70A.070 and to identify additional school facilities necessary to meet the educational needs of the growing student populations anticipated in their districts.

This Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) is intended to provide the Lake Stevens School District (District), Snohomish County, the City of Lake Stevens, the City of Marysville and other jurisdictions a description of facilities needed to accommodate projected student enrollment at acceptable levels of service over the next twenty years (2044), with a more detailed schedule and financing program for capital improvements over the next six years (2024-2029). This CFP is based in large measure on the 2024 Facilities Needs Plan for the Lake Stevens School District.

When Snohomish County adopted its GMA Comprehensive Plan in 1995, it addressed future school capital facilities plans in Appendix F of the General Policy Plan¹. This part of the plan establishes the criteria for all future updates of the District CFP, which is to occur every two years. This CFP updates the GMA-based Capital Facilities Plan last adopted by the District in 2022.

In accordance with GMA mandates and Chapter 30.66C SCC, this CFP contains the following required elements:

Element	See Page	Table
Future enrollment forecasts for each grade span (elementary, middle, mid-high and high).	17	5-2
An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by the District, showing the locations and student capacities of the facilities.	12	4-1
A forecast of the future needs for capital facilities and school sites; distinguishing between existing and projected deficiencies.	19 20	6-1 6-2
The proposed capacities of expanded or new capital facilities.	22	6-3

¹ See Appendix F of this CFP

Element	See Page	Table
A six-year plan for financing capital facilities within projected funding capacities, which clearly identifies sources of public money for such purposes. The financing plan separates projects and portions of projects that add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding. The financing plan and/or the impact fee calculation formula must also differentiate between projects or portions of projects that address existing deficiencies (ineligible for impact fees) and those which address future growth-related needs.	22	6-3
A calculation of impact fees to be assessed and support data substantiating said fees.	Appendix A	
A report on fees collected through December 2023 and how those funds were used.	24	6-4

In developing this CFP, the guidelines of Appendix F of the General Policy Plan² were used as follows:

- Information was obtained from recognized sources, such as the U.S. Census or the Puget Sound Regional Council. School districts may generate their own data if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies. Information is to be consistent with the State Office of Financial Management (OFM) population forecasts and those of Snohomish County.
- Chapter 30.66C SCC requires that student generation rates be independently calculated by each school district. Rates were updated for this CFP by FLO Analytics (See Appendix C).
- The CFP complies with RCW 36.70A (the Growth Management Act) and, where impact fees are to be assessed, RCW 82.02.
- The calculation methodology for impact fees meets the conditions and test of RCW 82.02. Districts which propose the use of impact fees should identify in future plan updates alternative funding sources if impact fees are not available due to action by the state, county or the cities within their district boundaries.

Adoption of this CFP by reference by the County and cities of Marysville and Lake Stevens constitutes approval of the methodology used herein by those entities.

Overview of the Lake Stevens School District

The Lake Stevens School District is located six miles east of downtown Everett and encompasses most of the City of Lake Stevens as well as portions of unincorporated Snohomish County and a small portion of the City of Marysville. The District is located south of the Marysville School District and north of the Snohomish School District.

The District currently serves a student population of 9,423³ with seven elementary schools, two middle schools, one mid-high school, one high school and one homeschool partnership program (HomeLink).

² See Appendix G of this CFP

³ March 2024 Headcount Report

Elementary schools provide educational programs for students in kindergarten through grade five. Middle schools serve grades six and seven, the mid-high serves grades eight and nine and the high school serves grades ten through twelve. HomeLink provides programs for students from kindergarten through eighth grade. The District employs over 600 certificated staff members and over 600 classified staff for a total of over 1,200.

Significant Issues Related to Facility Planning in the Lake Stevens School District

The most significant issues facing the Lake Stevens School District in terms of providing classroom capacity to accommodate existing and projected demands are:

- Continued housing growth in the District.
- The need to have unhoused students before becoming eligible for state construction funding.
- The implementation of reduced class sizes at the K-3 level at all elementary schools.
- Uneven distribution of growth across the district and an imbalance in growth in the north and south ends of the district, requiring facilities to balance enrollment.
- Increased critical areas regulations, decreasing the amount of developable area on school sites.
- Discounted school impact fees and changes to how and when these fees are calculated and paid, none of which supports mitigating the true impact of development.
- The need for additional property and lack of suitable sites within Urban Growth Area (UGA) boundaries to accommodate school facilities.
- The elimination of the ability to develop schools outside of UGAs.
- The inability to add temporary capacity with portable classrooms on school sites without costly stormwater and infrastructure improvements.
- Aging school facilities.
- Projected permanent capacity shortfall by 2029 for K-5 of 1,249 students (with no improvements).

These issues are addressed in greater detail in this Capital Facilities Plan.

SECTION 2: DEFINITIONS

Note: Definitions of terms preceded by an asterisk (*) are provided in Chapter 30.9SCC. They are included here, in some cases with further clarification to aid in the understanding of this CFP. Any such clarifications provided herein in no way affect the legal definitions and meanings assigned to them in Chapter 30.9 SCC.

*Appendix F means Appendix F of the Snohomish County Growth Management Act (GMA) Comprehensive Plan, also referred to as the General Policy Plan (GPP).

*Average Assessed Value average assessed value by dwelling unit type for all residential units constructed within the district. These figures are provided by Snohomish County. The current average assessed value for 2024 is \$621,496 for single-family detached residential dwellings; \$175,173 for one-bedroom (*Small*) multi-family units, and \$242,411 for two or more bedroom (*Large*) multi-family units.

*Boeckh Index (See Construction Cost Allocation)

*Board means the Board of Directors of the Lake Stevens School District (“School Board”).

Capital Bond Rate means the annual percentage rate computed against capital (construction) bonds issued by the District. For 2024, a rate of 3.48% is used. (See also “Interest Rate”)

*Capital Facilities means school facilities identified in the District’s capital facilities plan that are “system improvements” as defined by the GMA as opposed to localized “project improvements.”

*Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) means the District’s facilities plan adopted by its school board consisting of those elements required by Chapter 30.66C SCC and meeting the requirements of the GMA and Appendix F of the General Policy Plan. The definition refers to *this* document, which is consistent with the adopted “2024 Facilities Needs Plan for the Lake Stevens School District,” which is a separate document.

Construction Cost Allocation (formerly the Boeckh Index) means a factor used by OSPI as a guideline for determining the area cost allowance for new school construction. The Index for the 2024 Capital Facilities Plan is \$375.00, as provided by OSPI.

*City means City of Lake Stevens and/or City of Marysville.

*Council means the Snohomish County Council and/or the Lake Stevens or Marysville City Council.

*County means Snohomish County.

*Commerce means the Washington State Department of Commerce.

*Developer means the proponent of a development activity, such as any person or entity that owns or holds purchase options or other development control over property for which development activity is

proposed.

*Development means all subdivisions, short subdivisions, conditional use or special use permits, binding site plan approvals, rezones accompanied by an official site plan, or building permits (including building permits for multi-family and duplex residential structures, and all similar uses) and other applications requiring land use permits or approval by Snohomish County, the City of Lake Stevens and/or City of Marysville.

*Development Activity means any residential construction or expansion of a building, structure or use of land or any other change of building, structure or land that creates additional demand and need for school facilities but excluding building permits for attached or detached accessory apartments, and remodeling or renovation permits which do not result in additional dwelling units. Also excluded from this definition is “Housing for Older Persons” as defined by 46 U.S.C. § 3607, when guaranteed by a restrictive covenant, and new single-family detached units constructed on legal lots created prior to May 1, 1991.

*Development Approval means any written authorization from the County and/or City, which authorizes the commencement of a development activity.

*Director means the Director of the Snohomish County Department of Planning and Development Services (PDS), or the Director’s designee.

District means Lake Stevens School District No. 4.

*District Property Tax Levy Rate (Capital Levy) means the District's current capital property tax rate per thousand dollars of assessed value. For this Capital Facilities Plan, the assumed levy rate is .00120.

*Dwelling Unit Type means (1) single-family detached residences, (2) townhomes and multiplex units (duplexes, triplexes and quadplexes) and (3) multi-family apartment or condominium units.

*Encumbered means school impact fees identified by the District to be committed as part of the funding for capital facilities for which the publicly funded share has been assured, development approvals have been sought or construction contracts have been let.

*Estimated Facility Construction Cost means the planned costs of new schools or the actual construction costs of schools of the same grade span recently constructed by the District, including on-site and off-site improvement costs. If the District does not have this cost information available, construction costs of school facilities of the same or similar grade span within another District are acceptable.

*FTE (Full Time Equivalent) is a means of measuring student enrollment based on the number of hours per day in attendance at the District’s schools. A student is considered one FTE if they are enrolled for the equivalent of a full schedule each full day.

*GFA (per student) means the Gross Floor Area per student.

*Grade Span means a category into which the District groups its grades of students (e.g., elementary, middle, mid-high and high school).

Growth Management Act (GMA) - means the Growth Management Act (RCW 36.70A).

*Interest Rate means the current interest rate as stated in the Bond Buyer Twenty Bond General Obligation Bond Index. For this Capital Facilities Plan an assumed rate of 3.48% is used, as provided by Snohomish County. (See also “Capital Bond Rate”)

*Land Cost Per Acre means the estimated average land acquisition cost per acre (in current dollars) based on recent site acquisition costs, comparisons of comparable site acquisition costs in other districts, or the average assessed value per acre of properties comparable to school sites located within the District. In 2024 the District estimates land costs to average \$200,000 per acre.

*Multi-Family Dwelling Unit means any residential dwelling unit that is not a single-family unit as defined by Chapter 30.66C. SCC³

*OFM means Washington State Office of Financial Management.

*OSPI means Washington State Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction.

*Permanent Facilities means school facilities of the District with a fixed foundation.

*R.C.W. means the Revised Code of Washington (a state law).

*Relocatable Facilities (also referred to as temporary classrooms or portables) means factory-built structures, transportable in one or more sections, which are designed to be used as an education space and are needed:

- to prevent the overbuilding of school facilities,
- to meet the needs of service areas within the District, or
- to cover the gap between the time that families move into new residential developments and the date that construction is completed on permanent school facilities.

*Relocatable Facilities Cost means the total cost, based on actual costs incurred by the District, for purchasing and installing portable classrooms.

*Relocatable Facilities Student Capacity means the rated capacity for a typical portable classroom used for a specified grade span.

*School Impact Fee means a payment of money imposed upon development as a condition of development approval to pay for school facilities needed to serve the new growth and development. The school impact fee does not include a reasonable permit fee, an application fee, the administrative fee for collecting and handling impact fees, or the cost of reviewing independent fee calculations.

*SEPA means the State Environmental Policy Act (RCW 43.21C).

*Single-Family Dwelling Unit means any detached residential dwelling unit designed for occupancy by a single-family or household.

*Standard of Service means the standard adopted by the District which identifies the program year, the class size by grade span and taking into account the requirements of students with special needs, the number of classrooms, the types of facilities the District believes will best serve its student population and other factors as identified in the District's capital facilities plan. The District's standard of service shall not be adjusted for any portion of the classrooms housed in relocatable facilities that are used as temporary facilities or from any specialized facilities housed in relocatable facilities.

*State Match Percentage means the proportion of funds that are provided to the District for specific capital projects from the State's Common School Construction Fund. These funds are disbursed based on a formula which calculates district assessed valuation per pupil relative to the whole State assessed valuation per pupil to establish the maximum percentage of the total project eligible to be paid by the State.

*Student Factor (Student Generation Rate [SGR]) means the number of students of each grade span (elementary, middle, mid-high and high school) that the District determines are typically generated by different dwelling unit types within the District⁴. Each District will use a survey or statistically valid methodology to derive the specific student generation rate, provided that the survey or methodology is approved by the Snohomish County Council as part of the adopted capital facilities plan for each District. (See Appendix C)

*Subdivision means all small and large lot subdivisions as defined in Section 30.41 of the Snohomish County Code.

*Teaching Station means a facility space (classroom) specifically dedicated to implementing the District's educational program and capable of accommodating at any one time, at least a full class of up to 30 students. In addition to traditional classrooms, these spaces can include computer labs, auditoriums, gymnasiums, music rooms and other special education and resource rooms.

*Unhoused Students means District enrolled students who are housed in portable or temporary classroom space, or in permanent classrooms in which the maximum class size is exceeded.

*WAC means the Washington Administrative Code.

⁴ For purposes of calculating Student Generation Rates, assisted living or senior citizen housing are not included.

SECTION 3: DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM STANDARDS

School facility and student capacity needs are dictated by the types and amounts of space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program. The educational program standards that typically drive facility space needs include grade configuration, optimum facility size, class size, educational program offerings, classroom utilization and scheduling requirements, and use of relocatable classroom facilities (portables). Educational Program Standards are the same as the minimum level of service as required by Appendix F of the Growth Management Comprehensive Plan.

In addition, government mandates and community expectations may affect how classroom space is used. Traditional educational programs offered by school districts are often supplemented by nontraditional or special programs such as special education, English as a second language, remediation, alcohol and drug education, preschool and daycare programs, computer labs, music programs, etc. These special or nontraditional educational programs can have a significant impact on the available student capacity of school facilities.

Examples of special programs offered by the Lake Stevens School District at specific school sites include:

- Behavioral Program
- Bilingual Program
- Career and Technical Education
- Community Education
- Conflict Resolution
- Contract-Based Learning
- Credit Retrieval
- Drug Resistance Education
- Early Learning Center, which includes ECEAP and developmental preschool
- Full-day Kindergarten
- Highly Capable
- Home School Parent Partnership (HomeLink)
- Language Assistance Program (LAP)
- Life Skills Self-Contained Program
- Multi-Age Instruction
- Multi-tiered Systems of Support
- Occupational and Physical Therapy
- Online Distance Learning
- Running Start
- Speech and Language Pathologists
- Structured Learning Center Self-Contained Program
- Summer School
- Title 1
- Title 2

Variations in student capacity between schools are often a result of what special or nontraditional

programs are offered at specific schools. These special programs require classroom space, which can reduce the regular classroom capacity of some of the buildings housing these programs. Some students, for example, leave their regular classroom for a short period of time to receive instruction in these special programs. Newer schools within the District have been designed to accommodate most of these programs. However, older schools often require space modifications to accommodate special programs, and in some circumstances, these modifications may reduce the overall classroom capacities of the buildings.

District educational program requirements will undoubtedly change in the future as a result of changes in the program year, special programs, class sizes, grade span configurations, state funding levels and use of new technology, as well as other physical aspects of the school facilities. The school capacity inventory will be reviewed periodically and adjusted for any changes to the educational program standards. These changes will also be reflected in future updates of this Capital Facilities Plan.

In addition, districts are wrestling with the outcomes from the McCleary decision and additional funding and requirements from OSPI and the state Legislature. Many of these outcomes, like full-day kindergarten and reduced class sizes at the elementary level and new graduation requirements at the high school level can have significant impacts to the use of facilities. These will need to be incorporated into the District's facility capacities and uses.

The District's minimum educational program requirements, which directly affect school capacity, are outlined below for the elementary, middle, mid-high and high school grade levels.

Educational Program Standards for Elementary Grades

- Average class size for kindergarten should not exceed **23** students.
- Average class size for grades 1-3 should not exceed **25** students.
- Average class size for grades 4-5 should not exceed **27** students.
- Special Education for students may be provided in a self-contained classroom. The practical capacity for these classrooms is **12** students.
- All students will be provided music instruction in a separate classroom.
- Optimum design capacity for new elementary schools is 650 students. However, the actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered.

Educational Program Standards for Middle, Mid-High and High Schools

- Class size for secondary grade (6-12) regular classrooms should not exceed **30** students.
- Special Education for students may be provided in a self-contained classroom. The practical capacity for these classrooms is 12 students.
- As a result of scheduling conflicts for student programs, the need for specialized rooms for certain programs, and the need for teachers to have a workspace during planning periods, it is not possible to achieve 100% utilization of all regular teaching stations throughout the day. Therefore, classroom capacity is adjusted using a utilization factor of 83% at the high school, mid-high and middle school levels.
- Some Special Education services for students will be provided in a self-contained classroom.
- Identified students will also be provided other nontraditional educational opportunities in classrooms designated as follows:
 - Resource Rooms (i.e., computer labs, study rooms).
 - Special Education Classrooms.

- Program Specific Classrooms:
 - Music
 - Physical Education
 - Drama
 - Family and Consumer Sciences
 - Art
 - Career and Technical Education

Optimum design capacity for new middle schools is 750 students. Optimum design capacity for new high schools is 2,000 students. The actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered.

Minimum Educational Program Standards

The Lake Stevens School District will evaluate student housing levels based on the District as a whole system and not on a school by school or site by site basis. This may result in portable classrooms being used as interim housing, attendance boundary changes or other program changes to balance student housing across the system.

The Lake Stevens School District has set minimum educational program standards based on several criteria. Exceeding these minimum standards will trigger significant changes in program delivery. If there are 27 or fewer students in a majority of K-5 classrooms, the standards have been met; if there are 30 or fewer students in a majority of 6-12 classrooms, the minimum standards have been met. The Lake Stevens School District meets these standards at all grade levels.

Table 3-1 – Minimum Educational Program Standards (MEPS) Met

Grade Level	Classrooms Meeting MLOS	Total Classrooms	% Meeting MEPS
Total Elementary	180	186	97%
Total Secondary	188	196	96%
District Total	368	382	96%

It should be noted that the minimum educational program standard is just that, a minimum, and not the desired or accepted operating standard. Also, portables are used to accommodate students within District standards, but are not considered a permanent solution. (See Chapter 4).

SECTION 4: CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY

Capital Facilities

Under GMA, public entities are required to inventory capital facilities used to serve the existing populations. Capital facilities are defined as any structure, improvement, piece of equipment, or other major asset, including land that has a useful life of at least ten years. The purpose of the facilities inventory is to establish a baseline for determining what facilities will be required to accommodate future demand (student enrollment) at acceptable or established levels of service. This section provides an inventory of capital facilities owned and operated by the Lake Stevens School District including schools, portables, developed school sites, undeveloped land and support facilities. School facility capacity was inventoried based on the space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program standards (see Section 3). A map showing locations of District school facilities is provided as Figure 1.

Schools

The Lake Stevens School District includes: seven elementary schools grades K-5, two middle schools grades 6-7, one mid-high school grades 8-9, one high school grades 10-12, and an alternative K-8 home school partnership program (HomeLink).

The Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) calculates school capacity by dividing gross square footage of a building by a standard square footage per student. This method is used by the State as a simple and uniform approach for determining school capacity for purposes of allocating available State Match Funds to school districts for school construction. However, this method is not considered an accurate reflection of the capacity required to accommodate the adopted educational program of each individual district. For this reason, school capacity was determined based on the number of teaching stations within each building and the space requirements of the District's adopted education program. These capacity calculations were used to establish the District's baseline capacity and determine future capacity needs based on projected student enrollment. The school capacity inventory is summarized in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1 – School Capacity Inventory

School Name	Site Size (acres)	Bldg. Area (Sq. Ft.)	Teaching Stations - Regular	Teaching Stations - SPED	Perm. Student Capacity*	Capacity with Portables	Year Built or Last Remodel	Potential for Expansion of Perm. Facility
Elementary Schools								
Glenwood Elementary	9.0	50,513	22	2	474	599	1992	Yes
Highland Elementary	8.7	53,725	20	2	440	590	1999	Yes
Hillcrest Elementary	15.0	55,571	22		484	779	2008	Yes
Mt. Pilchuck Elementary	22.0	55,282	22	2	490	565	2008	Yes
Skyline Elementary	15.0	52,417	19	4	439	539	1992	Yes
Stevens Creek Elementary	20.0	83,244	26	2	574	624	2018	Yes
Sunnycrest Elementary	15.0	50,592	24		519	619	2009	Yes
Elementary Total	104.7	401,344	155	12	3,420	4,315		
Middle Schools								
Lake Stevens Middle School	25.0	86,206	26	5	637	829	1996	Yes
North Lake Middle School	15.0	91,516	34	2	787	887	2001	Yes
Middle School Total	40.0	177,722	60	7	1,424	1,716		
Mid-High								
Cavelero Mid-High School	37.0	225,612	62	8	1,484	1,529	2007	Yes
Mid-High Total	37.0	225,612	62	8	1,484	1,529		
High Schools								
Lake Stevens High School	38.0	312,598	86	6	1,997	1,997	2021	Yes
High School Total	38.0	312,598	86	6	1,997	1,997		
District Totals	219.7	1,117,276	363	33	8,325	9,557		

*Note: Student Capacity is exclusive of portables and includes adjustments for special programs.

Leased Facilities

The District does not lease any permanent classrooms.

Relocatable Classrooms (Portables)

Portables are used as temporary classroom space to house students until funding can be secured to construct permanent classroom facilities. Portables are not viewed by the District as a solution for housing students on a permanent basis. The Lake Stevens School District currently uses 92 portable classrooms at various school sites throughout the District to provide temporary capacity for K-12 students. This compares with 75 portables used in 2020. A typical portable classroom can provide capacity for a full-size class of students. Current use of portables throughout the District is summarized in Table 4-2.

Table 4-2 – Portables

School Name	Portable Classrooms	Capacity in Portables	Remaining Useful Life	Portable Area (ft ²)
<u>ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS</u>				
Glenwood	10	125	Good/excellent	8,960
Highland	10	150	Good	8,960
Hillcrest	21	295	Good/excellent	18,816
Mt. Pilchuck	9	75	Good	8,064
Skyline	11	100	Good/excellent	9,856
Stevens Creek	2	50	Excellent	1,792
Sunnycrest	7	100	Good	6,272
Elementary Total	70	895		62,720
<u>MIDDLE SCHOOLS</u>				
Lake Stevens Middle	11	192	Good	9,856
North Lake Middle	9	100	Good	8,064
Middle Schools Total	20	292		17,920
<u>MID-HIGH SCHOOL</u>				
Cavelero Mid-High	2	45	Excellent	1,792
Mid-High Total	2	45		1,792
<u>HIGH SCHOOL</u>				
Lake Stevens High School	0	0		0
High School Total	0	0		0
District K-12 Total	92	1,232		82,432

The District will continue to purchase or move existing portables, as needed, to cover the gap between the time that families move into new residential developments and the time the District is able to complete construction on permanent school facilities.

Support Facilities

In addition to schools, the Lake Stevens School District owns and operates additional facilities that provide operational support functions to the schools. An inventory of these facilities is provided in Table 4-3.

Table 4-3 – Support Facilities

Facility	Site Acres	Building Area (sq.ft.)
Education Service Center	1.4	14,771
Grounds	1.0	2,788
Maintenance	1.0	5,724
Transportation	6.0	15,589
Support Facility Total	9.4	38,872

Land Inventory

The Lake Stevens School District owns four undeveloped sites described below:


Ten acres located in the northeast area of the District (Lochsloy area), west of Highway 92. This site will eventually be used for an elementary school (beyond the year 2029). It is presently used as an auxiliary sports field.

An approximately 35-acre site northeast of the intersection of Highway 9 and Soper Hill Road bordered by Lake Drive on the east. This is the site of the district's newest elementary school and early learning center. The remainder of the site is planned for a future school.

A parcel of approximately 23 acres located at 20th Street SE and 83rd Street. This property was donated to the School District for an educational facility. The property is encumbered by wetlands and easements, leaving less than 10 available acres. It is planned to be a future elementary school.

A 2.42-acre site (Jubb Field) located in an area north of Highway #92 is used as a small softball field. It is not of sufficient size to support a school.

Figure 1 – Map of District Facilities




SECTION 5: STUDENT ENROLLMENT TRENDS AND PROJECTIONS

Historic Trends and Projections

Student enrollment in the Lake Stevens School District remained relatively constant between 1973 and 1985 (15%) and then grew significantly from 1985 through 2005 (approximately 120%). Between 2014 and 2023, student enrollment increased by 1,193 students, over 14%. The District has been and is projected to continue to be one of the fastest growing districts in Snohomish County based on the OFM-based population forecast. Population is estimated by the County to rise from 50,461 in 2020 to almost 67,294 in Year 2044, an increase of 33%.

Figure 2 – Lake Stevens School District Enrollment 2014-2023



Enrollment projections are most accurate for the initial years of the forecast period. Moving further into the future, economic conditions and demographic trends in the area affect the estimates. Monitoring population growth for the area is an essential yearly activity in the ongoing management of the capital facilities plan. In the event enrollment growth slows, plans for new facilities can be delayed. It is much more difficult, however, to initiate new projects or speed projects up in the event enrollment growth exceeds the projections. Table 5-1 shows enrollment growth from 2014 to 2023 according to OSPI and District records.

Table 5-1 - Enrollment 2014-2023

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023
Elementary	3,917	3,971	4,030	4,083	4,207	4,362	3,998	4,354	4,372	4,397
Middle	1,261	1,314	1,398	1,405	1,414	1,556	1,468	1,426	1,450	1,527
Mid-High	1,318	1,331	1,312	1,344	1,426	1,448	1,476	1,524	1,497	1,447
High School	1,757	1,776	1,871	1,814	1,828	1,834	1,912	2,021	2,020	2,075
Total	8,253	8,392	8,611	8,646	8,875	9,200	8,854	9,325	9,339	9,446

Note that the District's enrollment dropped by 346 students (3.8%) in 2020. In 2020, education was mostly held remotely due to the COVID-19 pandemic, and many districts experienced enrollment

declines. Unlike many districts, however, enrollment in Lake Stevens bounced back up by 471 students (5.3%) in 2021 and enrollment has continued to grow since.

The District has used either a Ratio Method for its projections or accepted the projections from the State Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI). The Ratio Method (See Appendix C) estimates future enrollments as a percentage of total population, which is tracked for past years, with assumptions being made for what this percentage will be in future years. Between 2010-2023, the average percentage was 18.46%. For future planning, a level rate of 17.41% was used through 2029 and for Year 2044. These assumptions recognize a trend toward lower household sizes offset by significant growth anticipated in the Lake Stevens area. OSPI methodology uses a modified cohort survival method which is explained in Appendix B.


Ratio Method estimates are found in Table 5-2. These have been adopted as part of this Capital Facilities Plan.

Table 5-2 - Projected Enrollment 2024-2029

	2023*	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Elementary School	4,397	4,469	4,499	4,528	4,557	4,613	4,669
Middle School	1,527	1,521	1,531	1,541	1,551	1,570	1,589
Mid-High School	1,447	1,521	1,531	1,541	1,551	1,570	1,589
High School	2,075	1,997	2,010	2,023	2,036	2,061	2,086
Total	9,446	9,508	9,571	9,633	9,695	9,814	9,933

*October 2023 Headcount

Figure 3 - Projected Lake Stevens School District Enrollment 2024-2029



In summary, the Ratio Method estimates that headcount enrollment will total 9,933 students in 2029. This represents a 5.2% increase over 2023. The District accepts the Ratio Method estimate for its 2024 CFP planning.

2044 Enrollment Projection

The District projects a 2044 student enrollment of 11,716 based on the Ratio method. (OSPI does not

forecast enrollments beyond 2029). The forecast is based on the County’s OFM-based population forecast of 67,294 in the District. Although student enrollment projections beyond 2029 are highly speculative, they are useful for developing long-range comprehensive facilities plans. These long-range enrollment projections may also be used in determining future site acquisition needs.

Table 5-3 - Projected 2044 Enrollment

Grade Span	Projected 2044 FTE Student Enrollment
Elementary (K-5)	5,467
Middle (6-7)	1,883
Mid-High (8-9)	1,878
High (10-12)	2,488
District Total (K-12)	11,716

The 2044 estimate represents a 24% increase over 2023 enrollment levels. The total population in the Lake Stevens School District is forecasted to rise by 24%. The total enrollment estimate was broken down by grade span to evaluate long-term site acquisition needs for elementary, middle school, mid-high school and high school facilities. Enrollment by grade span was determined based on recent and projected enrollment trends at the elementary, middle, mid-high and high school levels.

Again, the 2044 estimates are highly speculative and are used only for general planning purposes. Analysis of future facilities and capacity needs is provided in Section 6 of this Capital Facilities Plan.

SECTION 6: CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

Existing Deficiencies

Current enrollment at each grade level is identified in Table 5-2. The District currently (2023) has 977 unhoused students at the elementary level, 103 unhoused students at the middle school level and 78 unhoused students at the high school level. It has excess capacity (37) at the mid-high school.

Facility Needs (2024-2029)

Projected available student capacity was derived by subtracting projected student enrollment from 2023 permanent school capacity (excluding portables) for each of the six years in the forecast period (2024-2029). The District's enrollment projections in Table 5-2 have been applied to the existing capacity (Table 4-1). If no capacity improvements were to be made by the year 2029 the District would be over capacity at the elementary level by 1,249 students, 165 students at the middle school level, 105 students at the mid-high school and 89 students at the high school.

These projected future capacity needs are depicted on Table 6-1. This table compares actual future space needs with the portion of those needs that are "growth related." RCW 82.02 and Chapter 30.66C SCC mandate that new developments cannot be assessed impact fees to correct existing deficiencies. Thus, any capacity deficiencies existing in the District in 2021 must be deducted from the total projected deficiencies before impact fees are assessed.

Table 6-1 - Projected Additional Capacity Needs 2024 – 2029

Grade Span	2023 *	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Elementary (K-5)							
Permanent capacity	3,420	3,420	3,420	3,420	3,420	3,420	3,420
Enrollment	4,397	4,469	4,498	4,528	4,557	4,613	4,669
Capacity Surplus/(Deficit)	(977)	(1,049)	(1,078)	(1,108)	(1,137)	(1,193)	(1,249)
Growth Related		(72)	(101)	(131)	(160)	(216)	(272)
Middle School (6-7)							
Permanent capacity	1,424	1,424	1,424	1,424	1,424	1,424	1,424
Enrollment	1,527	1,521	1,531	1,541	1,551	1,570	1,589
Capacity Surplus/(Deficit)	(103)	(97)	(107)	(117)	(127)	(146)	(165)
Growth Related		0	(4)	(14)	(24)	(43)	(62)
Mid-High (8-9)							
Permanent capacity	1,484	1,484	1,484	1,484	1,484	1,484	1,484
Enrollment	1,447	1,521	1,531	1,541	1,551	1,570	1,589
Capacity Surplus/(Deficit)	37	(37)	(47)	(57)	(67)	(86)	(105)
Growth Related		(74)	(84)	(94)	(104)	(123)	(142)
High School (10-12)							
Permanent capacity	1,997	1,997	1,997	1,997	1,997	1,997	1,997
Enrollment	2,075	1,997	2,010	2,023	2,036	2,061	2,086
Capacity Surplus/(Deficit)	(78)	0	(13)	(26)	(39)	(64)	(89)
Growth Related		0	0	0	0	0	(11)

* October 2023 enrollment

Figures assume no capital improvements.

Forecast of Future Facility Needs through 2044

Additional elementary, middle, mid-high and high school classroom space will need to be constructed between 2022 and 2044 to meet the projected student population increase. The District will have to purchase additional school sites to facilitate growth during this time frame. By the end of the six-year forecast period (2027), additional permanent student capacity will be needed as follows:

Table 6-2 –Additional Capacity Need 2029 & 2044

Grade Level	2024 Capacity	2029 Enrollment	2029 Additional Capacity Needed	2044 Enrollment	2044 Additional Capacity Needed
Elementary	3,420	4,669	1,249	5,467	2,047
Middle School	1,424	1,589	165	1,883	459
Mid-High	1,484	1,589	105	1,878	394
High School	1,997	2,086	89	2,488	491
Total	8,325	9,933	1,608	11,716	3,391

Planned Improvements (2024-2029)

The following is a brief outline of those projects likely needed to accommodate unhoused students in the Lake Stevens School District through the Year 2029 based on OSPI enrollment projections.

Elementary Schools: Based upon current enrollment estimates, elementary student population will increase to the level of requiring two new elementary schools. The CFP reflects acquisition of land for two schools and the construction of one new elementary and expansion of two existing elementaries in 2026 and 2027, although the exact timing is unknown at this time.

Middle Schools: Based upon current enrollment estimates, middle school student population will increase to the level of requiring an expansion of an existing middle school. The CFP reflects the expansion of a middle school in 2027, although the exact timing is unknown at this time.

Interim Classroom Facilities (Portables): Additional portables will be purchased in future years, as needed. However, it remains a District goal to house all students in permanent facilities.

Site Acquisition and Improvements: Two additional elementary school sites will be needed in areas where student growth is taking place. The 10-acre Lochsloy property is in the far corner of the district, not in an area of growth and will not meet this need. Affordable land suitable for school facilities will be difficult to acquire.

Support Facilities

The District has added a satellite pupil transportation lot at Cavelero Mid High to support the growing needs for the district. This is a temporary measure until a site can be acquired and a new, larger pupil transportation center can be built.

Capital Facilities Six-Year Finance Plan

The Six Year Finance Plan shown on Table 6-3 demonstrates how the District intends to fund new construction and improvements to school facilities for the years 2024-2029. The financing components include bond issue(s), state match funds, school mitigation and impact fees.

The financing plan separates projects and portions of projects that add capacity from those that do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding. The financing plan and impact fee calculation formula also differentiate between projects or portions of projects that address existing deficiencies (ineligible for impact fees) and those which address future growth-related needs.

Table 6-3 – 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plan

Estimated Project Cost by Year (In \$Millions)	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total	Local Cost*	State Match
Improvements Adding Student Capacity									
Elementary									
Site Acquisition									
Acres				20			20		
Purchase Cost				\$ 4.00			\$ 4.00	\$ 4.00	\$ -
Capacity Addition				1300			1300		
Relocatable Facilities Cost							\$ -	\$ -	
Capacity Addition							0		
Construction Cost			\$148.90	\$ 70.70			\$219.60	\$187.10	\$32.50
Capacity Addition			850	125			975		
Middle									
Site Acquisition									
Acres							-		
Purchase Cost							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Capacity Addition							-		
Relocatable Facilities Cost		\$ 0.25	\$ 0.25				\$ 0.50	\$ 0.50	\$ -
Capacity Addition		50	50				100		
Construction Cost				\$ 98.80			\$ 98.80	\$ 71.50	\$27.30
Capacity Addition				200			200		
Mid-High									
Site Acquisition									
Acres							-		
Purchase Cost							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Capacity Addition							-		
Relocatable Facilities Cost		\$ 0.25		\$ 0.50			\$ 0.75	\$ 0.75	\$ -
Capacity Addition		50		100			150		
Construction Cost							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Capacity Addition							-		
High School									
Site Acquisition									
Acres							-		
Purchase Cost							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Capacity Addition							-		
Relocatable Facilities Cost							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Capacity Addition							0		
Construction Cost				\$ 27.70			\$ 27.70	\$ 27.70	\$ -
Capacity Addition				200			200		
Student Capacity Total Cost	\$-	\$ -	\$ 148.9	\$ 201.2	\$-	\$-	\$ 350.1	\$ 290.3	\$ 59.8
Improvements Not Adding Student Capacity									
Elementary									
Construction Cost							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Middle									
Construction Cost							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Mid-High									
Construction Cost							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
High School									
Construction Cost							\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
District-wide Improvements									
Construction Cost		\$13.30	\$ 7.40	\$ 7.00			\$ 27.70	\$ 27.70	\$ -
Non-Student Capacity Total Cost	\$-	\$13.30	\$ 7.40	\$ 7.00	\$-	\$-	\$ 27.70	\$ 27.70	\$ -
Elementary (including land acquisition)	\$-	\$ -	\$148.90	\$ 74.70	\$-	\$-	\$223.60	\$191.10	\$32.50
Middle	\$-	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.25	\$ 98.80	\$-	\$-	\$ 99.30	\$ 72.00	\$27.30
Mid-High	\$-	\$ 0.25	\$ -	\$ 0.50	\$-	\$-	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.75	\$ -
High School	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 27.70	\$-	\$-	\$ 27.70	\$ 27.70	\$ -
District Wide	\$-	\$13.30	\$ 7.40	\$ 7.00	\$-	\$-	\$ 27.70	\$ 27.70	\$ -
Annual Total	\$-	\$13.80	\$156.55	\$208.70	\$-	\$-	\$379.05	\$319.25	\$59.80

*Local Costs include funds currently available, impact fees to be collected and bonds or levies not yet approved.

General Obligation Bonds: Bonds are typically used to fund the construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects. A 60% voter approval is required to pass a bond. Bonds are then retired through collection of property taxes. A capital improvements bond for \$116,000,000 was approved by the electorate in February 2016. Funds have been used to construct a new elementary school and modernize Lake Stevens High School, as well as fund other non-growth-related projects.

The total costs of the growth-related projects outlined in Table 6-3 represent recent and current bids per information obtained through OSPI, the District's architect and neighboring school districts that have recently or are planning to construct classroom space. An escalation factor of 5.5% per year has been applied out to 2029.

State Match Funds: State Match Funds come from the Common School Construction Fund. Bonds are sold on behalf of the fund then retired from revenues accruing predominately from the sale of renewable resources (i.e., timber) from State school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate funds or the State Board of Education can establish a moratorium on certain projects.

School districts may qualify for State matching funds for a specific capital project. To qualify, a project must first meet State-established criteria of need. This is determined by a formula that specifies the amount of square footage the State will help finance to house the enrollment projected for the district. If a project qualifies, it can become part of a State prioritization system. This system prioritizes allocation of available funding resources to school districts based on a formula which calculates district assessed valuation per pupil relative to the whole State assessed valuation per pupil to establish the percent of the total project cost to be paid by the State for eligible projects.

State Match Funds can only be applied to major school construction projects. Site acquisition and minor improvements are not eligible to receive matching funds from the State. Because state matching funds are dispersed after a district has paid its local share of the project, matching funds from the State may not be received by a school district until after a school has been constructed. In such cases, the District must "front fund" a project. That is, the District must finance the project with local funds. When the State share is finally disbursed (without accounting for escalation) the future District project is partially reimbursed.

Because of the method of computing state match, the District has historically received approximately 30% of the actual cost of school construction in state matching funds. For its 2024 CFP, the District assumes a 30% match.

School Impact Fees: Development impact fees have been adopted by several jurisdictions as a means of supplementing traditional funding sources for construction of public facilities needed to accommodate new development. School impact fees are generally collected by the permitting agency at the time building permits or certificates of occupancy are issued.

Impact fees have been calculated utilizing the formula in Chapter 30.66C SCC. The resulting figures are based on the District's cost per dwelling unit to purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools and purchase, install or relocate temporary facilities (portables). Credits have also

been applied in the formula to account for state match funds to be reimbursed to the District and projected future property taxes to be paid by the owner of a dwelling unit. The costs of projects that do not add capacity or which address existing deficiencies have been eliminated from the variables used in the calculations. Only capacity improvements are eligible for impact fees.

Shown on Table 6-4, since 2012 the Lake Stevens School District has collected and expended the following impact fees:

Table 6-4 – Impact Fee Revenue and Expenditures

Year	Revenue	Expenditure
2023	\$ 2,782,209	\$ 1,889,623
2022	\$ 3,007,540	\$ 2,204,707
2021	\$ 3,855,167	\$ 4,334,823
2020	\$ 4,438,497	\$ 5,235,528
2019	\$ 4,483,964	\$ 4,177,428
2018	\$ 1,760,609	\$ 4,076,918
2016	\$ 1,595,840	\$ 1,872,014
2014	\$ 698,188	\$ 1,389,784
2013	\$ 1,005,470	\$ 22,304
2012	\$ 1,526,561	\$ -
Total	\$25,154,045	\$25,203,129

The law allows ten years for collected dollars to be spent.

By ordinance, new developments cannot be assessed impact fees to correct existing deficiencies. Thus, existing capacity deficiencies must be deducted from the total projected deficiencies in the calculation of impact fees.

The financing plan separates projects and portions of projects that add capacity from those that do not, since non-capacity improvements are not eligible for impact fee funding. The financing plan and impact fee calculation also differentiate between projects or portions of projects that address existing deficiencies (ineligible for impact fees) and those which address future growth-related needs (Table 6-1). From this process, the District can develop a plan that can be translated into a bond issue package for submittal to District voters, if deemed appropriate.

Table 6-5 presents an estimate of the permanent capacity impacts of the proposed capital construction projects. This does not take into consideration temporary facilities for the reasons stated earlier.

Table 6-5 – Projected Growth-Related Capacity (Deficit) After Programmed Improvements

2023	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High School
Existing Capacity	3,420	1,424	1,484	1,997
Programmed Improvement Capacity				
Capacity After Improvement	3,420	1,424	1,484	1,997
Current Enrollment	4,397	1,527	1,447	2,075
Surplus (Deficit) After Improvement	(977)	(103)	37	(78)
2024	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High School
Existing Capacity	3,420	1,424	1,484	1,997
Programmed Improvement Capacity	0	0	0	0
Capacity After Improvement	3,420	1,424	1,484	1,997
Projected Enrollment	4,469	1,521	1,521	1,997
Surplus (Deficit) After Improvement	(1,049)	(97)	(37)	0
2025	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High School
Existing Capacity	3,420	1,424	1,484	1,997
Programmed Improvement Capacity	0	0	0	0
Capacity After Improvement	3,420	1,424	1,484	1,997
Projected Enrollment	4,498	1,531	1,531	2,010
Surplus (Deficit) After Improvement	(1,078)	(107)	(47)	(13)
2026	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High School
Existing Capacity	3,420	1,424	1,484	1,997
Programmed Improvement Capacity	850	200	0	0
Capacity After Improvement	4,270	1,624	1,484	1,997
Projected Enrollment	4,528	1,541	1,541	2,023
Surplus (Deficit) After Improvement	(258)	83	(57)	(26)
2027	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High School
Existing Capacity	4,270	1,624	1,484	1,997
Programmed Improvement Capacity	125	0	0	200
Capacity After Improvement	4,395	1,624	1,484	2,197
Projected Enrollment	4,557	1,551	1,551	2,036
Surplus (Deficit) After Improvement*	(162)	73	(67)	161
2028	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High School
Existing Capacity	4,395	1,624	1,484	2,197
Programmed Improvement Capacity	0	0	0	0
Capacity After Improvement	4,395	1,624	1,484	2,197
Projected Enrollment	4,613	1,570	1,570	2,061
Surplus (Deficit) After Improvement*	(218)	54	(86)	136
2029	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High School
Existing Capacity	4,395	1,624	1,484	2,197
Programmed Improvement Capacity	0	0	0	0
Capacity After Improvement	4,395	1,624	1,484	2,197
Projected Enrollment	4,669	1,589	1,589	2,086
Surplus (Deficit) After Improvement	(274)	35	(105)	111

Impact Fee Calculation Criteria**1. Site Acquisition Cost Element**

Site Size: The site size given the optimum acreage for each school type based on studies of existing school sites OSPI standards. Generally, districts will require 11-15 acres for an elementary school; 25-30 acres for a middle school or junior high school; and 40 acres or more for a high school. Actual school sites may vary in size depending on the size of parcels available for sale and other site development

constraints, such as wetlands. It also varies based on the need for athletic fields adjacent to the school along with other specific planning factors.

This space for site size on the Variable Table contains a number only when the District plans to acquire additional land during the six-year planning period, 2024 - 2029. As noted previously, the District will need to acquire two additional elementary school sites between 2024 and 2029.

Average Land Cost Per Acre: The cost per acre is based on estimates of land costs within the District, based either on recent land purchases or by its knowledge of prevailing costs in the particular real estate market. Prices per acre will vary throughout the County and will be heavily influenced by the urban vs. rural setting of the specific district and the location of the planned school site. The Lake Stevens School District estimates its vacant land costs to be \$200,000 per acre. Until a site is located for acquisition, the actual purchase price is unknown. Developed sites, which sometimes must be acquired adjacent to existing school sites, can cost well over the \$200,000 per acre figure.

Facility Design Capacity (Student FTE): Facility design capacities reflect the District’s optimum number of students each school type is designed to accommodate. These figures are based on actual design studies of optimum floor area for new school facilities. The Lake Stevens School District designs new elementary schools to accommodate 650 students, new middle schools 750 students and new high schools 2,000 students.

Student Factor: The student factor (or student generation rate) is the average number of students generated by each housing type – in this case: single-family detached dwellings and multiple- family dwellings. Multiple-family dwellings, which may be rental or owner-occupied units within structures containing two or more dwelling units, were broken out into townhomes/multiplexes and multifamily apartment and condominium units. Pursuant to a requirement of Chapter 30.66C SCC, each school district was required to conduct student generation studies within their jurisdictions. A description of this methodology is contained in Appendix C. FLO Analytics performed the analysis. The student generation rates for the Lake Stevens School District are shown on Table 6-6.

Table 6-6 – Student Generation Rates

2024					
Student Generation Rates	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High	Total
Single Family Detached	0.370	0.110	0.090	0.117	0.687
Townhome/Multiplex (2,3,4)	0.086	0.025	0.012	0.018	0.141
Multifamily, 0-1 bedroom	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Multifamily, 2+ bedroom	0.035	0.015	0.004	0.027	0.081

2022					
Student Generation Rates	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High	Total
Single Family	0.348	0.091	0.090	0.101	0.630
Multiple Family, 0-1 Bedroom	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Multiple Family, 2+ Bedroom	0.092	0.031	0.000	0.023	0.146

Note: Townhomes were included in the Multifamily 2+ category prior to 2024.

The table also shows the Student Generation rates from the 2022 CFP. Per the report from FLO Analytics: “The multifamily category includes all structures with five or more housing units and

structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked. The housing inventory does not include the information needed to differentiate between MF units with 2+ bedrooms and 1 bedroom or less; therefore, the MF rate includes all MF housing units and only applies to the "Multifamily 2+ bedrooms" category in Snohomish County code." After several years of decline in the student generation rates, the 2024 report shows an increase in most grade levels for most housing types.

2. School Construction Cost Variables

Additional Building Capacity: These figures are the actual capacity additions to the Lake Stevens School District that will occur because of improvements listed on Table 6-3 (Capital Facilities Plan).

Current Facility Square Footage: These numbers are taken from Tables 4-1 and 4-2. They are used in combination with the "Existing Portables Square Footage" to apportion the impact fee amounts between permanent and temporary capacity figures in accordance with Chapter 30.66C. SCC.

Estimated Facility Construction Cost: The estimated facility construction cost is based on planned costs or on actual costs of recently constructed schools. The facility cost is the total cost for construction projects as defined on Table 6-3, including only capacity related improvements and adjusted to the "growth related" factor. Projects or portions of projects that address existing deficiencies (which are those students who are un-housed as of October 2023) are not included in the calculation of facility cost for impact fee calculation.

Facility construction costs also include the off-site development costs. Costs vary with each site and may include such items as sewer line extensions, water lines, off-site road and frontage improvements. Off-site development costs are not covered by State Match Funds. Off-site development costs vary and can represent 10% or more of the total building construction cost.

3. Relocatable Facilities Cost Element

Impact fees may be collected to allow acquisition of portables to help relieve capacity deficiencies on a temporary basis. The cost allocated to new development must be growth related and must be in proportion to the current permanent versus temporary space allocations by the district.

Existing Units: This is the total number of existing portables in use by the district as reported on Table 4-2.

New Facilities Required Through 2029: This is the estimated number of portables to be acquired.

Cost Per Unit: This is the average cost to purchase and set up a portable. It includes site preparation but does not include moveable furnishings in the unit.

Relocatable Facilities Cost: This is simply the total number of needed units multiplied by the cost per unit. The number is then adjusted to the "growth-related" factor.

For districts, such as Lake Stevens, which do not credit any portable capacity to the permanent capacity total (see Table 4-1), this number is not directly applicable to the fee calculation and is for information only. The impact fee allows a general fee calculation for portables; however, the amount is adjusted to the proportion of total square footage in portables to the total square footage of permanent and portable space in the district.

4. Fee Credit Variables

Construction Cost Allocation: This number is used by OSPI as a guideline for determining the area cost allowance for new school construction. The index is an average of a seven-city building cost index for commercial and factory buildings in Washington State and is adjusted every year for inflation. The current allocation is \$375.00 (July 2024) up from \$246.83 in 2022.

State Match Percentage: The State match percentage is the proportion of funds that are provided to the school districts, for specific capital projects, from the State's Common School Construction Fund. These funds are disbursed based on a formula which calculates the District's assessed valuation per pupil relative to the whole State assessed valuation per pupil to establish the percentage of the total project to be paid by the State.

Because of the method of computing state match, the District has historically received approximately 30% of the actual cost of school construction in state matching funds. For its 2024 CFP, the District assumes a 30% match.

5. Tax Credit Variables

Under Chapter 30.66C SCC, a credit is granted to new development to account for taxes that will be paid to the school district over the next ten years. The credit is calculated using a "present value" formula.

Interest Rate (20-year GO Bond): This is the interest rate of return on a 20-year General Obligation Bond and is derived from the bond buyer index. The current assumed interest rate is 3.48%.

Levy Rate (in mils): The Property Tax Levy Rate (for bonds) is determined by dividing the District's average capital property tax rate by one thousand. The current levy rate for the Lake Stevens School District is 0.00120.

Average Assessed Value: This figure is based on the District's average assessed value for each type of dwelling unit (single-family and multiple family). The average assessed values are based on estimates made by the County's Planning and Development Services Department utilizing information from the Assessor's files. The current average assessed value for 2024 for single-family detached residential dwellings is \$621,496, up from \$485,760 in 2020 and \$423,231 in 2020); \$175,173 for one-bedroom multi-family unit (\$169,461 in 2022; \$125,314 in 2020), and \$242,411 for townhomes/multi-plexes and two or more bedroom multi-family units (2022: \$239,226; 2020: \$178,051).

6. Adjustments

Growth Related Capacity Percentage: Only the portions of projects addressing new unhoused need are included in the impact fee calculations. The percentage is determined by the number of new unhoused students divided by the number of students for which the project would provide additional capacity.

Fee Discount: In accordance with Chapter 30.66C SCC, all fees calculated using the above factors are to be reduced by 50%.

Table 6-7 - Impact Fee Variables

Criteria	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High
Growth-Related Capacity Deficiencies	272	62	142	11
Discount (Snohomish County, Lake Stevens and Marysville)	50%	50%	50%	50%
Student Factor	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High
Single Family Detached	0.370	0.110	0.090	0.117
Townhome/Multiplex	0.086	0.025	0.012	0.018
Multifamily, 0-1 bedrooms	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Multifamily, 2+ bedrooms	0.035	0.015	0.004	0.027
Site Acquisition Cost Element	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High
Site Needs (acres)	20	0	0	0
Growth Related	4.18	0	0	0
Cost Per Acre	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00	\$200,000.00
Additional Capacity	1300	0	0	0
Growth Related	272	62	142	11
School Construction Cost Element	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High
Estimated Facility Construction Cost	\$219,600,000	\$98,800,000	\$0	\$27,700,000
Growth Related	\$61,262,769	\$30,628,000	\$0	\$1,523,500
Additional Capacity	975	200	0	200
Growth Related	272	62	142	11
Current Facility Square Footage	401,344	177,722	225,612	312,598
Relocatable Facilities Cost Element	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High
Relocatable Facilities Cost	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000
Growth Related	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000
Relocatable Facilities Capacity/Unit	25	27	27	27
Growth Related	25	27	27	27
Existing Portable Square Footage	62,720	17,920	1,792	0
State Match Credit	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High
Cost Construction Allocation	\$375.00	\$375.00	\$375.00	\$375.00
School Space per Student (OSPI)	90	117	117	130
State Match Percentage	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%	30.0%
Tax Payment Credit	Elementary	Middle	Mid-High	High
Interest Rate	3.48%	3.48%	3.48%	3.48%
Loan Payoff (Years)	10	10	10	10
Property Tax Levy Rate (Bonds)	0.00120	0.00120	0.00120	0.00120
Average AV per DU Type	SFD	Small MF	Large MF	
	\$621,496	\$175,173	\$242,411	
		"small unit"	"large unit"	

Proposed Impact Fee Schedule

Using the variables and formula described, impact fees proposed for the Lake Stevens School District are summarized in Table 6-8 (refer to Appendix A for worksheets).

Table 6-8 - Calculated Impact Fees

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Unit	Discounted (50%) Impact Fee Per Unit
Single Family Detached	\$27,460	\$13,730
Townhome/Multiplex	\$5,253	\$2,627
Multifamily, 0-1 bedroom	\$0	\$0
Multifamily, 2+ bedrooms	\$1,481	\$741

Appendix A

Impact Fee Calculations

IMPACT FEE WORKSHEET
LAKE STEVENS SCHOOL DISTRICT
SINGLE-FAMILY DETACHED

SITE ACQUISITION COST

acres needed	<u>4.18</u>	x	\$	<u>200,000</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>1,300</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.370</u>	=	<u>\$238</u>	(elementary)
acres needed	<u>0.00</u>	x	\$	<u>200,000</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.110</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(middle)
acres needed	<u>0.00</u>	x	\$	<u>200,000</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.090</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(mid-high)
acres needed	<u>0.00</u>	x	\$	<u>200,000</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.117</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(high school)

TOTAL SITE ACQUISITION COST = \$238

SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION COST

total const. cost	<u>\$61,262,769</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>975</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.370</u>	=	<u>\$23,248</u>	(elementary)
total const. cost	<u>\$30,628,000</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>200</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.110</u>	=	<u>\$16,845</u>	(middle)
total const. cost	<u>\$0</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.090</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(mid-high)
total const. cost	<u>\$1,523,500</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>200</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.117</u>	=	<u>\$891</u>	(high school)

Subtotal \$40,985

Total Square Feet of Permanent Space (District) 1,117,276 / Total Square Feet of School Facilities (000) 1,199,708 = 93.13%

TOTAL FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST = \$38,169

RELOCATABLE FACILITIES COST (PORTABLES)

Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>25</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.370</u>	=	<u>\$3,700</u>	(elementary)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.110</u>	=	<u>\$1,019</u>	(middle)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.090</u>	=	<u>\$833</u>	(mid-high)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.117</u>	=	<u>\$1,083</u>	(high school)

Subtotal \$6,635

Total Square Feet of Portable Space (District) 82,432 / Total Square Feet of School Facilities (000) 1,199,708 = 6.87%

TOTAL RELOCATABLE COST ELEMENT = \$456

CREDIT AGAINST COST CALCULATION -- MANDATORY

STATE MATCH CREDIT

CCA Index	\$ 375.00	x OSPI Allowance	90.00	x	State Match %	30.00%	x	student factor	0.370	=	\$3,746	(elementary)
CCA Index	\$ 375.00	x OSPI Allowance	117.00	x	State Match %	30.00%	x	student factor	0.110	=	\$1,448	(middle)
CCA Index	No projects	x OSPI Allowance	117.00	x	State Match %	30.00%	x	student factor	0.090	=	\$0	(mid-high)
CCA Index	Not eligible	x OSPI Allowance	130.00	x	State Match %	30.00%	x	student factor	0.117	=	\$0	(high school)
TOTAL STATE MATCH CREDIT										=	\$5,194	

TAX PAYMENT CREDIT

[((1+ interest rate 3.48%) ^ 10 years to pay off bond) - 1] / [interest rate 3.48% x											
(1 + interest rate 3.48%)^ 10 years to pay off bond] x 0.00120 capital levy rate x											
assessed value	621,496.00	tax payment credit = \$ 6,209									

IMPACT FEE CALCULATION

SITE ACQUISITION COST	\$238
FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST	\$38,169
RELOCATABLE FACILITIES COST (PORTABLES)	\$456
(LESS STATE MATCH CREDIT)	(\$5,194)
(LESS TAX PAYMENT CREDIT)	(\$6,209)

SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL FINAL IMPACT FEE PER UNIT	Non-Discounted	50% Discount
	\$27,460	\$13,730

IMPACT FEE WORKSHEET
LAKE STEVENS SCHOOL DISTRICT

TOWNHOMES AND MULTIPLEXES

SITE ACQUISITION COST

acres needed	<u>4.18</u>	x	\$ 200,000	/	capacity (# students)	<u>1300</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.086</u>	=	<u>\$55</u>	(elementary)
acres needed	<u>0</u>	x	\$ 200,000	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.025</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(middle)
acres needed	<u>0</u>	x	\$ 200,000	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.012</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(mid-high)
acres needed	<u>0</u>	x	\$ 200,000	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.018</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(high school)

TOTAL SITE ACQUISITION COST = \$55

SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION COST

total const. cost	<u>\$61,262,769</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>975</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.086</u>	=	<u>\$5,404</u>	(elementary)
total const. cost	<u>\$30,628,000</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>200</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.025</u>	=	<u>\$3,829</u>	(middle)
total const. cost	<u>\$0</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.012</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(mid-high)
total const. cost	<u>\$1,523,500</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>200</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.018</u>	=	<u>\$137</u>	(high school)
Subtotal									<u>\$9,369</u>	

Total Square Feet of Permanent Space (District) 1,117,276 / Total Square Feet of School Facilities (000) 1,199,708 = 93.13%

TOTAL FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST = \$ 8,726

RELOCATABLE FACILITIES COST (PORTABLES)

Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>25</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.086</u>	=	<u>\$860</u>	(elementary)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.025</u>	=	<u>\$231</u>	(middle)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.012</u>	=	<u>\$111</u>	(mid-high)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.018</u>	=	<u>\$167</u>	(high school)
Subtotal									<u>\$1,369</u>	

Total Square Feet of Portable Space (District) 82,432 / Total Square Feet of School Facilities (000) 1,199,708 = 6.87%

TOTAL RELOCATABLE COST ELEMENT = \$94

CREDIT AGAINST COST CALCULATION -- MANDATORY

STATE MATCH CREDIT

BOECKH Index	<u>\$ 375.00</u>	x OSPI Allowance	<u>90</u>	x	State Match %	<u>30.00%</u>	x student factor	<u>0.086</u>	=	<u>\$871</u>	(elementary)
BOECKH Index	<u>\$ 375.00</u>	x OSPI Allowance	<u>117</u>	x	State Match %	<u>30.00%</u>	x student factor	<u>0.025</u>	=	<u>\$329</u>	(middle)
BOECKH Index	<u>No projects</u>	x OSPI Allowance	<u>117</u>	x	State Match %	<u>30.00%</u>	x student factor	<u>0.012</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(mid-high)
BOECKH Index	<u>Not eligible</u>	x OSPI Allowance	<u>130</u>	x	State Match %	<u>30.00%</u>	x student factor	<u>0.018</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(high school)
TOTAL STATE MATCH CREDIT										=	<u>\$1,200</u>

TAX PAYMENT CREDIT

(((1+ interest rate 3.48%) ^ 10 years to pay off bond) - 1) / [interest rate 3.48% x (1 + interest rate 3.48%) ^ 10 years to pay off bond] x 0.0012 capital levy rate : assessed value 242,411.00 tax payment credit = \$ (2,422)

IMPACT FEE CALCULATION

SITE ACQUISITION COST	<u>\$55</u>
FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST	<u>\$8,726</u>
RELOCATABLE FACILITIES COST (PORTABLES)	<u>\$94</u>
(LESS STATE MATCH CREDIT)	<u>(\$1,200)</u>
(LESS TAX PAYMENT CREDIT)	<u>(\$2,422)</u>
	<u></u>
	<u></u>

TOWNHOMES AND MULTI-PLEXES	Non-Discounted	50% Discount
FINAL IMPACT FEE PER UNIT	\$5,253	\$2,627

IMPACT FEE WORKSHEET
 LAKE STEVENS SCHOOL DISTRICT
MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, 0-1 BEDROOMS

SITE ACQUISITION COST

acres needed	<u>4.18</u>	x	<u>\$ 200,000</u>	/	<u>capacity (# students)</u>	<u>1300</u>	x	<u>student factor</u>	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(elementary)
acres needed	<u>0</u>	x	<u>\$ 200,000</u>	/	<u>capacity (# students)</u>	<u>0</u>	x	<u>student factor</u>	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(middle)
acres needed	<u>0</u>	x	<u>\$ 200,000</u>	/	<u>capacity (# students)</u>	<u>0</u>	x	<u>student factor</u>	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(mid-high)
acres needed	<u>0</u>	x	<u>\$ 200,000</u>	/	<u>capacity (# students)</u>	<u>0</u>	x	<u>student factor</u>	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(high school)
TOTAL SITE ACQUISITION COST										=	<u>\$0</u>	

SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION COST

total const. cost	<u>\$61,262,769</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>975</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(elementary)
total const. cost	<u>\$30,628,000</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>200</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(middle)
total const. cost	<u>\$0</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(mid-high)
total const. Cost	<u>\$1,523,500</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>200</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(high school)
									<u>\$0</u>	
Total Square Feet		/ Total Square Feet								
of Permanent Space (District)	<u>1,117,276</u>		of School Facilities (000)	<u>1,199,708</u>					=	93.13%
TOTAL FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST									=	<u>\$ -</u>

RELOCATABLE FACILITIES COST (PORTABLES)

Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>25</u>	facility size	x	<u>student factor</u>	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(elementary)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	<u>student factor</u>	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(middle)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	<u>student factor</u>	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(mid-high)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	<u>student factor</u>	<u>0.000</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(high school)
Subtotal									<u>\$0</u>	
Total Square Feet of Portable Space (District)			<u>82,432</u>	/ Total Square Feet of School Facilities (000)			<u>1,199,708</u>	=	6.87%	
TOTAL RELOCATABLE COST ELEMENT									<u>\$0</u>	

CREDIT AGAINST COST CALCULATION -- MANDATORY

STATE MATCH CREDIT

BOECKH Index	\$ 375.00	x OSPI Allowance	90	x	State Match %	30.00%	x student factor	0.000	=	\$0	(elementary)
BOECKH Index	\$ 375.00	x OSPI Allowance	117	x	State Match %	30.00%	x student factor	0.000	=	\$0	(middle)
BOECKH Index	No projects	x OSPI Allowance	117	x	State Match %	30.00%	x student factor	0.000	=	\$0	(mid-high)
BOECKH Index	Not eligible	x OSPI Allowance	130	x	State Match %	30.00%	x student factor	0.000	=	\$0	(high school)
TOTAL STATE MATCH CREDIT										=	\$0

TAX PAYMENT CREDIT

[((1 + interest rate 3.48%) ^ 10 years to pay off bond) - 1] / [interest rate 3.48% x											
(1 + interest rate 3.48%) ^ 10 years to pay off bond] x 0.00120 capital levy rate											
assessed value	175,173.00	tax payment credit = \$ 1,750									

IMPACT FEE CALCULATION

SITE ACQUISITION COST	\$0
FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST	\$0
RELOCATABLE FACILITIES COST (PORTABLES)	\$0
(LESS STATE MATCH CREDIT)	\$0
(LESS TAX PAYMENT CREDIT)	(\$1,750)

MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL -- 0-1 BDRM FINAL IMPACT FEE PER UNIT	Non-Discounted	50% Discount
	\$0	\$0

IMPACT FEE WORKSHEET
LAKE STEVENS SCHOOL DISTRICT

MULTIPLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL, 2+ BEDROOMS

SITE ACQUISITION COST

acres needed	<u>4.18</u>	x	\$ 200,000	/	capacity (# students)	<u>1300</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.035</u>	=	<u>\$23</u>	(elementary)
acres needed	<u>0</u>	x	\$ 200,000	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.015</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(middle)
acres needed	<u>0</u>	x	\$ 200,000	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.004</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(mid-high)
acres needed	<u>0</u>	x	\$ 200,000	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.027</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(high school)

TOTAL SITE ACQUISITION COST = \$23

SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION COST

total const. cost	<u>\$61,262,769</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>975</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.035</u>	=	<u>\$2,199</u>	(elementary)
total const. cost	<u>\$30,628,000</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>200</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.015</u>	=	<u>\$2,297</u>	(middle)
total const. cost	<u>\$0</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>0</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.004</u>	=	<u>\$0</u>	(mid-high)
total const. Cost	<u>\$1,523,500</u>	/	capacity (# students)	<u>200</u>	x	student factor	<u>0.027</u>	=	<u>\$206</u>	(high school)
									<u>\$4,702</u>	

Total Square Feet of Permanent Space (District) 1,117,276 / Total Square Feet of School Facilities (000) 1,199,708 = 93.13%

TOTAL FACILITY CONSTRUCTION COST = \$ 4,379

RELOCATABLE FACILITIES COST (PORTABLES)

Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>25</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.035</u>	=	<u>\$350</u>	(elementary)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.015</u>	=	<u>\$139</u>	(middle)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.004</u>	=	<u>\$37</u>	(mid-high)
Portable Cost	<u>\$ 250,000</u>	/	<u>27</u>	facility size	x	student factor	<u>0.027</u>	=	<u>\$250</u>	(high school)
									<u>\$776</u>	

Subtotal

Total Square Feet of Portable Space (District) 82,432 / Total Square Feet of School Facilities (000) 1,199,708 = 6.87%

TOTAL RELOCATABLE COST ELEMENT = \$53

Appendix B

OSPI Enrollment Forecasting Methodology

OSPI PROJECTION OF ENROLLMENT DATA

Cohort-Survival or Grade-Succession Technique

Development of a long-range school-building program requires a careful forecast of school enrollment indicating the projected number of children who will attend school each year. The following procedures are suggested for determining enrollment projections:

1. Enter in the lower left corner of the rectangle for each year the number of pupils actually enrolled in each grade on October 1, as reported on the October Report of School District Enrollment, Form M-70, column A. (For years prior to October 1, 1965, enter pupils actually enrolled as reported in the county superintendent's annual report, Form A-1.)
2. In order to arrive at enrollment projections for kindergarten and/or grade one pupils, determine the percent that the number of such pupils each year was of the number shown for the immediately preceding year. Compute an average of the percentages, enter it in the column headed "Ave. % of Survival", and apply such average percentage in projecting kindergarten and/or grade one enrollment for the next six years.
3. For grade two and above determine the percent of survival of the enrollment in each grade for each year to the enrollment in the next lower grade during the preceding year and place this percentage in the upper right corner of the rectangle. (For example, if there were 75 pupils in actual enrollment in grade one on October 1, 1963, and 80 pupils were in actual enrollment in grade two on October 1, 1964, the percent of survival would be $80/75$, or 106.7%. If the actual enrollment on October 1, 1965, in grade three had further increased to 100 pupils, the percent of survival to grade three would be $100/80$ or 125 %.). Compute an average of survival percentages for each year for each grade and enter it in the column, "Ave. % of Survival".
4. In order to determine six-year enrollment projections for grade two and above, multiply the enrollment in the next lower grade during the preceding year by 7 the average percent of survival. For example, if, on October 1 of the last year of record, there were 100 students in grade one and the average percent of survival to grade two was 105, then 105% of 100 would result in a projection of 105 students in grade two on October 1 of the succeeding year.
5. If, after calculating the "Projected Enrollment", there are known factors which will further influence the projections, a statement should be prepared showing the nature of those factors, involved and their anticipated effect upon any portion of the calculated projection.

*Kindergarten students are projected based on a regression line.

Table C-1
LAKE STEVENS SCHOOL DISTRICT
STUDENT ENROLLMENT BY GRADE SPAN 2023-2029

School Type	Grade Level	School Year						
		2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Elementary	K	672	686	683	680	677	674	671
	1	722	696	710	707	704	701	698
	2	826	736	710	724	721	718	715
	3	727	840	749	722	736	733	730
	4	699	741	856	763	736	750	747
	5	751	712	755	872	777	750	764
K-5 Headcount		4397	4411	4463	4468	4351	4326	4325
Middle	6	768	767	728	772	891	794	766
	7	759	777	776	736	781	901	803
6-7 Headcount		1527	1544	1504	1508	1672	1695	1569
Mid High	Grade 8	717	770	789	788	747	793	914
	Grade 9	730	716	769	788	787	746	792
8-9 Headcount		1447	1486	1558	1576	1534	1539	1706
Sr. High	Grade 10	752	722	708	760	779	778	738
	Grade 11	685	694	666	653	701	719	718
	Grade 12	638	660	669	642	630	676	693
10-12 Headcount		2075	2076	2043	2055	2110	2173	2149
K-12 Headcount		9446	9517	9568	9607	9667	9733	9749

Source: Snohomish County, Lake Stevens School District and OSPI

Appendix C
OFM Ratio Method – 2044 Enrollment Estimate

Enrollment Forecasts OSPI and OFM Ratio Methods

The Growth Management Act requires that capital facilities plans for schools consider enrollment forecasts that are related to official population forecasts for the district. The OFM ratio method computes past enrollment as a percentage of past population and then estimates how those percentage trends will continue.

Snohomish County prepares the population estimates by distributing official estimates from the Washington Office of Financial Management (OFM) to the school district level. SCC 30.66C requires that these official OFM/County population forecasts be used in the capital facilities plans. Each district is responsible for estimating the assumed percentage of population that, in turn, will translate into enrollments.

The District's assumed percentage trends are applied to these County population forecasts. This is known as the Ratio Method. The District then decides to use either it or the six-year forecast (2024-2029) prepared by the State Office of the Superintendent of Public Instructions (OSPI) for use in the facilities plan. Whichever is used for the 2024-2029 planning period, OSPI does not forecast enrollments for Year 2044, so the Ratio Method is used for that purpose, regardless.

2024			
Year	Population	Enrollment	Ratio
2010	39,977	7,913	19.79%
2011	41,025	7,985	19.46%
2012	42,074	7,987	18.98%
2013	43,122	8,126	18.84%
2014	44,171	8,253	18.68%
2015	45,219	8,392	18.56%
2016	46,267	8,611	18.61%
2017	47,316	8,646	18.27%
2018	48,364	8,875	18.35%
2019	49,413	9,200	18.62%
2020	50,461	8,854	17.55%
2021	52,181	9,325	17.87%
2022	53,450	9,339	17.47%
2023	54,256	9,446	17.41%
2024	54,614	9,508	17.41%
2025	54,972	9,571	17.41%
2026	55,329	9,633	17.41%
2027	55,687	9,695	17.41%
2028	56,370	9,814	17.41%
2029	57,052	9,933	17.41%
2044	67,294	11,716	17.41%

The table above shows actual enrollments and population estimates from 2010-2023, and their resulting ratio (the 2010 and 2020 population totals are official census figures).

Until 2015 the trend was a declining ratio of students to population. The ratio leveled off in the years 2016 through 2019. In 2020, school closures and online learning caused enrollment to drop. Then enrollment rebounded in 2021 and returned to pre-pandemic levels. The district projects that the ratio will level off for the projection period and average around 17.41%.

2044 Enrollment Estimate

The District's 2024 CFP ratio of 17.41% is used for the 2044 enrollment estimate. Using that number against the County's 2044 population estimate of 67,294 produces a projected enrollment number of 11,716 students in 2044.

Appendix D

Student Generation Rates



MEMORANDUM

To: Robb Stanton
Lake Stevens School District
12309 22nd Street NE
Lake Stevens, WA 98258

Date: April 1, 2024

Project No.: F2714.01.001

From: Alex Brasch
Senior Population Geographer

Re: 2023–24 Student Generation Rates—Lake Stevens School District

At the request of the Lake Stevens School District (LSSD/District), FLO Analytics (FLO) estimated student generation rates (SGRs) for residential housing units built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022. The SGRs represent the average number of LSSD K–12 students (2023–24 headcount) residing in new single-family (SF) detached, townhome/duplex, and multifamily (MF) housing units. This memo details the methodology FLO used to create the SGRs and presents the findings by grade group, individual grade, and housing type.

Methods

As described by Snohomish County Planning & Development Services ([2022 Biennial Update to School District Capital Facilities Plans](#)), Snohomish County operates a school impact fee program authorized by RCW 82.02.040 and the Washington State Growth Management Act under Chapter 36.70A RCW. School districts that wish to collect impact fees must provide a school board adopted Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) for review by the County Planning Commission and County Council that fulfills the specifications of state law, the County comprehensive plan, and the County code. One requirement of CFPs is “impact fee support data required by the formula in Chapter 30.66C SCC, including a district-specific analysis to determine the student generation rate component of the fee calculation”.

As defined in Snohomish County code 30.91S.690, “SGRs mean the number of students of each grade span (elementary, middle/jr. high, high school) that a school district determines are typically generated by different dwelling unit types within the district.” In other words, SGRs represent the number of students residing in housing constructed within the most recent five-to-eight-year period by housing type and grade group (i.e., elementary, middle, and high school).

SGR calculations are based on housing information and student residences. FLO obtained and processed the necessary housing data from the Snohomish County Assessor’s Office and Information Technology Department, as well as the Puget Sound Regional Council, including parcel/tax lot boundaries with essential attributes—housing type, number of housing units, and year built. Housing units constructed in 2023 were excluded from the analysis, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023. To link the housing information to LSSD students, the District provided FLO with 2023–24 headcount enrollment, which FLO geocoded to represent student residences. The student residences were then spatially matched to residential housing built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022.

FLO Analytics | 1-888-847-0299 | www.flo-analytics.com

R:\F2714.01 Lake Stevens School District\001_2024.04.01 SGR\LSSD 2023 SGR Memo.docx
© 2024 FLO Analytics

With this combination of information, SGRs were calculated by dividing the number of students per grade group by the total number of housing units for each housing type. SGRs were calculated for the types of housing built in the district within the analysis period; namely, SF detached, townhome/duplex, and MF units. The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: SF attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units in the townhome/duplex category, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household. The MF category includes all structures with five or more housing units and structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked. The housing inventory does not include the information needed to differentiate between MF units with 2+ bedrooms and 1 bedroom or less; therefore, the MF rate includes all MF housing units and only applies to the "Multifamily 2+ bedrooms" category in Snohomish County code.

Results

Table 1 includes the number of housing units and SGRs for SF detached, townhome/duplex, and MF housing types, as well as the number of students by grade group that have addresses matching the housing units. Table 2 includes the same housing information as Table 1, with the number of students and SGRs by individual grade. Table 3 includes the unit counts, number of students, and SGRs for individual MF structures.

Of the 9,053 students residing within the district, 2,031 live in the 2,957 SF detached units that were built between 2015 and 2022, while 23 live in the 163 townhomes/duplexes and 21 live in the 260 MF units built in the same period. On average, each SF detached unit yields 0.687 K–12 students, each townhome/duplex yields 0.141 K–12 students, and each MF unit yields 0.081 K–12 students.

Table 1: K–12 Students by Grade Group per Housing Unit Built 2015–2022

Housing Type	Housing Units	Students					SGRs				
		K–5	6–7	8–9	10–12	K–12	K–5	6–7	8–9	10–12	K–12
Single-family Detached	2,957	1,095	325	265	346	2,031	0.370	0.110	0.090	0.117	0.687
Townhome / Duplex ^(a)	163	14	4	2	3	23	0.086	0.025	0.012	0.018	0.141
Multifamily ^(b)	260	9	4	1	7	21	0.035	0.015	0.004	0.027	0.081

Notes

Housing units built in 2023 are excluded, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023.

(a) The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: single-family attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household.

(b) The multifamily category includes all structures with five or more housing units and structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked. The housing inventory does not include the information needed to differentiate between MF units with 2+ bedrooms and 1 bedroom or less; therefore, the MF rate includes all MF housing units and only applies to the "Multifamily 2+ bedrooms" category in Snohomish County code.

Sources

Lake Stevens School District 2023–24 headcount enrollment, Snohomish County parcels, and Puget Sound Regional Council 2015–2022 new housing inventory.

Table 2: K–12 Students by Individual Grade per Housing Unit Built 2015–2022

Grade	Single-family Detached			Townhome / Duplex ^(a)			Multifamily ^(b)		
	Housing Units	Students	SGR	Housing Units	Students	SGR	Housing Units	Students	SGR
K	2,957	178	0.060	163	2	0.012	260	3	0.012
1		200	0.068		2	0.012		3	0.012
2		196	0.066		3	0.018		1	0.004
3		175	0.059		2	0.012		2	0.008
4		163	0.055		1	0.006		0	--
5		183	0.062		4	0.025		0	--
6		177	0.060		2	0.012		2	0.008
7		148	0.050		2	0.012		2	0.008
8		144	0.049		1	0.006		1	0.004
9		121	0.041		1	0.006		0	--
10		133	0.045		2	0.012		3	0.012
11		105	0.036		0	--		3	0.012
12		108	0.037		1	0.006		1	0.004
K–12	2,957	2,031	0.687	163	23	0.141	260	21	0.081

Notes

Housing units built in 2023 are excluded, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023.

(a) The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: single-family attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household.

(b) The multifamily category includes all structures with five or more housing units and structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked. The housing inventory does not include the information needed to differentiate between MF units with 2+ bedrooms and 1 bedroom or less; therefore, the MF rate includes all MF housing units and only applies to the "Multifamily 2+ bedrooms" category in Snohomish County code.

Sources

Lake Stevens School District 2023–24 headcount enrollment, Snohomish County parcels, and Puget Sound Regional Council 2015–2022 new housing inventory.

Appendix E

Board Resolution

**LAKE STEVENS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 4
RESOLUTION NO. 15-24
ADOPTION OF 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN**

WHEREAS, the Lake Stevens School District is required by RCW 36.70 (the Growth Management Act) and the Snohomish County General Policy Plan to adopt a Capital Facilities Plan; and

WHEREAS, development of the Capital Facilities Plan was carried out by the District in accordance with accepted methodologies and requirements of the Growth Management Act; and

WHEREAS, impact fee calculations are consistent with methodologies meeting the conditions and tests of RCW 82.02 and Snohomish County Code; and

WHEREAS, the District finds that the methodologies accurately assess necessary additional capacity which address only growth-related needs; and

WHEREAS, a draft of the Plan was submitted to Snohomish County for review with changes having been made in accordance with County comments; and


WHEREAS, the District finds that the Plan meets the basic requirements of RCW 36.70A and RCW 82.02; and

WHEREAS, a review of the Plan was carried out pursuant to RCW 43.21C (the State Environmental Policy Act). A Determination of Non Significance has been issued.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Directors of the Lake Stevens School District hereby adopts the Capital Facilities Plan for the years 2024-2029, pursuant to the requirements of RCW 36.70A and the Snohomish County General Policy Plan. The Snohomish County Council, the City of Lake Stevens and the City of Marysville are hereby requested to adopt the Plan as an element of their general policy plans and companion ordinances.


ADOPTED, by the Board of Directors of the Lake Stevens School District No. 4, Snohomish County, state of Washington, at a regular meeting thereof held this 10th day of July 2024.

**LAKE STEVENS SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 4
BOARD OF DIRECTORS**




President







ATTEST:



Superintendent:

Appendix F

Determination of Nonsignificance

DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE

Lake Stevens School District No. 4 Capital Facilities Plan 2024-2029

DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSAL: The proposed action is the adoption of the Lake Stevens School District No. 4 Capital Facilities Plan, 2024-2029. Board adoption is scheduled to occur on July 10, 2024. This Capital Facilities Plan has been developed in accordance with the requirements of the State Growth Management Act and is a non-project proposal. It documents how the Lake Stevens School District utilizes its existing educational facilities given current district enrollment configurations and educational program standards and uses six-year enrollment projections to quantify capital facility needs for years 2024-2029.

PROPONENT: Lake Stevens School District No. 4

LOCATION OF PROPOSAL: Lake Stevens School District No. 4
Snohomish County, Washington

LEAD AGENCY: Lake Stevens School District No. 4

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that the proposal does not have a probable significant adverse impact on the environment. An environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of an environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public upon request.

This Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2). The lead agency will not act on this proposal for 14 days from the published date below. Comments may be submitted to the Responsible Official as named below.

RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL: Robb Stanton
POSITION/TITLE: Executive Director, Operations
ADDRESS: Lake Stevens School District No. 4
12309 22nd Street NE
Lake Stevens, WA 98258
PHONE: 425-335-1506

SIGNATURE: 

PUBLISHED: The Everett Herald – June 25, 2024

There is no administrative agency appeal.

Appendix G
Snohomish County General Policy Plan -- Appendix F

Appendix F

REVIEW CRITERIA FOR SCHOOL DISTRICT CAPITAL FACILITY PLANS

Required Plan Contents

1. Future Enrollment Forecasts by Grade Span, including:
 - a 6-year forecast (or more) to support the financing program;
 - a description of the forecasting methodology and justification for its consistency with OFM population forecasts used in the county's comprehensive plan.
2. Inventory of Existing Facilities, including:
 - the location and capacity of existing schools;
 - a description of educational standards and a clearly defined minimum level of service such as classroom size, school size, use of portables, etc.;
 - the location and description of all district-owned or leased sites (if any) and properties;
 - a description of support facilities, such as administrative centers, transportation and maintenance yards and facilities, etc.; and
 - information on portables, including numbers, locations, remaining useful life (as appropriate to educational standards), etc.
3. Forecast of Future Facility Needs, including:
 - identification of new schools and/or school additions needed to address existing deficiencies and to meet demands of projected growth over the next 6 years; and
 - the number of additional portable classrooms needed.
4. Forecast of Future Site Needs, including:
 - the number, size, and general location of needed new school sites.
5. Financing Program (6-year minimum Planning Horizon)
 - estimated cost of specific construction and site acquisition and development projects proposed to address growth-related needs;
 - projected schedule for completion of these projects; and
 - proposed sources of funding, including impact fees (if proposed), local bond issues (both approved and proposed), and state matching funds.
6. Impact Fee Support Data (where applicable), including:
 - an explanation of the calculation methodology, including description of key variables and their computation;
 - definitions and sources of data for all inputs into the fee calculation, indicating that it:
 - a) is accurate and reliable and that any sample data is statistically valid;
 - b) accurately reflects projected costs in the 6-year financing program; and
 - a proposed fee schedule that reflects expected student generation rates from, at minimum, the following residential unit types: single-family, multifamily/studio or 1-bedroom, and multi-family/2-bedroom or more.

Plan Performance Criteria

1. School facility plans must meet the basic requirements set down in RCW 36.70A (the Growth Management Act). Districts proposing to use impact fees as a part of their financing program must also meet the requirements of RCW 82.02.
2. Where proposed, impact fees must utilize a calculation methodology that meets the conditions and tests of RCW 82.02.
3. Enrollment forecasts should utilize established methods and should produce results which are not inconsistent with the OFM population forecasts used in the county comprehensive plan. Each plan should also demonstrate that it is consistent with the 20-year forecast in the land use element of the county's comprehensive plan.
4. The financing plan should separate projects and portions of projects which add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding. The financing plan and/or the impact fee calculation formula must also differentiate between projects or portions of projects which address existing deficiencies (ineligible for impact fees) and those which address future growth-related needs.
5. Plans should use best-available information from recognized sources, such as the U.S. Census or the Puget Sound Regional Council. District-generated data may be used if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies.
6. Districts which propose the use of impact fees should identify in future plan updates alternative funding sources in the event that impact fees are not available due to action by the state, county or the cities within their district boundaries.
7. Repealed effective January 2, 2000.

Plan Review Procedures

1. District capital facility plan updates should be submitted to the County Planning and Development Services Department for review prior to formal adoption by the school district.
2. Each school district planning to expand its school capacity must submit to the county an updated capital facilities plan at least every 2 years. Proposed increases in impact fees must be submitted as part of an update to the capital facilities plan and will be considered no more frequently than once a year.
3. Each school district will be responsible for conducting any required SEPA reviews on its capital facilities plan prior to its adoption, in accordance with state statutes and regulations.
4. School district capital facility plans and plan updates must be submitted no later than 180 calendar days prior to their desired effective date.
5. District plans and plan updates must include a resolution or motion from the district school board adopting the plan before it will become effective.

LAKEWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 306

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

2024-2029

Adopted: July 17, 2024

LAKEWOOD SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 306
CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN
2024-2029

BOARD OF DIRECTORS
CATHERINE “SANDY” GOTTS, PRESIDENT
LEAH TOCCO
DANA KRIEGER
STEVEN LARSON
DAWN TAYLOR

SUPERINTENDENT
DR. ERIN MURPHY

For information regarding the Lakewood School District Capital Facilities Plan, contact the Office of the Superintendent, Lakewood School District, 17110 16th Drive NE, Marysville, WA 98271. (Tel: (360) 652-4500)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Section 1. Introduction.....	1
Section 2. District Educational Program Standards	4
Section 3. Capital Facilities Inventory	8
Section 4. Student Enrollment Projections	11
Section 5. Capital Facilities Needs	14
Section 6. Capital Facilities Financing Plan	17
Section 7. School Impact Fees	20
Appendix A	Population and Enrollment Data
Appendix B	Student Generation Factor Review
Appendix C	School Impact Fee Calculations

INTRODUCTION

A. Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

The Washington State Growth Management Act (the “GMA”) includes schools in the category of public facilities and services. School districts have adopted capital facilities plans to satisfy the requirements of the GMA and to identify additional school facilities necessary to meet the educational needs of the growing student populations anticipated in their districts.

The Lakewood School District (the “District”) has prepared this Capital Facilities Plan (the “CFP”) to provide Snohomish County (the “County”) and the cities of Arlington and Marysville with a description of facilities needed to accommodate projected student enrollment and a schedule and financing program for capital improvements over the next six years (2024-2029).

In accordance with the Growth Management Act, adopted County Policy, the Snohomish County Ordinance Nos. 97-095 and 99-107, the City of Arlington Ordinance No. 1263, and the City of Marysville Ordinance Nos. 2306 and 2213, this CFP contains the following required elements:

- Future enrollment forecasts for each grade span (elementary, middle, and high school).
- An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by the District, showing the locations and capacities of the facilities.
- A forecast of the future needs for capital facilities and school sites.
- The proposed capacities of expanded or new capital facilities.
- A six-year plan for financing capital facilities within projected funding capacities, which clearly identifies sources of public money for such purposes. The financing plan separates projects and portions of projects which add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding.
- As relevant, a calculation of impact fees to be assessed and supporting data substantiating said fees.

In developing this CFP, the District followed the following guidelines set forth in the Snohomish County General Policy Plan:

- Districts should use information from recognized sources, such as the U.S. Census or the Puget Sound Regional Council. School districts may generate their own data if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies. Information must not be inconsistent with Office of Financial Management (“OFM”) population forecasts. Student generation rates must be independently calculated by each school district.
- The CFP must comply with the GMA.
- The methodology used to calculate impact fees must comply with the GMA. In the event that impact fees are not available due to action by the state,

county or cities within the District, the District in a future CFP update must identify alternative funding sources to replace the intended impact fee funding.

- The methodology used to calculate impact fees also complies with the criteria and the formulas established by the County.


Snohomish County's Countywide Planning Policies direct jurisdictions in Snohomish County to "ensure the availability of sufficient land and services for future K-20 school needs" and "work with school districts to plan for the siting and improvement of school facilities." Policy ED-11 and Policy PS-21. The District appreciates any opportunity for cooperative planning efforts with its jurisdictions.

B. Overview of the Lakewood School District

The Lakewood School District is located along Interstate 5, north of Marysville, Washington, primarily serving unincorporated Snohomish County and a part of the City of Arlington and the City of Marysville. The District is bordered on the south by the Marysville School District, on the west and north by the Stanwood School District, and on the east by the Arlington School District.

The District serves a population of 2,614 headcount students, with an FTE enrollment of 2,534 (October 1, 2023, reported OSPI enrollment). The District has three elementary schools, one middle school, and one high school.

**FIGURE 1
MAP OF FACILITIES**



SECTION 2

DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM STANDARDS

School facility and student capacity needs are dictated by the types and amounts of space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program. The educational program standards which typically drive facility space needs include grade configuration, optimum facility size, class size, educational program offerings, classroom utilization and scheduling requirements, and use of relocatable classroom facilities (portables), as well as specific and unique physical structure needs required to meet the needs of students with special needs.

In addition to factors which affect the amount of space required, government mandates and community expectations may affect how classroom space is used. Traditional educational programs offered by school districts are often supplemented by nontraditional, or special programs such as special education, expanded bilingual education, remediation, migrant education, alcohol and drug education, AIDS education, preschool and daycare programs, computer labs, music programs, and others. These special or nontraditional educational programs can have a significant impact on the available student capacity of school facilities, and upon planning for future needs.

The educational program standards contained in this CFP reflect the District's implementation of requirements for full-day kindergarten and reduced K-3 class size.

Special programs offered by the District at specific school sites include, but are not limited to:

Lakewood Elementary School (Preschool through 5th Grades)

- Multilingual Education Program
- Title 1/Learning Assistance Program
- K – 5th Grade Counseling Services
- Speech and Language Therapy Services
- Early Childhood Education and Assistance Program (ECEAP)
- Developmental Preschool Program - Ages 3 to 5 (District Program)
- K – 5th Grade Special Education Resource Room Program
- K – 5th Grade Highly Capable Program
- Occupational Therapy Services
- Transitional Kindergarten Program

English Crossing Elementary School (Kindergarten through 5th Grades)

- K – 5th Grade Special Education Resource Room Program
- Multilingual Education Program
- K – 5th Grade Counseling Services
- Speech and Language Therapy Services
- Occupational Therapy Services
- Special Education Achieve Program (District Program)

- K – 5th Grade Highly Capable Program
- Title 1/Learning Assistance Program

Cougar Creek Elementary School (Kindergarten through 5th Grades)

- Multilingual Education Program
- Title 1/Learning Assistance Program
- Speech and Language Therapy Services
- Occupational Therapy Services
- K – 5th Grade Special Education Resource Room Program
- K – 5th Grade Special Education Comprehensive Skills Program (District Program)
- K – 5th Grade Counseling Services
- K – 5th Grade Highly Capable Program

Lakewood Middle School (6th through 8th Grades)

- Speech and Language Therapy Service
- 6th – 8th Grade Special Education Program
- 6th – 8th Grade Special Education Comprehensive Skills Program (District Program)
- Multilingual Education Program
- Occupational Therapy Services
- 6th – 8th Grade Achieve Program (District Program)
- 6th – 8th Grade Counseling Services
- 6th – 8th Grade Highly Capable Program
- Career and Technical Education

Lakewood High School

- 9th – 12th Grade Special Education Program
- 9th – 12th Grade Special Education Comprehensive Skills Program (District Program)
- Multilingual Education Program
- Occupational Therapy Services
- Speech and Language Therapy Services
- 9th – 12th Grade Counseling Program
- Adult Special Education Independent Living Program (District Program)
- 9th – 12th Grade Highly Capable Program
- Career and Technical Education

Variations in student capacity between schools may result from the special or nontraditional programs offered at specific schools. Some students, for example, leave their regular classroom for a short period of time to receive instruction in these special programs. New schools are designed to accommodate many of these programs. However, existing schools often require space modifications to accommodate special programs, and in some circumstances, these modifications may affect the overall classroom capacities of the buildings.

District educational program standards may change in the future as a result of changes in the program year, special programs, class sizes, grade span configurations, use of new technology, and other physical aspects of the school facilities. The school capacity inventory will be reviewed periodically and adjusted for any changes to the educational program standards. These changes will also be reflected in future updates of this Capital Facilities Plan.

The District educational program standards which directly affect school capacity are outlined below for the elementary, middle, and high school grade levels.

Educational Program Standards for Elementary Schools

- Class size for grades K – 3rd will not exceed 19 students.
- Class size for grades 4th and 5th will not exceed 24 students.
- All students will be provided library/media services in a school library.
- Special Education for students may be provided in, inclusion, self-contained or specialized classrooms.
- All students will be provided music instruction in a separate classroom (except LES due to capacity).
- Each classroom will have access to computers and related educational technology.
- Optimum design capacity for new elementary schools is 475 students. However, actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered.
- All students will be provided physical education instruction in a gym/multipurpose room.

Educational Program Standards for Middle and High Schools

- Class size for middle school grades will not exceed 27 students.
- Class size for high school grades will not exceed 29 students.
- As a result of scheduling conflicts for student programs, the need for specialized rooms for certain programs, and the need for teachers to have a work space during planning periods, it is not possible to achieve 100% utilization of all regular teaching stations throughout the day. In updating this Capital Facility Plan, a building review of classroom use was conducted in order to reflect the actual classroom utilization in the high school and middle school. Therefore, classroom capacity should be adjusted using a utilization factor of 95% at the middle school and 85% at the high school to reflect the use of classrooms for teacher planning. Special Education for students will be provided in self-contained or specialized classrooms. Inclusion model for qualified students on IEP's.
- Each classroom is equipped with access to computers and related educational-technology.
- Identified students will also be provided other nontraditional educational opportunities in classrooms designated as follows:
 - Counseling Offices
 - Resource Rooms (i.e. computer labs, study rooms)
 - Special Education Classrooms
 - Program Specific Classrooms (i.e. music, drama, art, physical education, Industrial Arts and Agricultural Sciences, STEM).

- Optimum design capacity for new middle schools is 600 students. However, actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered.
- Optimum design capacity for new high schools is 800 students. However, actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered.

Minimum Educational Service Standards

The District will evaluate student housing levels based on the District as a whole system and not on a school by school or site by site basis. This may result in portable classrooms being used as interim housing, attendance boundary changes or other program changes to balance student housing across the system as a whole. A boundary change or a significant programmatic change would be made by the Board of Directors following appropriate public review and comment. The District may also request that development be deferred until planned facilities can be completed to meet the needs of the incoming population; however, the District has no control over the ultimate land use decisions made by the permitting jurisdictions.

The District's minimum level of service ("MLOS") is as follows: on average, K-5 classrooms have no more than 26 students per classroom, 6-8 classrooms have no more than 28 students per classroom, and 9-12 classrooms have no more than 30 students per classroom. The District sets minimum educational service standards based on several criteria. Exceeding these minimum standards will trigger significant changes in program delivery. Minimum standards have not been met if, on average using current FTE figures: K-4 classrooms have more than 26 students per classroom, 5-8 classrooms have more than 28 students per classroom, or 9-12 classrooms more than 30 students per classroom. The term "classroom" does not include special education classrooms or special program classrooms (i.e. computer labs, art rooms, chorus and band rooms, spaces used for physical education and other special program areas). Furthermore, the term "classroom" does not apply to special programs or activities that may occur in a regular classroom. The MLOS is not the District's desired or accepted operating standard.

For 2021-22 and 2022-23, the District's compliance with the MLOS was as follows (with MLOS set as applicable for those school years):

2021-22 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
	26	20.09	28	21.63	30	24.85

2022-23 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
	26	19.92	28	22.19	30	24.94

* The District determines the reported LOS by adding the number of students in regular classrooms at each grade level and dividing that number by the number of teaching stations (excludes portables).

SECTION 3 CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY

The facilities inventory serves to establish a baseline for determining the facilities necessary to accommodate future demand (student enrollment) at acceptable levels of service. This section provides an inventory of capital facilities owned and operated by the District including schools, relocatable classrooms, undeveloped land, and support facilities. Facility capacity is based on the space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program standards. *See* Section 2. Attached as Figure 1 (page 3) is a map showing locations of District facilities.

A. Schools

The District maintains three elementary schools, one middle school, and one high school. Lakewood Elementary School accommodates grades P-5, Cougar Creek Elementary School accommodates grades K-5, and English Crossing Elementary School accommodates grades K-5. Lakewood Middle School serves grades 6-8, and Lakewood High School serves grades 9-12.

School capacity was determined based on the number of teaching stations within each building and the space requirements of the District's adopted educational program. It is this capacity calculation that is used to establish the District's baseline capacity, and to determine future capacity needs based on projected student enrollment. The school capacity inventory is summarized in Table 1.

Relocatable classrooms are not viewed by the District as a solution for housing students on a permanent basis. Therefore, these facilities are not included in Table 1.

**Table 1
School Capacity Inventory**

Elementary School	Site Size (Acres)	Building Area (Square Feet)	Teaching Stations	Permanent Capacity	Year Built or Remodeled
English Crossing	*	41,430	20	403	1994
Cougar Creek	10**	44,217	21	424	2003
Lakewood	*	45,400	16	323	1958, 1997
TOTAL	*	131,047	57	1,150	

Middle School	Site Size (Acres)	Building Area (Square Feet)	Teaching Stations	Permanent Capacity	Year Built or Remodeled
Lakewood Middle	*	79,945	27	670	1971, 1994, 2002, 2024

High School	Site Size (Acres)	Building Area (Square Feet)	Teaching Stations	Permanent Capacity	Year Built or Remodeled
Lakewood High	*	169,000	34	850	2017

*Note: All facilities are located on one 89-acre campus located at Tax Parcel No. 31053000100300.

**The Cougar Creek site is approximately 22 acres located at 16216 11th Ave NE, Arlington, WA 98223. Note that the presence of critical areas on the site does not allow full utilization at this site.

B. Relocatable Classrooms

Relocatable classrooms are used on an interim basis to house students until funding can be secured to construct permanent classrooms. The District currently uses 19 relocatable classrooms at various school sites throughout the District to provide additional interim capacity. A typical relocatable classroom can provide capacity for a full-size class of students. Current use of relocatable classrooms throughout the District is summarized in Table 2. Table 2 includes only those relocatable classrooms used for regular capacity purposes. The average size of a single relocatable classroom is approximately 896 square feet. See page 22 for total square footage by grade level. The District's relocatable classrooms have adequate useful remaining life and are evaluated regularly.

Table 2
Relocatable Classroom (Portable) Inventory

Elementary School	Relocatable Classrooms	Interim Capacity
English Crossing	2	40
Cougar Creek	4	80
Lakewood	10	200
SUBTOTAL	16	320

Middle School	Relocatable Classrooms	Interim Capacity
Lakewood Middle	3	78
SUBTOTAL	3	78

High School	Relocatable Classrooms	Interim Capacity
Lakewood High	0	0
SUBTOTAL	0	0

TOTAL	19	398
--------------	-----------	------------

C. Support Facilities

In addition to schools, the District owns and operates additional facilities which provide operational support functions to the schools. An inventory of these facilities is provided in Table 3.

**Table 3
Support Facility Inventory**

Facility	Building Area (Square Feet)
Administration	1,384
Business and Operations	1,152
Storage	2,456
Bus Garage/Maintenance Shop	7,416
Stadium	14,304

The District is also a party to a cooperative agreement for use of the Marysville School District transportation facility (which is owned by the Marysville School District).

D. Land Inventory

The District does not own any sites which are developed for uses other than schools and/or which are leased to other parties.

E. Leased Facilities

The District leases a 900 square foot portable located in the district office compound that hosts the Teaching and Learning Department and Technology Leadership.

SECTION 4

STUDENT ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS

The District's October 1, 2023, reported enrollment was 2,614 HC students (2,533.64 FTE). Enrollment projections are most accurate for the initial years of the forecast period. Moving further into the future, more assumptions about economic conditions and demographic trends in the area affect the projection. Monitoring birth rates in Snohomish County and population growth for the area are essential yearly activities in the ongoing management of the capital facilities plan. In the event that enrollment growth slows, plans for new facilities can be delayed. It is much more difficult, however, to initiate new projects or speed projects up in the event enrollment growth exceeds the projection.

A. Six Year Enrollment Projections

Two enrollment forecasts were conducted for the District: an estimate by the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI) based upon the cohort survival method; and a modified cohort enrollment forecast prepared by a demographer. The District also estimated enrollment based upon adopted Snohomish County population forecasts ("ratio method").

Based on the cohort survival methodology, a total of 2,773 students are expected to be enrolled in the District by 2029, a slight increase from the October 2023 enrollment levels. Notably, the cohort survival method is not designed to anticipate fluctuations in development patterns. This deficiency is exacerbated by enrollment anomalies that occurred as a result of the COVID pandemic, particularly in the 2020-21 school year. Historically the OFM numbers and OSPI cohort percentages are lower than the district projections. See Appendix A-1.

Snohomish County provides OFM population-based enrollment projections for the District using OFM population forecasts as adopted by the County. The County provided the District with the estimated total population in the District by year. In 2023, the District's student enrollment constituted approximately 14.60% of the total population in the District. Assuming that between 2024 and 2029, the District's enrollment will continue to constitute 14.60% of the District's total population and using OFM/County data, OFM/County methodology projects a total enrollment of 2,850 students in 2029, or an approximately 9.03% increase.

The District obtained in May 2023 an updated enrollment forecast from a professional demographer, FLO Analytics. They provided a low, middle and high estimate of students using full-time equivalent (FTE) counts. Based on this analysis, and using the middle numbers, a total enrollment of 2,743 FTE students, or 209 additional students, are expected by the 2029-30 school year. This projection is an increase of approximately 8.25% over 2023 enrollment. Growth is projected at the elementary and middle school levels, with some plateau at the high school level during the six-year planning period, but picking up in the immediate years following. The FLO Analytics forecast utilizes historic enrollment patterns, demographic and land use analysis based upon information from Snohomish County and the cities of Arlington and Marysville, census data, OFM forecasts, and Washington State Department of Health birth data. It also considers the impacts of the pandemic on enrollment. The detailed FLO Analytics forecast report is on file with the District and a grade level analysis is included in Appendix A-2.

The comparison of OSPI cohort, District projections, and OFM/County projected enrollments is contained in Table 4.

Table 4
Projected Student Enrollment (FTE)
2024-2029

Projection	Oct. 2023*	2024	2025	2026	2029	2028	2029	Change 2024-29	Percent Change 2024-29
OFM/County	2,614	2,653	2,692	2,731	2,770	2,809	2,850	236	9.03%
OSPI Cohort**	2,614	2,623	2,666	2,678	2,732	2,753	2,773	159	6.08%
District***	2,534	2,567	2,605	2,634	2,697	2,727	2,743	209	8.25%

* Actual reported enrollment, October 2023 (headcount for OFM/OSPI; FTE for District)

**Based upon the cohort survival methodology; complete projections located at Appendix A..

***FLO Analytics using FTE; grade level projections located in Appendix A.

The District is aware of notable pending residential development within the District. Specifically, nearly 1,100 multi-family units are planned for or currently in construction within the District boundaries as well as nearly 500 single family units.

Given the District-specific detailed analysis contained in the FLO Analytics report, the District is relying on the projections in that report for purposes of planning for the District's needs during the six years of this plan period. The District plans to watch enrollment growth closely as new development continues. Future updates to the Plan will continue to revisit enrollment projections and methodologies.

B. 2044 Enrollment Projections

Student enrollment projections beyond 2029 are highly speculative. Using OFM/County data as a base, the District projects a 2044 student HC population of 3,517. This is based on the OFM/County data using total population as related to District enrollment.

Projected enrollment by grade span for the year 2044 is provided in Table 5. Again, these estimates are highly speculative and are used only for general planning purposes.

Table 5
Projected Student Enrollment
2044

Grade Span	HC Enrollment – October 2023	Projected Enrollment 2044*
Elementary (K-5)	1,182	1,590
Middle School (6-8)	616	829
High School (9-12)	816	1,098
TOTAL (K-12)	2,614	3,517

*Assumes average percentage per grade span remains constant between 2023 and 2044.

Note: Snohomish County Planning and Development Service provided the underlying data for the 2044 projections.

SECTION 5 CAPITAL FACILITIES NEEDS

The projected available student capacity was determined by subtracting projected FTE student enrollment from permanent school capacity (i.e. excluding portables) for each of the six years in the forecast period (2024-2029).

Capacity needs are expressed in terms of “unhoused students.”

Projected future capacity needs are depicted on Table 6-A and are derived by applying the projected enrollment to the capacity existing in the 2023-24 school year. The method used to define future capacity needs assumes no new construction. For this reason, planned construction projects are not included at this point. This factor, as applicable, is added later (see Table 7).

This table shows actual space needs and the portion of those needs that are “growth related” for the years 2024-2029. Note that this chart can be misleading as it reads out growth-related capacity needs related to recent growth within the District.

Table 6-A*
Additional Capacity Needs***
2023-2029

Grade Span	2023	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	Pct. Growth Related
Elementary (K-5)								
Total	32	18	20	76	92	103	115	
Growth Related	32	--	--	44	60	71	83	72%
Middle School (6-8)								
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	
Growth Related	--	--	--	--	--	--	8	100%
High School								
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Growth Related	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--%

*Please refer to Table 7 for capacity and projected enrollment information.

**Actual October 2023 Enrollment

***Additional “Growth Related Capacity Needs” equal the “Total” for each year less “deficiencies” existing as of 2023. Existing deficiencies as of 2023 include capacity needs related to recent growth from new development through that date.

By the end of the six-year forecast period (2029), additional permanent classroom capacity will be needed as follows:

Table 6-B
Unhoused Students

Grade Span	Unhoused Students /Growth Related in Parentheses)
Elementary (K-5)	115/(83)
Middle School (6-8)	8/(8)
High School (9-12)	-(-)
TOTAL UNHOUSED (K-12)	123/(91)

Again, any planned construction projects are not included in the analysis in Table 6-B. In addition, it is not the District's policy to include relocatable classrooms when determining future capital facility needs; therefore interim capacity provided by relocatable classrooms is not included in Table 6-B. However, Table 6-C incorporates the District's current relocatable capacity (see Table 2) for purposes of identifying available capacity.

Table 6-C
Unhoused Students – Mitigated with Relocatables

Grade Span	2029 Unhoused Students /Growth Related in (Parentheses)	Relocatable Capacity
Elementary (K-5)	115/(83)	320
Middle School (6-8)	8/(8)	78
High School (9-12)	-(-)	0
Total (K-12)	123(91)	398

Importantly, Table 6-C does not include relocatable adjustments that may be made to meet capacity needs. For example, the relocatable classrooms currently designated to serve elementary school needs could be used to serve high school capacity needs. Therefore, assuming no permanent capacity improvements are made, Table 6-C indicates that the District will have adequate interim capacity with the use of relocatable classrooms to house students during this planning period.

Projected permanent capacity needs are depicted in Table 7. They are derived by applying the District's projected number of students to the projected capacity. Planned improvements by the District through 2029 are included in Table 7 and more fully described in Table 8.

Table 7
Projected Student Capacity
2024-2029

Elementary School Surplus/Deficiency

	Oct 2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150
Added Permanent Capacity							
Total Permanent Capacity	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150	1,150
Enrollment`	1,182	1,168	1,170	1,226	1,242	1,253	1,265
Surplus (Deficiency)**	(32)	(18)	(20)	(76)	(92)	(103)	(115)

* Reported October 2023 FTE enrollment

** Does not include portable capacity

Middle School Surplus/Deficiency

	Oct 2023*	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	670	670	670	670	670	670	670
Added Permanent Capacity							
Total Permanent Capacity	670	670	670	670	670	670	670
Enrollment	616	650	652	633	632	625	678
Surplus (Deficiency)**	54	20	18	37	38	45	(8)

* Reported October 2023 FTE enrollment

**Does not include portable capacity.

High School Surplus/Deficiency

	Oct 2023*	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	850	850	850	850	850	850	850
Added Permanent Capacity							
Total Permanent Capacity	850	850	850	850	850	850	850
Enrollment	816	749	784	776	823	849	800
Surplus (Deficiency)**	34	101	66	74	27	1	50

* Reported October 2023 FTE enrollment

**Does not include portable capacity

See Appendix A for complete breakdown of enrollment projections.

See Table 6-A for a comparison of additional capacity needs due to growth versus existing deficiencies.

Table 7 does not include existing, relocated, or added portable facilities.

SECTION 6 CAPITAL FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN

A. Planned Improvements

In March 2000, the voters passed a \$14,258,664 bond issue for school construction and site acquisition. A new elementary school and a middle school addition were funded by that bond measure. In April 2014, the District's voters approved a \$66,800,000 bond measure to fund improvements, including a capacity addition at Lakewood High School, which opened in the fall of 2017. In the Spring of 2020, the District added a STEM lab and two classrooms at Lakewood Middle School.

Currently, the District is assessing future capacity needs and, at the present time, anticipates adding portable capacity to address short term needs with immediate plans to add portables in the summer of 2024 in the space between Lakewood Middle School and Lakewood Elementary School in order to provide K-5 interim capacity at LES. The District is not planning for permanent capacity improvements as a part of this CFP update. However, the District is considering, based on recommendations of the 2023 Citizens' Facility Advisory Committee, a new middle school with the existing Lakewood Middle School thereafter converted to Lakewood Elementary School to provide additional K-5 capacity. Both facilities would provide capacity to serve growth. The District is in early planning as to this recommendation. Future updates to this CFP, including a potential interim update, will identify updated plans and funding sources.

Based upon current needs, the District anticipates that it may need to consider the following acquisitions and/or improvements within the six years of this Plan.

Projects Adding Permanent/Temporary Capacity:

- Acquisition and siting of portable facilities to accommodate growth needs.

Non-Capacity Adding Projects:

- None planned

Other:

- Land acquisition for future sites.

In the event that planned construction projects do not fully address space needs for student growth and a reduction in interim student housing, the Board could consider various courses of action, including, but not limited to:

- Alternative scheduling options;
- Changes in the instructional model;
- Grade configuration changes;
- Increased class sizes; or
- Modified school calendar.

Funding for planned improvements is typically secured from a number of sources including voter approved bonds, State School Construction Assistance funds, and impact fees. Where applicable, the potential funding sources are discussed below.

B. Financing for Planned Improvements

1. General Obligation Bonds

Bonds are typically used to fund construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects. A 60% voter approval is required to approve the issuance of bonds. Bonds are then retired through collection of property taxes. In March 2000, District voters approved a \$14,258,664 bond issue for school construction and site acquisition, which included funding of Cougar Creek Elementary School. In April 2014, the District's voters approved a \$66,800,000 bond measure to fund improvements, including a capacity addition, at Lakewood High School. The District does not have current plans for a future bond or capital levy proposal. Future updates to the CFP will include any proposed or in process planning.

2. State School Construction Assistance

State School Construction Assistance funds come from the Common School Construction Fund and is administered by the Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI). The State deposits revenue from the sale of renewable resources from State school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889 into the Common School Account. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate General Obligation Bond funds or OSPI can prioritize projects for funding. School districts may qualify for State School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP) funds for specific capital projects based on a prioritization system. The District is eligible for State School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP) funds for certain projects at the 59.01% funding percentage level. The current Construction Cost Allowance, the maximum cost/square foot recognized for SCAP funding, is set in the legislature in the biennial budget and currently is \$375.00/eligible square foot. The District does not anticipate being eligible for SCAP funds for the projects planned in this CFP.

3. Impact Fees

Impact fees are a means of supplementing traditional funding sources for construction of public facilities needed to accommodate new development. School impact fees are generally collected by the permitting agency at the time plats are approved or building permits are issued.

4. Six Year Financing Plan

The Six-Year Financing Plan shown in Table 8 demonstrates how the District intends to fund new construction and improvements to school facilities for the years 2024-2029. Where applicable, potential financing components include a bond or capital levy, impact fees, and State School Construction Assistance Program funds. Projects and portions of projects which remedy existing deficiencies are not appropriate for impact fee funding. Thus, impact fees will not be used to finance projects or portions of projects which do not add capacity or which remedy existing deficiencies.

**Table 8
Capital Facilities Plan**

Improvements Adding Permanent Capacity (Costs in Millions)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bonds/ Levy/ Other Local	State Funds	Impact Fees
Elementary School										
Middle School										
High School										
Portables (all grade levels)	\$0.50		\$0.50	\$0.50	\$0.50	\$0.75	\$2.750	X		X
Site Acquisition			\$0.775				\$0.775	X		X

Improvements Not Adding Capacity (Costs in Millions)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bonds/ Levy/ Other Local	State Funds	Impact Fees
Elementary										
Middle School										
High School										

SECTION 7 SCHOOL IMPACT FEES

The GMA authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands.

A. School Impact Fees in Snohomish County

The Snohomish County General Policy Plan (“GPP”) which implements the GMA sets certain conditions for school districts wishing to assess impact fees:

- The District must provide support data including: an explanation of the calculation methodology, a description of key variables and their computation, and definitions and sources of data for all inputs into the fee calculation.
- Such data must be accurate, reliable and statistically valid.
- Data must accurately reflect projected costs in the Six-Year Financing Plan.
- Data in the proposed impact fee schedule must reflect expected student generation rates from at least the following residential dwelling unit types: single family; multi-family/studio or 1-bedroom; and multi-family/2-bedroom or more.

Snohomish County established a school impact fee program in November 1997, and amended the program in December 1999. This program requires school districts to prepare and adopt Capital Facilities Plans meeting the specifications of the GMA. Impact fees calculated in accordance with the formula, which are based on projected school facility costs necessitated by new growth and are contained in the District’s CFP, become effective following County Council adoption of the District’s CFP.

B. Methodology and Variables Used to Calculate School Impact Fees

Impact fees are calculated utilizing the formula in the Snohomish County Impact Fee Ordinance. The resulting figures are based on the District’s cost per dwelling unit to, as applicable, purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools, and purchase/install relocatable facilities that add interim capacity needed to serve new development.

- The Site Acquisition Cost, School Construction Cost, and Temporary/Portable Facility Cost factors are based on planned or actual costs of growth-related school capacity (required on-site/off-site improvements). Costs vary with each site and each facility. See Table 8, Finance Plan. The “Permanent Facility Square Footage” is used in combination

with the “Temporary Facility Square Footage” to apportion the impact fee amounts between permanent and temporary capacity figures. A student factor (or student generation rate) is used to identify the average cost per dwelling unit by measuring the average number of students generated by each housing type. A description of the student factor methodology is contained in Appendix B. The District did not update its student generation rates for this CFP given that it is not requesting school impact fees.

- Where applicable, credits are applied in the formula to account for State School Construction Assistance funds to be reimbursed to the District and projected future property taxes to be paid by the dwelling unit. See page 18. The tax credit uses the 20-year general obligation bond rate from the Bond Buyer index, the District’s current levy rate for bonds, and average assessed value of all residential dwelling units in the District (provided by Snohomish County) by dwelling unit type to determine the corresponding tax credit.

The costs of projects that do not add capacity are not included in the impact fee calculations. Furthermore, because the impact fee formula calculates a “cost per dwelling unit”, an identical fee is generated regardless of whether the total new capacity project costs are used in the calculation or whether the District only uses the percentage of the total new capacity project costs allocated to the Districts growth-related needs, as demonstrated in Table 6-A. When applicable, the District uses the full project costs in the fee formula when calculating school impact fees. Furthermore, impact fees will not be used to address existing deficiencies. See Table 8 for a complete identification of funding sources.

The District is not requesting school impact fees as a part of this Capital Facilities Plan update.

FACTORS FOR ESTIMATED IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

Student Generation Factors – Single Family

Elementary	.126
Middle	.079
High	.063
Total	.268

Average Site Cost/Acre

N/A

Student Generation Factors – Multi Family (1 Bdrm)

Elementary	.026
Middle	.000
High	.000
Total	.026

Temporary Facility Capacity

Capacity	20/26
Cost	\$250,000

SCAP Credit (OSPI)

Current Eligible Cost Percentage	59.01%
Current Construction Cost Allocation	375.00

Student Generation Factors – Multi Family (2+ Bdrm)

Elementary	.101
Middle	.038
High	.045
Total	.184

District Average Assessed Value (Snohomish Co.)

Single Family Residence	\$615,195
-------------------------	-----------

Projected Student Capacity per Facility

N/A

District Average Assessed Value (Snohomish Co.)

Multi Family (1 Bedroom)	\$175,173
--------------------------	-----------

Multi Family (2+ Bedroom)	\$242,411
---------------------------	-----------

Required Site Acreage per Facility

SPI Square Footage per Student (WAC 392-343-035)

Elementary	90
Middle	108
High	130

Facility Construction/Cost Average

N/A

Debt Service Tax Rate for Bonds (Snohomish Co.)

Current/\$1,000	\$1.12394
-----------------	-----------

Permanent Facility Square Footage (LSD Inventory)

Elementary	131,047
Middle	79,945
High	169,000
Total	379,992

General Obligation Bond Interest Rate (Bond Buyer)

Bond Buyer Index (avg February 2024)	3.48%
--------------------------------------	-------

Developer Provided Sites/Facilities

Value	0
Dwelling Units	0

Temporary Facility Square Footage (LSD Inventory)

Elementary	14,382
Middle	2,688
High	0
Total	17,070

Total Facility Square Footage

Elementary	145,429
Middle	82,633
High	169,000
Total	397,062

C. *Proposed Lakewood School District Impact Fee Schedule*

The District does not have permanent capacity projects planned as a part of the 2024 CFP. See discussion in Section 6 above. As such, the District is not requesting the collection of school impact fees as a part of this Capital Facilities Plan. The District expects that future project planning and updates to the Capital Facilities Plan will result in a renewed request for impact fees as a part of a future CFP.

Table 9
School Impact Fees
Snohomish County, City of Arlington, City of Marysville*

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Dwelling Unit
Single Family	\$0
Townhome/Duplex	\$0
Multi-Family (2+ Bedroom)	\$0
Multi-Family (1 Bedroom/less)	\$0

**Table 9 reflects a 50% adjustment to the calculated fee as required by local ordinances.*

APPENDIX A

POPULATION AND ENROLLMENT DATA

Table A-1

**ACTUAL STUDENT ENROLLMENT 2018-2023
PROJECTED STUDENT ENROLLMENT 2024-2029
Based on OSPI Cohort Survival* Headcount Enrollment**

School District	Grade	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Actual	2021 Actual	2022 Actual	2023 Actual	Survival Percentage	2024 Projected	2025 Projected	2026 Projected	2027 Projected	2028 Projected	2029 Projected
Lakewood	Kindergarten	178	188	128	189	194	164		174	175	175	175	175	176
Lakewood	Grade 1	179	183	191	156	190	213	107.31	176	187	188	188	188	188
Lakewood	Grade 2	190	177	172	209	156	196	101.08	215	178	189	190	190	190
Lakewood	Grade 3	166	194	184	188	209	174	105.37	207	227	188	199	200	200
Lakewood	Grade 4	175	179	189	195	203	206	103.55	180	214	235	195	206	207
Lakewood	Grade 5	223	173	181	208	184	229	103.43	213	186	221	243	202	213
Lakewood	Grade 6	186	235	176	194	222	193	105.18	241	224	196	232	256	212
Lakewood	Grade 7	206	204	232	173	207	211	101.68	196	245	228	199	236	260
Lakewood	Grade 8	185	213	216	217	170	212	100.69	212	197	247	230	200	238
Lakewood	Grade 9	217	192	229	216	230	186	105.33	223	223	208	260	242	211
Lakewood	Grade 10	171	220	182	224	208	227	97.79	182	218	218	203	254	237
Lakewood	Grade 11	203	174	208	188	226	190	98.36	223	179	214	214	200	250
Lakewood	Grade 12	157	182	159	217	184	213	95.49	181	213	171	204	204	191
Lakewood	Total	2,436	2,514	2,447	2,574	2,583	2,614		2,623	2,666	2,678	2,732	2,753	2,773

Source: OSPI Form 1049 (printed Feb. 2024)

Table A-2

**PROJECTED ENROLLMENT BY GRADE SPAN
(DISTRICT - FLO Analytics)****

Figure 16: Enrollment (FTE) Forecasts by Individual Grade: Middle Scenario

Grade	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33
K	191.21	193.44	191.01	197.95	202.11	201.39	202.41	204.21	206.02	207.82	209.62
1	188.54	195.95	198.23	195.75	202.85	207.12	206.38	207.43	209.28	211.12	212.97
2	155.00	194.66	201.33	202.69	200.15	207.41	211.77	211.02	212.09	213.98	215.87
3	207.73	158.95	198.65	205.45	206.84	204.24	211.66	216.10	215.34	216.43	218.36
4	203.00	214.60	164.20	203.23	210.19	211.61	208.95	216.54	221.09	220.31	221.42
5	184.94	203.31	214.92	164.45	203.54	210.51	211.93	209.27	216.87	221.42	220.64
6	221.69	193.94	213.20	225.39	172.45	213.44	220.76	222.24	219.46	227.42	232.20
7	205.67	229.24	200.55	220.47	233.06	178.33	220.71	228.28	229.81	226.93	235.17
8	168.02	211.79	236.06	206.51	227.03	240.00	183.63	227.28	235.07	236.65	233.68
9	228.17	172.69	217.67	242.62	212.25	233.33	246.66	188.73	233.59	241.60	243.22
10	207.20	226.23	171.21	215.82	240.55	210.44	231.34	244.56	187.13	231.60	239.54
11	204.03	185.65	202.69	153.40	193.37	215.53	188.55	207.28	219.12	167.66	207.51
12	148.41	172.85	157.27	171.71	129.96	163.81	182.59	159.73	175.60	185.63	142.03
K-5	1,130.42	1,160.91	1,168.35	1,169.51	1,225.67	1,242.28	1,253.10	1,264.57	1,280.68	1,291.08	1,298.88
6-8	595.38	634.97	649.81	652.37	632.54	631.77	625.10	677.80	684.34	691.01	701.05
9-12	<u>787.81</u>	<u>757.40</u>	<u>748.85</u>	<u>783.55</u>	<u>776.12</u>	<u>823.11</u>	<u>849.14</u>	<u>800.30</u>	<u>815.43</u>	<u>826.49</u>	<u>832.31</u>
Total	2,513.61	2,553.28	2,567.02	2,605.43	2,634.34	2,697.16	2,727.35	2,742.68	2,780.45	2,808.57	2,832.24

Lakewood School District October 2022-23 enrollment and FLO 2023-24 to 2032-33 enrollment forecasts (middle, or preferred, scenario). Enrollment values omit students enrolled in Transitional Kindergarten and full-time Running Start.

APPENDIX B

STUDENT GENERATION FACTOR REVIEW



MEMORANDUM

To: John Poolman
Executive Director of Finance
Lakewood School District

Date: April 4, 2022

From: Tyler Vick
Managing Director

Benjamin Maloney
Demographer/Data Analyst

Project No.: F1867.01.004

Re: **Student Generation Report— Lakewood School District**

At the request of the Lakewood School District (District/LSD), FLO Analytics (FLO) has prepared an analysis of the student generation rates (SGRs) as a result of recent single-family and multifamily construction (2017–2021) within the district. This document details the methodology FLO used to create the SGRs for LSD; an analysis of recent single-family (SF) and multifamily (MF) construction; and SGRs for SF, 0–1 bedroom (BR) MF units, and 2+ BR MF units. The findings are presented per individual grade and per grade group.

METHODS

The SGR analysis is based on two data sources: (1) January 2017 to December 2021 residential developments from the Snohomish County Assessor's Office (SCAO) and (2) October 2021 student enrollment provided by the District. The residential development data include information regarding the building size, room count, assessed value, and year built, along with a significant amount of other structural information. Data that contained incomplete records (e.g., no stated location) or did not coincide with a remote visual inspection (i.e., Google Earth) were removed from the final database prior to the calculations. Senior housing was also not included in the analysis. Additional investigation into the residential data from the SCAO necessitated the removal of three residential construction developments that were erroneously listed as having been completed between 2017 and 2021. These consisted of three mobile home sites that have been present since at least 2010. The final data were then joined to Snohomish County tax parcels to provide a spatial understanding of recent residential construction trends.

According to data obtained from the SCAO, residential construction activity has continued at a brisk pace with 127 SF units and 6 MF buildings completed between 2017 and 2021 (SF). While the majority of the SF construction consisted of units classified as "Single Family Residence – Detached" (115 units), other SF use codes were also constructed, including construction classified as 2 Single Family Residences (two detached residences per parcel) and manufactured homes (owned and leased). MF development ranged from 15–20 unit residences to 301+ unit construction. About 87 percent (734 units) of these new MF units were 2+ BR units, while the remainder (114 units) were 0–1 BR units. While considered MF buildings, Cedar Pointe Apartments (Senior Facility) and Holman Recovery Center were removed from the analysis.

FLO Analytics | 1-888-847-0299 | www.flo-analytics.com

R:\F1867.01 Lakewood School District\Document\004_2022.04.04 Student Generation Report\Lakewood SD Student Generation Report 2022.docx

All students (grades kindergarten [K] through 12) in the October 2021, Student Information System (SIS) were geocoded; however, the analysis considered only students that reside within the district boundary. Any students geocoded to locations not within a parcel (e.g., along a street right-of-way) were relocated within the parcel corresponding to the student's address. The student address points were then compared to the 2017–2021 residential construction data. These two data sets were spatially joined to create a record that indicates the development, the number of students living at a location, and all pertinent attributes for this analysis, including current grade level. With this combination of information, SGRs were calculated for SF housing, 0–1 BR MF units, and 2+ BR MF units as detailed in the results below.

RESULTS

Single-Family Residential Unit Rates

All new SF residential units (constructed between 2017 and 2021) from the SCAO were compared with the District's October 2021 SIS, and the number of students at each grade level living in those units was determined. The 127 SF units were compared to the 2,602 students enrolled within the District, and the following matches were found by grade level(s):

Table 1. Rate of Matches by Grade for Single-Family Units

Grade	Matches	Rate
K	2	0.016
1	5	0.039
2	6	0.047
3	1	0.008
4	1	0.008
5	1	0.008
6	5	0.039
7	3	0.024
8	2	0.016
9	2	0.016
10	3	0.024
11	1	0.008
12	2	0.016
K–5	16	0.126
6–8	10	0.079
9–12	8	0.063
K–12	34	0.263

Multifamily Developments

While SF data are nearly completely accounted for in the SCAO data, there are significant data gaps with regard to MF construction. For instance, the SCAO MF development data do not include the number of bedrooms in the building and parcels may be layered on top of one another on occasion. FLO performed additional research to determine the number of MF units and breakdown of units by bedroom count, as well as to remove all duplicate parcels. To aid this effort, FLO received additional SIS attributes from the District including the number or letter identifier of the MF units in which students reside.

FLO reached out to the building management at the six projects constructed between January 2017 and December 2021 to ascertain the bedroom count of each unit that housed students. Information given to the building management consisted of only the unit identifier; no identifying information was disclosed. FLO received bedroom count information for Villas at Arlington, Trailside at the Lodge, and Twin Lakes Landing. Despite numerous attempts, no bedroom information could be received from The Landing at Smokey Pointe for the two students living at units within this building. Based on trends within and surrounding the district, we assumed both students reside within a 2+ BR unit. No students reside at Affinity at Arlington and the unnamed garden style apartment.

Multifamily 0–1 BR Rates

FLO calculated the MF 0–1 BR SGRs by comparing data on 0–1 BR MF units with the District's October 2021 SIS and determining the number of students at each grade level living in those units. As of this writing, FLO estimates that 114 0–1 BR units were constructed from 2017 to 2021. Matches to current students are indicated in the table below.

Table 2. Rate of Matches by Grade for Multifamily 0–1 BR Units

Grade	Matches	Rate
K	0	0.000
1	0	0.000
2	1	0.009
3	0	0.000
4	0	0.000
5	2	0.018
6	0	0.000
7	0	0.000
8	0	0.000
9	0	0.000
10	0	0.000
11	0	0.000
12	0	0.000
K–5	3	0.026
6–8	0	0.000
9–12	0	0.000
K–12	3	0.026

Multifamily 2+ BR Rates

FLO calculated the MF 2+ BR SGRs by comparing data on 2+ BR MF units with the District's October 2021 SIS and determining the number of students at each grade level living in those units. It is estimated that 734 2+ BR units were constructed from 2017 to 2021. Matches to current students are indicated in the table below.

Table 3. Rate of Matches by Grade for Multifamily 2+ BR Units

Grade	Matches	Rate
K	16	0.022
1	9	0.012
2	12	0.016
3	11	0.015
4	13	0.018
5	13	0.018
6	11	0.015
7	10	0.014
8	7	0.010
9	12	0.016
10	9	0.012
11	4	0.005
12	8	0.011
K-5	83	0.101
6-8	28	0.038
9-12	33	0.045
K-12	144	0.184

Summary of Student Generation Rates

Table 4. Student Generation Rate Summary by Housing Type and Aggregated Grade Levels

Type	PS-5	6-8	9-12	PS-12
Single-family	0.126	0.079	0.063	0.268
Multifamily 0-1 BR	0.026	0.000	0.000	0.026
Multifamily 2+ BR	0.101	0.038	0.045	0.184

Summary of 2017-2021 Multifamily Developments

Table 5. Summary of Multifamily Developments by Elementary School Boundary

Building Name	Number of Units	School
The Landing at Smokey Pointe	48	English Crossing ES
Villas at Arlington	312	English Crossing ES
Trailside at The Lodge	250	English Crossing ES
Affinity At Arlington	170	Cougar Creek ES
Twin Lakes Landing	50	Cougar Creek ES
Unnamed Garden Style Apartment	18	English Crossing ES

Summary of Single-Family Housing Built by Year

Table 6. Summary of Single-Family Housing Construction by Year

2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
11	23	36	36	21

APPENDIX C

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

This section does not updated for the 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plan since the District is not requesting a school impact fee. Future updates to this CFP may include an impact fee.



MONROE SCHOOL DISTRICT

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2024–2029

Adopted: August 12, 2024

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN MONROE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 103

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Jennifer Bumpus, President
Jeremiah Campbell, Vice President
Molly Barnes
James Etzkorn
Chuck Whitfield

SUPERINTENDENT

Shawn Woodward, Superintendent

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction.....	1
Educational Program Standard	4
Capital Facilities Inventory	7
Student Enrollment Trends and Projections	11
Projected Facility Needs	15
Financing Plan	16
School Impact Fees	21
Appendix A.....	Population and Enrollment Data
Appendix B	School Impact Fee Calculations
Appendix C	Student Generation Rates

CHAPTER 1 -- INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

The Monroe School District (the “District”) has prepared this Capital Facilities Plan (“CFP”) to assess the facilities needed to accommodate projected student enrollment at acceptable levels of service, as well as a more detailed schedule and financing program for capital improvements, over the next six years (2024-2029). The CFP is intended to be shared with the City of Monroe and Snohomish County. In accordance with the Growth Management Act, adopted Snohomish County policies, and local ordinances governing school impacts, this CFP contains the following required elements:

- Future enrollment forecasts for each grade span (elementary schools, middle schools, and high schools).
- An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by the District, showing the locations and capacities of the facilities.
- A forecast of the future needs for capital facilities and school sites.
- The proposed capacities of expanded or new capital facilities.
- A six-year plan for financing capital facilities within projected funding capacities, which clearly identifies sources of public money for such purposes. The financing plan separates projects and portions of projects which add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding.
- As applicable, a calculation of impact fees to be assessed and support data substantiating said fees.

In developing this CFP, the District followed the following guidelines set forth in Appendix F of Snohomish County's General Policy Plan:

- Districts should use information from recognized sources, such as the U.S. Census or the Puget Sound Regional Council. School districts may generate their own data if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies. Information must not be inconsistent with Office of Financial Management (OFM) population forecasts. Student generation rates must be independently calculated by each school district.
- The CFP must comply with the GMA.
- The methodology used to calculate impact fees must comply with Chapter 82.02 RCW. In the event that impact fees are not available due to action by the state, county or cities within the District, the District in a future CFP update must identify alternative funding sources to replace the intended impact fee funding.

Snohomish County’s Countywide Planning Policies direct jurisdictions in Snohomish County to “ensure the availability of sufficient land and services for future K-20 school needs.” Policy ED-11. The District appreciates any opportunity for cooperative planning efforts with its jurisdictions.

Overview of the Monroe School District

The Monroe School District is located in the southeastern portion of Snohomish County. The District covers approximately 82 square miles and encompasses the City of Monroe and portions of unincorporated Snohomish County.


The District currently serves a student population of 5,503 (October 1, 2023 headcount, with 5,032 in-district students) with five elementary school campuses, two middle schools, and one high school. Leaders in Learning, an individualized secondary program, is also offered as a standalone program at the Monroe High School campus. Sky Valley Education Center, an individualized program for students in grades K-12 that provides for an alternative learning environment, is housed in a former middle school facility. Elementary schools provide educational programs for students in kindergarten through grade five. Middle schools serve grades six through eight and the high school grades nine through twelve. Leaders in Learning serves grades nine through twelve.

Significant Issues Related To Facility Planning In the Monroe School District

The most significant issues facing the Monroe School District in terms of providing classroom capacity to accommodate projected demands are aging school facilities, the rate of student growth, the availability and affordability of suitable school sites, including perkable soil for septic systems, access to water and the geographic constraints associated with the increased student population.

The District recently completed projects approved by the voters in April 2015. These projects helped address some issues with aging school facilities and capacity needs. The District is in the early planning stages for a proposed future bond measure. It is anticipated that a future bond proposal will address modernization and expansion of school facilities.

MAP – MONROE SCHOOL DISTRICT



December 2014

CHAPTER 2 – EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM STANDARDS

School facility and student capacity needs are dictated by the types and amounts of space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program. The educational program standards which typically drive facility space needs include grade configuration, optimum facility size, class size, educational program offerings, classroom utilization and scheduling requirements, and use of relocatable classroom facilities (portables).

In addition to factors which affect the amount of space required, government mandates and community expectations affect how classroom space is used. Traditional educational programs offered by school districts are often supplemented by non-traditional or special programs such as special education, bilingual education, remediation programs, migrant education, alcohol and drug education, AIDS education, preschool, extended day kindergarten and daycare programs, computer labs, music programs, etc. These special or nontraditional educational programs have a significant impact on the available student capacity of school facilities.

The District's implementation, now complete, of required full-day kindergarten and reduced K-3 class size affected school capacity and educational program standards.

Special programs offered by the District at specific school sites include, but are not limited to:

- Special education pre-school
- Special education - resource, moderate and profound, behavioral and behavioral support
- ELL/ESL
- Title I LAP
- Drug and Alcohol Education
- Community Schools
- Vocational and Technical Education
- Technology Education
- Music
- Day Care - before and after school
- Computer Labs
- Birth to Three Programs
- Excel
- Adopt-A-Stream
- Outdoor Education
- Horticulture
- Multi-age classrooms
- Special Education 18 to 21 year old transitional program

Variations in student capacity among schools are often a result of what special or nontraditional programs are offered at specific schools. These special programs require classroom space which can reduce the permanent capacity of some of the buildings housing these programs. Some students, for example, leave their regular classroom for a short period of time to receive instruction

in these special programs. Newer schools within the District have been designed to accommodate most of these programs. However, older schools often require space modifications to accommodate special programs, and in some circumstances, these modifications may reduce the overall classroom capacities of the buildings.

District educational program standards will undoubtedly change in the future as a result of changes in the program year, special programs, class sizes, grade span configurations, and use of new technology, as well as other physical aspects of school facilities. The school capacity inventory will be reviewed periodically and adjusted for any changes to the educational program standards. These changes will also be reflected in future updates of this Capital Facilities Plan.

The District educational program standards which directly affect school capacity are outlined below for the elementary, middle, and high school grade levels.

EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM STANDARDS FOR ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS

- Class size for grades K-3 should not exceed 20 students.
- Class size for grades 4-5 should not exceed 26 students.
- All students will be provided music instruction in a separate classroom.
- Optimum design capacity for new elementary schools is 500-550 students. However, actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered.

EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM STANDARDS FOR MIDDLE AND HIGH SCHOOLS

- Class size for middle school grades should not exceed 28 students.
- Class size for high school grades should not exceed 28 students.

As a result of scheduling conflicts for student programs, the need for specialized rooms for certain programs, and the need for teachers to have a work space during planning periods, it is not possible to achieve 100% utilization of all regular teaching stations throughout the day.

Identified students will also be provided other nontraditional educational opportunities in classrooms designated as follows: Resource Rooms (i.e. computer labs, study rooms); Special Education Classrooms; and Program Specific Classrooms (i.e. music, drama, art, science, family and consumer science, physical education, technology education).

Desired design capacity for new middle schools is 800 to 850 students. However, actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered and/or geographic area served.

Desired design capacity for new comprehensive high schools is 1,600-1800 students. However, actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered.

MINIMUM EDUCATIONAL SERVICE STANDARDS

The District will evaluate student housing levels based on the District as a whole system and not on a school by school or site by site basis. This may result in portable classrooms being used as interim housing, attendance boundary changes or other program changes to balance student housing across the system as a whole. A boundary change or a significant programmatic change would be made by the Board of Directors following appropriate public review and comment.

The District has set minimum educational service standards based on several criteria. The standards in the 2024 CFP are adjusted to reflect implementation of reduced K-3 class size and other elements of District program delivery. Exceeding these minimum standards will trigger significant changes in program delivery. If there are more than 24 students per classroom in a majority of K-3 classrooms, more than 26 students per classroom in the majority of 4-5 classrooms, or more than 30 students in a majority of grade 6-12 classrooms, the minimum standards have not been met. For purposes of this determination, the term “classroom” does not include special education classrooms or special program classrooms (i.e. computer labs, art rooms, chorus and band rooms, spaces used for physical education and other special program areas). Furthermore, the term “classroom” does not apply to special programs or activities that may occur in a regular classroom. The minimum educational standard is just that, a minimum, and not the desired or accepted operating standard.

In summary, the District’s “minimum level of service” is that there are no more than 26 students in the majority of grade K-4 classrooms and no more than 30 students in the majority of grade 5-12 classrooms. For the school years of 2021-22 and 2022-23, the District’s compliance with the minimum level of service was as follows:

2021-22 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
	27	17.65	30	16.35	30	25.20

* The District determines the reported service level by adding the number of students at each grade level and dividing that number by the number of teaching stations. Student counts include out-of-district SVEC students.

2022-23 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
	27	18.15	30	17.46	30	20.83

* The District determines the reported service level by adding the number of students at each grade level and dividing that number by the number of teaching stations. Student counts include out-of-district SVEC students.

CHAPTER 3 – CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY

Under the Growth Management Act public entities are required to inventory capital facilities used to serve existing development. The purpose of the facilities inventory is to establish a baseline for determining what facilities will be required to accommodate future demand (student enrollment) at acceptable or established levels of service. This chapter provides an inventory of capital facilities owned and operated by the District including schools, relocatable classrooms (portables), undeveloped land and support facilities. School facility capacity was inventoried based on the space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program standards (see Chapter 2). A map showing locations of District facilities is provided on page 3.

SCHOOLS

The Monroe School District currently operates five elementary school campuses serving grades K-5 including a portion of Wagner Center, formerly Frank Wagner Elementary East as a part of the Frank Wagner Elementary complex, two middle schools serving grades 6-8 and one high school serving grades 9-12. Leaders in Learning, an individualized secondary program is offered in portables located on the Monroe High School campus. Sky Valley Education Center, a grades 1-12 individualized parent partnership program is housed in the old Monroe Middle School site. Pre-kindergarten students are served in programs at both Frylands Elementary and Chain Lake Elementary Schools.

School capacity is determined based on the number of teaching stations within each building and the space requirements of the District's adopted educational program. The District uses this capacity calculation to establish the District's baseline capacity and determine future capacity needs based on projected student enrollment. The District's school facility inventory is summarized in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

Table 1 - Elementary School Capacity Inventory

	Site Size (acres)	Building Area (Sq. Ft.)	Teaching Stations	Program Student Capacity	Year Built or Last Remodel	Potential for Expansion
Elementary School						
Chain Lake	14.4	46,207	21	440	1990	yes**
Frank Wagner	10. 21	68,408	34	714	2018	yes
Fryelands	7.09	54,074	20	420	2005	no
Maltby	10	50,230	24	504	2005	no*
Salem Woods	13.78	50,545	25	524	2018	yes
SVEC (part) ***	6	40,905	14	280	1980	no
Totals	61.48	310,369	138	2,882		

* Septic system capacity limits expansion

** Holding tank capacity limits expansion potential

*** Sky Valley Ed Center capacities prorated by daily usage.

Table 2 - Middle School Capacity Inventory

	Site Size (acres)	Building Area (Sq. Ft.)	Teaching Stations	Program Student Capacity*	Year Built or Last Remodel	Potential for Expansion
Middle School						
Park Place Middle	19.4	135,684	41	953	2018	yes
Hidden River	20	84,341	25	581	2023	yes
SVEC (part) **		22,652	8	220	1980	no
Totals	39.4	242,677	74	1,754		

* Calculated at 83% room utilization

** Sky Valley Ed Center capacities prorated by daily usage.

Table 3 - High School Capacity Inventory

	Site Size (acres)	Building Area (Sq. Ft.)	Teaching Stations	Program Student Capacity*	Year Built or Remodel	Potential for Expansion
High School						
Monroe HS	33	209,432	72	1,815	2005	yes
Leaders In Learning	**	14,250	**	**	**	**
SVEC (part) ***		21,440	7	209	1980	no
Totals	33	245,122	79	2,024		

* Calculated at 90% room utilization

** Leaders in Learning located on the Monroe High School campus in portable facilities.

*** Sky Valley Ed Center capacities prorated by daily usage.

RELOCATABLE CLASSROOM FACILITIES (PORTABLES)

Relocatable classroom facilities (portables) are used as interim classroom space to house students until construction of permanent classroom facilities takes place. Therefore, these facilities are not included in the school capacity calculations provided in Tables 1-3 above. The District uses 28 portables at various school sites throughout the District providing interim capacity and administrative support needs

Table 4 – Portable Classroom Inventory

	Number of Portables	Capacity	Building Area (Sq. Ft.)
Chain Lake Elementary	6	132	5,460
Salem Woods Elementary	3	66	2,688
Hidden River Middle	2	44	1,536
Monroe High School	10*	186	7,560
Preschool/Head Start	3	40	2,679
Old District Office	2	0	2,504
Transportation	2	0	952
	28	468	23,379

* Two portables for Life Skills; five portables for Leaders in Learning.

The age and condition of some of the portables is such that they can no longer be moved to another site to relieve over-crowding. They simply would not be able to survive another move. The District continues to survey its portables to determine how many can be moved to another site without damaging the portable beyond use. However, several of the portables have been purchased during the last ten years. These portables can and will be moved from time to time to meet instructional needs and to provide interim student housing, as the need arises.

SUPPORT FACILITIES

In addition to schools, the District owns and operates additional facilities which provide operational support functions to the schools. An inventory of these facilities is provided in Table 5.

Table 5 - Inventory of Support Facilities

Facility Name	Site Size (Acres)	Building Area (sq ft)
Old District Admin Office and Warehouse	3.5	21,584
District Administration Office	2.48	31,151
Maintenance Shops	0.2	5,459
Transportation	3.4	6,612
Totals	9.58	64,806

LAND INVENTORY

The District owns one undeveloped parcel of 14.5 acres adjacent to Chain Lake Elementary. The District had intended to build a middle school at this site. However, there are substantial wetlands and buffer zone requirements. The site cannot be used for a middle school. There appears to be sufficient usable space to add a classroom addition to Chain Lake Elementary School.

The District purchased a 13.2 acre piece of property on the Old Owen corridor in 2007. The property will be used for a future elementary school.

The District owns approximately 13 acres located on West Columbia Street in the City of Monroe commonly known as Memorial Stadium/Marshall Fields. The District is considering using the site for future expansion or the potential surplus and sale of this Property.

The District owns other sites which are unsuitable for school buildings inasmuch as they do not have the acreage necessary to support even an elementary school. They are: (1) A 2.7 acre piece in the Lake Fontal area donated to the District in the early 1900's; and (2) 2.54 acres within a residential area of Monroe which is currently being used as the Park Place Softball Field. The District also owns a 35 acre parcel off of Echo Falls Road in Maltby that was deeded to the District by two families. It was originally used as an outdoor education site. The property is composed primarily of wetlands and beaver ponds, with approximately two acres of buildable land, and has limited access issue.

A 31.6 acre site deeded to the District by the BPA is located in the Sultan School District. This site potentially could be used for a future school.

CHAPTER 4 – STUDENT ENROLLMENT HISTORY AND PROJECTIONS

Facility needs are determined in part by evaluating recent trends in adjusted student enrollment. The District's October 2023 headcount enrollment was 5,503, but that figure includes out-of-district students enrolled in the Sky Valley Education Center program. The District adjusts its enrollment to exclude these students for purposes of this Capital Facilities Plan (with an adjusted enrollment of 5,032 for October 2023). Notably, the OSPI enrollment cohort projection data (Form 1049) appears inaccurate as it does not track with the enrollment data reported to OSPI and contained in OSPI Forms 1251 and 1251H. As such, the District finds the Form 1049 data unreliable.¹ For purposes of this CFP and determining facility needs and anticipated enrollment projections, the District looks to modified cohort enrollment projections prepared by an outside demographer and projections based on Snohomish County's 2044 GMA Population Forecast (2024 Release).

RECENT TRENDS - STUDENT ENROLLMENT IN DISTRICT FACILITIES

Over the previous six years, the District's enrollment was heavily affected by enrollment fluctuations due to the COVID-19 pandemic and uncertainties with regard to in-person learning. Enrollment is starting to stabilize with the exception of high school enrollment, which has declined further in the last two years. Table 6 shows the actual enrollment in District facilities during the years 2018-2023, excluding out-of-district students enrolled in the Sky Valley Education Center.

**Table 6- Total Student Enrollment
(District Residents in District Facilities)
Monroe School District 2018-2023**

Enrollment by Grade Span	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	Oct. 2023
Elementary (K-5)	2,646	2,619	2,241	2,237	2,287	2,244
Middle School (6-8)	1,323	1,335	1,292	1,200	1,176	1,137
High School (9-12)	2,203	2,179	2,080	2,044	1,678	1,651
TOTAL	6,172	6,133	5,613	5,481	5,141	5,032

¹ In addition, the OSPI figures previously included enrollment of students in off-site credit retrieval programs provided by two separate community colleges in cooperation with the District. The District discontinued these relationships at the end of the 2021-22 school year. In previous CFPs, the District adjusted its enrollment to exclude these students from its reported enrollment. The District also excludes out of district students enrolled in alternative learning programs housed within the District.

PROJECTED STUDENT ENROLLMENT (2024-2029)

Enrollment in the District, after several years of an upward trend that peaked in the 2016-17 school year, marginally declined in the immediate years thereafter and then dropped further during the COVID-19 pandemic. K-12 enrollment in Snohomish County is growing but is concentrated currently in other areas. However, new housing development planned within the District boundaries, as well as some enrollment stabilization at the elementary and middle school levels post-pandemic, is expected to bring new enrollment growth at the K-5 level over the six year planning period. The District intends to monitor carefully how residential development over the next six years may lead to growth in the District's enrollment, particularly as the City of Monroe plans for its 2044 growth targets, including planning for between 2,112 and 2,888² new dwelling units within the City and its unincorporated urban growth area by 2044.

Two enrollment forecasts were conducted for the District: a modified cohort survival projection prepared by a professional demographer and an estimate based upon County population as provided by OFM ("ratio method"). The modified cohort survival projection was prepared in June 2024. The District is using the demographer's "medium range" projection as adjusted for out-of-district students enrolled in the Sky Valley Education Center (see discussion on next page). The District intends to revisit these projections as more specificity is developed with regard to implementation of housing target planning and actual development.

Enrollment projections often rely on the cohort survival methodology as a base. That methodology compares enrollment at a particular grade in a specific year, to the enrollment at the previous grade from the prior year. For example, enrollment at the second grade is compared to the previous year's first grade enrollment. The ratio of these two numbers (second grade enrollment divided by first grade enrollment) creates a "cohort survival ratio" providing a summary measure of the in-and-out migration that has occurred over the course of a year. This ratio can be calculated for each grade level. Once these ratios have been established over a period of years they can be averaged and/or weighted to predict the enrollment at each grade. At the kindergarten level, enrollment is compared to the county births from five years prior to estimate a "birth-to-k" ratio. This ratio, averaged over several years, provides a method for predicting what proportion of the birth cohort will enroll at the kindergarten level.

Cohort survival is a purely mathematical method, which assumes that future enrollment patterns will be similar to past enrollment patterns. It makes no assumptions about what is causing enrollment gains or losses and can be easily applied to any enrollment history. This concept is particularly striking when considering the COVID-19 pandemic and its anomalous impact on school enrollments nationwide. As a result, cohort survival can produce large forecast errors because it does not consider possible changes in demographic trends. New housing, especially, can produce enrollment gains that might not otherwise be predicted from past trends. Or, alternatively, a district may lose market share to private or other public schools. It is also possible that a slowdown in population and housing growth will dampen enrollment gains.

The modified cohort survival methodology combines the cohort survival method with information

² Based on current City discussions related to land use scenarios being considered in the "Monroe 2044" planning.

about market share gains and losses from private schools, information about population growth from new housing construction, and information about regional trends, including the post-pandemic shift in student learning. The population/housing growth factor reflects projected changes in the housing market and/or in the assumptions about overall population growth within the District’s boundary area. The enrollment derived from the cohort model is adjusted upward or downward to account for expected shifts in the market for new homes, to account for changes in the growth of regional school age populations, and to account for projected changes in the district population.

The modified cohort survival projection, with its analysis of historical patterns and District-specific demographic and market data, best reflects anticipated enrollment in the District.³ The District has adjusted those projections to by a factor that removes anticipated out-of-District enrollment at SVEC (based on historical trends and assuming consistency over the next six years).⁴ Those projections show an expected total adjusted enrollment of 5,196, or an increase of 3.26%, by 2029, with K-5 enrollment growing by 11.4%. Enrollment after 2029 is expected to continue to modestly grow. See *Appendix A* for more detail (*keeping in mind that the District has further modified those projections to the anticipated adjusted enrollment per the note above*).

OFM population-based enrollment projections were estimated for the District using OFM population forecasts for the County. The County provided the District with the estimated total population in the District by year. Between 2020 and 2023, the District’s housed student enrollment (as adjusted) constituted approximately 13.0% of the total population in the District. Assuming that between 2024 and 2029, the District’s enrollment will continue to constitute 13.0% of the District’s total population and using OFM/County data, OFM/County methodology projects a total enrollment of 5,748 students in District facilities in 2029.

**Table 7- Projected Student Enrollment
2024-2029
(District Residents in District Facilities)**

Projection	Oct. 2023*	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Change 2023-29	Percent Change 2023-29
OFM/County	5,032	5,038	5,180	5,322	5,464	5,606	5,748	716	14.2%
Modified Cohort/District (Adjusted FTE)	5,032	5,083	5,043	5,030	5,062	5,131	5,196	164	3.26%

*Actual adjusted enrollment of District students in District facilities, October 2023

For the reasons discussed above, the District is using the modified cohort survival projections for purposes of planning for the District’s facility needs during the six years of this plan period. Future updates to the

³ The District is continuing its use of the demographer’s report prepared in March 2033 as the “low range” projections in that report track with recent District enrollment trends.

⁴ The demographer’s projections also remove students enrolled in full-time Running Start and out-of-district student enrolled in District special education programs.

Plan may revisit this issue.

PROJECTED STUDENT ENROLLMENT (POST-2029)

Student enrollment projections beyond 2029 are highly speculative. Using OFM/County data as a base, the District projects a 2044 student FTE population of 6,114. This is based on the OFM/County data showing that, for the years 2020-2023, the District's enrollment constituted approximately 13.0% of total District population and an assumption that this percentage will remain constant through 2044. See discussion above. The total enrollment estimate was broken down by grade span to evaluate long-term needs for capital facilities.

Projected enrollment by grade span for the year 2044 is provided in Table 8. Again, these estimates are highly speculative and are used only for general planning purposes.

Table 8
Projected Student Enrollment
2044

Grade Span	Adjusted FTE Enrollment October 2023	Projected Enrollment 2044*
Elementary (K-5)	2,244	2,813
Middle School (6-8)	1,137	1,406
High School (9-12)	1,651	1,895
TOTAL (K-12)	5,032	6,114

*Assumes average percentage per grade span remains constant between 2023 and 2044.

Note: Snohomish County Planning and Development Service provided the underlying data for the 2044 projections.

CHAPTER 5 – PROJECTED FACILITY NEEDS

NEAR-TERM FACILITY NEEDS (THROUGH 2029)

Current enrollment at each grade level is identified in Table 6, which provides the actual adjusted enrollment in District facilities as of October 1, 2023. *Projected* available student capacity is derived by subtracting projected student enrollment from existing October 2023 school capacity (Tables 1-3). It is not the District's policy to include portable classroom units when determining future capital facility needs; therefore interim capacity provided by portables is not included⁵.

To determine future facility needs, existing school program capacity is compared to projected enrollment throughout the six-year forecast period. Without the consideration of portables, the District currently has capacity available at all grade levels (see Table 11). Table 9 assumes no new capacity construction through 2029. This factor is added in later (see Table 11).

Table 9 shows actual space needs and the portion of those needs that are “growth related” for the years 2024-2029. Based on current enrollment projections, the District is not showing a growth related capacity need during the six-year planning period.

Table 9
Available Student Capacity 2023-2029

Grade Span	2023 Enrollment	Existing Permanent Capacity[^]	2023 Surplus	2029 Enrollment	2029 Surplus/(Deficit)
K-5	2,244	2,882	638	2,500	382
6-8	1,137	1,754	617	1,145	609
9-12	1,651	2,024	373	1,551	473

[^]Existing as of Oct. 2023.

⁵ Information on portables and interim capacity can be found in Table 4.

CHAPTER 6 – CAPITAL FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN

RECENT PROJECTS AND NEW SCHOOL CONSTRUCTION

In April 2015, the District's voters passed a \$110.9 million bond issue for school construction to modernize and expand existing facilities and provide Districtwide improvements and major maintenance. The District is currently in the early planning stages for an anticipated bond proposal that would address facility needs during the six years of this planning period, as further detailed herein. The identified future bond project proposals are subject to the final recommendations of the District's bond community advisory committee and the District's Board of Directors deciding, via resolution, to send the proposal to the voters for consideration. The school construction projects are summarized in Table 10. The primary source of funding for these projects is from the bond proceeds and supplemented by State School Construction Assistance funds and impact fees.

Elementary Level Projects

Approved 2015 Bond Projects:

Salem Woods Elementary: Add new capacity for 132 students, with associated spaces additions at Salem Woods Elementary, along with modernization of the existing facility to bring it up to current building code and educational standards. Project complete in 2018.

Frank Wagner Elementary: Add new capacity for 308 students and construct a new library and computer lab. Project complete in 2018.

Anticipated Future Bond Projects:

Salem Woods Elementary Phase II: Add new capacity for 88 students. Project projected to be complete in 2029 (assuming bond approval).

Frank Wagner Elementary: Add new capacity for 88 students as a part of modernization project. Project projected to be complete in 2029 (assuming bond approval).

Chain Lake Elementary: Add new capacity for 88 students plus an additional special education classroom as a part of modernization project. Project projected to be complete by or soon after the 2029-30 school year (assuming bond approval).

New Elementary No. 6: Construct a new 550 student elementary school to serve projected student enrollment growth. This project is projected to be outside of the six-year planning period of this Capital Facilities Plan (assuming bond approval).

Wagner Center Early Learning Center: Convert a portion of the Wagner Center to an early learning center to provide for a pre-kindergarten, ECAP, and/or other early learning programs. This project is in early consideration (assuming bond approval).

Middle School Level Projects

Approved 2015 Bond Projects:

Hidden River Middle: Construct Phase 3 Addition to the building, providing housing for an additional 139 students (including general classrooms and specialized classrooms for science, art, career/technology) and expanding the kitchen to serve the additional student load. Project complete in 2023.

Park Place Middle School: Perform complete renovation plus some demolition and replacement of older buildings to bring it up to meet current building codes and educational standards. Project includes replacement classrooms, new commons, kitchen and auxiliary gym, remodel of existing gym, and capacity addition for 23 students. Project complete in 2018.

High School Level Projects

Approved 2015 Bond Projects:

Monroe High School: Convert a currently unusable outdoor physical education space to all weather space. The net effect will be the addition of three new teaching stations. Project complete in 2018.

District Level Projects

Approved 2015 Bond Projects:

Four million dollars is allocated for a variety of facility improvements and major maintenance at all schools.

Anticipated Future Bond Projects:

Park Place, Building F: Under consideration for modernization. Specific use tbd.

Other:

The District may consider moving Sky Valley Education Center to a new location.

Portable Classrooms

The District may need to add portable classrooms to address unanticipated enrollment increases.

FINANCING FOR PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS

General Obligation Bonds

Bonds are typically used to fund construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects. A 60% voter approval is required to approve the issuance of bonds. Bonds are then retired through collection of property taxes.

The Monroe School District passed a capital improvements bond for \$10.8 million in 1987. Revenues from this bond were used to construct Frank Wagner Elementary, Chain Lake Elementary, additions to Park Place Middle School (former Monroe High School), new roofs and insulation at three schools, a play shed at Maltby Elementary, and other smaller projects. A bond was passed in 1996 for \$24 million. It was used for the construction of a new high school and Hidden River Middle School in the Maltby area, both of which opened in September 1999. It also funded several other projects. The District passed a successful bond issue in 2003 in the amount of \$21,852,000. These funds were used for the construction of Fryelands Elementary, additions to Hidden River Middle School and Monroe High School, remodeling

of Maltby Elementary School, new athletic facilities and technology upgrades. The projects were completed in 2005/2006. In April 2015, the District's voters approved a \$110.9 million bond measure to fund the improvements described above in this Chapter 6.

The District anticipates that it will enter into bond planning during the six year planning period and identify a proposed measure to fund some of the projects described above under "anticipated Future Bond Projects." The anticipated bond project proposals are subject to the District's Board of Directors deciding, via resolution, to send the proposal to the voters for consideration.

State School Construction Assistance

State School Construction Assistance funds come from the Common School Construction Fund. The State deposits revenue from the sale of renewable resources from State school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889 into the Common School Account. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate General Obligation Bond funds or the Superintendent of Public Instruction can prioritize projects for funding. School districts may qualify for State School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP) funds for specific capital projects based on a prioritization system. The District is eligible for State School Construction Assistance funds for certain projects at the 43.52% (current) funding percentage level. The current Construction Cost Allowance, the maximum cost/square foot recognized for SCAP funding, is established in the State's biennial budget and currently is \$375.00/eligible square foot.

Impact Fees

Impact fees supplement traditional funding sources for the costs of public facilities needed to accommodate new development. A school district's Capital Facilities Plan establishes the District's eligibility for school impact fee collection for growth-related needs.

Six Year Financing Plan

The Six-Year Financing Plan shown in Table 10 demonstrates how the District intends to fund new construction and improvements to school facilities for the years 2024-2029. The financing components include bond funds and school construction assistance funds. School impact fees, at this time, are not identified as a source given that the District has not identified growth-related needs in this CFP. Future updates to the CFP may reflect changed conditions. In any case, projects and portions of projects which remedy existing deficiencies are not appropriate for impact fee funding.

Alternative Actions

In the event that planned construction projects are not funded as expected or do not fully address space needs for student growth, the Board could consider various courses of action, including, but not limited to:

- Alternative scheduling options;
- Changes in the instructional model;
- Grade configuration changes;
- Increased class sizes; or
- Modified school calendar.

Table 10 – Planned Construction Projects (Figures in Millions of Dollars)

Improvements Adding Permanent Capacity (*only projects estimated to be completed by 2029-30*)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bond/ Local**	State Match	Impact Fees
Elementary School										
Proposed Salem Woods Expansion					\$3.740	\$3.000	\$6.744	X	X	
Proposed Frank Wagner Expansion					\$3.185	\$2.000	\$5.185	X	X	
Proposed Chain Lake Elementary Expansion					\$7.750	\$6.000	\$13.750	X	X	
Middle School										
High School										
Site Acquisition										
Portables							TBD			

*Some portion expended in previous years.

**Anticipated bond; subject to decision of Board of Directors and voter approval.

Improvements Not Adding Capacity (*only projects estimated to be completed by 2029-26*)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bond/ Local**	State Match	Impact Fees
Elementary										
Proposed Salem Woods Modernization					\$3.791	\$2.000	\$5.791	X	X	
Proposed Frank Wagner Modernization					\$15.791	\$12.000	\$27.021	X	X	
Proposed Chain Lake Elementary Modernization					\$14.628	\$10.000	\$24.628	X	X	
Middle School										
High School										
District-wide										
Improvements and Major Maintenance							\$4.0	X		

**Anticipated bond; subject to decision of Board of Directors and voter approval. May also include other local voted or nonvoted capital funds.

CAPACITY ANALYSIS

Table 11 evaluates the District's capacity needs by comparing the District's existing capacity, planned improvements, and projected enrollment. Portable capacity is not included in this analysis but can be used to provide interim capacity. Using current enrollment projections, the District anticipates having sufficient capacity at all grade levels to serve new growth through the 2029-30 school year.

Table 11
Capacity Analysis (2024-2029)

Elementary School Surplus/Deficiency

	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	2,882	2,882	2,882	2,882	2,882	2,882	2,882
Added Capacity							176^^
Total Capacity	2,882	2,882	2,882	2,882	2,882	2,882	3,058
Enrollment	2,244*	2,269	2,266	2,311	2,357	2,414	2,500
Surplus (Deficiency)	638	613	616	571	525	468	558

*Actual adjusted enrollment of District Residents in District facilities as of October 2023.

^^Capacity additions at Salem Woods and Frank Wagner (Future Bond). Anticipated capacity additions at Chain Lake are not included at this time though may come on line in 2029 or shortly thereafter.

Middle School Surplus/Deficiency

	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	1,754	1,754	1,754	1,754	1,754	1,754	1,754
Added Capacity							
Total Capacity	1,754	1,754	1,754	1,754	1,754	1,754	1,754
Enrollment	1,137*	1,178	1,198	1,178	1,146	1,134	1,145
Surplus (Deficiency)	617	576	556	576	608	620	609

*Actual adjusted enrollment of District Residents in District facilities as of October 2023.

High School Surplus/Deficiency

	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	2,024	2,024	2,024	2,024	2,024	2,024	2,024
Added Capacity							
Total Capacity	2,024	2,024	2,024	2,024	2,024	2,024	2,024
Enrollment	1,651*	1,636	1,579	1,541	1,559	1,583	1,551
Surplus (Deficiency)	373	388	445	483	465	441	473

*Actual adjusted enrollment of District Residents in District facilities as of October 2023.

See Chapter 4 for complete breakdown of enrollment projections.

See Table 9 for a comparison of additional capacity needs due to growth versus existing deficiencies.

CHAPTER 7 – SCHOOL IMPACT FEES

The Growth Management Act authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands.

SCHOOL IMPACT FEES IN SNOHOMISH COUNTY

The Snohomish County General Policy Plan (“GPP”) which implements the GMA sets certain conditions for school districts wishing to assess impact fees:

- The District must provide support data including: an explanation of the calculation methodology, a description of key variables and their computation, and definitions and sources of data for all inputs into the fee calculation.
- Such data must be accurate, reliable and statistically valid.
- Data must accurately reflect projected costs in the Six-Year Financing Plan.
- Data in the proposed impact fee schedule must reflect expected student generation rates from at least the following residential dwelling unit types: single family; multi-family/studio or 1-bedroom; and multi-family/2-bedroom or more.

Snohomish County established a school impact fee program in November 1997, and amended the program in December 1999. This program requires school districts to prepare and adopt Capital Facilities Plans meeting the specifications of the GMA. Impact fees calculated in accordance with the formula, which are based on projected school facility costs necessitated by new growth and are contained in the District’s CFP, become effective following County Council adoption of the District’s CFP.

METHODOLOGY AND VARIABLES USED TO CALCULATE SCHOOL IMPACT FEES

Where applicable, impact fees are calculated utilizing the formula in the Snohomish County Impact Fee Ordinance. The resulting figures are based on the District’s cost per dwelling unit to, as applicable, purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools, and purchase/install relocatable facilities that add interim capacity needed to serve new development.

- The Site Acquisition Cost, School Construction Cost, and Temporary/Portable Facility Cost factors are based on planned or actual costs (on/off site improvements) of growth-related school capacity. Costs vary with each site and each facility. See Table 10, Finance Plan. The “Permanent Facility Square Footage” is used in combination with the “Temporary Facility Square Footage” to apportion the impact fee amounts between permanent and temporary capacity figures.

- A student factor (or student generation rate) is used to identify the average cost per dwelling unit by measuring the average number of students generated by each housing type. A description of the student factor methodology is contained in Appendix B. The District obtained updated student factors in 2024. See Appendix B (including a description of the student factor methodology).

The resulting average student generation rates are as follows:

	K-5	6-8	9-12
Single Family	0.205	0.062	0.071
Townhome/Duplex	0.101	0.121	0.030
Multi-Family 2+ BR	0.239	0.076	0.125
Multi-Family 1BR/less	0.000	0.000	0.000

- Where applicable, credits are applied in the formula to account for State School Construction Assistance funds to be reimbursed to the District and projected future property taxes to be paid by the dwelling unit. See page 18. The tax credit uses the 20-year general obligation bond rate from the Bond Buyer index, the District’s current levy rate for bonds, and average assessed value of all residential units constructed in the District (provided by Snohomish County) by dwelling unit type to determine the corresponding tax credit.

The costs of projects that do not add capacity are not included in the impact fee calculations. Furthermore, when a fee is calculated: because the impact fee formula calculates a “cost per dwelling unit”, an identical fee is generated regardless of whether the total new capacity project costs are used in the calculation or whether the District only uses the percentage of the total new capacity project costs allocated to the Districts growth-related needs, as demonstrated in Table 9. Furthermore, impact fees are not be used to address existing deficiencies. See Table 10 for a complete identification of funding sources.

The District is not requesting school impact fees as a part of this Capital Facilities Plan update as it anticipates having sufficient capacity to serve new students from growth over the six year planning period. However, the District intends to monitor development activity and student enrollment closely in the event of any shift in expected student enrollment. In such case, the District will incorporate updated information in the next CFP update or, if necessary, an interim update.

Table 12: Impact Fee Variables

Student Generation Factors – Single Family		Average Site Cost/Acre	
Elementary	.205		N/A
Middle	.062		
Senior	.071		
Total	.338		
Student Generation Factors – TH/Duplex		Temporary Facility Capacity	
Elementary	.101	Capacity	
Middle	.121	Cost	
Senior	.030		
Total	.253		
Student Generation Factors – Multi Family (2+ Bdrm)		State Match Credit (OSPI)	
Elementary	.239	Current State Match Percentage	43.52%
Middle	.076		
Senior	.125		
Total	.440		
Projected Student Capacity per Facility (Table 11)		Construction Cost Allocation (OSPI)	
Elementary (new addition – Salem Woods) - 88		Current CCA	375.00
Elementary (new addition – Frank Wagner) – 88			
Required Site Acreage per Facility		District Average Assessed Value	
Facility Construction/Cost Average (Table 10)		Single Family Residence	\$734,031
Salem Woods (Addition)	\$6,743,852		
Frank Wagner (Addition)	\$5,185,102		
		District Average Assessed Value (Sno Cty)	
		Multi Family (1 Bedroom)	\$175,173
		Multi Family (2+ Bedroom)	\$242,411
		SPI Square Footage per Student (WAC 392-343-035)	
		Elementary	90
		Middle	108
		High	130
		District Debt Service Tax Rate for Bonds (Sno Cty)	
		Current/\$1,000	\$0.673444
Permanent Facility Square Footage (MSD Inventory)		General Obligation Bond Interest Rate (Bond Buyer)	
Elementary	310,369	Current Bond Buyer Index	3.48%
Middle	242,677		
Senior	245,122		
Total	97.76%	Developer Provided Sites/Facilities	
		Value	0
		Dwelling Units	0
Temporary Facility Square Footage (MSD Inventory)			
Elementary	10,827		
Middle	1,536		
Senior	7,560		
Total	2.44%		
Total Facility Square Footage			
Elementary	321,196		
Middle	244,213		
Senior	252,682		
Total	100.00%		
	818,091		

PROPOSED MONROE SCHOOL DISTRICT IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE

The District does not have growth-related permanent capacity projects planned as a part of the 2024 CFP. See discussion in Chapter 6 above. As such, the District is not requesting the collection of school impact fees as a part of this Capital Facilities Plan. The District expects that future project planning and updates to the Capital Facilities Plan will result in a renewed request for impact fees as a part of a future CFP.

Table 13
Monroe School District
Proposed Impact Fee Schedule*

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Unit
Single-Family	\$0
Townhomes/Duplex	\$0
Multi-Family (2+bedrooms)	\$0
Multi-Family (one bedroom/less)	\$0

**Where applicable, Table 13 reflects a 50% adjustment to the calculated fee as required by local ordinances.*

Appendix A

District Modified Cohort Survival Enrollment Projections

Figure 21: Enrollment Forecasts by Individual Grade – Middle Scenario

Grade	2023–24	2024–25	2025–26	2026–27	2027–28	2028–29	2029–30	2030–31	2031–32	2032–33	2033–34
K	369	389	403	401	436	449	450	451	453	455	456
1	414	388	409	424	422	459	472	473	474	477	479
2	414	417	391	412	427	425	462	475	476	477	480
3	384	416	419	393	414	429	427	464	477	478	479
4	434	387	420	423	397	418	433	431	468	481	482
5	456	438	390	424	427	400	422	437	435	472	485
6	413	459	441	393	427	430	403	425	440	438	475
7	424	416	462	444	396	430	433	406	428	443	441
8	425	428	420	466	448	399	434	437	410	432	447
9	453	428	431	423	469	451	402	437	440	413	435
10	466	454	429	432	424	470	452	403	438	441	414
11	452	428	417	394	397	390	432	416	370	403	405
12	401	451	427	417	394	397	390	431	416	370	403
K–5	2,471	2,435	2,432	2,477	2,523	2,580	2,666	2,731	2,783	2,840	2,861
6–8	1,262	1,303	1,323	1,303	1,271	1,259	1,270	1,268	1,278	1,313	1,363
9–12	<u>1,772</u>	<u>1,761</u>	<u>1,704</u>	<u>1,666</u>	<u>1,684</u>	<u>1,708</u>	<u>1,676</u>	<u>1,687</u>	<u>1,664</u>	<u>1,627</u>	<u>1,657</u>
Total	5,505	5,499	5,459	5,446	5,478	5,547	5,612	5,686	5,725	5,780	5,881

Notes

Students enrolled in full-time Running Start and transitional kindergarten, as well as Shoreline-Monroe and U3 in 2021–22, are excluded from analysis.

Sources

Monroe School District October 2023–24 headcount enrollment and FLO 2024–25 to 2033–34 enrollment forecasts (middle scenario).

Appendix B
School Impact Fee Calculations

The District is not requesting school impact fees as a part of the 2024 Capital Facilities Plan and, as such, has not included fee calculations.

Appendix C

2024 Student Generation Rate Study



MEMORANDUM

To: Victor Scarpelli
Monroe School District
14692 179th Ave SE
Monroe, WA 98272

From: Alex Brasch
Senior Population Geographer

Date: April 25, 2024

Project No.: F2720.01.001

Re: 2023–24 Student Generation Rates—Monroe School District

At the request of the Monroe School District (MSD/District), FLO Analytics (FLO) estimated student generation rates (SGRs) for residential housing units built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022. The SGRs represent the average number of MSD K–12 students (2023–24 headcount) residing in new single-family (SF) detached, townhome/duplex, and multifamily (MF) housing units. This memo details the methodology FLO used to create the SGRs and presents the findings by grade group and housing type.

Methods

As described by Snohomish County Planning & Development Services ([2022 Biennial Update to School District Capital Facilities Plans](#)), Snohomish County operates a school impact fee program authorized by RCW 82.02.040 and the Washington State Growth Management Act under Chapter 36.70A RCW. School districts that wish to collect impact fees must provide a school board adopted Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) for review by the County Planning Commission and County Council that fulfills the specifications of state law, the County comprehensive plan, and the County code. One requirement of CFPs is “impact fee support data required by the formula in Chapter 30.66C SCC, including a district-specific analysis to determine the student generation rate component of the fee calculation”.

As defined in Snohomish County code 30.91S.690, “SGRs mean the number of students of each grade span (elementary, middle/jr. high, high school) that a school district determines are typically generated by different dwelling unit types within the district.” In other words, SGRs represent the number of students residing in housing constructed within the most recent five-to-eight-year period by housing type and grade group (i.e., elementary, middle, and high school).

SGR calculations are based on housing information and student residences. FLO obtained and processed the necessary housing data from the Snohomish County Assessor’s Office and Information Technology Department, as well as the Puget Sound Regional Council, including parcel/tax lot boundaries with essential attributes—housing type, number of housing units, and year built. Housing units constructed in 2023 were excluded from the analysis, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023. To link the housing information to MSD students, the District provided FLO with 2023–24 headcount enrollment, which FLO geocoded to represent student residences. The student residences were then spatially matched to residential housing built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022.

With this combination of information, SGRs were calculated by dividing the number of students per grade group by the total number of housing units for each housing type. SGRs were calculated for the types of housing built in the district within the analysis period; namely, SF detached, townhome/duplex, and MF units. The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: SF attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units in the townhome/duplex category, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household. The MF category includes all structures with five or more housing units and structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked. The housing inventory does not include the information needed to differentiate between MF units with 2+ bedrooms and 1 bedroom or less; therefore, the MF rate includes all MF housing units and only applies to the "Multifamily 2+ bedrooms" category in Snohomish County code.

Results

Table 1 includes the number of housing units and SGRs for SF detached, townhome/duplex, and MF housing types, as well as the number of students by grade group that have addresses matching the housing units. Table 2 includes the unit counts, number of students, and SGRs for individual MF developments. Of the 4,800 students residing within the district, 424 live in the 1,256 SF detached units that were built between 2015 and 2022, while 25 live in the 99 townhomes/duplexes and 162 live in the 368 MF units built in the same period. On average, each SF detached unit yields 0.338 K–12 students, each townhome/duplex yields 0.253 K–12 students, and each MF unit yields 0.440 K–12 students.

Table 1: K–12 Students by Grade Group per Housing Unit Built 2015–2022

Housing Type	Housing Units	Students				SGRs			
		K–5	6–8	9–12	K–12	K–5	6–8	9–12	K–12
Single-family Detached	1,256	257	78	89	424	0.205	0.062	0.071	0.338
Townhome / Duplex ^(a)	99	10	12	3	25	0.101	0.121	0.030	0.253
Multifamily ^(b)	368	88	28	46	162	0.239	0.076	0.125	0.440

Notes

Housing units built in 2023 are excluded, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023.

(a) The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: single-family attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household.

(b) The multifamily category includes all structures with five or more housing units and structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked. The housing inventory does not include the information needed to differentiate between MF units with 2+ bedrooms and 1 bedroom or less; therefore, the MF rate includes all MF housing units and only applies to the "Multifamily 2+ bedrooms" category in Snohomish County code.

Sources

Monroe School District 2023–24 headcount enrollment, Snohomish County parcels, and Puget Sound Regional Council 2015–2022 new housing inventory.



Mukilteo
School District

MUKILTEO SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 6

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN 2024 – 2029

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Simon Bai
John Gahagan
Charles Hauck
Kyle Kennedy
Judy Schwab

SUPERINTENDENT

Dr. Alison Brynson

For information regarding the Mukilteo School District Capital Facilities Plan, contact the Office of the Superintendent, Mukilteo School District, 9401 Sharon Drive, Everett, Washington 98204. Telephone: (425) 356-1220.

Board Approved: July 16, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Section 1 - Introduction.....	3
Section 2 – District Educational Program Standards.....	5
Section 3 – Capital Facilities Inventory.....	7
Section 4 – Student Enrollment Projections	11
Section 5 – Capital Facility Needs	13
Section 6 – Six Year Financing Plan	14
Section 7 – School Impact Fees.....	16
Appendices A-C.....	20
Appendix A – Impact Fee Calculation Detail	20
Appendix B – OSPI Enrollment Projections	21
Appendix C – Mukilteo School District Map	22

SECTION 1 - INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

The Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA) outlines 15 broad goals including the adequate provision of necessary public facilities and services. Public Schools are among these necessary facilities and services. Public school districts adopt capital facilities plans to satisfy the requirements of RCW 36.70A.070 and to identify additional school facilities necessary to meet the educational needs of the growing student populations anticipated in their districts.

The Mukilteo School District (District) has prepared this six-year Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) in accordance with the Washington State Growth Management Act and the codes of Snohomish County, City of Mukilteo, and City of Everett. This CFP is intended to provide these jurisdictions with a description of projected student enrollment and school capacities at established levels of service over the six-year period, 2024-2029.

The District prepared its original CFP in 1994 based on the criteria set forth in the GMA. When Snohomish County adopted its GMA Comprehensive Plan in 1995, it addressed future school capital facilities plans in Appendix F of the General Policy Plan. Appendix F established the criteria for future updates of the District's CFP.

In accordance with the Growth Management Act and the Snohomish County School Impact Fee Ordinance, this CFP contains the following required elements:

- Future enrollment forecasts for each grade span (elementary, middle, and high schools).
- An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by the District showing the locations and capacities of the facilities.
- A forecast of the future needs for capital facilities and school sites. The proposed capacities of expanded or new capital facilities.
- A six-year plan for financing capital facilities within projected funding capacities which identifies sources of public money for such purposes. The financing plan separates projects and portions of projects that add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding.
- A calculation of impact fees to be assessed and support data substantiating said fees.

In developing this CFP, the District followed the guidelines set forth in Appendix F of the General Policy Plan:

- Information must be obtained from recognized sources, such as the U.S. Census or the Puget Sound Regional Council. School districts may generate their own data if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies. Information must be consistent with Office of Financial Management (OFM) population trends. Student generation rates must be independently calculated by each school district.
- The CFP must comply with RCW Chapter 36.70A (the Growth Management Act).
- The methodology used to calculate impact fees must comply with RCW Chapter 82.02. In the event that impact fees are not available due to action by the state, county, or cities within the District, future CFP's would identify alternative funding sources.

When the County adopted its School Impact Fee Ordinance in November 1997, it established the specific criteria for the adoption of a CFP and the assessment of impact fees in the County. Section 3

of the ordinance defines the requirements for the biennial CFP updates. Table 1 of the ordinance outlines the formulae for determination of impact fees.

Snohomish County's Countywide Planning Policies direct jurisdictions in Snohomish County to "ensure the availability of sufficient land and services for future K-20 school needs." Policy ED-11. The District appreciates any opportunity for cooperative planning efforts with its jurisdictions.

Overview of the Mukilteo School District

Twenty-six square miles in area, the Mukilteo School District encompasses the City of Mukilteo, portions of the City of Everett, and portions of unincorporated Snohomish County. The District is bordered on the north and east by the Everett School District and by the Edmonds School District to the south.

The District serves a student population of 14,646 (October 2023) with one kindergarten center, twelve elementary schools (grades K-5), four middle schools (grades 6-8), two comprehensive high schools (grades 9-12), and one small choice high school (grades 9-12). For the purposes of facility planning, this CFP considers grades K-5 as elementary, grades 6-8 as middle school, and grades 9-12 as high school. For purposes of this CFP, enrollment in the Sno-Isle Skills Center is not included as the Skills Center is a regional career and technical education partnership serving students from 14 different school districts and does not have space that can be utilized by Mukilteo School District for its traditional K-12 education purposes.

The most significant issues facing the District in terms of providing classroom capacity to accommodate existing and projected demands are:

- Capacity needs during the six-year period of the plan at the elementary and high school grade spans.
- Uneven growth rates between geographic sectors within the District. These uneven growth patterns result in some schools reaching maximum capacity sooner than others and this will increase the difficulty of maintaining stable school service area boundaries.
- Uncertainty of growth rates for new housing development and enrollment given the unprecedented nature of the Covid-19 pandemic and its ongoing impacts on construction and district enrollment. While the District experienced a pandemic-related enrollment decline, future projections still show growth and Snohomish County's Comprehensive Plan continues to identify large population growth in the coming years with high concentrations in the Mukilteo School District boundary areas.

SECTION 2 - DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM STANDARDS

Primary Objective

To best optimize student learning, Mukilteo School District establishes a service standard for classroom capacity utilization. This requires a constant review and assessment of curriculum and instructional changes, student learning behaviors, learning environments, technological innovations and program development. Additional variables include changes in mandatory requirements issued by the state such as the implementation of full day kindergarten, Core 24 graduation requirements, and required reduction in class size ratios. These elements as well as demographic projections are weighed when determining service levels. School facility and student capacity needs are determined by the types and amounts of space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program. The educational program standards that typically drive facility space needs include grade configuration, optimum facility size, class size, educational program offerings, classroom utilization and scheduling requirements, and use of relocatable classrooms (portables). These elements, as well as demographic projections, are weighed when determining standard of service levels.

In addition to student population, other factors such as collective bargaining agreements, government mandates and community expectations also affect classroom space requirements. Traditional educational programs are often supplemented by programs such as specialized education, multilingual education, early childhood learning programs, computer labs, and music/performing arts programs. These programs can have a significant impact on the available student capacity of school facilities.

District Educational Program Standards.

Special programs offered by the District at specific school sites include, but are not limited to:

Advanced Placement (high school)	Library/Media Centers
Special Education (resource or specialized)	Speech Language Pathologists
Special Education (early childhood)	Performing Arts
Summer School	Health & Fitness
Highly Capable Program (grades 3-8)	Science Labs
Multi-Lingual Learner	Occupational Therapy/Physical Therapy
Dual Language	Career Centers (High School)
World Languages	Student Stores (High School)
Community-Based Transition Program	Learning Assistance Programs (LAP)
ECEAP	Mukilteo Behavioral Support Center
Music Programs	Career and Technical Education
Computer & Technology Labs	College in the High School
Title 1 Support	ACES Big Picture

The above programs affect the capacity of some of the buildings housing these programs. Special programs usually require space modifications and frequently require lower class sizes than other, more traditional programs; this affects available school capacity as it results in greater space requirements. These requirements affect the utilization of rooms and result in school capacities varying from year to year (as programs move or grow, depending on space needs, capacity can increase or decrease in a school).

District educational program standards may change in the future because of various external or internal changes. External changes may include mandates and needs for special programs or use of technology. Internal changes may include modifications to the program year, class sizes, and grade

span configurations. Changes in physical aspects of the school facilities could also affect educational program standards. The school capacity inventory will be reviewed periodically and adjusted for any changes to the educational program standards. These changes will also be reflected in future updates of this CFP.

The educational program standards that directly affect school capacity are outlined below for the elementary, middle, and high school grade levels.

Educational Program Standards for Elementary Schools

- Planning class size for Kindergarten through 3rd grade is 21 students per classroom
- Class size for Kindergarten through third grade cannot exceed 25 students
- Planning class size grades 4 and 5 is 23 students per classroom
- Class size for grades 4 and 5 cannot exceed 26 students
- Special Education for some students is provided in self-contained classrooms of 8-12 students per classroom
- Music and physical education instruction will be provided in a separate classroom
- Schools have a room dedicated as a computer lab
- It is not possible to achieve 100% utilization of all regular teaching stations throughout the day. Therefore, classroom capacity is adjusted using a utilization factor of 85%

Educational Program Planning Standards for Middle and High Schools

- Planning class size for middle school grades is 25 students per teacher
- Class size for middle school grades 6 through 8 cannot exceed 30 students
- Planning class size for high school grades is 27 students per teacher
- Class size for high school grades 9 through 12 cannot exceed 33 students
- The ACES high school program limits capacity to 200 students
- It is not possible to achieve 100% utilization of all regular teaching stations throughout the day. Therefore, classroom capacity is adjusted using a utilization factor of 85%
- Identified students will also be provided other programs in classrooms designated as computer labs, resource rooms and other program specific classrooms (i.e., music, drama, art, family and consumer science, special education, career and technical education and Multi-Lingual Learner).

Minimum Level of Service

Planning class sizes are used to determine school capacities, they are not a measure of the District's minimum level of service. The minimum level of service is defined as the maximum level of enrollment the District can accommodate at any given time. The minimum level of service is not the District's desired level for providing education. At current program offerings and within existing permanent and portable facilities, the District's minimum level of service is:

Grade Level	# of Scheduled Teaching Stations	Min. Level of Service	2021-22 Level of Service	2022-23 Level of Service
K-5	325	25	20.4	20.6
6-8	166	30	21.3	21.0
9-12	161	33	28.0	27.8

SECTION 3 - CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY

Under the GMA, a public entity must periodically determine its capacity by conducting an inventory of its capital facilities. Table 3.1 summarizes the permanent facility capacity owned and operated by the District. Information is also provided on relocatable classrooms (portables), school sites and other district owned facilities or land.

School facility capacity was inventoried based on the space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program standards.

Schools

The District operates a kindergarten center, twelve elementary schools, four middle schools, two comprehensive high schools, a small choice high school, and the Sno-Isle Skills Center. Elementary schools accommodate grades K-5 with three schools currently also serving preschool; middle schools serve grades 6-8; high schools provide for grades 9-12; ACES high school and the Sno-Isle Skills Center serve grades 10-12.

School capacity is determined based on the number of classrooms within each building and the space requirements of the District's currently adopted educational program. It is the capacity calculation that is used to establish the District's baseline capacity, and to determine future capacity needs based on projected student enrollment.

The Sno-Isle Skills Center is not included in capacity calculations or student enrollment projections for the purposes of capital facilities planning within the District. The Skills Center is a regional career and technical education partnership serving students from 14 different school districts and does not have space that can be utilized by Mukilteo School District for its traditional K-12 education purposes.

Relocatable classrooms (portables) are not viewed by the District as a solution for housing students on a permanent basis. Therefore, these facilities were not included in the school capacity calculations provided in Table 3.1.

Capacities will change from year-to-year based on changes to existing instructional programs, projected future programs and the resulting required space needed to deliver the instructional model at each specific site. Capacity takes into consideration the specific programs that actually take place in each of the rooms and the required service levels previously listed. Because of the need to provide planning time and space for teacher preparation or other required services, some facilities will only support a capacity utilization of 85%. Capacities are updated in each CFP to reflect current program needs and classroom utilization.

Table 3.1 – Permanent Facility Inventory

School	Site Size (Acres)	Bldg Area (Sq. Feet)	Year Built/ Modernized	Permanent Capacity
Challenger	10	57,469	1987/2023	385
Columbia	9.6	65,219	1989	520
Discovery	9.3	76,270	1988/2017/2022	534
Endeavour	9.4	53,376	1994	376
Fairmount	15	66,189	1952/1999	505
Horizon	19	57,164	1989/2023	436
Lake Stickney	9.8	74,167	2016	632
Mukilteo	9.8	41,706	1981	429
Odyssey	10.9	60,631	2003	511
Olivia Park	9.5	49,881	1956/1992	582
Pathfinder*		65,035	2017	378
Picnic Point	10	39,271	1981	389
Serene Lake	10	42,740	1969/1994	377
Total K-5	132	749,118		6,054
Explorer	29.5	129,539	1972/2005	915
Harbour Pointe	17.8	110,400	1993	819
Olympic View	25.2	114,541	1955/2017	951
Voyager	16	106,954	1992	918
Total 6-8	89	461,434		3,603
ACES	5.8	19,833	1985/1997	0
Kamiak	60.7	255,478	1993/2002	1,675
Mariner	37.1	281,560	1971/2003/2019	1,964
Total 9-12	104	556,871		3,639

*Shared site, acreage included in Fairmount Elementary

**ACES capacity is entirely in relocatable classrooms not considered permanent capacity.

Relocatable Classrooms (Portables)

Relocatable classrooms (portables) provide interim classroom space to house students until funding can be secured to construct permanent classrooms. The District currently uses 128 relocatable classrooms at various school sites throughout the District to provide additional interim capacity. Current use of relocatable classrooms throughout the District is summarized in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2 – 2023-24 Portable Classroom Inventory

School	Classroom Portables	Interim Capacity
Challenger	11	175
Columbia	0	0
Discovery	14	280
Endeavour	6	71
Fairmount	4	0
Horizon	6	100
Lake Stickney	0	0
Mukilteo	10	108
Odyssey	8	91
Olivia Park	5	25
Pathfinder	0	0
Picnic Point	6	96
Serene Lake	4	100
Subtotal K-5	74	1,046
Explorer	8	161
Harbour Pointe	1	0
Olympic View	0	0
Voyager	0	0
Subtotal 6-8	9	161
ACES	13	200
Kamiak	16	329
Mariner	16	354
Subtotal 9-12	45	883
TOTAL K-12	128	2,090

**The District's portable classrooms are in good condition and with ongoing maintenance have an indeterminate remaining useful life. Portables are calculated at 986 square feet per classroom.*

Schools Closed to Out of District Transfers

Schools continue to add capacity when portable classrooms are added and/or computer labs and other flexible spaces are converted to classroom spaces. However, this practice is not a long-term solution for capacity needs because the core facilities of the building do not support the additional enrollment. Therefore, the District calculates capacity for out-of-district transfers at the lesser of:

- The sum of permanent capacity and portable capacity, or
- 700 students for elementary schools; 825 students for middle schools; and 1,900 students for high schools.

In addition, any school that transfers kindergarten students to Pathfinder Kindergarten Center to provide space for first-through-fifth grade instruction is determined to be over capacity for the purposes of out-of-district transfers.

Support Facilities

In addition to schools, the District owns and operates additional facilities that provide operational support functions to the schools. An inventory of these facilities is provided below:

Table 3.3 – Support Facility Inventory			
Facility	Address	Building Area (Square Feet)	Site Size (Acres)
Administration	9401 Sharon Dr., Everett	26,608	9.15
Grounds/Maintenance	525 W. Casino Rd., Everett	22,800	4.0
Support Services Center	8925 Airport Rd., Everett	37,677	10.0

Table 3.4 – Other Facility Inventory			
Facility	Address	Building Area (Square Feet)	Site Size (Acres)
Sno-Isle Skills Center	9001 Airport Rd., Everett	74,024	15.0

Land Inventory

The District owns one undeveloped site:

- A one-acre site in Mukilteo Heights which is restricted for development by covenants and site size.

The District does not own any sites that are developed for uses other than schools and/or which are leased to other parties.

SECTION 4 - STUDENT ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS

Projected Student Enrollment 2024-2029

Enrollment projections are generally most accurate for the initial years of the forecast period. Beyond the 5-year range, projected assumptions about economic or demographic trends may prove false, resulting in an enrollment trend that is quite different from the projection. For this reason, it is important to monitor birth rates, new housing construction, and population growth on an annual basis as part of facilities management.

The District has contracted with a consultant to develop a methodology for enrollment projections. Dr. Les Kendrick has more than thirty years of history working with local school districts in projecting enrollment and demographics, including many years as the demographer for the Seattle Public Schools and twenty-two years as an independent consultant providing long-range projections for a number of school districts including; Bellevue, Bethel, Bremerton, Edmonds, Everett, Federal Way, Highline, Monroe, Northshore, Olympia, Puyallup, Seattle, Tacoma, Tukwila, South Kitsap, and Mukilteo. The methodology employed by the consultant is a variation of the cohort survival method. Cohort survival compares enrollment at a particular grade in a specific year, to the enrollment at the previous grade from the prior year. For example, enrollment at the second grade is compared to the previous year's first grade enrollment. The ratio of these two numbers (second grade enrollment divided by first grade enrollment) creates a "cohort survival ratio" providing a summary measure of the in-and-out migration that has occurred over the course of a year. This ratio can be calculated for each grade level. Once these ratios have been established over a period of years they can be averaged and/or weighted to predict the enrollment at each grade.

Cohort survival works well for every grade except kindergarten where there is no prior year's enrollment to use for comparison. At the kindergarten level, enrollment is compared to the county births from five years prior to estimate a "birth-to-k" ratio. This ratio, averaged over several years, provides a method for predicting what proportion of the birth cohort will enroll at the kindergarten level. The District's percentage of this cohort has varied over the past seven years from a high of 12.6% to a low of 12.1%. Future forecasts assume that the District will enroll over 12% of the County births.

Cohort survival is a purely mathematical method, which assumes that future enrollment patterns will be similar to past enrollment patterns. It makes no assumptions about what is causing enrollment gains or losses and can be easily applied to any enrollment history. Despite this, cohort survival can produce large forecast errors because it does not consider possible changes in demographic trends. New housing, especially, can produce enrollment gains that might not otherwise be predicted from past trends. Alternatively, a district may lose market share to private or other public schools. It is also possible that a slowdown in population and housing growth will dampen enrollment gains. Changes in the housing market between 2007 and 2011 and the accompanying recession, for example, caused many districts to see a decline in their enrollment during that period. Likewise, the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 and related impacts have caused small enrollment declines but projections for both enrollment growth and new housing development show increases in near and long-term future. OSPI uses straight cohort survival which results in the projections contained in Appendix C. Because of the above listed gaps in that methodology, the District relies on our consultant's projections to gain a more comprehensive and accurate estimate.

For the Mukilteo School District forecast, the demographer combines the cohort survival method with information about market share gains and losses from private schools, information about population growth from new housing construction, and information about regional trends. The population/housing growth factor reflects projected changes in the housing market and/or in the assumptions about overall population growth within the District's boundary area. The enrollment derived from the cohort

model is adjusted upward or downward to account for expected shifts in the market for new homes, to account for changes in the growth of regional school age populations, and to account for projected changes in the District population.

Table 4.1 forecasts enrollment by combining cohort survival methodology with information about new housing development and the “birth-to-k” ratio methodology mentioned above. This model results in District enrollment reaching 15,077 by 2029. Because of the known information regarding new development and associated growth, as well as the length of time it takes to initiate new school construction projects to address growth, this plan uses the projections in Table 4.1 to determine facility needs during the six-year time frame of the Capital Facilities Plan.

Table 4.1 – Modified Cohort Enrollment Projections Head Count (including housing permit data and birth rate data)

Grade	Actual 2023	Projections					
		2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
K	1,003	1,011	975	1,043	1,051	1,056	1,058
1	1,146	1,083	1,094	1,054	1,128	1,134	1,145
2	1,203	1,171	1,109	1,119	1,078	1,151	1,163
3	1,095	1,223	1,193	1,129	1,139	1,095	1,175
4	1,188	1,105	1,236	1,205	1,140	1,148	1,109
5	1,143	1,211	1,129	1,262	1,229	1,161	1,175
6	1,106	1,145	1,215	1,131	1,265	1,230	1,167
7	1,112	1,117	1,159	1,229	1,144	1,277	1,247
8	1,120	1,124	1,132	1,172	1,243	1,155	1,295
9	1,179	1,118	1,124	1,131	1,171	1,240	1,158
10	1,195	1,173	1,114	1,119	1,126	1,164	1,238
11	1,157	1,128	1,109	1,052	1,057	1,061	1,103
12	999	1,116	1,108	1,088	1,033	1,035	1,044
Total K-5	6,778	6,804	6,736	6,812	6,765	6,745	6,825
Total 6-8	3,338	3,386	3,506	3,532	3,652	3,662	3,709
Total 9-12	4,530	4,535	4,455	4,390	4,387	4,500	4,543
District Total	14,646	14,725	14,697	14,734	14,804	14,907	15,077

Snohomish County/OFM Projections

Another projection, based on Office of Financial Management (OFM) population projections for Snohomish County, was also produced. Using the OFM/County data and the District’s corresponding actual enrollment, the District’s enrollment averaged 1.7% of the OFM/County Population estimates. Further, District enrollment averaged 13% of the OFM/County population residing within Mukilteo School District boundaries. Assuming that these average percentages remain constant, the District’s enrollment would grow as shown in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 – Projected Enrollment - 2044 OFM Estimates*

Grade Level	Actual 2023	% MSD Population		% County Population	
		2029	2044	2029	2044
Elementary	6,778	7,455	9,196	7,439	8,958
Middle School	3,338	3,671	4,529	3,663	4,411
High School	4,530	4,982	6,146	4,971	5,987
Total	14,646	16,108	19,871	16,073	19,356

*Assumes that percentage per grade span will remain constant through 2044.

Note: Snohomish County Planning and Development Services provided the underlying data for the 2044 projections.

For the purposes of this Capital Facilities Plan, the District relies on the Modified Cohort Survival Projections as this projection provides a more detailed grade-specific projection which, when

combined with district-specific new housing development trends, allows for better planning across the six-year period.

SECTION 5 - CAPITAL FACILITIES NEEDS

Projected available student capacity is derived by subtracting projected student enrollment from existing student capacity (excluding relocatable classrooms) for each of the six years in the forecast period (2024-2029). A six-year projection of un-housed students and facilities needs is shown in Table 5.1. On February 11, 2020, voters approved a six-year, \$240 million capital bond. Remaining, planned new capacity improvements included in that bond are represented below, through the 2025-26 school year. A potential future capital bond beyond 2026 may include classroom capacity projects as well. Future CFP updates will reflect projects that may get approved in the potential 2026 bond and will include updates to school capacities and impact fees resulting from those projects when they are known.

The District considers relocatable (portable) classrooms to be temporary/interim space and bases its new capital facilities needs from permanent capacity. (Information on relocatable classrooms and interim capacity can be found in Table 3.2.) However, relocatable classrooms are a part of the District's interim capacity solution to ensure our ability to serve enrollment growth from new development in between construction and capital bond timelines. Table 5.1 does not include relocatable classrooms that may be added or adjusted during the six-year planning period.

TABLE 5.1 – School Enrollment & Classroom Capacity Needs

	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30
Elementary Enrollment	6,778	6,804	6,736	6,812	6,765	6,745	6,825
Permanent Capacity - Existing	6,054	6,054	6,054	6,254	6,254	6,254	6,254
New Permanent Capacity			200				
TOTAL Permanent Capacity	6,054	6,054	6,254	6,254	6,254	6,254	6,254
Permanent Capacity over/(short)	(724)	(750)	(482)	(558)	(511)	(491)	(571)
Middle School Enrollment	3,338	3,386	3,506	3,532	3,652	3,662	3,709
Permanent Capacity - Existing	3,603	3,603	3,603	3,603	3,603	3,603	3,603
New Permanent Capacity							
TOTAL Permanent Capacity	3,603	3,603	3,603	3,603	3,603	3,603	3,603
Permanent Capacity over/(short)	265	217	97	71	(49)	(59)	(106)
High School Enrollment	4,530	4,535	4,455	4,390	4,387	4,500	4,543
Permanent Capacity - Existing	3,639	3,639	3,639	3,639	3,639	3,639	3,639
New Permanent Capacity							
TOTAL Permanent Capacity	3,639	3,639	3,639	3,639	3,639	3,639	3,639
Permanent Capacity over/(short)	(891)	(896)	(816)	(751)	(748)	(861)	(904)
TOTAL ENROLLMENT	14,646	14,725	14,697	14,734	14,804	14,907	15,077
Total Permanent	13,296	13,296	13,296	13,496	13,496	13,496	13,496
Total New Permanent			200				
TOTAL Permanent Capacity	13,296	13,296	13,496	13,496	13,496	13,496	13,496
Permanent Capacity over/(short)	(1,350)	(1,429)	(1,201)	(1,238)	(1,308)	(1,411)	(1,581)

Does not include interim/portable capacity

SECTION 6 – SIX-YEAR FINANCING PLAN

Planned Improvements

If planned construction projects do not fully address space needs for student growth and a reduction in interim student housing, or that voter approved funding could not be secured, the Board could consider various courses of action, including, but not limited to:

- Alternative scheduling options
- Changes in the instructional model
- Grade configuration change
- Purchasing portable classrooms
- Busing students from schools over capacity to those with capacity
- Increased class sizes; or
- A modified school-year calendar

The six-year financing plan includes any projects adding elementary, middle, and high school classroom capacity. In addition, the District may continue to add and use portable classrooms as part of the capacity solution. It is anticipated that additional interim capacity via portable classrooms may be needed until additional permanent capacity beyond what was included in the voter approved February 2020 capital bond measure can be determined.

Funding for planned improvements is typically secured from several sources including voter approved bonds, state school construction assistance matching funds, and impact fees. Each of these funding sources is discussed in greater detail below.

Financing for Planned Improvements

General Obligation Bonds

Bonds are typically used to fund construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects. A 60% voter approval is required to approve the issuance of bonds. Bonds are then retired through collection of property taxes.

Capital Projects Levy

The District has passed a six-year capital projects levy that runs through 2028. Capital project levy dollars will be dedicated to additional modernization and major system upgrades or modernization of buildings and grounds.

State School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP)

State School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP) funds come from the Common School Construction Fund. Bonds are sold on behalf of the fund, and then retired from revenues accruing predominantly from the sale of renewable resources (i.e., timber) from State school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate funds or the Superintendent of Public Instruction can prioritize projects for funding.

School districts may qualify for SCAP funds for specific capital projects based on a qualification and criterion system. The District is currently eligible for SCAP funds for capital projects at the secondary school level and for some modernization/new in lieu at the elementary level. State match does not cover all costs of construction and each district has a different matching ratio based on the state's formula. Because SCAP funds are received at the end of a project, it is necessary for school districts

to plan to finance the complete project with local funds. Site acquisition and site improvements are not eligible to receive matching funds.

K-3 Class Size Reduction Grants

The 2015 Washington State Legislature provided limited funding for the construction of elementary classrooms to assist in the effort to provide space for mandatory full day kindergarten and to lower class sizes in K-3 grades. The District applied for this grant and a 24 classroom need was determined, but grant funds were not awarded.

Land Sales

The District currently has no property for sale.

Impact Fees

Impact fees are a means of supplementing traditional funding sources for construction of public facilities needed to accommodate new development. School impact fees are generally collected by the permitting agency at the time plats are approved or building permits are issued.

The six-year financing plan shown on Table 6.1 demonstrates how the District intends to fund new construction and improvements to school facilities for the years 2024-2029. The financing components include the voter-approved 2022 capital projects levy, funds from a voter approved capital bond measure in February 2020, impact fees and SCAP ("state match") funds.

Table 6.1 – Six-Year Financing Plan – estimated (costs in millions)

PROJECTS ADDING CAPACITY	2023	ANTICIPATED YEAR						Total Cost	POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCE			
		2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029		Bonds/ Levy	SCAP (State)	Impact Fees	Future Source
Mariner H.S. Addition & Renovation	7.5	16.9	0.1					24.5	X	X		
Explorer Replacement Ph 1	1.0	14.2	14.7	0.1				30.0	X	X		
Serene Lake Replacement Ph 1	1.5	10.5	9.5	0.5				22.0	X	X	X	
Mukilteo Elem Replacement Ph 1	1.9	8.5	14.2	0.8				25.4	X	X	X	
Interim (portable) Capacity	-	0.2	0.2	0.2				0.6	X		X	
TOTAL CAPACITY PROJECTS	11.9	50.3	38.7	1.6				102.5				

PROJECTS NOT ADDING CAPACITY	2023	ANTICIPATED YEAR						Total Cost	POTENTIAL FUNDING SOURCE			
		2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029		Bonds/ Levy	SCAP (State)	Impact Fees	Future Source
Districtwide Security Improvements	2.3	3.6	3.1	1.0				10.0	X			X
Districtwide Field Improvements	4.1	0.2	0.5	3.4				8.2	X			X
Districtwide Roofing Improvements	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5				2.0	X			X
Districtwide Flooring Improvements	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4				1.6	X			X
Districtwide ADA Improvements	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5				2.0	X			X
Performing Arts Center Improvements	0.7	8.5	0.2					9.4	X			
Facility System Improvements	10.8	8.5	9.0	7.5				35.8	X			X
TOTAL Non-CAPACITY PROJ.	19.3	22.2	14.2	13.3				69.0				

SECTION 7 - SCHOOL IMPACT FEES

The Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA) authorizes cities and counties that plan under RCW 36.70A.040 to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional system improvements (e.g., public facilities including schools) needed to accommodate growth from new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands.

School Impact Fees

The Snohomish County General Policy Plan sets certain conditions for school districts wishing to assess impact fees:

- The district must provide support data including an explanation of the calculation methodology, a description of key variables and their computation, and definitions and sources of data for all inputs into the fee calculation.
- Such data must be accurate, reliable, and statistically valid.
- Data must reflect projected costs in the six-year financing plan.
- Data in the proposed impact fee schedule must reflect expected student generation rates from at least the following residential unit types:
 1. single family
 2. multi-family/1-bedroom or less; and
 3. multi-family/2-bedroom or more

The Snohomish County impact fee program requires school districts to prepare and adopt Capital Facilities Plans meeting the specifications of the GMA. Impact fees are calculated in accordance with the formula, which are based on projected facility costs necessitated by new growth and are contained in the District's CFP.

Methodology and Variables Used to Calculate School Impact Fees

Impact fees have been calculated utilizing the formula in the Snohomish County Impact Fee Ordinance (SCC 30.66C). The resulting figures are based on the District's cost per dwelling unit to purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools and purchase/install relocatable facilities (portables) that add capacity needed to serve new development. As required under the GMA, credits have also been applied in the formula to account for SCAP ("state match") funds to be reimbursed to the District and for projected future property taxes to be paid by the dwelling unit.

Site Acquisition Cost Element

1. Site Size – Acreage needed to accommodate each planned project.
2. Average Land Cost Per Acre – based on current estimates of land costs within the District.
3. Facility Design Capacity – number of students each planned project is designed to accommodate.
4. Student Factor – Number of students generated by each housing type – in this case, single family dwellings (including townhomes/duplexes) and multi-family dwellings. A student generation rate study was conducted to determine the updated generation rate for this CFP. New home development data was collected from 2017-2022 and compared against Fall 2023 student enrollment to determine the number of students generated by the different types of new home development. Specifically, there were 1,294 new single family and townhome or

duplex dwelling units during the period 2017-2022 generating 292 K-12 students. There were 326 1-bedroom multi-family dwelling units generating 148 students and 1,244 2+bedroom multi-family dwelling units generating 1,203 students. Currently, the large multi-family developments in Mukilteo School District generate far larger numbers of K-12 students than single family or townhome developments.

Table 7.1 – Student Generation Rates

Development	Total Units	K-5 Students	6-8 Students	9-12 Students
Single Family*	1294	218	42	32
Multi-Family 1bd/less	326	94	31	23
Multi-Family 2+bd	1244	755	219	229
Total	2864	1067	292	284

**Includes Townhome/Duplex*

Grade Span	Single Family	Multi-Family (1bdm/less)	Multi-Family (2+bedroom)
Elementary (K-5)	.168	.288	.607
Middle School (6-8)	.032	.095	.176
High School (9-12)	.025	.071	.184
Total (K-12)	.226	.454	.967

School Construction Cost Variables

1. Current Facility Square Footage – used in combination with the “Existing Relocatable Square Footage” to apportion the impact fee amounts between permanent and interim capacity figures
2. Estimated Facility Construction Cost – based on planned costs or on actual costs of recently constructed schools. Facility construction costs also include the off-site development costs. Costs vary with each site and may include such items as sewer line extension, water lines, off-site road and frontage improvements. Off-site development costs are not covered by State Match Funds. Off-site development costs vary and can represent 10% or more of the total building construction cost.

Relocatable Facilities Cost Element

Impact fees may be collected to allow acquisition of relocatable classrooms needed to serve growth on an interim basis. The cost allocated to new development must be growth related and must be in proportion to the current permanent and interim space ratios in the District.

1. Cost Per Unit – The average cost for a relocatable classroom.
2. Relocatable Facilities Cost – The total number of needed units multiplied by the cost per unit.

School Construction Assistance Credit Variables

1. Construction Cost Allocation – Currently \$375.00 for new construction projects approved in July of 2024.
2. State Funding Assistance Percentage – Percentage of School Construction Assistance Program funds from the state that the District expects to receive. For new construction and

additions, the District is currently eligible to receive a maximum state match of 50.98% of *eligible* costs (as defined by the state).

Tax Credit Variables

1. Interest Rate (20-year General Obligation Bond) – interest rate of return on a 20-year General Obligation Bond derived from the Bond Buyer index. Because of current market volatility, the District is using the February 2024 average interest rate of 3.48%
2. Bond Levy Rate – The current bond levy rate is \$.877 per \$1,000 in assessed value.
3. Average Assessed Value – based on estimates made by the County's Planning and Development Services Department utilizing information from the County Assessor's files. The current average assessed value is \$766,679 for single family dwelling units; \$212,571 for one-bedroom multi-family dwelling units; and \$294,163 for two or more bedroom multi-family dwelling units.

Proposed Mukilteo School District Impact Fee Schedule

Using the variables and formula described, impact fees proposed for the District are summarized below. See Appendix B for the impact fee calculation detail. The impact fees below for Mukilteo School District reflect Single Family, Townhomes and Duplexes, Multi-Family 1 bedroom, and Multi-Family 2+bedroom dwelling units.

Table 7.2 – School Impact Fees*

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Unit
Single Family	\$0**
Townhomes and Duplexes	\$0**
Multi-Family (1 bedroom or less)	\$1,148
Multi-Family (2+ bedroom or more)	\$2,985

**Table 7.2 reflects a 50% adjustment to the calculated fee as required by local ordinances*

***While the District did see new students generated from both Single Family and Townhomes and Duplexes during the study period, the rate generated and the total number of those development types when computed in the required fee formula, including the above noted required 50% adjustment, resulted in no fee being calculated.*

Mukilteo School District's 2024 updated school impact fees have dropped considerably from the last CFP update. This is because of several key points:

- The required impact fee formula determines the final outcome of the fee. The primary driver for the reduction in this CFP's fee is that the District is near the end of its six-year capital bond cycle. The District passed a voter-approved \$240 million capital bond in February, 2020. The voter-approved bond package included several projects adding much needed new school capacity to house growth from new development. The District prioritized building those projects early in the bond cycle to bring the new capacity online as soon as possible. That resulted in increased construction costs for those projects factoring into impact fee calculations for the 2020 and 2022 CFP updates.

- At the same time, the District was experiencing the impact of several very large multi-family dwelling unit complexes being built and beginning to be occupied. Those developments generated very large numbers of students which began to be reflected in impact fee calculations causing increases in multi-family dwelling unit fees in 2018, 2020, and 2022. While the 2024 student generation rates continue to show large numbers of students from those development types, the reduced construction costs associated with District projects adding capacity (mentioned above) result in a reduction of the calculated impact fee per the required formula.
- In 2024, the Washington State Legislature increased the Construction Cost Allocation (CCA) to \$375 per square foot from \$275 per square foot. This increase results in an increase in the state funding credit portion of the impact fee formula, thereby reducing the final calculated impact fee.
- No anticipated future construction projects adding classroom capacity beyond 2026 are included in the 2024 CFP update as planning for a future bond is still in progress. This also results in lower impact fees as, with the exception of the Serene Lake Elementary School project, there are no planned projects to use in the impact fee formula calculation. As the District completes its bond planning in advance of a potential 2026 capital bond measure to put forward to voters, the District will be better able to determine additional capacity needs from growth because its jurisdictional comprehensive plan updates will also be completed and resulting growth and enrollment can be better projected. It is anticipated that the 2026 CFP will include impact fee calculations that reflect future growth and increased planned construction projects to address it.

APPENDIX A – SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATION

School Site Acquisition Cost:

	Facility Acreage	Cost/Acre	Facility Capacity	Student Factor SFR	Student Factor T/D	Student Factor MFR (1)	Student Factor MFR (2+)	Cost/ SFR	Cost/ T/D	Cost / MFR 1	Cost / MFR 2+
Elementary	10	\$ -	600	0.168	0.168	0.288	0.607	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Middle	20	\$ -	800	0.032	0.032	0.095	0.176	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	40	\$ -	1,600	0.025	0.025	0.071	0.184	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL								\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

School Construction Cost:

	% Perm/Tot al Sq. Ft	Facility Cost	Capacity	Student Factor SFR	Student Factor T/D	Student Factor MFR (1)	Student Factor MFR (2+)	Cost/ SFR	Cost/ T/D	Cost / MFR 1	Cost/ MFR 2+
Elementary	91.12%	\$19,748,733	600	0.168	0.168	0.288	0.607	\$5,039	\$5,039	\$8,638	\$18,206
Middle	98.11%	\$ -	800	0.032	0.032	0.095	0.176	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	92.62%	\$ -	1600	0.025	0.025	0.071	0.184	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL								\$5,039	\$5,039	\$8,638	\$18,206

Temporary Facility Cost:

	% Temp/Tot al Sq. Ft.	Facility Cost	Facility Capacity	Student Factor SFR	Student Factor T/D	Student Factor MFR (1)	Student Factor MFR (2+)	Cost/ SFR	Cost/ T/D	Cost / MFR 1	Cost/ MFR 2+
Elementary	8.88%	\$130,000	25	0.168	0.168	0.288	0.607	\$78	\$78	\$133	\$280
Middle	1.89%	\$130,000	27	0.032	0.032	0.095	0.176	\$3	\$3	\$9	\$16
High	7.38%	\$130,000	30	0.025	0.025	0.071	0.184	\$8	\$8	\$23	\$59
TOTAL								\$88	\$88	\$164	\$355

State Funding Assistance:

	Current CCA	OSPI Sq. Footage	District Funding %	Student Factor SFR	Student Factor SFR	Student Factor MFR (1)	Student Factor MFR (2+)	Cost/ SFR	Cost/ T/D	Cost / MFR 1	Cost/ MFR 2+
Elementary	\$375.00	90	50.98%	0.168	0.168	0.288	0.607	\$2,891	\$2,891	\$4,955	\$10,444
Middle	\$	108	50.98%	0.032	0.032	0.095	0.176	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	\$	130	50.98%	0.025	0.025	0.071	0.184	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL								\$2,891	\$2,891	\$4,955	\$10,444

Tax Payment Credit Calculation:


Ave. Assessed Value	\$766,679	\$766,679	\$212,571	\$294,163
Capital Bond Int. Rate	3.48%	3.48%	3.48%	3.48%
Years Amortized	10	10	10	10
Property Tax Levy Rate	\$0.877	\$0.877	\$0.877	\$0.877
Tax Payment Credit	\$5,598	\$5,598	\$1,552	\$2,148

Impact Fee Calculation Summary:

Site Acquisition Cost	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Permanent Facility Cost	\$5,039	\$5,039	\$8,638	\$18,206
Temporary Facility Cost	\$88	\$88	\$164	\$355
State SCAP Credit	(\$2,891)	(\$2,891)	(\$4,955)	(\$10,444)
Tax Payment Credit	(\$5,598)	(\$5,598)	(\$1,552)	(\$2,148)
Fee As Calculated	(\$3,361)	(\$3,361)	\$2,295	\$5,969
50% Required Discount	(\$1,680)	(\$1,680)	(\$1,148)	\$2,985
Impact Fee	\$0	\$0	\$1,148	\$2,985

APPENDIX B

OSPI ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS


 ICOS		School Facilities and Organization INFORMATION AND CONDITION OF SCHOOLS Enrollment Projections (Report 1049)						MUKILTEO					
Grade	--- ACTUAL ENROLLMENTS ON OCTOBER 1st ---						AVERAGE % SURVIVAL	--- PROJECTED ENROLLMENTS ---					
	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023		2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Kindergarten	1,185	1,184	966	1,124	1,050	1,003		970	937	904	871	838	805
Grade 1	1,187	1,188	1,141	1,043	1,180	1,146	103.74%	1,041	1,006	972	938	904	869
Grade 2	1,159	1,185	1,112	1,148	1,065	1,203	99.61%	1,142	1,037	1,002	968	934	900
Grade 3	1,152	1,163	1,114	1,112	1,152	1,095	99.49%	1,197	1,136	1,032	997	963	929
Grade 4	1,222	1,158	1,126	1,087	1,114	1,188	99.63%	1,091	1,193	1,132	1,028	993	959
Grade 5	1,255	1,224	1,106	1,110	1,118	1,143	99.93%	1,187	1,090	1,192	1,131	1,027	992
K-5 Sub-Total	7,160	7,102	6,565	6,624	6,679	6,778		6,628	6,399	6,234	5,933	5,659	5,454
Grade 6	1,270	1,228	1,169	1,098	1,115	1,106	98.39%	1,125	1,168	1,072	1,173	1,113	1,010
Grade 7	1,191	1,292	1,188	1,176	1,108	1,112	99.94%	1,105	1,124	1,167	1,071	1,172	1,112
Grade 8	1,186	1,168	1,242	1,182	1,186	1,120	99.12%	1,102	1,095	1,114	1,157	1,062	1,162
6-8 Sub-Total	3,647	3,688	3,599	3,456	3,409	3,338		3,332	3,387	3,353	3,401	3,347	3,284
Grade 9	1,252	1,182	1,144	1,215	1,187	1,179	99.04%	1,109	1,091	1,084	1,103	1,146	1,052
Grade 10	1,163	1,247	1,180	1,108	1,207	1,192	99.20%	1,170	1,100	1,082	1,075	1,094	1,137
Grade 11	1,368	1,403	1,466	1,397	1,431	1,547	122.77%	1,463	1,436	1,350	1,328	1,320	1,343
Grade 12	1,444	1,412	1,491	1,555	1,441	1,443	103.90%	1,607	1,520	1,492	1,403	1,380	1,371
9-12 Sub-Total	5,227	5,244	5,281	5,275	5,266	5,361		5,349	5,147	5,008	4,909	4,940	4,903
DISTRICT K-12 TOTAL	16,034	16,034	15,445	15,355	15,354	15,477		15,309	14,933	14,595	14,243	13,946	13,641

Notes: Specific subtotalling on this report will be driven by District Grade spans.

School Facilities and Organization Printed Apr 03, 2024

APPENDIX C

MUKILTEO SCHOOL DISTRICT MAP



Capital Facilities Plan 2024-30

Northshore School District
June 2024



CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

2024 - 2030

NORTHSHORE SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 417

3330 Monte Villa Parkway, Bothell, Washington 98021-8972

STRENGTHENING OUR COMMUNITY THROUGH EXCELLENCE IN EDUCATION

Board of Directors

Amy Cast

Sandy Hayes

Jacqueline McGourty

Elisabeth Sotak

Han Tran

President

Vice President

Director

Director

Director

Superintendent


Michael Tolley



Table of Contents

SECTION	PAGE
Section 1 Introduction.....	5
Section 2 Enrollment Methodology and Data	8
Section 3 District Standard of Service	13
Section 4 Capital Facilities Inventory	16
Section 5 Projected Facilities Needs.....	21
Section 6 Capital Facilities Financing Plan	30
Section 7 Impact Fees	33
Appendix A Student Generation Factors.....	37
Appendix B School Impact Fee Calculations	40

2023 Northshore School District Map



Introduction

Section 1

Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

The Washington State Growth Management Act outlines fifteen broad goals including the adequate provision of necessary public facilities and services. Public schools are among these necessary facilities and services. Public school districts adopt capital facilities plans to satisfy the requirements of RCW 36.70A.070 and to identify additional school facilities necessary to meet the educational needs of the growing student population in their districts.

The Northshore School District (NSD/District) has prepared this six-year Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) in accordance with the Washington State Growth Management Act and the codes of King and Snohomish Counties and the cities of Bothell, Kenmore, and Woodinville. This CFP is intended to provide these jurisdictions with a description of projected student enrollment and school capacities at established levels of service over the six-year period 2024-2030. It also provides longer-term enrollment projections. The role of impact fees in funding school construction is addressed in **Section 6** of this report.

The District updates its Capital Facilities Plan on an annual basis. The most recent update previous to this version was adopted by the Board of Directors in August 2023.

Overview of the Northshore School District

Schools & Programs

The Northshore School District currently operates twenty elementary schools, six middle schools, and four comprehensive high schools. NSD also has one choice high school (Innovation Lab High School), one alternative high school (Secondary Academy for Success), a hybrid combination of choice school with high levels of parent involvement (Northshore Networks), a home school program, (Northshore Family Partnership Program), a virtual learning school (Northshore Online Academy) and an early childhood center (Sorenson Early Childhood Center). The current grade configuration is K-5, 6-8, and 9-12.

Geographic

NSD spans 60 square-miles and primarily serves five jurisdictions: King County, Snohomish County, the City of Bothell, the City of Kenmore, and the City of Woodinville. There are some addresses located in the cities of Brier, Kirkland and Redmond, but they are either in areas not expected to experience any new residential development or in very small areas with previously developed

residential areas. For the purposes of the District’s CFP and long-term projections, those areas are considered de minimis impacts on NSD’s grade bands. The King-Snohomish County line divides NSD such that roughly two-thirds of the District’s geographic area is in King County and one-third in Snohomish County.

Population

The Snohomish County portion of the district had a total population of 65,566 people, with a 1,087 (1.69%) increase in population from the previous year. Annual growth continues more in Snohomish County (1.19%) than in King (0.77%). County population projections also call for continued growth across both counties. The Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM) forecasted the Snohomish County population for the year of 2029 at 943,590 residents, an increase of 83,790 (9.7%) over six years. OFM forecasts a similar picture for King County over the same 5-year period. In 2029, the population is forecasted to be 2,465,936 (3.71%), an increase of 88,199 residents.

Urban Growth Area & County Jurisdictions

The Urban Growth Area boundary (UGA) divides NSD, creating capacity utilization challenges. As new residential development continues to occur at moderate rates, land for potential new school sites is scarce. King County does not allow for school siting outside the UGA, but Snohomish County does provide for school siting in certain rural zones via a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) process.

Currently, *The Snohomish County 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update* includes Ordinance No. 24-030. If the motion remains in the plan in its current form it will include an expansion of the Southwest County Urban Growth Area to include zoning changes for approximately 378 acres lying within the Northshore School District boundary. The expansion may occur in the “43rd Avenue Area” and the “45th Avenue Area.” Ordinance No. 24-030 states:

43rd Avenue Area

The expansion within this area focuses on transitioning from Rural Residential to urban density classifications. This includes designations for urban medium-density residential (UMDR) and urban low-density residential (ULDR), which are expected to accommodate a significant portion of the population growth.

45th Avenue Area

Similar to the 43rd Avenue Area, this expansion targets a transition to support urban residential development, specifically emphasizing Urban Low-Density Residential (ULDR) zoning. This area is also poised to support the anticipated increase in population through strategic land use planning.

Snohomish County's Countywide Planning Policies mandate that jurisdictions within the county "ensure the availability of sufficient land and services for future K-12 school needs." This directive is outlined in Policy ED-11. The district remains committed to monitoring the developments of *The Snohomish County 2024 Comprehensive Plan Update* and Motion 22-134, evaluating opportunities for accommodating anticipated growth.

The District participates in regular conversations regarding school facilities planning with jurisdictions in King County pursuant to regular meetings held to comply with Policy PF-22 (formerly PF-19A) of the King County Countywide Planning Policies. NSD appreciates any opportunity for cooperative planning efforts with its jurisdictions.

Section 2

Enrollment

Enrollment Summary

From 2020 to 2023, Northshore School District (NSD) saw a decrease of 295 students, contrasting with an increase of 1,134 students from 2016 to 2021. This shift aligns with declining birth rates in Snohomish and King Counties, which have been down since 2017, from 35,150 births to 32,867 in 2022, a 6.50% decline. Factors such as economic conditions, housing affordability, later family planning, and preferences for smaller families, compounded by the pandemic's impact, contribute to this decrease. However, loss of enrollment appears to be slowing and stalled out. In 2020 the district experienced a 1.1% loss in enrollment, and in 2021 there was a 1.2% loss. 2022 experienced a loss of 0.7% loss, resulting in 0.5% loss recovery from 2021. With the 2023 enrollment stabilizing with a slight increase of 0.3% we expect Fall of 2024-25 enrollment to continue stabilizing after the impact of the pandemic, and thereafter experience modest gains to reflect continued residential development within the District. Enrollment growth from new development is expected in the northern and central service areas primarily, with some slowed growth from the southern service areas.

Enrollment Trends

The District is seeing a distinct pattern in our enrollment, characterized by a wave of larger class sizes spanning grades 3 through 12, contrasted with smaller class sizes in kindergarten through second grade. This distribution is expected to persist and progress through our educational system over the next decade. As we adapt to this trend, alongside our recovery efforts from the pandemic and ongoing new development, our focus will intensify on managing the capacity challenges presented by these larger cohorts at the secondary level.

Forecast Data Factors

Kindergarten Enrollment

Historically, the Northshore School District's kindergarten enrollment has consistently represented between 4.00% to 5.00% of the total births in Snohomish and King counties combined. Despite the pandemic's fluctuating impact, our enrollment percentages have reliably stayed within this established range. Analysis up to 2023 shows this trend continuing, with the current year's kindergarten enrollment at 4.35% of total births. This consistency highlights the enduring preference of families for the Northshore School District, affirming our capacity to maintain historical enrollment levels despite recent adversities.

[Planned Residential Development](#)

While new single-family home construction and sales within NSD slowed in 2022 with 759 new single-family projects, it increased in 2023 to 832 additional planned single family projects (based on December 2023 development data). This data excludes short plat development. As larger tracts of land become more rare for developers to acquire within NSD, there is a trend towards more short plats as infill lots are purchased. The increasing number of short plats are beginning to impact enrollment in some areas, contributing to greater student yield factors than what is forecast. With a focus in each jurisdiction on higher density infill, we expect to see short plats play a greater role in the future when forecasting enrollment.

There continues to be strong growth in new townhome and multi-family projects that may produce enrollment gains. There were 3,987 multi-family projects in the pipeline in 2023, compared to 4,841 in 2022. New townhome developments often include units with 3 bedrooms or more.

We continue to see more students generated from townhomes than from apartments and condos as families find that townhomes may be more affordable than a single detached family home. Recent figures allow us to segregate how many new students are generated from townhomes/duplexes and to calculate a separate impact fee for those jurisdictions that have a separate fee category for this unit type. The District plans to continue to monitor townhome/duplex student generation closely.

In addition, if future adjustments are made to the UGA in Snohomish County, larger lots may once again become available to developers with the potential of increased NSD student enrollment. This analysis and its implications are comprehensively documented in the demographers' report.

Forecasts

[Cohort Survival Methodology](#)

The cohort survival method that tracks student groups over time, adjusting for average yearly changes to predict future grades' enrollments.

OSPI uses the cohort survival method to predict enrollment for all school districts in the state for the limited purpose of funding decisions under the School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP). The cohort survival method generally works well for districts that have a consistent trend of gradual increases or declines in enrollment. It is less reliable in districts where spikes in demographic trends (especially a marked increase or decrease in new housing) can lead to dramatic swings in enrollment from one year to the next. For kindergarten, linear extrapolation can be misleading because it needs to account for changing birth rates. The reliability of this method has been challenged not only by the COVID-19 pandemic but also by shifts in economic conditions,

housing affordability, family planning trends, and preferences for smaller families, all of which could influence enrollment projections for years as adjustments to these diverse factors take shape.

Modified Cohort Survival Methodology

NSD works with professional demographers to combine the cohort survival enrollment projection methodology with other information about births, housing, regional population trends, mobility, and trends in service area, homeschooling, and private school enrollment. The District's enrollment projections were updated in February 2023, with the demographers' report detailing these findings and methodologies on file with the District for review and reference.

The modified cohort survival methodology in **Table 2.1** shows continued enrollment increases within the District through the six-year planning period. The methodology uses a “high range” projection. In total, the projected K-12 increase in enrollment is 1,906 students over the six-year period. However, the forecast does not include the impact of Ordinance No. 24-030 within the *Snohomish County Comprehensive Plan Update* (see page 6 above). If the adopted Comprehensive Plan implements the motion in its current form, the District expects to see an expansion of the Southwest County Urban Growth Area to include zoning changes for approximately 378 acres lying within the Northshore School District boundary. For this reason, NSD is using high enrollment forecast figures in planning for future capacity needs.

NSD intends to watch enrollment closely and will update the projections and related planning as necessary based on actual results. However, given recent trends and knowledge of potential UGA expansion, and current residential development within the pipeline, the District expects to see continued growth throughout the six-year planning period and beyond, especially at the secondary level.

High-Range Enrollment Forecast
Table 2.1

Grade	Actual	Projections					
	23/24	24/25	25/26	26/27	27/28	28/29	29/30
Kinder	1,484	1,517	1,483	1,514	1,506	1,506	1,561
1st	1,595	1,584	1,627	1,583	1,617	1,613	1,636
2nd	1,759	1,655	1,644	1,700	1,654	1,697	1,692
3rd	1,668	1,796	1,690	1,692	1,750	1,711	1,751
4th	1,784	1,704	1,835	1,747	1,749	1,818	1,824
5th	1,756	1,807	1,726	1,883	1,793	1,804	1,833
6th	1,735	1,789	1,841	1,776	1,937	1,850	1,935
7th	1,769	1,773	1,829	1,903	1,835	2,008	1,930
8th	1,763	1,786	1,794	1,874	1,950	1,883	1,967
9th	1,785	1,853	1,877	1,882	1,966	2,045	2,161
10th	1,890	1,818	1,883	1,908	1,922	2,008	2,018
11th	1,698	1,793	1,723	1,834	1,858	1,872	2,034
12th	1,705	1,674	1,768	1,723	1,833	1,857	1,955
Total	22,391	22,549	22,720	23,019	23,370	23,672	24,297
K-5th	10,046	10,063	10,005	10,119	10,069	10,149	10,297
6th-8th	5,267	5,348	5,464	5,553	5,722	5,741	5,832
9th-12th	7,078	7,138	7,251	7,347	7,579	7,782	8,168

Long Range Forecasts

The modified cohort methodology described above was extrapolated to 2032 to produce a longer-range forecast (**Table 2.2**). Using this methodology, NSD's enrollment shows continued growth from 2023 to 2032 of 2,706 students. This longer range model assumes that the Washington State forecasts of births, K-12 growth, and continued population growth for the Puget Sound area are reasonably accurate.

The wave of increased secondary students can also be observed in **Table 2.2** with significant middle school growth by 2027 and high school by 2030. In addition, elementary growth accelerates after 2028.

10-Year High-Range Enrollment Forecast
Table 2.2

Grade	Oct-24	Oct-29	Oct-33	10 Year Total Growth
K-5th	10,063	10,297	10,635	589
6th-8th	5,348	5,832	5,961	694
9th-12th	7,138	8,168	8,480	1,402
Total	22,549	24,297	25,076	2,685

Future growth trends can be uncertain. Changes in population growth, fertility rates, new housing development slowdown, or a sharp downturn in the economic conditions could have a major impact on long term enrollment. Given this uncertainty, the current forecasts should be considered reasonable estimates based on the best information available, but subject to change as newer information about trends becomes available.

Snohomish County/OFM Forecasts

The following information is required by Snohomish County as a part of the biennial CFP update. It includes data specific to Snohomish County only. King County does not require a similar 2044 analysis. The District finds generally that enrollment projections beyond the six-year planning period become less reliable and it instead relies on regular updates to reflect current demographic information.

Using Washington State Office of Financial Management (OFM) and Snohomish County data, NSD projects a 2044 student FTE population of 30,922 (**Table 2.3**). For the six year period between 2016 and 2021, the District’s enrollment averaged 39.7% of the OFM/County population estimates. Based on the 2020 Census data, the District’s enrollment averaged 35.54% of the OFM/County population estimates. However, these figures assume that all of the District’s students reside in Snohomish County. This is not the case given that the NSD’s boundaries include both King and Snohomish County. As such, the projections are highly speculative and are used only for general planning and comparative purposes.

The October 2029 total forecast figure provided by OFM is 1,310 higher than the high-range forecast number used by NSD found in Table 2.2.

FTE Enrollment Forecast – 2044 OFM/Snohomish County Estimates*
Table 2.3

Grade Band	Oct-20	Oct-29	Oct-44
Elementary	10,212	11,664	14,085
Middle	5,322	6,079	7,341
High	6,885	7,864	9,497
Total	22,419	25,607	30,923

*Assumes that percentage per grade span will remain constant through 2044 which aligns with the Snohomish County requirement.

District Standard of Service

Section 3

Primary Objective

Optimizing student learning is the heart of what the Northshore School District strives for in establishing its service standard for classroom capacity utilization. This requires a consistent review and assessment of programs, curriculum and instructional changes, student learning behaviors, learning environments, technological innovations, and program development. Equitable access to programs for all students is also a goal of the District's Board of Directors. NSD continually strives for process and methods where all students have access to optimal learning environments. Additional variables include changes in mandatory requirements by Washington State. In the past, these have included full-day kindergarten, Core 24 graduation requirements, and reduced K-3 class size ratios. These elements, as well as demographic projections, are weighed when determining service levels.

Existing Programs and Standards of Service

NSD provides traditional educational programs and nontraditional programs (**Table 3.1**). These programs are reviewed regularly to determine the optimum instructional methods and learning environments required at each school, with added attention to equitable access across the District. The required space for these programs, as well as any supporting space, is determined by teacher to student ratios, privacy, the need for physical proximity to other services/facilities, noise, and level of physical activity. Adequate space must exist for program flexibility, differing learning styles, program changes, project/problem-based learning, and pre- and post-school activities. For example, service level capacities in rooms utilized for programs such as Special Education Functional Skills and Academics would reflect lower capacities of the defined service levels (**Table 3.2**), with eight students per classroom instead of an average 25 students per classroom.

Capacity and Programs

Capacity is affected at buildings that house special programs. These programs usually require space modifications and frequently have lower class sizes than other, more traditional programs. This potentially translates into greater space requirements. These requirements affect the utilization of rooms, and result in school capacities varying from year to year. (As programs move or grow, depending on space needs, capacity can change or decline in a school).

Special teaching stations and programs offered by NSD at specific school sites are included in **Table 3.1**.

[Programs and Teaching Stations](#)
Table 3.1

	Elementary	Secondary
Group Activity Room	X	
Early Childhood	X	
Headstart (Federal)	X	
ECEAP (State)	X	
Elementary Advanced Program (EAP)	X	
Advanced Academic Program (AAP)		X
Parents Active Cooperative in Education	X	
Dual Language	X	
Learning Assistance Program (LAP)	X	
Title 1	X	
English Language Development (ELD)	X	X
Northshore Learning Options (NLO)	X	X
Secondary Academy for Success (SAS)		X
International Baccalaureate (IB)		X
Advanced Placement (AP)		X
College in the High School		X
Running Start		X
Band & Jazz Band	X	X
Orchestra	X	X
Choir		X
Special Education (SPED):	X	X
Learning Centers(LC)	X	X
Mid Level (ML)	X	X
Mid-Level Sensory (MLS)	X	
Mid-Level Social-Emotional (MLSEL)	X	
Mid-Level Blended (MLB)	X	
Aspire		X
Functional Skills & Academics (FSA)	X	X
Adult Transition Pathways (ATP)		X
Adult Transitioning to Independence		
Career Technical Education (CTE):		X
Includes specialized programs like Automotive, Composites, Culinary Arts, Robotics, Sustainable Engineering and Design, Project Lead the Way, Aeronautics, Marketing, Finance, and CAD.		X

[Standard of Service](#)

Northshore School District has established an average class size that does not exceed the sizes listed in **Table 3.2**.

Class sizes are averages based on actual utilization as influenced by state and/or contractual requirements, state funding, and instructional program standards.

[Standard of Service](#)
Table 3.2

Program a Classroom Serves	Prek-5th Target # of Students Per Classroom	Middle School Target Number of Students Per Classroom	High School Target Number of Students Per Classroom
Special Education Preschool	15	N/A	N/A
Early Childhood & PreK	16	N/A	N/A
Kindergarten - 1st	24	N/A	N/A
Elementary 2nd - 3rd	26	N/A	N/A
Elementary 4th - 5th	27	N/A	N/A
Secondary 6th - 12th	N/A	27	27
Special Education Learning Center	25	25	25
Special Education Mid Level Blended	12	N/A	N/A
Special Education Mid Level	N/A	10	10
Special Education Sensory	10	N/A	N/A
Special Education Midlevel Social Emotional	10	N/A	N/A
Special Education Aspire	N/A	8	8
Special Education Functional Skills & Academics	8	8	8
Alternative Education	N/A	N/A	15

K-5 capacity targets 88% utilization, accounting for programs like Special Ed and Arts, with secondary schools at 85% due to teacher and scheduling needs. Strategies to meet these targets, including portables or boundary changes, undergo EDTF review and public feedback.

[Snohomish County](#)

Snohomish County requires that the District's plan include a report regarding NSD's compliance with the District's minimum levels of service. **Table 3.3** shows the District's average students per teaching station as a measurement of its minimum levels of service as of October 1 for each year.

[Average Students per Scheduled Teaching Station](#)
(In classrooms without special programs)

Table 3.3

Grade Level	# of Scheduled Teaching Stations	Minimum Level of Service	2020- 2021	2021- 2022	2022- 2023
K - 5	457	24	21.4	20.9	21.6
6 - 8	237	26	25.4	25.1	22.5
9 -12	306	26	22.5	22.7	21.2
Total / Average	1,000		23.1	22.9	21.7

Capital Facilities Inventory

Section 4

Inventory History

Of our current schools in the Northshore School District, Bothell High School is the oldest, originally constructed in 1953. This was followed by Kenmore Elementary in 1955, and Arrowhead and Crystal Springs Elementary Schools in 1956. Kenmore Middle School was built in 1961 during a growth boom that ran from 1953 – 1964. **Table 4.1** Illustrates the age of each school, the dates of modernizations and added capacity, and the historical timeline.

Historical Timeline of School Construction and Modernization

Table 4.1

School	Year Built	Last Modernization or Addition
Arrowhead	1957	1994/2011
Bear Creek*	1988	2011
Canyon Creek	1977	1999/2008/2020
Cottage Lake	1958	2005
Crystal Springs	1957	2002/2010/2022
East Ridge	1991	
Fernwood	1988	2002/2010/2022
Frank Love	1990	
Hollywood Hill	1980	2001
Kenmore	1955	2002/2011/2022
Kokanee	1994	
Lockwood	1962	2004/2011
Maywood Hills	1961	2002/2022
Moorlands	1963	2002/2011
Ruby Bridges	2020	
Shelton View	1969	1999/2011
Sorenson ECC *	2002	2022
Sunrise	1985	
Wellington	1978	2000/2011
Westhill	1960	1995/2011
Woodin	1970	2003/2022
Woodmoor	1994	
Middle School		
Canyon Park	1964	2000/2005
Kenmore	1961	2002/2008/2012
Leota	1972	1998/2022
Northshore	1977	2004
Skyview	1992	2020
Timbercrest	1997	
High School		
Bothell	1953	2005
Inglemoor	1964	1993/95/98/2022
Innovation Lab	2020	
Woodinville	1983	1994/08/11/16
North Creek	2016	2016
SAS	2010	

Capacity Definitions

The Growth Management Act requires a capacity analysis based on an inventory of existing capital facilities.

Instructional Capacity

Instructional capacity, also known as permanent capacity, reflects the number of students a school can accommodate based upon programming and adopted service standards. For instance, an elementary school designed for 300 students might have a lower functional capacity because specialized programs like full-day kindergarten, which overloads at 23 students per class, and special education, with class sizes often limited to fewer than 25 students, require more space per student. Therefore, instructional capacity must be recalculated annually to reflect these requirements, considering the number of special programs and different grade levels. The NSD sets classroom design capacities for initial planning and compares these to actual room utilization, which may reach only 85% in some facilities due to the need for teacher preparation spaces. In secondary schools, this utilization rate could be higher. Service levels and specific capacities for programs such as special education are detailed in Table 4.2. Changes in program needs and classroom usage are updated annually in the CFP to maintain accurate capacity assessments.

Inventory

[Inventory of Instructional Capacity](#)

Table 4.2 summarizes the instructional capacity owned and operated by the District, including the current permanent and relocatable classroom (portable) instructional student capacity.

[2023-24 Instructional Capacity Inventory](#)

Table 4.2

	Permanent Instructional Capacity	Number of Instructional Portables	Total Number of Portables	Instructional Portable Capacity	Portable % of Total Capacity	Total Instructional Capacity
Elementary School						
Arrowhead	325		5		0%	325
Canyon Creek	841	7	12	184	18%	1,025
Cottage Lake	363				0%	363
Crystal Springs	379	5	10	150	28%	529
East Ridge	436				0%	436
Fernwood	466	11	15	296	39%	762
Frank Love	392	6	14	162	29%	554
Hollywood Hill	393	1	2		0%	393
Kenmore	390		9		0%	390
Kokanee	459	10	12	273	37%	732
Lockwood	534	4	5	92	15%	626
Maywood Hills	392	8	10	200	34%	592
Moorlands	449	6	9	158	26%	607
Ruby Bridges	520				0%	520
Shelton View	403	4	6	94	19%	497
Sunrise	396		1		0%	396
Wellington	417		4		0%	417
Westhill	343	5	7	115	25%	458
Woodin	407	3	6	96	19%	503
Woodmoor	626				0%	626
Elementary Totals	8,931	70	127	1820	17%	10751
Middle School						
Canyon Park	1066	4	4	108	9%	1174
Kenmore	920	1	1	27	3%	947
Leota	946	7	7	159	14%	1105
Northshore	1024	3	4	131	11%	1155
Skyview	1,333	4	4	108	7%	1,441
Timbercrest	958				0%	958
Middle Total	6,247	19	20	533	8%	6,780
High School						
Bothell	1,876				0%	1,876
Inglemoor	1,776	5	6	147	8%	1,923
North Creek	1,714				0%	1,714
Woodinville	1,780				0%	1,780
Innovation Lab	486				0%	486
SAS	267				0%	267
High School Totals	7,899	5	6	147	2%	8,046
K12 Totals	23,077	94	153	2,500	10%	25,577

- The Bear Creek campus provides programs for the Northshore Learning Options and does not provide regular capacity.
- Sorenson Early Childhood Center serves students age 3-5 yrs. and does not provide capacity for K-12 grades;

Relocatable Classroom Facilities (Portables and Modulares)

Purpose & Data

Portable and modular classrooms provide temporary/interim classroom space to house students until permanent facilities can be constructed. They also prevent over-building of permanent capacity. Portables are utilized to help achieve efficient facility utilization and balance economic costs while encouraging innovation and new approaches, particularly for non-core or pilot programs. The District regularly reassesses the need for portables as permanent capacity is built or other changes occur (such as revisions to instructional programs). At this time, NSD anticipates a continued need for portables as a part of the capacity solution.

Traditionally, NSD has aimed to keep its total capacity provided by portables at, or below, 10% to a maximum of 15% percent of its total capacity. This percentage fluctuates, impacted by growth and changes in instructional program needs. Currently, the instructional portable percentage of total capacity is at 11%.

Table 4.2 records the number of instructional portables at each school. Not included in the total classroom instructional capacity are portables that are used for restrooms, Special Education Resource Rooms, OT/PT, LAP, EL, music, or other instructional uses. Those being used by PTA, daycare, offices and conference rooms, and storage are counted as instructional capacity as these rooms are available to convert to a classroom, if necessary.

Aging Inventory

A typical portable classroom provides capacity for an approximate average of 25 students at the elementary level or 27 at the secondary level. Portables are used to meet a variety of instructional needs. Of the 153 portable classrooms that the District owns, 94 are currently being used as classrooms for scheduled classes.

The lifespan of a portable is approximately 20 years, and up to 25 years with proactive maintenance. Portables have been an effective method for meeting capacity needs in a district that has experienced rapid increases in enrollment. At this time, the District's inventory is aging with 97 of the 153 portables the district owns having reached 20 years of service.

Although the current bond is intended to replace approximately 55 aging portables, total capacity at schools with portables will be impacted in the future as the need to retire aging portables increases. Despite the slowing of growth due to the impact of COVID, the District continues to be reliant on this interim capacity.

Support Facilities & Underdeveloped Land

In addition to 34 school sites, the District owns and operates sites that provide transportation, administration, maintenance and operational support to schools. The District also holds undeveloped properties that were acquired for potential development of a facility for instructional use. An inventory of these facilities is provided in **Table 4.3** below.

[Inventory of Support Facilities & Underdeveloped Land](#)
Table 4.3

Facility Name	Building Area (Sq. Ft)	Site Size (Acreage)
Administrative Center (Monte Villa)	49,000	5
Support Services Building	41,000	5
Warehouse	44,000	2
Transportation	39,000	9
Paradise Lake Site*		26
Wellington Hills Site**		104
19827 88th Ave NE		10
18416 88th Ave NE		50,011 Sq. Ft
20521 48th Dr SE (This includes Ruby Bridges ES and the remaining undeveloped portion planned for a future school site.)		33
15215, 15123, 15127, 84th Ave NE and 8305 NE 153rd St (4 parcels adjacent to Moorlands ES)		49,993 Sq. Ft

*Paradise Lake property is located in King County, outside the Urban Growth Area. In 2012, King County prohibited the siting of schools outside the UGA; although the property was purchased prior to that change, it is not currently useable as a potential school site.

**The Wellington property is located in Snohomish County, adjacent to the Maltby Urban Growth Area. A settlement agreement recorded under Snohomish County Recording No. 201906210221 applies to the property. The District has no active project at this site, nor are there definitive short or long-term plans for siting a school at this location.

Projected Facility Needs

Section 5

Planning History

In 2001, the District's Board of Directors established by policy a standing, community-based taskforce to study District-wide enrollment and demographic changes, and the resulting impacts on school capacity needs, instructional programs, or other variables. The Enrollment Demographic Task Force (EDTF) examines enrollment projections, capacity considerations, student impacts, cost impacts, program needs, etc., and boundary adjustments based upon the above. The EDTF recommends solutions to the Board. If approved by the Board, these recommended actions are implemented by the District and incorporated into the Capital Facilities Plan.

Mitigation

The District has accommodated growth in recent years largely through the construction of new capacity, limiting waivers at most schools, converting special-use portables and non-classroom spaces into classroom space, adjusting boundaries, moving programs, and adding portable classrooms. The 2022 bond projects, approved by our voters in February 2022, will provide for permanent capacity additions at all grade levels, as further detailed in this document.

Using October 2023 enrollment figures, District enrollment dropped by 1.3% or 295 new students during the previous three-year period. The high school grade span has grown by 246 new students in that time; a 3.6% increase. EDTF continues to monitor development and growth across the District. New development is expected in the northern and western sections, while slowing has occurred in the southern and eastern sections. EDTF identifies mitigation strategies (in order of priority) to address existing and future capacity needs (**Table 5.1**).

Capacity Mitigation Tools
Table 5.1

Shorter Lead Time
Utilize existing spaces more efficiently
Adjust waiver policies
Adjust program placements
Move classes to schools with capacity
Move existing portables
Install new modulars or portables
Lease space
Longer Lead Time
Adjust service areas
Adjust feeder patterns
New construction
Acquire new property

Planned Improvements - Construction to Accommodate New Growth

The continued increase in enrollment has fully exhausted capacity increases from relocating building programs, portable additions, grade reconfiguration, and boundary changes. Despite the impacts of the pandemic on enrollment, growth continues to outpace school capacity. Growth has been concentrated in northern, central, and southern portions of NSD and is accelerating at the secondary level.

The \$425 million 2022 capital bond approved by the District's voters includes eight new projects to add permanent capacity across the District at all grade levels, the District will be replacing outdated portable classrooms with permanent space for student learning, communal area and administrative function. The District has been working with selected teams of architects and contractors developing specific plans for each site identified in the 2022 capital bond. In developing the scope for each project, the District utilizes data calculating the forecast enrollment and potential need for classroom space, incorporating recent and future growth within each school's boundaries.

- Inglemoor High School (IHS)
 - The replacement project for Inglemoor High School (IHS) is planned as a three-phase endeavor. Phase 1 will primarily entail the replacement of buildings 600 and 700, along with the installation of additional temporary classroom space. Specifically, 5 double portables, totaling 10 classrooms, will be installed to serve

as surge space during construction. This phase will focus on replacing classroom space, including science classrooms, and will also involve the construction of a new commons area.

Following Phase 1, the capacity of the high school will increase by 185 students. Phase 2, which is slated to be included in the 2026 bond, will concentrate on rebuilding the gymnasium and additional classrooms. The remainder of the school rebuild will be addressed in Phase 3.

- Leota Middle School (LMS)
 - The modernization project for Leota Middle School (LMS) is organized into two phases. Phase 1 will focus on replacing all existing portables, totaling 7, with permanent classrooms and creating new classroom space, thereby transitioning the capacity currently in portables to permanent classrooms. Phase 1 project will increase permanent capacity by 159 students. Phase 2, scheduled to be part of the 2026 bond, will address the rebuilding of the remaining school facilities. Upon completion of Phase 2, the capacity of Leota Middle School will be unchanged
- Kenmore Elementary School
 - Kenmore Elementary School currently incorporates 9 portables on site, with 5 dedicated to regular instruction and 4 utilized for specialist programs. The proposed modernization project envisions the relocation of these functions to permanent space, alongside the addition of 2 versatile multipurpose rooms. Furthermore, plans include the development of a fully inclusive playground and enhancements to site circulation to accommodate increased capacity. Upon completion, the school's permanent capacity will be unchanged and the school will be enhanced as the multi purpose space.
- Crystal Springs Elementary School
 - Crystal Springs currently has 10 portables on site, with 6 used for regular classroom instruction and 4 utilized for specialist programs. The modernization project proposes relocating the use of these 10 portables with permanent classrooms, ancillary space and offices. Additionally, plans include the construction of a new gym, commons, and stage, as well as a fully inclusive playground, additional parking, and improved site circulation to support increased capacity. Upon completion, the school's permanent capacity will increase by 175 students

- Fernwood Elementary School
 - Fernwood currently has 16 portables on site. 11 are used for regular classroom instruction. 1 is a restroom portable. 4 are used for specialists and programs. The modernization project proposes replacing the use of those 16 portables with permanent classrooms, offices, music rooms, and 2 multi-purpose spaces. Also proposed are an inclusive playground, additional parking, and improved site circulation to support increased capacity. The front office will also be replaced. The addition of two multi-purpose rooms will give the school flexibility. The new capacity will meet enrollment needs. If enrollment increases significantly, the multipurpose rooms may be converted to classrooms, increasing functional capacity at that time. Without the conversion of the multipurpose rooms the capacity of the school will increase upon completion by 330 students.

- Maywood Hills Elementary School
 - Maywood Hills Elementary School currently utilizes 10 portables on site, with 8 dedicated to regular classroom instruction and 2 allocated for specialist programs. The modernization project proposes relocate the use of these 10 portables within permanent space and adding 6 additional classrooms. Additionally, plans include the establishment of a fully inclusive playground and enhancements to site circulation to accommodate increased capacity. Upon completion, the school's permanent capacity will increase by 125 students

- Woodin Elementary School
 - Woodin currently has 6 portables on site. 3 are used for regular classroom instruction. 3 are used for specialists and programs. The modernization project proposes replacing those 6 portables with permanent space for current use including 2# music rooms, along with adding 3 additional permanent classrooms. Also proposed are a fully inclusive playground, additional parking, and improved site circulation to support increased capacity. A new gym will also be built. The school's permanent capacity will increase by 150 students.

- Sorenson Early Childhood Center (SECC)
 - SECC currently has 2 portables on site. Both are used for regular instruction. The modernization project proposes replacing those 2 portables with permanent classrooms, and adding 2 additional permanent classrooms. Also proposed are a fully inclusive playground, additional parking and circulation improvements to support increased capacity of 100 students.

In addition to the bond-funded projects listed above, the District plans to construct two modular

buildings, one on the North Creek High School campus and one on the Bothell High School campus. Funded from bond allocations and impact fees, both are designed to mitigate accelerating growth at the high school level. Each building is a permanent structure and not designed to be moved.

- Bothell High School (BHS)
 - Currently, BHS has six portables on site. These include some of the oldest portables in the district and are not appropriate for student learning. The portables will be replaced by a new fully enclosed modular building that will house the District's Adults Transitioning into Independence (ATI) program with four new classrooms and create three new classrooms for use by BHS. In addition the building will contain an inclusive restroom, a conference/staff room and six offices. This project will increase permanent capacity by 160 students
- North Creek High School (NCHS)
 - There are no portables located on the NCHS campus. The school was recently built in response to expansive growth in the north end of the district. Although growth has slowed, it has not stopped. The school is currently over a 90% utilization rate. To address the immediate need for classrooms, a fully enclosed modular building with four new classrooms, including a science lab, is being constructed. This project will increase permanent capacity by 108 students.

Long-term high-range enrollment projections from 2024 – 2030 indicate growth of 1,906 new students. The District will address growth needs with permanent capacity and portables. The District will continue to monitor the factors that shape our capacity needs (such as statewide legislative changes, instructional delivery requirements, the economy, changes in planned land use, changes in mandated program requirements, equitable access to programs, building permit activity, and birth rates). This information will help to inform when/where instructional space and, as relevant, additional land, is needed. Future updates to this CFP will include relevant information.

Table 5.2 summarizes the schools that will undergo construction from 2022- 2026. Where applicable, second phase projected construction is included for 2026 – 2030. Projects include permanent student capacity growth and modernization of key systems and structures.

Planned Capital Construction Projects
Table 5.2

Capital Projects	Estimated Completion Date	2022-26 Projected Permanent Student Capacity Added	2026-30 Projected permanent student capacity added	Projected Total Permanent Student Capacity Added
Partial renovations and modernization to Crystal Springs El.	2026	175	0	175
Partial renovations and modernization to Fernwood El.	2026	330	0	330
Partial renovations and modernization to Kenmore El.	2026	0	0	0
Partial renovations and modernization to Maywood Hills El.	2026	125	0	125
Partial renovations and modernization to Woodin El.	2026	150	0	150
Construct and equip Phase 1 of Leota Middle School	2026	159	0	159
Construct and equip Phase 2 of Leota Middle School	2030	0	0	0
Construct and equip Phase 1 of Inglemoor High School	2026	185	0	185
Construct and equip Phase 2 of Inglemoor High School	2030	0	0	0
Construct and equip Phase 3 of Inglemoor High School	2034	0	0	0
Classroom addition at Sorenson Early Childhood Center	2026	100	0	100
Construct and equip modular building at Bothell High School	2023	160	0	160
Construct and equip modular building at North Creek High School	2024	108	0	108

Portable Location Adjustments

Where growth results in capacity deficits at a specific grade band, portables may be relocated from one school to another to assist with meeting enrollment projections. Portables may also be purchased to add capacity.

In addition, the District may adjust program space within permanent facilities to move programs to portables to free up space in permanent facilities for additional regular student capacity.

Capacity Analysis

The District's six-year capacity analysis, considering projected mid-range enrollment and planned new capacity, is shown in **Table 5.3**. The tables do not include all the potential projects for the 2026 bond, just projects with a second phase scheduled for that cycle.

As with any long-term projections, many assumptions and estimates on housing must be made, increasing the risk associated with the accuracy of enrollment forecasts. However, NSD has trended above mid-range projections in years past, and with a continuing strong real estate and development market, the District will plan for continued growth as projected.

NSD is in a planning year for the modernizations/additions of 8 school sites and the addition of modular capacity on 2 high school campuses. Estimated capacities for each site are used in this CFP. Adjustments may be made to capacities during planning in response to updated development data within a school's boundary area, and/or other needs that impact enrollment and capacity.

Enrollment Forecast and Instructional Classroom Capacity

Table 5.3

	2023-24*	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30
Elementary School Analysis							
Enrollment Forecast	10,046	10,063	10,005	10,119	10,069	10,149	10,297
Permanent Capacity - Existing	8,931	8,931	8,931	9,711	9,711	9,711	9,711
New Permanent Capacity - Crystal Springs			175				
New Permanent Capacity - Fernwood			330				
New Permanent Capacity - Maywood Hills			125				
New Permanent Capacity - Woodin			150				
Total Permanent Capacity	8,931	8,931	9,711	9,711	9,711	9,711	9,711
Total Capacity in Portables	1,820	1,820	1,078	1,078	1,078	1,078	1,078
Total Capacity Including Portables	10,751	10,751	10,789	10,789	10,789	10,789	10,789
Permanent Capacity over/(short)	(1,115)	(1,132)	(294)	(408)	(358)	(438)	(586)
Total Capacity w/Portables over/(short)	705	688	784	670	720	640	492
Middle School Analysis							
Enrollment Forecast	5,267	5,348	5,464	5,553	5,722	5,741	5,832
Permanent Capacity - Existing	6,247	6,247	6,247	6,406	6,406	6,406	6,406
New Permanent Capacity - Leota			159				-
Total Permanent Capacity	6,247	6,247	6,406	6,406	6,406	6,406	6,406
Total Capacity in Portables	533	533	374	374	374	374	374
Total Capacity Including Portables	6,780	6,780	6,780	6,780	6,780	6,780	6,780
Permanent Capacity over/(short)	980	899	942	853	684	665	574
Total Capacity w/Portables over/(short)	1,513	1,432	1,316	1,227	1,058	1,039	948
High School Analysis							
Enrollment Forecast	7,078	7,138	7,251	7,347	7,579	7,782	8,168
Permanent Capacity - Existing	7,899	7,899	8,167	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352
New Permanent Capacity - Bothell		160					-
New Permanent Capacity - Inglemoor			185				-
New Permanent Capacity - North Creek		108					
Total Permanent Capacity	7,899	8,167	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352	8,352
Total Capacity in Portables	147	147	147	147	147	147	147
Total Capacity Including Portables	8,046	8,314	8,499	8,499	8,499	8,499	8,499
Permanent Capacity over/(short)	821	1,029	1,101	1,005	773	570	184
Total Capacity w/Portables over/(short)	968	1,176	1,248	1,152	920	717	331
District Analysis							
Enrollment Forecast	22,391	22,549	22,720	23,019	23,370	23,672	24,297
Permanent Capacity - Existing	23,077	23,077	23,345	24,469	24,469	24,469	24,469
New Permanent Capacity Total		268	1,124				-
Total Permanent Capacity	23,077	23,345	24,469	24,469	24,469	24,469	24,469
Total Capacity in Portables	2,500	2,500	1,599	1,599	1,599	1,599	1,599
Total Capacity Including Portables	25,577	25,845	26,068	26,068	26,068	26,068	26,068
Permanent Capacity over/(short)	686	796	1,749	1,450	1,099	797	172
Total Capacity w/Portables over/(short)	3,186	3,296	3,348	3,049	2,698	2,396	1,771

*Actual October 2023 enrollment

This table does not include new or relocated portable facilities over the six-year planning period; it also does not include the addition of permanent capacity at Sorenson Early Childhood Center.

The District will use the creation of more permanent capacity and portables to address future growth needs. As part of this process, NSD will continue to monitor the factors that shape our capacity needs.

For long-term planning purposes, a ten-year capacity analysis can be created. **Table 5.4** utilizes demographers' NSD 10-year, high forecast to create the best possible projection given the data available to us. Note that the longer the period of time that a forecast covers, the less accurate it becomes. Factors such as unforeseen changes in population and development may impact actual results. An example of this is the recent COVID-10 pandemic and the influence it has had on demographic and development trends in school districts, including NSD.

2032 – Ten Year Forecast of Enrollment and Instructional Capacity

Table 5.4

Grade Level	Enrollment	Permanent Capacity	Total Capacity	Permanent surplus/(short)	Total surplus/(short)
Elementary School	10,635	9,711	10,789	(924)	154
Middle School	5,961	6,406	6,780	445	819
High School	8,480	8,352	8,499	(128)	19
Total	25,076	24,469	26,068	(607)	992

Assumes added new capacity projects included in this CFP but no future near-term planning in process and no adjustment of portable facilities. Utilizes high-range figures for enrollment.

Planned Improvements – Existing Facilities (Building Improvement Program)

In a number of sites (not identified for additional capacity in the 2022 bond) where the existing facility layout (building envelope) meets instructional needs and building structural integrity is good, individual building systems (such as HVAC, mechanical, flooring, roofing) are identified for replacement or modernization to extend the life of the overall site and ensure optimal learning environment for students. NSD continues to implement building improvement projects funded as a part of the 2018 Bond, and is currently planning implementation of improvements identified within the 2022 capital bond. See **Table 6.1** in Section 6.

Capital Facilities Financing Plan

Section 6

Funding of school facilities is typically secured from a number of sources including voter-approved bonds, state matching funds, impact fees, and mitigation payments. Each of these funding sources are discussed below.

General Obligation Bonds

Bonds are typically used to fund construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects. A 60% voter approval is required to pass a bond issue. Bonds are sold as necessary to generate revenue. They are then retired through collection of property taxes. The District's Board of Directors, upon the recommendation of the Capital Bond Planning Task Force, sent a \$425 million bond measure to the voters in February 2022 to provide funding for growth-related projects included in this Capital Facilities Plan, as well as other District-wide building improvement or capital infrastructure needs. The voters approved the bond measure by 61.2%.

The 2026 bond measure will help fund future projects, including Phase 2 of Leota and Phase 2 of Inglemoor. Additionally, the plan includes a future 2030 bond to fund Phase 3 of Inglemoor High School.

State School Construction Assistance

State financial assistance comes from the Common School Construction Fund. Bonds are sold on behalf of the fund then retired from revenues accruing predominantly from the sale of renewable resources (i.e. timber) from state school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate General Obligation funds or the Superintendent of Public Instruction can prioritize projects for funding.

State financial assistance is available through the School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP) for qualifying school construction projects, however these funds may not be received until two to three years after a matched project has been completed. This requires the District to finance the complete project with local funds. Site acquisition and site improvements are not eligible to receive matching funds. The District is currently eligible for state school construction assistance funds at 38.11% level for eligible projects. Eligibility for SCAP funding is continually reviewed. Future updates to this plan will include updated information, as it becomes available.

Impact Fees
(See Section 7 for background, detail, and methodology)

The Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA) authorizes cities and counties that plan under RCW 36.70A.040 to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional system improvements (e.g., public facilities such as schools) needed to accommodate growth from new development. The statute is clear that the financing of needed public facilities to serve growth cannot be funded solely by impact fees but rather must be balanced with other sources of public funds.

Budget and Financing Plan

Table 6.1 is a summary of the budget that supports the Capital Facilities Plan. Each project budget represents the total project costs which include; construction, taxes, planning, architectural and engineering services, permitting, environmental impact mitigation, construction testing and inspection, furnishings and equipment, escalation, and contingency. The table also identifies 2023-2024 and future planned expenditures. It does not include project expenditures from previous years.

8-Year Capital Facilities Expenditures Finance Plan
Table 6.1

Projects Adding Capacity	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	FY 25-26	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29	FY 29-30	FY 30-31	Total	Potential Funding Source				
										Bonds	Levy	SCAP	Impact	Future
Inglemoor - Phase 1	5	50	35	5					95	X		X	X	
Inglemoor - Phase 2				30	35	30	25		120				X	X
Leota - Phase 1	4	30	21	4					59	X				
Leota - Phase 2				20	25	20	15		80					X
Crystal Springs	12	18	4						34	X		X	X	
Fernwood	12	18	5						35	X		X	X	
Maywood	7	21	15	3					46	X			X	
Woodin	10	17	2						29	X		X	X	
Sorenson	6	10	2						18	X			X	
Future Middle School			1	5	60	30			96					X
Bothell Modular Buildings	4.5	1.5							6	X			X	
North Creek Modular Buildings	3.5	2.5							6				X	
Modular Buildings & Portables	2		2	2	2	2	2	2	14				X	
Total Capacity Projects	66	168	87	69	122	82	42	2	638					
Projects Not Adding Capacity	FY 23-24	FY 24-25	FY 25-26	FY 26-27	FY 27-28	FY 28-29	FY 29-30	FY 30-31	Total	Potential Funding Source				
										Bonds	Levy	SCAP	Impact	Future
Kenmore	6	15	10	2					33	X				
Building Improvement	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	48	X				X
Technology	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	24	X	X			X
Fields/Inclusive Learning	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	24	X	X			X
Code Compliance/Small Works	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	32	X				X
Site Purchase/Circulation	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	X				X
Overhead/Bond Expense	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	26	X	X			X
Security	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	24	X				X
Total Non-Capacity Projects	29	38	34	25	23	23	24	23	219					
Total All Projects	95	206	121	94	145	105	66	25	857					

Impact Fees

Section 7

School Impact Fees under the Washington State Growth Management Act

The Growth Management Act (GMA) authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate growth/new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands. The basic underlying assumption is that growth pays for growth.

Enrollment declines beginning around 2002 kept NSD from meeting the required eligibility criteria to collect school impact fees. The District is spread across two counties and also across the urban growth boundary. While development picked up on the north end of NSD, there was still ample capacity in the southeast area of the District. Because of the statutes and ordinances governing school district eligibility criteria to be able to collect school impact fees, NSD was not able to re-establish eligibility for collection of school impact fees until 2016. King County and the cities of Bothell, Kenmore, and Woodinville have all adopted the District's 2023 CFP and are collecting impact fees identified in that plan. Snohomish County adopted the District's 2022 CFP and is collecting impact fees associated with that plan and will continue to do so until the County's 2024 update cycle. We anticipate all the above jurisdictions to consider and adopt this 2024 CFP as part of their regular budget cycle.

Methodology and Variables Used to Calculate School Impact Fees

Impact fees may be calculated based on the District's cost per dwelling unit to purchase/acquire land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools and purchase/install temporary facilities (portables), all for purposes of growth-related needs. The costs of projects that do not add growth-related capacity are not included in the impact fee calculations. The impact fee formula calculates a "cost per dwelling unit". New capacity construction costs addressing NSD's growth-related needs, are used in the calculation

A student yield factor (or student generation rate) is used to identify the average cost per NEW dwelling unit by measuring the average number of students generated by each NEW (sold and occupied) housing type (single family dwelling units, townhomes, and multi-family dwelling units). The student generation rate used is an actual generation of students by grade level that came from new development over a period of five (5) years. NSD updated its student factor for single family, multi-family, and townhome units in early 2023. The student factor analysis for NSD is included in

Appendix A. The student factors in Appendix A are based on all newly constructed, sold, and occupied units.

The District's student-generation rate for multi-family dwelling units is much lower than the student generation rate for single-family homes. As available land for single family development is beginning to be constrained, and multi-family development – most notably townhomes, is increasing, we anticipate continued growth in student generation rates from those units over time. In particular, the District's student generation rates, when isolated for townhomes only, show that more students are residing in those units than in traditional multi-family units. NSD is requesting that each jurisdiction, if necessary, consider amendments to the school impact fee ordinance to recognize the impacts of townhome units as different from apartments and condominium units.

As required under GMA, credits are applied for State School Construction Assistance Funds to be reimbursed to the District, where expected, and projected future property taxes to be paid by the dwelling unit toward a capital bond/levy funding the capacity improvement. Formula driven fees are identified in **Appendix B**.

Despite the changes mentioned, we continue with our current student generation rate. We have planned to conduct a recalculation next year, which aligns with our ongoing assessment of regional development and student distribution patterns.

Snohomish County Code (30.66C) and King County Code (21A.43) establish each jurisdiction's authority to collect school impact fees on behalf of the District. The formula for calculating impact fees is substantively identical in each code (with one exception that Snohomish County has separate fees for Multi-Family Units with 1 bedroom or less and Multi-Family Units with 2+ bedrooms). The codes of each of the cities are similar to those of the counties. These codes establish the conditions, restrictions, and criteria for eligibility to collect impact fees. Both counties define a school district's "service area" to be the total geographic boundaries of the school district.

NSD updates the Capital Facilities Plan on an annual basis and carefully monitors enrollment projections against capacity needs. If legally supportable, the District requests its local jurisdictions to collect impact fees on behalf of NSD.

The impact fees requested in this year's Capital Facilities Plan are based on representative growth-related capacity projects at Crystal Springs, Fernwood, and Woodin Elementary Schools (total added capacity of 655) and capacity additions at Bothell and North Creek High Schools (total added capacity of 268). Construction costs, before tax, are used in the fee formula. Growth related capacity projects identified in **Table 6.1** are eligible for impact fee funding.

Proposed School Impact Fees
Snohomish County

Single Family Units	\$15,159
Townhome Units	\$5,414
Multi-Family Units – 2+ Bedrooms	\$254
Multi-Family Units – 1/less Bedrooms	\$0

Proposed School Impact Fees
City of Woodinville

Single Family Units	\$15,159
Townhome Units	\$5,414
Multi-Family Units – 2+ Bedrooms	\$254

Proposed School Impact Fees
King County, City of Bothell, City of Kenmore

Single Family Units	\$15,159
Multi-Family Units (incl. Townhomes)	\$2,755

School impact fee rates stated above reflect a discount of 50% as required by the King County and Snohomish County codes.

Factors for Impact Fee Calculations

Student Generation Factors: Single Family

Elementary	0.324
Middle	0.118
High	0.120
K-12	0.562

Student Generation Factors: Multi-Family (Townhomes/Condos/Apartments)

Elementary	0.071
Middle	0.027
High	0.034
K-12	0.132

Student Generation Factors: Townhomes

Elementary	0.171
Middle	0.050
High	0.048
K-12	0.270

Student Generation Factors: Condos/Apartments

Elementary	0.031
Middle	0.015
High	0.020
K-12	0.066

Projected New Capacity (bolded projects in fee formula)

Inglemoor High School – Phase 1	(185)
North Creek High School	(108)
Bothell High School	(160)
Leota Middle School – Phase 1	(159)
Fernwood Elementary	(330)
Crystal Springs Elementary	(175)
Maywood Hills Elementary	(125)
Woodin Elementary	(150)

Capacity/Construction Costs (in millions) (bolded projects in fee formula)

Inglemoor High School - Phase 1	\$100.0
North Creek High School	\$6.0
Bothell High School	\$6.0
Leota Middle School - Phase 1	\$62.0
Fernwood Elementary	\$37.8
Crystal Springs Elementary	\$36.5
Maywood Hills Elementary	\$49.4
Woodin Elementary	\$32.0

Capacity/New Property Costs

\$0.00

Temporary Facility Capacity Costs

\$0.00

(Portable costs not included in the formula)

Permanent Facility Square Footage

94.55%

Temporary Facility Square Footage

5.45%

School Construction Assistance Program Credit

Current SCAP percentage 38.11%

Current Construction Cost Allocation \$375.00

OSPI Sq/Ft/Student

ES: 90

MS: 108

HS: 130

Tax Payment Credit

Single Family AAV \$960,260

Multi-Family Unit AAV \$329,512

Debt Service Rate

Current/\$1,000 \$1.29225

GO Bond Interest Rate – Bond Buyer Index

Avg – March 2024 3.48%

Developer Provided Sites/Facilities

None

APPENDIX A

Student Generation Factors from New Development

All Units Constructed 2017 - 2021 (5 years)

	Single Family	Townhomes	Multi-Family (Townhomes/ Condos/Apts)	Multi-Family (Condos/Apts)
K-5	0.324	0.171	0.071	0.031
6-8	0.118	0.050	0.027	0.015
9-12	0.120	0.048	0.034	0.020
K-12	0.562	0.269	0.132	0.066

Student Generation Rates for the Northshore School District (January 2023)

Permit Years: 2017 to 2021

Rates for Different Unit Types					Single and Multi-family Rate Summary					
	<u>SF</u>	<u>MF/Apartments</u>	<u>Townhomes</u>	<u>Duplexes</u>	<u>Permit Counts</u>	<u>Permits</u>	<u>K-5</u>	<u>6-8</u>	<u>9-12</u>	<u>Total</u>
K-5	0.32	0.03	0.17	0.23	SF All	2056	0.32	0.12	0.12	0.56
6-8	0.12	0.02	0.05	0.14	MF Units (all)	2027	0.071	0.027	0.034	0.13
9-12	0.12	0.02	0.05	0.29						
	0.56	0.07	0.27	0.65						

Written Summary

56 students for every 100 single family homes

7 students for every 100 apartment units

27 students for every 100 townhome units

65 students for every 100 Duplex units

(please note -- there are very few duplex units so the sample may not be representative)

Methodology

Permit addresses were compared to the addresses for students enrolled in October 2022 to create the rates.

Student Generation Rates by Grade

GRADE	SF Units Students Generated	SF Generation Rate
K	123	0.060
1	121	0.059
2	104	0.051
3	116	0.056
4	112	0.054
5	91	0.044
6	89	0.043
7	75	0.036
8	78	0.038
9	64	0.031
10	69	0.034
11	58	0.028
12	56	0.027
<i>Total</i>	<i>1156</i>	<i>0.562</i>

*** Grades K-12 Only (Preschoolers Excluded)**

Apartment Student Generation Rates by Grade

GRADE	MF Units Students Generated**	MF Generation Rate
K	11	0.007
1	11	0.007
2	4	0.003
3	9	0.006
4	6	0.004
5	4	0.003
6	4	0.003
7	12	0.008
8	6	0.004
9	11	0.007
10	7	0.005
11	10	0.007
12	2	0.001
Total	97	0.066

Duplex Student Generation Rates by Grade

GRADE	MF Units Students Generated**	MF Generation Rate
K	2	0.038
1	5	0.096
2	1	0.019
3	1	0.019
4	0	0.000
5	3	0.058
6	3	0.058
7	1	0.019
8	3	0.058
9	3	0.058
10	6	0.115
11	4	0.077
12	2	0.038
Total	34	0.654

Townhome Student Generation Rates by Grade

GRADE	MF Units Students Generated**	MF Generation Rate
K	16	0.032
1	16	0.032
2	22	0.044
3	9	0.018
4	11	0.022
5	12	0.024
6	14	0.028
7	5	0.010
8	6	0.012
9	8	0.016
10	7	0.014
11	4	0.008
12	5	0.010
Total	135	0.268

* Includes MF Units classified by the jurisdiction as Apartment, Duplex, or Townhome

** Grades K-12 Only (Preschoolers Excluded)

APPENDIX B.1

School Impact Fee Calculation: **Single Family Dwelling Unit** Northshore School District, 2024 CFP

School Site Acquisition Cost:	Site Size Acreage	Cost/Acre	Facility Size	Site Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/SFDU
Elementary	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.324	\$0
Middle	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.118	\$0
Senior	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.120	\$0
TOTAL						\$0

School Construction Cost:	Sq. Ft. % Permanent	Facility Cost	Facility Size	Bldg. Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/SFDU
Elementary	94.55%	\$90,880,000	655	\$138,748	0.324	\$42,504
Middle	94.55%	\$0	-	\$0	0.118	\$0
Senior	94.55%	\$10,725,000	268	\$40,019	0.120	\$4,541
TOTAL						\$47,045

Temporary Facility Cost:	Sq. Ft. % Temporary	Facility Cost	Facility Size	Bldg. Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/SFDU
Elementary	5.45%	\$0	25	\$0	0.324	\$0
Middle	5.45%	\$0	25	\$0	0.118	\$0
Senior	5.45%	\$0	25	\$0	0.120	\$0
TOTAL						\$0

State School Construction Funding Assistance Credit:	Const Cost Allocation	OSPI Sq. Ft./Student	Funding Assistance	Credit/Student	Student Factor	Cost/SFDU
Elementary	\$375	90	38.11%	\$12,862	0.324	\$4,167
Middle	\$0	0	0.00%	\$0	0.118	\$0
Senior	\$375	130	38.11%	\$18,579	0.120	\$2,229
TOTAL						\$6,397

School Impact Fee Calculation: **Single Family Dwelling Unit**
Page 2

Tax Payment Credit Calculation:

Average SFR Assessed Value	\$ 960,260.44
Current Capital Levy Rate/\$1000	\$1.29225
Annual Tax Payment	\$1,240.90
Years Amortized	10
Current Bond Interest Rate	3.48%
Present Value of Revenue Stream	\$10,330

Impact Fee Summary - Single Family Dwelling Unit:

Site Acquisition Cost	\$0
Permanent Facility Cost	\$47,045
Temporary Facility Cost	\$0
State SCFA Credit	(\$6,397)
Tax Payment Credit	(\$10,330)
Unfunded Need	\$30,318
50% Required Adjustment	\$15,1591

Single Family Impact Fee	\$15,159
---------------------------------	-----------------

APPENDIX B.2

School Impact Fee Calculation: **Townhome Dwelling Unit** Northshore School District, 2024 CFP

School Site Acquisition Cost:	Site Size Acreage	Cost/Acre	Facility Size	Site Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/THDU
Elementary	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.171	\$0
Middle	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.050	\$0
Senior	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.048	\$0
TOTAL						\$0

School Construction Cost:	Sq. Ft. % Permanent	Facility Cost	Facility Size	Bldg. Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/THDU
Elementary	94.55%	\$90,880,000	655	\$138,748	0.171	\$22,433
Middle	94.55%	\$0	-	\$0	0.050	\$0
Senior	94.55%	\$10,725,000	268	\$40,019	0.048	\$1,816
TOTAL						\$24,249

Temporary Facility Cost:	Sq. Ft. % Temporary	Facility Cost	Facility Size	Bldg. Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/THDU
Elementary	5.45%	\$0	25	\$0	0.171	\$0
Middle	5.45%	\$0	25	\$0	0.050	\$0
Senior	5.45%	\$0	25	\$0	0.048	\$0
TOTAL						\$0

State School Construction Funding Assistance Credit:	Const Cost Allocation	OSPI Sq. Ft./Student	Funding Assistance	Credit/Student	Student Factor	Cost/THDU
Elementary	\$375	90	38.11%	\$12,862	0.171	\$2,199
Middle	\$0	0	0.00%	\$0	0.050	\$0
Senior	\$375	130	38.11%	\$18,579	0.048	\$892
TOTAL						\$3,091

School Impact Fee Calculation: **Townhome Dwelling Unit**
Page 2

Tax Payment Credit Calculation:

Average SFR Assessed Value	\$ 960,260
Current Capital Levy Rate/\$1000	\$1.29225
Annual Tax Payment	\$1,241
Years Amortized	10
Current Bond Interest Rate	3.48%
Present Value of Revenue Stream	\$10,330

Impact Fee Summary - Townhome Dwelling Unit:

Site Acquisition Cost	\$0
Permanent Facility Cost	\$24,249
Temporary Facility Cost	\$0
State SCFA Credit	(\$3,091)
Tax Payment Credit	(\$10,330)
Unfunded Need	\$10,827
50% Required Adjustment	\$5,414

Townhome Impact Fee	\$5,414
----------------------------	----------------

APPENDIX B.3

School Impact Fee Calculation: **Multi-Family Dwelling Unit** **(Townhome, Apartment, Condo blend)** Northshore School District, 2024 CFP

School Site Acquisition Cost:	Site Size Acreage	Cost/Acre	Facility Size	Site Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/SFDU
Elementary	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.071	\$0
Middle	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.027	\$0
Senior	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.034	\$0
TOTAL						\$0

School Construction Cost:	Sq. Ft. % Permanent	Facility Cost	Facility Size	Bldg. Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/MFDU
Elementary	94.55%	\$90,880,000	655	\$138,748	0.071	\$9,314
Middle	94.55%	\$0	-	\$0	0.027	\$0
Senior	94.55%	\$10,725,000	268	\$40,019	0.034	\$1,286
TOTAL						\$10,601

Temporary Facility Cost:	Sq. Ft. % Temporary	Facility Cost	Facility Size	Bldg. Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/MFDU
Elementary	5.45%	\$0	25	\$0	0.071	\$0
Middle	5.45%	\$0	25	\$0	0.027	\$0
Senior	5.45%	\$0	25	\$0	0.034	\$0
TOTAL						\$0

State School Construction Funding Assistance Credit:	Const Cost Allocation	OSPI Sq. Ft./Student	Funding Assistance	Credit/Student	Student Factor	Cost/MFDU
Elementary	\$375	90	38.11%	\$12,862	0.071	\$913
Middle	\$0	0	0.00%	\$0	0.027	\$0
Senior	\$375	130	38.11%	\$18,579	0.034	\$632
TOTAL						\$1,545

School Impact Fee Calculation: **Multi-Family Dwelling Unit**
(Townhome, Apartment, Condo blend)
Page 2

Tax Payment Credit Calculation:

Average MFR Assessed Value	\$ 329,512
Current Capital Levy Rate/\$1000	\$1.29225
Annual Tax Payment	\$425.81
Years Amortized	10
Current Bond Interest Rate	3.48%
Present Value of Revenue Stream	\$3,545

Impact Fee Summary - Multi-Family Dwelling Unit:

Site Acquisition Cost	\$0
Permanent Facility Cost	\$10,601
Temporary Facility Cost	\$0
State SCFA Credit	(\$1,545)
Tax Payment Credit	(\$3,545)
Unfunded Need	\$5,511
50% Required Adjustment	\$2,755

Multi-Family Impact Fee	\$2,755
(Townhomes, Apts, Condos)	

APPENDIX B.4

School Impact Fee Calculation: Multi-Family Dwelling Unit (Apartment, Condo blend)

Northshore School District, 2024 CFP

School Site Acquisition Cost:	Site Size Acreage	Cost/Acre	Facility Size	Site Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/MFDU
Elementary	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.0310	\$0
Middle	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.0150	\$0
Senior	0	\$0	1	\$0	0.0200	\$0
TOTAL						\$0

School Construction Cost:	Sq. Ft. % Permanent	Facility Cost	Facility Size	Bldg. Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/MFDU
Elementary	94.55%	\$90,880,000	655	\$138,748	0.0310	\$4,067
Middle	94.55%	\$0	-	\$0	0.0150	\$0
Senior	94.55%	\$10,725,000	268	\$40,019	0.0200	\$757
TOTAL						\$4,824

Temporary Facility Cost:	Sq. Ft. % Temporary	Facility Cost	Facility Size	Bldg. Cost/Student	Student Factor	Cost/MFDU
Elementary	5.45%	\$0	25	\$0	0.0310	\$0
Middle	5.45%	\$0	0	\$0	0.0150	\$0
Senior	5.45%	\$0	25	\$0	0.0200	\$0
TOTAL						\$0

State School Construction Funding Assistance Credit:	Const Cost Allocation	OSPI Sq. Ft./Student	Funding Assistance	Credit/Student	Student Factor	Cost/MFDU
Elementary	\$375	90	38.11%	\$12,862	0.0310	\$399
Middle	\$0	0	0.00%	\$0	0.0150	\$0
Senior	\$375	130	38.11%	\$18,579	0.0200	\$372
TOTAL						\$770

School Impact Fee Calculation: **Multi-Family Dwelling Unit**
(Apartment, Condo blend)
Page 2

Tax Payment Credit Calculation:

Average MFR Assessed Value	\$ 329,512
Current Capital Levy Rate/\$1000	\$1.29225
Annual Tax Payment	\$425.81
Years Amortized	10
Current Bond Interest Rate	3.48%
Present Value of Revenue Stream	\$3,545

Impact Fee Summary - Multi-Family Dwelling Unit:

Site Acquisition Cost	\$0
Permanent Facility Cost	\$4,824
Temporary Facility Cost	\$0
State SCFA Credit	(\$770)
Tax Payment Credit	(\$3,545)
Unfunded Need	\$508
50% Required Adjustment	\$254

Multi-Family Impact Fee (Apts/Condos)	\$254
--	--------------

Snohomish School District

1601 Avenue D
Snohomish, Washington 92890
(360) 563-7239

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

2024 – 2029

Adopted July 24, 2024

Snohomish School District

CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN

Board of Directors

Josh Seek, President

Jay Hagen, Vice President

Shaunna Ballas

Sherri Larkin

Rob Serviss

Superintendent

Dr. Kent Kultgen

For information on the Snohomish School District Facilities Plan,
contact the Business Office at (360) 563-7240.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Section 1 Introduction	1
Section 2. District Educational Program Standards... ..	5
Section 3 Capital Facilities Inventory	10
Section 4 Student Enrollment.....	14
Section 5 Capital Facilities Needs.....	17
Section 6 Capital Facility Financing Plan... ..	20
Section 7 School Impact Fees	24
Appendix A.....	Population and Enrollment Data
Appendix B	Student Generation Factor Review
Appendix C	School Impact Fee Calculations

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

The purpose of this report is to update the Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) for the Snohomish School District pursuant to the Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA). The GMA includes schools in the category of public facilities and services. School districts have adopted capital facilities plans to satisfy the requirements of the GMA and to identify additional school facilities necessary to meet the educational needs of the growing student populations anticipated in their districts.

This CFP is intended to provide the Snohomish School District (District), Snohomish County and other jurisdictions a description of the facilities needed to accommodate projected student enrollment at acceptable levels of service, including a detailed schedule and financing program for capital improvements, over the six year period of 2024-2029.

The CFP for the District was first prepared in 1994 in accordance with the specifications set down by the GMA. When Snohomish County adopted its GMA Comprehensive Plan in 1995, it addressed future school capital plans in Appendix F of the General Policy Plan. This part of the plan established the criteria for all future updates of the District CFP that are to occur every two years. This CFP updates the 2022 GMA-based CFP that was adopted by the District and the County in 2022.

In accordance with GMA mandates, and Snohomish County Ordinance Nos. 97-095 and 99-107, this CFP contains the following required elements:

- Future enrollment forecasts for each grade span (elementary, middle, and high school).
- An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by the District, showing the locations and capacities of the facilities.
- A forecast of the future needs for capital facilities and school sites.
- The proposed capacities of expanded or new capital facilities.
- A six-year plan for financing capital facilities within projected funding capacities, which clearly identifies sources of public money for such purposes. The financing plan separates projects and portions of projects which add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding.
- If impact fees are requested, a calculation of impact fees to be assessed and supporting data substantiating said fees.

In developing this CFP, the District followed the following guidelines set forth in the Snohomish County General Policy Plan:

- Districts should use information from recognized sources, such as the U.S. Census or the Puget Sound Regional Council. School districts may generate their own data if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies. Information must not be inconsistent with Office of Financial Management (“OFM”) population forecasts. Student generation rates must be independently calculated by each school district.
- The CFP must comply with the GMA.
- The methodology used to calculate impact fees must comply with the GMA. In the event that impact fees are not available due to action by the state, county or cities within the District, the District in a future CFP update must identify alternative funding sources to replace the intended impact fee funding.
- The methodology used to calculate impact fees complies with the criteria and the formulas established by the County.

Overview of the Snohomish School District

The Snohomish School District serves a population of about 9,192¹ students in kindergarten through grade 12. The City of Snohomish has a population of approximately 10,126² people while the County encompasses a larger population of approximately 827,957³ people. The District is located 35 miles north of Seattle in the heart of the Puget Sound region of Washington.

The District has preschool, Transition to Kindergarten, and Early Childhood Education and Assistance Program (ECEAP) programs, nine elementary schools (grades K-6), two middle schools (grades 7 and 8), two high schools (grades 9-12), and one alternative school (grades 9-12) (AIM), and a Parent Partnership Program (PPP) (grades K-12).

The District opened Glacier Peak High School in the fall of 2008. The District’s voters approved a construction bond in May 2008 to fund the renovation of Snohomish High School, the replacement of Valley View Middle School, the expansion of Centennial Middle School, the replacement/expansion of Machias and Riverview elementary schools, construction of a new aquatics center, and technology improvements. All of these projects are now complete.

The District convened a Citizens’ Facility Advisory Committee (CFAC) in 2019 to review the conditions of our school buildings, explore demographic and enrollment projections and prioritize needs. Based on this information, the CFAC recommended, and the Board authorized for the February 2020 ballot, a \$470 million bond proposal to fund six elementary school replacement


¹ October 1, 2023 FTE. Unless otherwise noted, all enrollment and student capacity data in this CFP is expressed in terms of FTE (full time equivalent).

² 2020 United States Census Bureau data

³ 2044 GMA Population Forecasts by School District – Adopted in the Snohomish County Countywide Planning Policies Appendix B (February 23, 2022).

projects (including adding capacity), added classrooms at Glacier Peak High School to reduce portable reliance, an early learning center at the existing Central Primary Center facility, and improvements at the Parkway Campus as well as the District's maintenance and transportation facilities. The bond also proposed safety and security improvements throughout the District. The District failed to achieve the required 60% margin for bond approval. The District's Board of Directors is considering options for a subsequent bond proposal but has not made any decisions relative to the six year planning period of this CFP. However, the capacity needs remain, as reflected in this CFP. The District will update the CFP as needed, including consideration of an interim update, to reflect updated planning decisions.

**FIGURE 1
MAP OF DISTRICT¹**



¹ Please contact the District's Business Office at (360) 563-7240 for a copy of the map in color.

SECTION 2: DISTRICT STANDARDS

School facility and student capacity needs are dictated by the types and amounts of space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program. The facility standards which typically drive facility space needs include grade configuration, optimum facility size, class size, educational program offerings, classroom utilization and scheduling requirements, and use of relocatable classroom facilities (portables). The facility standards that also typically drive facility space needs include educational program offerings, classroom utilization and scheduling requirements.

Facility Standards

Creating a quality educational environment is the first priority of the Snohomish School District. It is the District's standard at this time that all students will be housed in permanent facilities and that classes will be run in one shift on a traditional school year schedule. Because of fluctuations in student population as a result of growth from new development and changing age demographics in different parts of the District, portables (temporary housing) are used in some locations. Portables will not be added if the quality of education at the facility is deemed by the District to be compromised by either total school size, impact upon core facilities such as restrooms, library space, playground space, hallways, etc. In addition, some facilities may not accommodate portables because of limitations on septic capacity. When it is not possible to increase population at a particular site, even with portables, the District will have the option of redistricting school boundaries if space is available at other facilities. The District may also request that development be deferred until planned facilities can be completed to meet the needs of the incoming population; however, the District has no control over the ultimate land use decisions made by the permitting jurisdictions.

The use of temporary housing (portables) is considered strictly temporary and this CFP outlines the future permanent facility needs of the District. Where adequate funding for new construction is not available from State match and impact fees, local bonds will be sought to construct the new facilities.

The State Legislature's implementation of requirements for full-day kindergarten and reduced K-3 class size impact school capacity and educational program standards. The District implemented full-day kindergarten in 2018 at all elementary schools. The District has also reduced K-3 class sizes in accordance with state funding and has therefore adjusted educational program standards and school capacity inventory as necessary.

Facility Standards for Elementary Schools:

- The facility standard for grades K-3 is 18 students per classroom. For grades 4-6, the facility standard is 27 students per classroom.

- Optimum design capacity for new elementary schools is 600 students. However, actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered.

Facility Standards for Secondary Schools:

- The facility standard for grades 7-8 is 28 students per classroom (except PE and Music).
- The facility standard for grades 9-12 is 30 students per classroom (except PE and Music).
- Optimum design capacity for new middle schools is 900 students. However, actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered.
- Optimum design capacity for high schools is 1,500 students. However, actual capacity of individual schools may vary depending on the educational programs offered.

Educational Program Standards

In addition to factors that affect the amount of space required, government mandates and community expectations may affect how classroom space is used. Traditional educational programs offered by school districts are often supplemented by non-traditional, or special programs, such as:

- Secondary Academy
- Special education pre-school
- Special education – inclusion, resource, moderate and profound
- Highly Capable
- Bilingual education
- Preschool and early childhood programs
- Technology education
- Title I / LAP
- Drug and alcohol education
- Vocational and career education
- Music
- Daycare – before and after school
- Primary Intervention Program
- Physical education
- Outdoor education
- Multi-age classrooms
- Secondary Academies
- Parent Partnership Program
- Alternative Education (AIM High, Re Entry Program)
- USDA Food Service Program
- Extra-Curricular, co-curricular and athletic programs

These special or nontraditional educational programs can have a significant impact on the available student capacity of school facilities.

Variations in student capacity between schools are often a result of what special or nontraditional programs are offered at specific schools. These special programs require classroom space that can reduce the permanent capacity of some of the buildings housing these programs. Some students, for example, leave their regular classroom for a short period of time to receive instruction in these special programs. Newer schools within the District have been designed to accommodate most of these programs. However, older schools often require space modifications to accommodate special programs and, in some circumstances, these modifications may reduce the overall classroom capacities of the buildings.

District educational program standards will undoubtedly change in the future as a result of changes in the program year, special programs, class sizes, grade span configurations, and use of new technology, as well as other physical aspects of the school facilities. The school capacity inventory will be reviewed periodically and adjusted for any changes to the educational program standards. These changes will also be reflected in future updates of this Capital Facilities Plan.

The District educational program standards that directly affect school capacity are outlined below for the elementary, middle and high school grade levels.

Educational Program Standards for Elementary Schools

- Educational programs will be provided in a single shift each day. The facility will be available after normal hours for extended learning opportunities (remedial education) for selected students.
- Educational programs will be provided on the traditional school year schedule.
- Special education for students may be provided in a self-contained classroom.
- All students may be provided music instruction in a separate classroom.
- All students may be provided physical education instruction outside their regular classroom and outside of the cafeteria space.
- All students may be provided technology instruction outside of their regular classroom.
- Specialized work spaces for testing, specialists (i.e. OT/PT/SLP's/psychologists), remedial programs, small group tutoring, and MLL programs.

Educational Program Standards for Middle and High Schools

- Educational programs will be provided in a single shift each day. The facility will be available after normal hours for extra-curricular activities and for extended learning opportunities (remedial education) for selected students.
- Educational programs will be provided on a traditional school year schedule.
- As a result of scheduling conflicts for student programs, the need for specialized rooms for certain programs, and the need for teachers to have a workspace during planning periods, it is

not possible to achieve 100% utilization of all regular teaching stations throughout the day. Therefore, classroom capacity should be adjusted to reflect the use of one period per day for teacher planning.

- Special education for students may be provided in a self-contained classroom.
- Specialized work spaces for testing, specialists (i.e. OTPT/SLP's/psychologists), remedial programs, small group tutoring, and ESL programs.
- Identified students will also be provided other nontraditional educational opportunities in classrooms designated as follows:
 - Vocational Classrooms (i.e. business, manufacturing, biotechnology, CAD)
 - Program Specific Classrooms (i.e. music, drama, art, physical education, technology)
 - High School Academies
 - Alternative High School Programming

Minimum Educational Service Standards

The District will evaluate student housing levels based on the District as a whole system and not on a school by school or site by site basis. This may result in portable classrooms being used as interim housing, attendance boundary changes or other program changes to balance student housing across the system as a whole, while meeting the District's paramount duties under the State Constitution. A boundary change or a significant programmatic change would be made by the District's Board of Directors following appropriate public review and comment.

The District's intent is to adhere to the target facility service standards noted above without making significant changes in program delivery. At a minimum, average class size in the grade K-8 classrooms will not exceed 35 students and average class size in 9-12 classrooms will not exceed 40 students. The foregoing average class sizes set forth the District's "minimum level of service." For purposes of this determination, the term "classroom" does not include special education classrooms or special program classrooms (i.e. computer labs, art rooms, chorus and band rooms, spaces used for physical education, and other special program areas). Furthermore, the term "classroom" does not apply to special programs or activities that may occur in a regular classroom or to classes held in assembly halls, gyms, cafeterias, or other common areas.

The minimum educational service standards are not the District's desired or accepted operating standard.

For the school years of 2021-22 and 2022-23, the District's compliance with the minimum educational service standards (as applicable for those years) is as follows:

2021-22 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
*Snohomish No. 201	35	21.22	35	15.79	40	21.42

2022-23 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
*Snohomish No. 201	35	21.92	35	15.19	40	20.98

*The District determines these figures by taking the sum of all students in regular classrooms at a grade level and dividing that by the number of teaching stations at that grade level.

SECTION 3: CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY

The facilities inventory serves to establish a baseline for determining the facilities necessary to accommodate future demand (student enrollment) at acceptable levels of service. This section provides an inventory of capital facilities owned and operated by the District including schools, relocatable classrooms, undeveloped land, and support facilities. School facility capacity was inventoried based on the space required to accommodate the District’s adopted educational program standards. *See* Section 2. A map of District facilities is provided as Figure 1.

Schools

The District currently has nine (9) elementary schools (K-6), two (2) middle schools (grades 7-8), and three high schools (grades 9-12) (AIM High School, Parent Partnership and the District’s Transition program housed in the Parkway Campus). Machias and Riverview Elementary Schools and Valley View and Centennial Middle Schools were renovated and expanded in 2011 and 2012. The District had an additional facility, the Maple Avenue Campus (the former “Freshman Campus”), which was used as interim capacity to accommodate the District’s renovation program, but it has been demolished and replaced by the Aquatic Center. Central Primary Campus, which used to house grades K-2 until they were moved to Emerson Elementary in 2024, currently houses only ECEAP.

School capacity is based on the number of teaching stations within each building and the space requirements of the District’s adopted educational program. The school capacity inventory is summarized in Tables 1, 2, and 3.

**Table 1
Elementary School Capacity Inventory**

Elementary School	Site Size (acres)	Bldg Area (Sq. Ft.)	Teaching Stations(1)	Permanent Capacity (2)	Capacity with Portables	Year Built or Last Remodel	Potential for Expansion of Perm. Facility (3)
Cascade View	10.5	45,629	14	359	413	1990	yes
Cathcart	12.8	36,231	18	420	474	1994	yes
Central Primary	4.5	45,239	0(4)	204	204	1994	yes
Dutch Hill	13.9	42,357	20	356	626	1985	yes
Emerson	6.9	40,038	19	375	375	1989	yes
Little Cedars	11.3	76,071	26	621	711	2007	yes
Machias	9.2	78,137	25	481	526	2011	yes
Riverview	9.6	78,740	21	515	542	2011	no
Seattle Hill	9.7	42,357	19	405	666	1982	yes
Totem Falls	10.0	44,877	17	376	376	1991	yes
Total		529,676		4,112	4,913		

(1) The number of teaching stations includes stations used for teacher planning periods. Therefore, the permanent capacity figure is adjusted to reflect that a teaching station may only be used for regular student instruction for a portion of the total school day.

(2) Permanent Student Capacity figure is exclusive of Portables and is based on target class sizes.

(3) Potential for expansion is based on the size of existing site and assumes that the District could obtain land use approvals/permits for such expansion. The analysis does not take into consideration the possibility of acquiring adjacent property

(4) Central Elementary School will not have any K-6 students for the 2024-25 school year

Table 2
Middle School Capacity Inventory

Middle School	Site Size (acres)	Bldg Area (Sq. Ft.)	Teaching Stations(1)	Permanent Capacity (2)	Capacity with Portables	Year Built or Last Remodel	Potential for Expansion of Perm. Facility (3)
Centennial Valley View	19.3 38.6	123,744 168,725	45 45	900 950	900 950	2011 2012	yes yes
Total		292,469		1,850	1,850		

(1) The number of teaching stations includes stations used for teacher planning periods. Therefore, the permanent capacity figure is adjusted to reflect that each teaching station is only used for regular student instruction for a portion of the total school day.

(2) Permanent Student Capacity figure is exclusive of Portables.

(3) Potential for expansion is based on the size of existing site and assumes that the District could obtain land use approvals/permits for such expansion. The analysis does not take into consideration the possibility of acquiring adjacent property

Table 3
High School Capacity Inventory

High School	Site Size (acres)	Bldg Area (Sq. Ft.)	Teaching Stations (1)	Permanent Capacity (2)	Capacity with Portables	Year Built or Last Remodel	Potential for Expansion of Perm. Facility (3)
Snohomish H.S.	28.6	270,089	74	1,800	1,800	2012	No
Glacier Peak H.S.	50.9	245,229	74	1,500	1,692	2008	Yes
AIM Alternative(4)	3.25	13,873		100	100	2008	No
Total		529,191		3,400	3,592		

(1) The number of teaching stations includes stations used for teacher planning periods. Therefore, the permanent capacity figure is adjusted to reflect that each teaching station is only used for regular student instruction for a portion of the total school day.

(2) Permanent Student Capacity figure is exclusive of Portables.

(3) Potential for expansion is based on the size of existing site and assumes that the District could obtain land use approvals/permits for such expansion. The analysis does not take into consideration the possibility of acquiring adjacent property.

(4) Note that the AIM Alternative High School is housed in the larger Parkway Facility. The Parkway Facility has both programmatic and non-programmatic uses including the Parent Partnership Program and the transition programs. The information here is specific to the AIM Alternative High School and not the entire Parkway Facility.

Portables

Portables are used as interim classroom space to house students until permanent classroom facilities can be provided and to prevent overbuilding. Portables are not a solution for housing students on a permanent basis. The District currently uses 70 portables at various sites throughout the District. The number of portables and their capacities are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4 Portables			
School Name	Portables Classrooms	Portables Other	Capacity
ELEMENTARY:			
Cascade View	3	2	81
Cathcart	2	5	54
Central Primary	0	2	0
Dutch Hill	12	2	324
Emerson	3	1	81
Machias	4		108
Riverview		2	0
Seattle Hill	8	3	216
Totem Falls	4	2	108
Little Cedars	3	4	81
Total	39	23	1,053
MIDDLE:			
Centennial	0	0	0
Valley View	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0
HIGH			
Snohomish	0	0	0
Glacier Peak	4	4	108
Total	4	4	108
GRAND TOTAL	43	27	1,161

Each portable classroom is approximately 896 square feet.

The District portables identified in Table 4 have adequate useful remaining life and are evaluated regularly.

Support Facilities

In addition to schools, the District owns and operates facilities which provide operational support functions to the schools. An inventory of these facilities is provided in Table 5.

Table 5
Support Facilities

Facility Name	Building Area (Sq. Ft.)	Site Size (Acres)
Operations Center	19,873	6.3
Resource and Service Center	22,296	6.0
Parkway Campus	9,536*	3.25
District Warehouse	3,936	**
Aquatic Center	52,023	21.0

**Does not include education-related square footage.*

***Located on the same site as Cathcart Elementary School.*

Land

The District currently owns two undeveloped sites. The District owns 15 acres in the Three Lakes area that could potentially be used as an elementary school site in the future (assuming that land use approvals/permits could be obtained); however that property does have some notable wetland concerns that are likely to limit potential use. The District also owns an additional 23 acres behind Valley View Middle School. The 23 acre site has topography concerns and accessibility issues that could limit the District's ability to use the property as an additional school site.

Leased Facilities

The District currently does not lease any facilities.

SECTION 4: STUDENT ENROLLMENT

Historical Trends

Student enrollment in the District remained relatively constant between 1973 and 1983 and increased steadily between 1984 and 1997. The growth in student enrollment leveled out in 1998 and dipped a little in 1999. Student enrollment then grew steadily and peaked in 2016. Enrollment in the 2020-21 and 2021-22 school years declined due to the impacts of COVID-19 pandemic on available school service models and related uncertainties. The district anticipates enrollment to rebound during the duration of this plan and return and exceed levels projected by our third-party demographer pre-COVID. See additional information below.

The October 1, 2023 HC enrollment was 9,373 (with 9,192 FTE students). See Appendix A. Enrollment projections are most accurate for the initial years of the forecast period. Moving further into the future, more assumptions about economic conditions and demographic trends in the area affect the projection. Monitoring birth rates in Snohomish County and population growth for the area are essential yearly activities in the ongoing management of the capital facilities plan. In the event that enrollment growth slows, plans for new facilities can be delayed. It is much more difficult, however, to initiate new projects or speed projects up in the event enrollment growth exceeds the projection.

Six Year Enrollment Projections

The Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction prepares cohort survival projections based upon historical enrollment trends. The OSPI projections are limited in that they fail to account for development fluctuations and other anomalies such as the COVID-19 pandemic. The OSPI projections also utilize a headcount factor that misrepresents students in Snohomish School District facilities. See Appendix A-1.

The District utilizes a third party demographer, FLO Analytics, for forecasting future enrollments. This methodology, a modified cohort survival method, considers historic enrollment, economic trends, housing projections and birth rates, among other factors. Based upon this analysis, the District expects enrollment to grow over the six year planning period to a total FTE student population of 9,694, or an increase of 5.461%. See Appendix A-2.

OFM population-based enrollment projections were estimated for the District using OFM population forecasts for the County. In 2020, the District's enrollment constituted approximately 15.69% of the District's total population. Assuming that, between 2024 and 2029, the District's enrollment will continue to constitute 15.69% of the District's population, using OFM/County data, the District projects a total enrollment of 10,224 students in 2029. See Table 6.

Table 6									
Comparison of Student Enrollment Projections 2023-2029									
Projection	October 2023*	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Projected Change 2023-2029	Percent Change 2023-2029
County/OFM**	9,192	9,364	9,536	9,708	9,880	10,052	10,224	1,032	11.23%
District	9,192	9,215	9,251	9,322	9,402	9,582	9,694	502	5.461%
Total Population Projection for District (OFM)							65,167		
Student to Population Ratio	15.69%								

*Actual Oct 2023 FTE

**Based on 2044 GMA Population Forecasts by School District (information provided by Snohomish County).

The District uses the FLO Analytics modified cohort survival projections for purposes of predicting enrollment during the six years of this Plan. As noted above, the growth factor used in the modified cohort survival projections reflects an analysis of historic average housing development and enrollment in the District within the last six years and knowledge of active known and proposed future housing developments, as well as factors in pandemic-related anomalies. The District believes this projection to be an accurate measure of future growth given that it is based upon actual circumstances within the District. The District will monitor actual enrollment over the next two years and, if necessary, make appropriate adjustments in the next Plan update.

2044 Enrollment Projections

Student enrollment projections beyond the 2029 school year are highly speculative. Using OFM/County data as a base, the District projects a 2044 student population of 11,374. This assumes that the District's enrollment will continue to constitute 15.69% of the District's total population through 2044.

The total enrollment estimate was broken down by grade span to evaluate long-term needs for capital facilities. Again, these estimates are highly speculative and are used only for general planning purposes.

Table 7
Projected Student Enrollment
2044

Grade Span	FTE Enrollment – October 2023	Projected Enrollment 2044**
Elementary (K-6)	4,708	5,801
Middle School (7-8)	1,376	1,706
High School (9-12)	3,108	3,867
TOTAL (K-12)	9,192	11,374

Note: Snohomish County Planning and Development Services provided the underlying data for the 2044 projections.

**The 2044 enrollment projections assume that the percentage of students per grade level will remain consistent between 2023 and 2044.

SECTION 5: CAPITAL FACILITIES NEEDS

Facility Needs (2024-2029)

Schools

The projected available student capacity was determined by subtracting projected FTE student enrollment from permanent school capacity (i.e. excluding portables) for each of the six years in the forecast period (2024-2029).

Capacity needs are expressed in terms of “unhoused students.”

The method used to define future capacity needs assumes no new construction. For this reason, planned construction projects are not included at this point. This factor is added later (if applicable, see Table 11).

Projected future capacity needs are depicted on Table 8 and are derived by applying the District’s modified cohort projected enrollment to the permanent capacity existing in 2021. This table shows actual permanent space needs and the portion of those needs that are “growth related” for the years 2024-2029. Importantly, capacity needs existing as of the 2021 base year include impacts from recent growth within the District and should also be considered as growth-related.

**Table 8
Additional Capacity Needs
2024-2029**

Grade Span	2023*	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Pct. Growth Related
Elementary (K-6)								
Total	596**	702	725	793	821	856	881	
Growth Related		106	129	197	225	260	285	32.35%
Middle School (7-8)								
Total	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Growth Related	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--%
High School								
Total	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	
Growth Related	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--%

* Actual 2023 FTE Enrollment

**Represents capacity needs (including those related to recent growth) existing as of the date of this Plan.

The capacity improvements that are required to meet the District’s growth-related and non-growth related capacity needs are identified in Table 9-B below.

By the end of the six-year forecast period (2028-2029), additional permanent classroom capacity will be needed as follows:

Table 9
Estimated Unhoused Students (2029-2030)*

Grade Span	Unhoused Students (Post-2023 Growth Related)	Unhoused Students (Pre-2021 Existing and Recent-Growth Related)
Elementary (K-6)	285	881
Middle School (7-8)	--	--
High School (9-12)	--	--
TOTAL UNHOUSED (K-12)	285	881

*Reflects needs assuming no construction projects

It is not the District’s policy to include relocatable classrooms when determining future capital facility needs; therefore interim capacity provided by relocatable classrooms is not included in Table 9.

Recent and Planned Improvements

To accommodate growth in previous years, the District constructed and opened in 2007 a new elementary school and constructed a second high school, Glacier Peak, which opened in 2008. The District’s voters approved a bond in May 2004 for these projects. In 2008, the District’s voters approved additional construction bonds to replace and expand Machias and Riverview elementary schools to address the need for elementary student capacity. The 2008 Bond also provided for finishing the renovation of Snohomish High School, enlarging and modernizing Valley View Middle School and enlarging Centennial Middle School, and building a new aquatics center. The District also purchased an existing building, the “Parkway Building”, and renovated it to house its AIM Alternative High School and Transition programs and the Parent Partnership Program.

The District convened a Citizens’ Facility Advisory Committee (CFAC) in 2019 to review the conditions of our school buildings, explore demographic and enrollment projections and prioritize needs. Based on this information, the CFAC recommended, and the Board authorized for the February 2020 ballot, a \$470 million bond proposal to fund six elementary school replacement projects (including adding capacity), added classrooms at Glacier Peak High School to reduce portable reliance, an early learning center at the existing Central Primary Center facility, and improvements at the Parkway Campus as well as the District’s maintenance and transportation facilities. The bond also proposed safety and security improvements throughout the District. The District failed to achieve the required 60% margin for bond approval.

The District, in view of current and anticipated capacity needs, is continuing to plan for elementary capacity additions during the six-year planning period and beyond. The District may also purchase and site new portable facilities to address capacity needs.

Elementary Schools

The District opened Little Cedars Elementary School with a permanent capacity of 621, with 27 teaching stations. The elementary was completed and put into use for the 2007-08 school year. The total cost of the new elementary school was approximately \$25.0 million excluding the land purchase.

In addition, the District requested as a component of its 2008 bond proposal to replace and expand two elementary schools, Machias and Riverview. The projects are complete and the capacity of the two schools was expanded and opened at the new capacity in January of 2011.

This CFP includes planning for classroom additions as a part of replacement projects at three elementary schools (Cathcart, Dutch Hill, and Seattle Hill) to address growth-related needs. The District is also considering replacement/addition projects at other elementary schools in the future (likely outside of the six year planning period). The replacement/addition projects are subject to funding secured through a future capital bond, all contingent on future action by the Board of Directors and ultimately the voters.

Middle Schools

To address overcrowding at the middle school level, the District constructed a new-in-lieu Valley View Middle School to house 950 students and modernized and enlarged Centennial Middle School to house 900 students. Centennial opened in 2011 and Valley View opened in fall 2012.

High Schools

The District opened Glacier Peak High School, with a capacity of 1,500 students in fall of 2008. In addition, the District recently completed modernization of the existing Snohomish High School campus. In the summer of 2012 three portables were added (total of six classrooms) at Glacier Peak. In 2017, an additional portable (two classrooms) was added at Glacier Peak.

Interim Classroom Facilities

The District added two portable classrooms at Dutch Hill in the summer of 2022 and a portable restroom in 2023. It may purchase additional portables as needed to address growth-related needs (See Table 10). As necessary, the District will also continue to utilize portables as temporary housing of students until permanent facilities are constructed. However, it remains a District goal to house all students in permanent facilities.

SECTION 6: CAPITAL FACILITIES FINANCING PLAN

Funding of school facilities is typically secured from a number of sources including voter-approved bonds, State matching funds and development impact fees. Each of these funding sources is discussed in greater detail below.

General Obligation Bonds

Bonds are typically used to fund construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects. A 60% voter approval is required to approve the issuance of bonds. Bonds are then retired through collection of property taxes. Snohomish School District voters rejected a bond proposal in 2001 for \$14.5 million to finance the acquisition of sites, planning for a new elementary school, planning for a new high school, the acquisition of modular classrooms, and the purchase and installation of technology equipment and systems.

Voters in May of 1998 approved a \$3.9 million bond issue to construct 11 classrooms at Snohomish High School and to finance mechanical and technology improvements throughout the District. On March 14, 2000, Snohomish School District voters approved a \$6.12 million dollar bond issue to finance certain capital improvements to the District's educational facilities.

In March of 2003, the school board appointed a 35-member Citizens' Facilities Advisory Committee to complete an in-depth study of our school facilities. This committee found that Snohomish schools are overcrowded and reported that half of our school buildings are at or near the end of their useful life. The committee then created a long-range plan for school construction, modernization and renovation to address those issues.

The District's voters approved a \$141,570,000 bond issue on May 18, 2004, to fund a new high school, modernization of the existing Snohomish High School, a new elementary school, acquisition of two new school sites, and various health, safety, energy and infrastructure improvements throughout the District.

The District's voters approved a \$261.6 million bond in May 2008 to fund the renovation of Snohomish High School, the renovation/expansion of Valley View Middle School, the expansion of Centennial Middle School, the replacement/expansion of Machias and Riverview elementary schools, construction of a new aquatics center, to make District-wide capital improvements, and acquire classroom technology to improve student learning.

The District's voters considered in February 2020 but did not approve a \$470 million bond proposal to fund six elementary school replacement projects (including adding capacity), added classrooms at Glacier Peak High School to reduce portable reliance, an early learning center at the existing Central Primary Center facility, and improvements at the Parkway Campus as well as the District's maintenance and transportation facilities. The bond also proposed safety and security improvements throughout the District.

State School Construction Assistance

State School Construction Assistance funds come from the Common School Construction Fund. The State deposits revenue from the sale of renewable resources from State school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889 into the Common School Account. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate General Obligation Bond funds or the Superintendent of Public Instruction can prioritize projects for funding. School districts may qualify for State School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP) funds for specific capital projects based on a prioritization system. For eligible projects, the District's funding level under the State School Construction Assistance fund is at the 53.42% percentage level (July 2024 release). The current Construction Cost Allowance, the maximum cost per square foot recognized for SCAP funding, is set in the State's biennial budget and is currently \$375.00/eligible square foot.

Impact Fees

Development impact fees are a means of supplementing traditional funding sources for construction of public facilities needed to accommodate new development. School impact fees are generally collected by the permitting agency at the time plats are approved or building permits are issued. (See additional discussion in Section 7).

Six Year Financing Plan

The Six-Year Financing Plan shown in Table 10 demonstrates how the District intends to fund new construction and improvements to school facilities for the years 2024-2029. The financing components includes bond issues, impact fees, and State School Construction Assistance funds. Projects and portions of projects which remedy existing deficiencies are not appropriate for impact fee funding. Thus, impact fees will not be used to finance projects or portions of projects which do not add capacity or which remedy existing deficiencies.

The District's six year finance plan is outlined in Table 10 below.

As previously stated, the District's CFP plans for classroom additions at three elementary schools, all subject to future funding approval. The District will update this CFP, including a potential interim update, to reflect relevant planning decisions. The District anticipates also purchasing portable facilities to address growth-related capacity needs.

Table 10
Finance Plan
(dollars in 1,000s)

	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost*	Bond/Levy/ Impact Fee	State Match	Other	Added Capacity	Growth Related
Dutch Hill Elementary Replacement/Addition					\$46,300	\$37,000	\$83,300	X	X		X	X
Cathcart Elementary Replacement/Addition					\$45,000	\$34,700	\$79,700	X	X		X	X
Seattle Hill Elementary Replacement					\$45,000	\$34,700	\$79,700	X	X		X	X
District wide Capital Improvements (including portables)	\$1,000	\$300	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$500	\$3,300	X			X	X

*Reflects total project costs using 2024 estimates, subject to escalation. The impact fees are calculated based on construction costs only. The District estimates a current average construction cost of \$52,266,667. Construction costs for the impact fee calculation reflect average construction costs of the three elementary school capacity projects, with replacements average total capacity of 600 seats.

Table 11 - Projected Student Capacity (2024-2029)**Elementary School Surplus/Deficiency**

	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Permanent Capacity	4,112	4,112	4,112	4,112	4,112	4,112	4,731
Added Capacity							619^
Portable Capacity**	1,053	1,053	1,053	1,053	1,053	1,053	1,053
Total Capacity	5,165	5,165	5,165	5,165	5,165	5,165	5,784
Enrollment	4,708	4,814	4,837	4,905	4,933	4,968	4,993
Surplus (Deficiency) – Permanent Capacity	(596)	(702)	(725)	(793)	(821)	(856)	(262)
Surplus (Deficiency) – All Capacity**	457	351	328	260	232	197	791

^Capacity additions resulting from replacement and expansion of Cathcart, Dutch Hill, and Seattle Hill Elementary Schools

**Except as specifically noted, does not reflect addition or removal of portable facilities over the planning period.

Middle School Surplus/Deficiency

	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Permanent Capacity	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
Added Capacity							
Portable Capacity**							
Total Capacity	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850	1,850
Enrollment	1,376	1,392	1,407	1,473	1,511	1,571	1,597
Surplus (Deficiency) – Permanent Capacity	474	458	443	377	339	279	253
Surplus (Deficiency) – All Capacity***	474	458	443	377	339	279	253

**Except as specifically noted, does not reflect addition or removal of portable facilities over the planning period.

High School Surplus/Deficiency

	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Permanent Capacity	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400	3,400
Added Capacity							
Portable Capacity**	108	108	108	108	108	108	108
Total Capacity	3,508	3,508	3,508	3,508	3,508	3,508	3,508
Enrollment	3,108	3,009	3,007	2,944	2,958	3,043	3,104
Surplus (Deficiency) – Permanent Capacity	292	391	393	456	442	357	296
Surplus (Deficiency) – All Capacity***	400	499	501	564	550	465	404

**Except as specifically noted, does not reflect addition or removal of portable facilities over the planning period.

SECTION 7 SCHOOL IMPACT FEES

The GMA authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands.

School Impact Fees in Snohomish County

The Snohomish County General Policy Plan (“GPP”) which implements the GMA sets certain conditions for school districts wishing to assess impact fees:

- The District must provide support data including: an explanation of the calculation methodology, a description of key variables and their computation, and definitions and sources of data for all inputs into the fee calculation.
- Such data must be accurate, reliable and statistically valid.
- Data must accurately reflect projected costs in the Six-Year Financing Plan.
- Data in the proposed impact fee schedule must reflect expected student generation rates from at least the following residential dwelling unit types: single family; multi-family/studio or 1-bedroom; and multi-family/2-bedroom or more.

Snohomish County established a school impact fee program in November 1997, and amended the program in December 1999. This program requires school districts to prepare and adopt Capital Facilities Plans meeting the specifications of the GMA. Impact fees calculated in accordance with the formula, which are based on projected school facility costs necessitated by new growth and are contained in the District’s CFP, become effective following County Council adoption of the District’s CFP.

Methodology and Variables Used to Calculate School Impact Fees

Impact fees are calculated utilizing the formula in the Snohomish County Impact Fee Ordinance. The resulting figures are based on the District’s cost per dwelling unit to, as applicable, purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools, and purchase/install relocatable facilities that add interim capacity needed to serve new development.

- The Site Acquisition Cost, School Construction Cost, and Temporary/Portable Facility Cost factors are based on planned or actual costs (required on-site/off-site improvements) of growth-related school capacity. Costs vary with each site and each facility. See Table 9, Finance Plan. The “Permanent Facility Square Footage” is used in combination with the “Temporary Facility Square Footage” to apportion the impact fee amounts between permanent and temporary capacity figures.

- A student factor (or student generation rate) is used to identify the average cost per dwelling unit by measuring the average number of students generated by each housing type. A description of the student factor methodology is contained in Appendix B.
- Where applicable, credits are applied in the formula to account for State School Construction Assistance funds to be reimbursed to the District and projected future property taxes to be paid by the dwelling unit. See page 18. The tax credit uses the 20-year general obligation bond rate from the Bond Buyer index, the District's current levy rate for bonds, and average assessed value of all residential units constructed in the District (provided by Snohomish County) by dwelling unit type to determine the corresponding tax credit.

The costs of projects that do not add capacity are not included in the impact fee calculations. Furthermore, because the impact fee formula calculates a "cost per dwelling unit", an identical fee is generated regardless of whether the total new capacity project costs are used in the calculation or whether the District only uses the percentage of the total new capacity project costs allocated to the District's growth-related needs, as demonstrated in Table 9. For purposes of this Plan, the District has chosen to use the full project costs in the fee formula. Furthermore, impact fees will not be used to address existing deficiencies. See Table 9 for a complete identification of funding sources.

The District's school impact fees are calculated to include the elementary capacity additions identified in this 2024 CFP update. See discussion in Sections 5 and 6 above.

Proposed Snohomish School District Impact Fee Schedule

Using the variables on the following page and formula described above, impact fees proposed for the District are summarized in Table 12. See also Appendix C.

Table 12
School Impact Fees
2024

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Dwelling Unit
Single Family	\$5,361
Townhome/Duplex	\$5,462
Multi-Family (1 Bedroom)	\$0
Multi-Family (2+ Bedroom)	\$1,357

**Table 12 reflects a 50% adjustment to the calculated fee as required by local ordinances.*

FACTORS FOR ESTIMATED IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS

Student Generation Factors – Single Family

Elementary	.330
Middle	.067
Senior	.108
Total	.506

Student Generation Factors – Townhomes/Duplexes

Elementary	.333
Middle	.092
Senior	.057
Total	.483

Student Generation Factors – Multi Family (2+ Bdrm)

Elementary	.094
Middle	.054
Senior	.053
Total	.201

Projected Student Capacity per Facility

Elementary	600
Middle	-
Senior	-

Net Site Acreage per Facility

Elementary	-
------------	---

New Facility Construction Cost/Average (Table 10)

Elementary - 600 students	\$52,666,667
(average construction cost of three capacity projects)	

Permanent Facility Square Footage (SSD Inventory)

Elementary	529,676
Middle	292,469
Senior	529,161
Total	1,351,306

Temporary Facility Square Footage (SSD Inventory)

Elementary	35,100
Middle	0
Senior	3,600
Total	38,700

Total Facility Square Footage

Elementary	564,776
Middle	292,469
Senior	532,761
Total	1,390,000

Average Site Cost/Acre

Elementary	\$0
------------	-----

Temporary Facility Capacity

Capacity
Cost

State Match Credit (OSPI)

Current State Match Percentage	53.42%
--------------------------------	--------

Construction Cost Allocation (OSPI)

July 2024 Release	375.00
-------------------	--------

District Average Assessed Value (Sno Cty)

Single Family Residence	\$770,776
-------------------------	-----------

District Average Assessed Value (Sno Cty)

Townhome/Duplex (Using SF)	\$770,776
----------------------------	-----------

District Average Assessed Value (Sno Cty)

Multi Family (2+ Bedroom)	\$242,411
---------------------------	-----------

SPI Square Footage per Student (WAC 392-343-035)

Elementary	90
Middle	117
Senior	130

District Debt Service Tax Rate (Sno Cty)

Current/\$1,000	\$1.791
-----------------	---------

General Obligation Bond Interest Rate (Bond Buyer)

Bond Buyer Index (2/22 avg)	3.48%
-----------------------------	-------

Developer Provided Sites/Facilities

Value	0
Dwelling Units	0

Note: The total costs of the school construction projects and the total capacities are shown in the fee calculations. However, new development will only be charged for the system improvements needed to serve new growth.

APPENDIX A

POPULATION AND ENROLLMENT DATA

OSPI Cohort Projections (HC)

School District	Grade	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Actual	2021 Actual	2022 Actual	2023 Actual	Survival Percentage	2024 Projected	2025 Projected	2026 Projected	2027 Projected	2028 Projected	2029 Projected
Snohomish	Kindergarten	634	659	554	644	641	644		638	640	643	645	648	650
Snohomish	Grade 1	621	646	604	643	654	678	103.38	666	660	662	665	667	670
Snohomish	Grade 2	663	624	611	644	675	687	102.33	694	682	675	677	680	683
Snohomish	Grade 3	675	689	583	654	657	701	102.04	701	708	696	689	691	694
Snohomish	Grade 4	706	690	657	594	679	673	101.14	709	709	716	704	697	699
Snohomish	Grade 5	724	715	639	664	622	700	100.54	677	713	713	720	708	701
Snohomish	Grade 6	779	734	685	637	691	646	100.95	707	683	720	720	727	715
Snohomish	Grade 7	724	790	726	700	649	721	101.74	657	719	695	733	733	740
Snohomish	Grade 8	775	749	762	719	719	656	100.54	725	661	723	699	737	737
Snohomish	Grade 9	884	839	857	854	818	826	112.67	739	817	745	815	788	830
Snohomish	Grade 10	908	897	822	857	849	838	100.25	828	741	819	747	817	790
Snohomish	Grade 11	839	841	821	776	828	787	93.56	784	775	693	766	699	764
Snohomish	Grade 12	848	819	824	843	757	793	98.31	774	771	762	681	753	687
Snohomish	Total	9,780	9,692	9,145	9,229	9,239	9,350		9,299	9,279	9,262	9,261	9,345	9,360

Source: OSPI Form 1049 (printed February 2024)

Adjusted Cohort Enrollment Projections (FTE)

Flo Forecasts - FTE											
Grade	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33	2033-34
K	638.19	626	617	649	658	674	677	684	690	697	704
1	674.24	660	648	638	671	681	697	700	707	714	721
2	682	695	680	668	658	692	702	719	722	729	736
3	700.12	710	724	708	696	685	721	731	749	752	759
4	670.67	716	726	741	724	712	701	738	748	766	769
5	699.3	688	735	745	760	743	731	719	757	768	786
6	643.61	719	707	756	766	781	764	751	739	778	790
7	719.44	659	735	723	773	783	799	781	768	756	795
8	656.8	733	672	750	738	788	798	815	796	783	771
9	829.29	735	820	751	839	825	881	893	912	890	876
10	835.15	839	744	830	760	849	835	892	904	923	901
11	733.82	739	743	660	735	673	751	739	790	801	817
12	709.36	696	700	703	624	696	637	711	700	748	758
K-6	4,708	4,814	4,837	4,905	4,933	4,968	4,993	5,042	5,112	5,204	5,265
7-8	1,376	1,392	1,407	1,473	1,511	1,571	1,597	1,596	1,564	1,539	1,566
9-12	3,108	3,009	3,007	2,944	2,958	3,043	3,104	3,235	3,306	3,362	3,352
Total	9,192	9,215	9,251	9,322	9,402	9,582	9,694	9,873	9,982	10,105	10,183
Notes											
Students enrolled in full-time Running Start and preschool are excluded.											

APPENDIX B

STUDENT GENERATION RATE REVIEW



MEMORANDUM

To: Tom Laufmann
Executive Director, Business Services
Snohomish School District
1601 Avenue D
Snohomish, WA 98290

Date: March 28, 2024

Project No.: F1371.01.005

From: Alex Brasch
Senior Population Geographer

Re: 2023–24 Student Generation Rates—Snohomish School District

At the request of the Snohomish School District (SSD/District), FLO Analytics (FLO) estimated student generation rates (SGRs) for residential housing units built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022. The SGRs represent the average number of SSD K–12 students (October 2023 headcount) residing in new single-family (SF) detached and townhome/duplex housing units. This memo details the methodology FLO used to create the SGRs and presents the findings by grade group and housing type.

Methods

As described by Snohomish County Planning & Development Services ([2022 Biennial Update to School District Capital Facilities Plans](#)), Snohomish County operates a school impact fee program authorized by RCW 82.02.040 and the Washington State Growth Management Act under Chapter 36.70A RCW. School districts that wish to collect impact fees must provide a school board adopted Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) for review by the County Planning Commission and County Council that fulfills the specifications of state law, the County comprehensive plan, and the County code. One requirement of CFPs is “impact fee support data required by the formula in Chapter 30.66C SCC, including a district-specific analysis to determine the student generation rate component of the fee calculation”.

As defined in Snohomish County code 30.91S.690, “SGRs mean the number of students of each grade span (elementary, middle/jr. high, high school) that a school district determines are typically generated by different dwelling unit types within the district.” In other words, SGRs represent the number of students residing in housing constructed within the most recent five-to-eight-year period by housing type and grade group (i.e., elementary, middle, and high school).

SGR calculations are based on housing information and student residences. FLO obtained and processed the necessary housing data from the Snohomish County Assessor’s Office and Information Technology Department, as well as the Puget Sound Regional Council, including parcel/tax lot boundaries with essential attributes—housing type, number of housing units, and year built. Housing units constructed in 2023 were excluded from the analysis, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023. To link the housing information to SSD students, the District provided FLO with October 2023 headcount enrollment, which FLO geocoded to

FLO Analytics | 1-888-847-0299 | www.flo-analytics.com

R:\F1371.01 Snohomish School District\005_2024.03.28 SGR Memo\SSD 2023 SGR Memo.docx
© 2024 FLO Analytics

represent student residences. The student residences were then spatially matched to residential housing built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022.

With this combination of information, SGRs were calculated by dividing the number of students per grade group by the total number of housing units for each housing type. SGRs were calculated for the types of housing built in the district within the analysis period; namely, SF detached and townhome/duplex units. The number of multifamily (MF) units built during the period was insufficient to produce reliable SGRs. The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: single-family attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units in the townhome/duplex category, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household.

Results

Table 1 includes the number of housing units and SGRs for SF detached and townhome/duplex housing types, as well as the number of students by grade group that have addresses matching the housing units. Of the 8,409 students residing within the district, 871 live in the 1,722 SF detached units that were built between 2015 and 2022, while 42 live in the 87 townhomes/duplexes built in the same period. On average, each SF detached housing unit yields 0.506 K–12 students, while each townhome/duplex yields 0.483 K–12 students. The number of MF units built in the district between 2015 and 2022 is insufficient to produce reliable SGRs; therefore, no SGRs were calculated for that housing type.

Table 1: K–12 Students per Housing Unit Built 2015–2022

Housing Type	Housing Units	K–6 Students	7–8 Students	9–12 Students	K–12 Students	K–6 SGR	7–8 SGR	9–12 SGR	K–12 SGR
Single-family Detached	1,722	569	116	186	871	0.330	0.067	0.108	0.506
Townhome / Duplex ^(a)	87	29	8	5	42	0.333	0.092	0.057	0.483

Notes

The number of MF units built in the district between 2015 and 2022 is insufficient to produce reliable SGRs; therefore, no SGRs were calculated for that housing type.

(a) The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: single-family attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household.

Sources

Snohomish School District 2023–24 headcount enrollment, Snohomish County parcels, and Puget Sound Regional Council 2015–2022 new housing inventory.

Multi-Family 2+ BR Rates: As noted above, the District does not have a reliable data set for purposes of calculating student generation rates for Multi-Family 2+ bedroom units (or Multi-Family units with one bedroom or less). The District calculated Multi-Family 2+ BR student generation rates using the countywide average of the corresponding rates published in the 2022 capital facilities plans (the last County-adopted set of plans) of the other school districts in Snohomish County who prepared their own rates. These averages reflect recent development trends in Snohomish County. As a comparison to Snohomish County, King County has recognized countywide averages as a reasonable approach to calculating student generation rates when there is a lack of sufficient development data. See KCC 21A.06.1260.

The District is choosing to apply the 2022 calculated average* as an estimate of student generation from new Multi-Family 2+ bedroom units within the Snohomish School District.

The resulting average student generation rates are as follows:

Multi-Family 2+ BR Rates	K-5	6-8	9-12
	0.094	0.054	0.053

Student generation rates were not calculated for multi-family dwelling units with one bedroom or less as current data is insufficient for purposes of calculating a countywide average in Snohomish County.

**Excluding certain anomalies of districts with high multi-family rates (Everett, Mukilteo) or low multi-family rates (Monroe).*

APPENDIX A

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE FORMULA

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS									
DISTRICT	Snohomish School District								
YEAR	2024								
School Site Acquisition Cost:									
((AcresxCost per Acre)/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor									
				Student	Student	Student			
	Facility	Cost/	Facility	Factor	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
	Acreage	Acre	Capacity	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	10.00	\$ -	600	0.330	0.333	0.094	\$0	\$0	\$0
Middle	20.00	\$ -	900	0.067	0.092	0.054	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	40.00	\$ -	1,800	0.108	0.057	0.053	\$0	\$0	\$0
						TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0
School Construction Cost:									
((Facility Cost/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor)x(permanent/Total Sq Ft)									
				Student	Student	Student			
	%Perm/	Facility	Facility	Factor	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
	Total Sq.Ft.	Cost	Capacity	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	97.22%	\$ 52,666,667	600	0.330	0.333	0.094	\$28,161	\$28,417	\$8,022
Middle	97.22%		900	0.067	0.092	0.054	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	97.22%	\$ -	1800	0.108	0.057	0.053	\$0	\$0	\$0
						TOTAL	\$28,161	\$28,417	\$8,022
Temporary Facility Cost:									
((Facility Cost/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor)x(Temporary/Total Square Feet)									
				Student	Student	Student	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
	%Temp/	Facility	Facility	Factor	Factor	Factor	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
	Total Sq.Ft.	Cost	Size	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)			
Elementary	2.78%	\$ -	25	0.330	0.333	0.094	\$0	\$0	\$0
Middle	2.78%	\$ -	30	0.067	0.092	0.054	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	2.78%	\$ -	32	0.108	0.057	0.053	\$0	\$0	\$0
						TOTAL	\$0	\$0	\$0
State School Construction Funding Assistance Credit:									
CCA X SPI Square Footage X District Funding Assistance % X Student Factor									
				Student	Student	Student			
	CCA	SPI	Funding	Factor	Factor	Factor	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
		Footage	Asst %	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	\$ 375.00	90	53.42%	0.330	0.333	0.094	\$5,950	\$6,004	\$1,695
Middle	\$ 375.00	117		0.067	0.092	0.054	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	\$ 375.00	130	0.00%	0.108	0.057	0.053	\$0	\$0	\$0
						TOTAL	\$5,950	\$6,004	\$1,695
Tax Payment Credit:							SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Average Assessed Value							\$770,776	\$770,776	\$242,411
Capital Bond Interest Rate							3.48%	3.48%	3.48%
Net Present Value of Average Dwelling							\$6,416,707	\$6,416,707	\$2,018,070
Years Amortized							10	10	10
Property Tax Levy Rate							\$1.79	\$1.79	\$1.79
	Present Value of Revenue Stream						\$11,490	\$11,490	\$3,614
	Fee Summary:			Single	Townhome	Multi-			
				Family	Duplex	Family (2+)			
	Site Acquisition Costs			\$0	\$0	\$0			
	Permanent Facility Cost			\$28,161	\$28,417	\$8,022			
	Temporary Facility Cost			\$0	\$0	\$0			
	State SCFA Credit			(\$5,950)	(\$6,004)	(\$1,695)			
	Tax Payment Credit			(\$11,490)	(\$11,490)	(\$3,614)			
	FEE (AS CALCULATED)			\$10,722	\$10,924	\$2,713			
	Fee (AS DISCOUNTED)			\$5,361	\$5,462	\$1,357			

Sultan School District # 311

Capital Facilities Plan

2024-2029

BOARD APPROVED

JUL 22 2024

SULTAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

Adopted: _____

Sultan School District No. 311

Capital Facilities Plan

2024-2029

For Inclusion in the
Snohomish County Comprehensive Plan

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Gigi Gouldner, Chair

Marianne Naslund, Vice Chair

Isaac Hudy

Dave Shepherd

Mike Varnell

SUPERINTENDENT

Dan Chaplik

For information on the Sultan School District Facilities
Plan contact the Superintendent's Office (360) 793-9800

Table of Contents

Section 1	INTRODUCTION	
	Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan	1
	Overview of the District	2
	District Map	2
Section 2	DEFINITIONS	
	3
Section 3	DISTRICT STANDARD OF SERVICE	
	Standard/Goals for Elementary School Facilities & Educational Programs	5
	District Goals for Secondary School Facilities & Educational Programs	5
	District-Wide Educational Programs	6
	Use of Portables	7
	Standard of Service Summary	7
	Reporting of Minimum Level of Service – Table 1	7
Section 4	CAPITAL FACILITIES INVENTORY	
	Capital Facilities	9
	Permanent Classroom Inventory – Table 2	10
	Portable Classroom Inventory – Table 3	11
	Combined Total Classroom Inventory – Table 4	12
	Support Facilities Inventory – Table 5	13
	Additional Land Inventory	13
	Leased Property/Facilities	13
Section 5	STUDENT ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS	
	Student Enrollment Projections 2024-2029	14
	OFM, OSPI, and District Enrollment Projections – Table 6	15
	Long Range Enrollment Projections through 2044 – Table 7	16
Section 6	CAPITAL FACILITIES NEEDS	
	Available Capacity-2023 – Table 8	17
	Available Capacity-2029 – Table 9	17
	Projected Student Capacity – Table 10	18
	Planned Improvements	19
Section 7	FINANCIAL PLAN	
	General Obligation Bonds	20
	State School Construction Assistance Program	20
	School Impact Fees	20
	Six-Year Financial Plan – Table 11	20-21
Section 8	IMPACT FEES	
	School Impact Fee Calculation Parameters	22
	Methodology and Variables Used	23
	Proposed School Impact Fee Schedule – Table 12	23
	APPENDIX – A	Enrollment Forecasts
	APPENDIX – B	Student Generation Rates
	APPENDIX – C	School Impact Fee Worksheet

Section 1: Introduction

Purpose of the Capital Facilities Plan

The Washington State Growth Management Act (the “GMA”) includes schools in the category of public facilities and services. School districts have adopted capital facilities plans to satisfy the requirements of the GMA and to identify additional school facilities necessary to meet the educational needs of the growing student populations anticipated in their districts.

The Sultan School District (the “District”) has prepared this Capital Facilities Plan (the “CFP”) to provide Snohomish County (the “County”), the City of Sultan (“Sultan”) and the City of Gold Bar (“Gold Bar”) with an overview of projected student enrollment, site capacity, a description of facilities needed to accommodate projected student enrollment, and a schedule and financing program for capital improvements over the next six years (2024-2029).

In accordance with the GMA, adopted County Policy, and adopted school impact fee ordinances of Snohomish County and the cities of Gold Bar and Sultan, the CFP contains the following required elements:

1. Future 6-year enrollment forecasts for each grade span (elementary, middle and high schools).
2. An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by the District showing the locations and capacities of the facilities.
3. A forecast of future needs for capital facilities and school sites.
4. The proposed capacities of expanded or new capital facilities.
5. A six-year plan for financing capital facilities within projected funding capacities, which clearly identifies sources of public money for such purposes. The financing plan separates projects and portions of projects that add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding.
6. A calculation of impact fees to be assessed and support data substantiating said fees (if applicable).

In developing this CFP, the District followed the following guidelines set forth in Appendix F of the Snohomish County General Policy Plan:


- ❖ Information was obtained from recognized sources, such as the WA State Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI), U.S. Census, or other governmental report. School districts may generate their own data if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies. Information is to be consistent with the Office of Financial Management (“OFM”) population forecasts and those of Snohomish County.
- ❖ The CFP complies with Chapter 36.70A RCW (the Growth Management Act) and, where impact fees are to be assessed, Chapter 82.02 RCW.
- ❖ The calculation methodology for impact fees meets the conditions and tests of Chapter 82.02 RCW. Districts which propose the use of impact fees should identify in future plan updates alternative funding sources in the event that impact fees are not available due to action by the state, county or the cities within their district boundaries.

- ❖ The calculation methodology for impact fees, if proposed by the District, also complies with the criteria and the formulas established by the County and the respective City/Cities.

Snohomish County’s Countywide Planning Policies direct jurisdictions in Snohomish County to “ensure the availability of sufficient land and services for future K-20 school needs.” Policy ED-11. The District appreciates any opportunity for cooperative planning efforts with its jurisdictions.

Overview of the Sultan School District

The Sultan School District has two elementary schools (grades K-5), one middle school (grades 6-8), one high school (grades 9-12) and an alternative high school program. The District serves a student population of approximately 2,051 (October 1 headcount) in all programs from kindergarten through twelfth grade, includes the cities of Sultan and Gold Bar as well as unincorporated rural areas of Snohomish County, and had an estimated population in 2023 of 16,454 residents (Snohomish County 2044 GMA Population Forecast by School District). The District is located 47 miles northeast of Seattle, Washington nestled in the foothills of the Cascade Mountain range.



Section 2: Definitions

Appendix F means Appendix F of the Snohomish County Growth Management Act (GMA) Comprehensive Plan, also referred to as the General Policy Plan (GPP).

Average Assessed Value means the average assessed value by dwelling unit type of all residential units constructed within the Sultan School District.

Board means the Board of Directors of Sultan School District No. 311 (“School Board”).

Capital Facilities means school facilities identified in the District’s CFP.

Construction Cost Allocation means the maximum cost per square foot of construction that the state will recognize. This amount is established by the legislature in the biennium budget. [Formerly referred to as the “Boeckh Index.”]

Development Activity means any residential construction, expansion of a building or structure, or any other change of building, structure or land that creates additional demand and need for school facilities by creating additional dwelling units. This excludes building permits for attached or detached accessory apartments, and remodeling or renovation permits which do not result in additional dwelling units.

Development Approval means any written authorization from the County and/or cities of Sultan or Gold Bar that authorizes the commencement of a residential development activity.

District means Sultan School District No. 311.

District Property Tax Levy Rate means the District’s current capital property tax rate for bonds per thousand dollars of assessed value.

Dwelling Unit Type means (1) single-family residences, (2) multi-family one-bedroom apartment or condominium units and (3) multi-family multiple-bedroom apartment, condominium, or duplex/townhome units, all as defined by local ordinance.

Estimated Facility Construction Cost means the projected costs of new schools or the actual construction costs of schools of the same grade span recently constructed by the District, including on-site and off-site improvement costs.

FTE (Full Time Equivalent) is a means of measuring student enrollment based on the number of hours per day in attendance at District schools. A student is considered one FTE if he/she is enrolled for the equivalent of a full schedule each school day. Sno-Isle Vocational School and college Running Start students are a reduced FTE since they do not attend Sultan High School for a full school day. For purposes of this Capital Facilities Plan, all other grades are considered to contain one FTE per student.

Grade Span means a category into which the District groups its grades of students (e.g., elementary, middle, or junior high, and high school).

Growth Management Act / GMA means the Growth Management Act, Chapter 17, Laws of the State of Washington of 1990, 1st Ex. Sess., as now in existence or as hereafter amended.

Headcount total number of students enrolled in the District, regardless of their FTE status. The District must plan to accommodate this many students if they all attended school at the same time.

Interest Rate means the current interest rate as stated in the Bond Buyer Twenty Bond General Obligation Bond Index.

Land Cost Per Acre means the estimated average land acquisition cost per acre (in current dollars) based on recent site acquisition costs, comparisons of comparable site acquisition costs in other districts, or the average assessed value per acre of properties comparable to school sites located within the District.

OFM means Washington State Office of Financial Management.

OSPI means Washington State Office of the Superintendent of Public Instruction.

Permanent Facilities means school facilities of the District with a fixed foundation.

Portables means factory-built structures, transportable in one or more sections, that are designed to be used as instructional spaces and are needed to prevent the overbuilding of school facilities, to meet the needs of service areas within the District, or to cover the gap between the time that families move into new residential developments and the date that construction is completed on permanent school facilities.

Portable Facilities Cost means the total cost incurred by the District for purchasing and installing portable classrooms.

School Impact Fee means a payment of money imposed on residential development as a condition of development approval to pay for school facilities needed to serve new growth and development. The school impact fee does not include a reasonable permit fee, an application fee, the administrative fee for collecting and handling impact fees, or the cost of reviewing independent fee calculations.

Standard of Service means the standard adopted by the District which identifies the program year, the class size by grade span and considering the requirements of students with special needs, the number of classrooms, the types of facilities the District believes will best serve its student population, and other factors as identified in the District's Capital Facilities Plan.

State Funding Assistance Percentage means the proportion of funds that are provided to the District for specific capital projects from the state's Common School Construction Fund.

Student Factor [Student Generated Rate/SGR] means the number of students of each grade span (elementary, middle/jr. high, high school) that the District determines are typically generated by different dwelling unit types within the District. The District will use a survey or statistically valid methodology to derive the specific student generated rate.

Teaching Station means a facility space (classroom) specifically dedicated to implementing the District's educational program and capable of accommodating at any one time a full class meeting the District's level of service for the particular grade.

Unhoused Students means students projected to be housed in classrooms where class size exceeds standards within the District and, if the District so specifies in the Capital Facilities Plan, students projected to be housed in portable classrooms.

Section 3: District Standard of Service

Creating a quality educational environment is the first priority of the Sultan School District. School facility and student capacity needs are often dictated by the types and amounts of space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program. The educational program standards that typically drive facility space needs include grade configuration, optimum facility size, class size, educational program offerings, classroom utilization and scheduling requirements, and use of portable classroom facilities.

Standard of Service for Elementary School Facilities

- Class size for Kindergarten will not exceed an average of 17 students per classroom.
- Class size for 1-3 will not exceed an average of 17 students per classroom.
- Class size for grades 4-5 will not exceed an average of 25 students per classroom.

District Goals for Elementary School Educational Programs

- Educational programs will be provided in a single shift each day. The facility will be available after normal hours for extended learning opportunities and community use.
- Educational programs will be provided on the traditional school year schedule.
- Special education for students may be provided in regular classes when inclusion is possible and in resource rooms or self-contained classrooms when this is the most appropriate option available for some students.
- As a result of scheduling conflicts for student programs, the need for specialized rooms for certain programs, and the need for teachers to have a workspace during planning periods, it is not possible to achieve 100% utilization of all regular teaching stations throughout the day. We have targeted a utilization rate of 90% for grades K-5. Therefore, classroom capacity should be adjusted to reflect the use of one period per day for the aforementioned needs.
- All students will be provided music and physical education in a separate classroom.
- All students will be housed in permanent facilities.
- Optimum design capacity for new elementary schools is 600 students. However, actual capacity of an individual school may vary depending on the educational program offered.

Standard of Service for Secondary School Facilities

- Class size for grades 6-8 will not exceed an average of 25 students per classroom (except PE and Music).
- Class size for grades 9-12 will not exceed an average of 25 students per classroom (except PE and Music).

District Goals for Secondary School Educational Programs

- Educational programs will be provided in a single shift each school day. The facility will be available after normal hours for extra-curricular activities and for extended learning opportunities and community use.

- Educational programs will be provided on a traditional school year schedule.
- As a result of scheduling conflicts for student programs, the need for specialized rooms for certain programs, and the need for teachers to have a workspace during planning periods, it is not possible to achieve 100% utilization of all regular teaching stations throughout the day. We have targeted a utilization rate of 81% for grades 6-12. Therefore, classroom capacity should be adjusted to reflect the use of one period per day for the aforementioned needs.
- Special education for students may be provided in regular classes when inclusion is possible, in resource rooms (pullout model), or in self-contained classrooms when this is the most appropriate option available for some students.
- All students will be housed in permanent facilities.
- Optimum design capacity for a new middle/junior high school is 700 students and for a new high school 800 students. However, actual capacity of an individual school may vary depending on the educational program(s) offered.
- Identified students will also be provided other nontraditional educational opportunities in classrooms designated as follows:
 - Vocational/Agricultural Classrooms (i.e., business, wood shop, wood technology, mechanics, metals, and greenhouse plants)
 - Program Specific Classrooms (i.e., music, art, physical education, computer labs, science labs, and business)

District-wide Educational Programs

Special programs offered by the District at specific school sites include:

- ❖ Special Educational Classes for Birth-Three
- ❖ Preschool for Special Needs Students
- ❖ Special Education Classes for K-12
- ❖ Pre-Kindergarten
- ❖ Extended Day Kindergarten
- ❖ Speech and Language Therapy
- ❖ Occupational Therapy
- ❖ Physical Therapy
- ❖ School Psychology
- ❖ Drug and Alcohol Intervention
- ❖ Title I / Learning Assistance Programs (LAP)
 - ⊕ Includes Read Naturally Curriculum
- ❖ Title III / Limited English Proficient (LEP)
- ❖ Bilingual Education for English Language Learners (ELL)
- ❖ Technology Education for Grades K-12
- ❖ Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID)
- ❖ Science Technology Engineering & Math (STEM)
 - ⊕ Includes *Project Lead the Way* Curriculum
- ❖ Summer School / Extended School Year (ESY)
- ❖ Sno-Isle Vocational Skills Center (Cooperative School) for Grades 10-12

- ❖ R.A.P. Regional Apprenticeship Pathways
- ❖ Workforce (Cooperative School) for Grades 11-12
- ❖ Sultan Parent Partnership Program (SP3)
- ❖ Sky Valley Options (Alternative High School)
- ❖ Sultan Virtual Academy
- ❖ Community College Running Start for Grades 11-12
- ❖ Vocational and Career Education Onsite for Grades 9-12
- ❖ Alternative Program for Grades 9-12

These special or nontraditional educational programs can have a significant impact on the available student capacity of school facilities. In addition to factors that affect the amount of space required, government mandates and community expectations may affect how classroom space is utilized.

District educational program standards will undoubtedly change in the future as a result of changes in the program year, special programs, class sizes, grade span configurations, and use of new technology, as well as other physical aspects of the school facilities. The school capacity inventory will be reviewed periodically and adjusted as accommodations are made to facilitate the demands brought about by modifications to the educational program standards. These changes will also be reflected in future updates of this Capital Facilities Plan.

Use of Portables

Because of fluctuations in student population as a result of growth from new development and changing age demographics in different parts of the District, portables are used on a temporary basis in most locations. Portables will not be added if the quality of education at the facility is deemed by the District to be compromised by either total school size, or impact upon core facilities such as lunchroom/food services, restrooms, library space, hallways, or a severe reduction in playground area or parking area, etc. Portables are not intended to be a long-term capacity solution. The District's portables have adequate remaining useful life and the District regularly assesses the condition of its portables for continued educational program use.

Standard of Service Summary

In summary, the District's adopted standard of service is as follows:

Adopted Standard of Service	Grades K-3	Grades 4-5	Grades 6-8	Grades 9-12
Students/Classroom	17	25	25	25

Minimum Level of Service (MLOS)

Snohomish County requires that the District identify a "minimum level of service" (MLOS) for the purpose of County compliance reporting. The MLOS is not the District's desired or accepted operating standard. The District will evaluate student housing levels based on the District as a whole system and not on a school by school or site by site basis. This may result in portable classrooms being used as interim housing, attendance boundary changes or other program changes to balance student housing across the system as a whole. A boundary change or a significant

programmatic change would be made by the Board of Directors following appropriate public review and comment.

For purposes of Snohomish County compliance, the District’s minimum level of service is as follows: on average, K-5 classrooms have no more than 28 students per classroom, 6-8 classrooms have no more than 30 students per classroom, and 9-12 classrooms have no more than 32 students per classroom. The District has set minimum educational service standards based on several criteria. Exceeding these minimum standards will trigger significant changes in program delivery. Minimum standards have not been met if, on average using current FTE figures: K-5 classrooms have more than 28 students per classroom, 6-8 classrooms have more than 30 students per classroom, or 9-12 classrooms more than 32 students per classroom. For purposes of this determination, the term “classroom” does not include special education classrooms or special program classrooms (i.e. computer labs, art rooms, Home Eco, chorus and band rooms, spaces used for physical education and other special program areas). Furthermore, the term “classroom” does not apply to special programs or activities that may occur in a regular classroom. The minimum educational service standards are not District’s desired or accepted operating standard..

For the school years of 2021-2022 and 2022-2023, the District’s compliance with the minimum educational service standards was as follows:

Table 1 Minimum Level of Service

2021- 22 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
	28	18.57	30	29.53	32	23.15

* The District determines the reported service level by adding the reported average of FTE students at each grade level and dividing that number by the number of general education teaching stations (including portables).

2022-23 School Year						
LOS Standard	MINIMUM LOS# Elementary	REPORTED LOS Elementary	MINIMUM LOS Middle	REPORTED LOS Middle	MINIMUM LOS High	REPORTED LOS High
	28	19.94	30	29.93	32	23.96

* The District determines the reported service level by adding the reported average of FTE students at each grade level and dividing that number by the number of general education teaching stations (including portables).

Section 4: Capital Facilities Inventory

CAPITAL FACILITIES

Under the GMA, public entities are required to inventory capital facilities used to serve existing development. The purpose of the facilities inventory is to establish a baseline for determining what facilities will be required to accommodate future demand (student enrollment) at acceptable or established levels of service. This section provides an inventory of capital facilities owned and operated by the Sultan School District including schools, portables, unimproved land and support facilities. Leased facilities are also identified. School facility capacity was inventoried based on the space required to accommodate the District's adopted educational program standards (see Section 3).

Permanent Classrooms

The District operates two elementary schools, one middle school, one high school, and an alternative high school for grades 9-12. Currently the elementary schools serve grades PreK-5, the middle school serves grades 6-8 and the high school serves grades 9-12.¹ School capacity was determined based on the number of classrooms used as general education teaching stations at each school and the District's adopted standard of service. It is this capacity calculation that is used to establish the District's baseline capacity and to determine future capacity needs based on projected student enrollment. The school permanent capacity inventory is summarized in Table 2. Teaching stations that are not available for regular classroom capacity are used as conference room space, computer STEM labs, special education programs, occupational therapy rooms, behavior modification rooms, and special needs pre-school classrooms.

Portable Classrooms

Portable classrooms are used as interim classroom space to house students until funding can be secured to construct permanent classrooms. The Sultan School District currently owns 50 portable classrooms throughout the District to provide additional interim classroom capacity in addition to housing programs to address diverse students (see Table 3). Of the 50 portable teaching stations listed in inventory, 26 are used as general education classrooms. The other 24 are used for programmatic offerings such as the alternative high school program, computer labs, STEM labs, Title I, Occupational Therapy, Special Education, preschool, and PTA.

¹ The District anticipates that, following the construction of the capacity identified in this Capital Facilities Plan, it will reconfigure grade levels to K-4, 5-6, 7-8, and 9-12.

Table 2 Permanent Classroom Capacity Inventory

Elementary School	Site Size (Acres)	Bldg Area (Square Feet)	Total Teaching Stations	Teaching Stations General Education	Student Classroom Capacity
Sultan Elementary 501 Date Ave, Sultan	7.9	52,661 sf	24	20	389
Gold Bar Elementary 419 Lewis Ave, Gold Bar	9.4	33,723 sf	16	13	221
TOTAL K-5	17.3	86,384 sf	40	33	610

Middle School	Site Size (Acres)	Bldg Area (Square Feet)	Total Teaching Stations	Teaching Stations General Education	Student Classroom Capacity
Sultan Middle School 301 High Ave, Sultan	10.41	66,912 sf	26	14	350
TOTAL 6-8	10.41	66,912 sf	26	14	350

High School	Site Size (Acres)	Bldg Area (Square Feet)	Total Teaching Stations	Teaching Stations General Education	Student Classroom Capacity
Sultan High School 1000 Turk Drive, Sultan	33.75	71,876 sf	24	17	425
TOTAL 9-12	33.75	71,876 sf	24	17	425

GRAND TOTAL		225,172 sf	90	64	1,385
--------------------	--	-------------------	-----------	-----------	--------------

Table 3 Portable Classroom Capacity Inventory

Elementary School	Bldg Area (Square Feet)	Total Teaching Stations	Teaching Stations General Education	Student Classroom Capacity
Sultan Elementary	16,164 sf	18	11	275
Gold Bar Elementary	10,776 sf	12	5	125
TOTAL	26,940 sf	30	16	400

Middle School	Bldg Area (Square Feet)	Total Teaching Stations	Teaching Stations General Education	Student Classroom Capacity
Sultan Middle School	4,480 sf	5	1	25
TOTAL	4,480 sf	5	1	25

High School	Bldg Area (Square Feet)	Total Teaching Stations	Teaching Stations General Education	Student Classroom Capacity
Sultan High School	13,476 sf	13	9	225
TOTAL	13,476 sf	13	9	225

Alternative Program	Bldg Area (Square Feet)	Total Teaching Stations	Teaching Stations General Education	Student Classroom Capacity
Sky Valley Option High School	1,792 sf	2	0	0
TOTAL	1,792 sf	2	0	0

GRAND TOTAL	46,688 sf	50	26	650
--------------------	------------------	-----------	-----------	------------

**Table 4 Classroom Capacity – Permanent and Temporary Inventory
Combined Total**

Elementary School	Permanent/ Temporary (Square Feet)	Total Teaching Stations	Teaching Stations General Education	Total Maximum Student Capacity
Sultan Elementary	68,825 sf	42	31	664
Gold Bar Elementary	44,499 sf	28	18	346
TOTAL K-5	113,324 sf	70	49	1,010

Middle School	Permanent/ Temporary (Square Feet)	Total Teaching Stations	Teaching Stations General Education	Total Maximum Student Capacity
Sultan Middle School	71,392 sf	31	15	375
TOTAL 6-8	71,392 sf	31	15	375

High School	Permanent/ Temporary (Square Feet)	Total Teaching Stations	Teaching Stations General Education	Total Maximum Student Capacity
Sultan High School	85,352 sf	37	26	650
TOTAL 9-12	85,352 sf	37	26	650

Alternative Program	Permanent/ Temporary (Square Feet)	Total Teaching Stations	Teaching Stations General Education	Student Classroom Capacity
Sky Valley Options High School	1,792	2	0	0
TOTAL	1,792	2	0	0

GRAND TOTAL	271,860 sf	140	90	2,035
--------------------	-------------------	------------	-----------	--------------

Support Facilities

In addition to schools, the District owns and operates additional facilities that provide operational support functions to the schools. An inventory of these facilities is provided in Table 4.

Table 5 Support Facility Inventory

Facility	Building Area (Square Feet)
Administration	3,149
Transportation and Operations Building	12,108
TOTAL	15,257

Additional Land Inventory

The District several years ago sold a 40-acre undeveloped parcel on Reiter Road in Gold Bar, WA. The property was originally purchased for the construction of a new middle school, but was later determined to not be an ideal location to serve our student population. The District has purchased two new properties. One property of 2.5 acres is next to the High School and planned for potential expansion of the school facility on that site. The District recently acquired a 49.37 acre parcel from the Department of Natural Resources (for a future elementary school and high school site).

Leased Property/Facilities

The District is leasing the property formerly known as the “Start Up Gym” to the Sky Valley Arts Council. The property is identified by Parcel No. 27080400200100 and contains approximately 8.74 acres.

The District does not lease from any third party any facilities for District administration or facility use.

Section 5: Student Enrollment Projections

Student Enrollment Projections 2024-2029

Enrollment projections are the most accurate for the initial years of the forecast period. Moving further into the future, more assumptions about economic conditions and demographic trends in the area affect the projection. Any plans for new facilities can be delayed if enrollment projections and the economy indicate a downturn. It is much more difficult, however, to initiate new projects or speed projects up in the event enrollment growth exceeds the projections. The District plans to monitor closely actual enrollment and, if necessary, make appropriate adjustments in future Plan updates. For purposes of this update, the District reviewed three methods of projections:

1. ***The Office of Financial Management (OFM)*** “ratio method” is based upon Snohomish County population estimates for people residing within the Sultan School District Service Area (both within the corporate City limits of Sultan and Gold Bar as well as unincorporated parts of Snohomish County) compared to current Actual student enrollment. Between 2020 and 2023, the District’s enrollment averaged approximately 12.69% of the total population in the Sultan School District service area. Assuming that the District’s headcount enrollment will continue to increase in direct proportion with the Sultan School District service area population, a total enrollment of 2,250 students is projected for 2029. This is an increase of 199 students from actual October 2023 enrollment, or a 9.70% increase. Using the OFM methodology, student enrollment is anticipated at 2,420 by 2044 when the Population Forecast of 19,078 residents in the Sultan School District Service Area is expected.
2. ***The Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI)*** projections are based upon a “cohort” survival method which uses the “official” student count day of October 1st each year to establish historical enrollment data from the previous 5 years to create an average to forecast forward the number of students who will be attending school in the following years, also known as a Linear Projection. The cohort survival method is considered conservative given that it doesn’t account fully for in-migration due to growth. The cohort survival method uses a headcount analysis and includes students enrolled in non-brick and mortar programs in the District (such as the virtual academy and Running Start). The most recent OSPI cohort survival projections are artificially influenced by enrollment anomalies occurring during the pandemic, and its reliability should be viewed through that lens. Based on the OSPI “cohort” methodology, the District’s enrollment will increase in 2029 to 2,255 students, an increase of 9.95% over 2023 headcount enrollment. See Appendix A – page 1.
3. ***The District*** contracted with a demographer to forecast future enrollments. This methodology, a modified cohort survival method, considers historic enrollment trends in the District, development data, known data regarding local housing circumstances, Snohomish County population projections, census data, and birth rates. The District’s enrollment projections start with actual 2022 headcount enrollment and use a monthly average to produce an annual enrollment number. See Appendix A – page 2, FLO Analytics (October 4, 2023). Based upon the District’s methodology, the District’s enrollment will increase over October 2023 enrollment by a total of 255 students by 2029, an increase of 12.43% over 2023 actual enrollment. See Appendix A – page 2.

OFM, OSPI, and the District’s enrollment projections are reflected in Table 6.

Table 6 Enrollment Projections

								Projected Change	Percent Change
Method	2023^	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2023-2029	2023-2029
OFM	2,051	2,084	2,117	2,150	2,183	2,216	2,250	199	9.70%
OSPI	2,051	2,081	2,075	2,108	2,132	2,202	2,255	204	9.95%
District	2,051	2,114	2,117	2,145	2,188	2,262	2,306	255	12.43%
Population Projections**	16,454						17,729	1,275	7.75%
^October 1, 2023 actual HC enrollment reported on OSPI Form 1049									
**Snohomish County 2044 GMA Population Forecast									

The Sultan School District has chosen to follow the District’s methodology during this planning period because that methodology more accurately reflects the anticipated growth based on historic patterns and expected new development based on updated information. The District intends to monitor enrollment data and make annual adjustments as needed. The District will revisit the enrollment methodology in future updates to the CFP.

Enrollment Projections – 2044

Student enrollment projections beyond 2029 are highly speculative. Using OFM/County data as a base, the District projects a 2044 student population of 2,421. This is based on the OFM/County data and the District’s corresponding average enrollment figures. The total enrollment estimate was broken down by grade span to evaluate long-term needs for capital facilities. The grade span breakdown assumes that the proportion of students in each grade band will remain constant.

Projected enrollment by grade span for the year 2044 is provided in Table 7. Again, these estimates are highly speculative and are used only for general planning purposes.

Table 7 OFM Enrollment Projections from 2023 to 2044

Grade Span	Actual Enrollment – October 2023	Projected Enrollment 2044*
Elementary (K-5)	953	1,125
Middle School (6-8)	425	501
High School (9-12)	673	794
TOTAL (K-12)	2,051	2,420

Note: Snohomish County Planning and Development Service provided the underlying data (the “2044 GMA Population Forecast by School District”) for the 2044 projections.

Section 6: Capital Facility Needs

The projected available student capacity was determined by subtracting permanent capacity from actual 2023 enrollment and projected 2029 enrollment. Importantly, existing and planned portable capacity, which is a capacity solution, is not included in this analysis. Capacity needs are expressed in terms of “unhoused students.”

Table 8 Unhoused Students – Based on October 2023 Enrollment

Grade Span	Permanent Capacity	Enrollment	Available Capacity*	Unhoused Students
Elementary Level (K-5)	610	953	0	343
Middle Level (6-8)	350	425	0	75
High School Level (9-12)	425	595 ²	0	170
TOTALS	1,385	1,973	0	588

*Permanent capacity only

Assuming no new capacity additions during the six-year period, Table 9 identifies the additional permanent classroom capacity that will be needed in 2029, the end of the six-year forecast period:

Table 9 Unhoused Students – Based on Projected October 2029 Enrollment

Grade Span	Permanent Capacity	Enrollment	Available Capacity*	Unhoused Students	%age of Unhoused Students above 2023
Elementary Level (K-5)	610	1,104	0	494	44.02%
Middle Level (6-8)	350	540	0	190	153.30%
High School Level (9-12)	425	662	0	237	39.00%
TOTALS	1,385	2,306	0	751	28.00%

*Permanent capacity only

Table 9 demonstrates that projected growth through 2029 will impact the District’s facilities at all grade levels.

Importantly, Table 9 does not include portable classroom additions or adjustments that could be made to meet capacity needs. For example, the portable classrooms currently located at the elementary school level could be used to serve middle school capacity needs.

Projected permanent capacity needs are depicted in Table 10. They are derived by applying the District’s projected number of students to the projected capacity. Planned improvements by the District through 2029 are included in Table 10 and more fully described in Table 11.

² Adjusted based on current ALE (including Running Start) enrollment at the 9-12 level to reflect current FTE using regular capacity.

Table 10 Projected Student Capacity – 2023 through 2029

Elementary School -- Surplus/Deficiency

	2023*	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028°	2029
Existing Capacity	610	610	610	610	610	221°°	771
Added Permanent Capacity	0	0	0	0	0	550**+	
Enrollment	953	1,018	1,019	1,035	1,068	913	919
Permanent Facilities Surplus/(Deficiency)^	(343)	(408)	(409)	(425)	(458)	(142)	(148)

* Actual Oct. 2023 enrollment

** Classroom addition at Gold Bar Elementary (100)

+ New Pre-K to 4th Grade Elementary School (450)

^Does not include capacity solutions with current and planned portable classrooms

°Grade reconfiguration will occur, with conversion of elementary level from K-5 to K-4 and adding a 5-6 middle school program (at the existing Sultan Elementary School).

°°Sultan Elementary converted to a new 5-6 middle school program (capacity moved to middle/jr hi level)

Middle School Level -- Surplus/Deficiency

	2023*	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028°	2029
Existing Capacity	350	350	350	350	350	350	389
Added Permanent Capacity	0	0	0	0	0	389°°	704**
Enrollment	425	455	487	506	532	710	725
Permanent Facilities Surplus/(Deficiency)^	(75)	(105)	(137)	(156)	(182)	29	368

* Actual Oct. 2023 enrollment

^ Does not include capacity solutions with in current portable classrooms

°Grade reconfiguration will occur, with conversion of middle level from 6-8 to 7-8

°°Existing SES converted to a 506 middle school; existing SMS houses 7-8 junior high school temporarily.

**Current SHS converted to a new Sultan Junior High School with added capacity (net gain of +224 seats); existing Sultan MS converted to alternative learning program.

High School Level -- Surplus/Deficiency

	2023*	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029
Existing Capacity	425	425	425	425	425	425	0
Added Permanent Capacity	0	0	0	0	0	0	800**
Enrollment	595	641	611	604	588	639	662
Permanent Facilities Surplus/(Deficiency)^	(170)	(216)	(186)	(179)	(163)	(214)	138

* Actual Oct. 2023 enrollment as adjusted for actual FTE based on current ALE/Running Start

** Classroom addition at Sultan High School (256)

^ Does not include capacity solutions with current and planned portable classrooms

**New High School (800 seats); existing SHS converted to new Sultan Jr. High School, with expanded capacity.

Planned Improvements

Table 10 indicates that the District will need additional capacity at all grade levels to serve projected student enrollment. The District is engaging in early bond planning to reflect the projects included in this Capital Facilities Plan. A future resolution(s) by the Board of Directors, as well as voter approval of a bond or capital levy funding, will be required to fund the planned projects. Future updates to this CFP will include updated information regarding any adopted bond or capital levy resolutions.

Projects Adding Permanent Capacity (subject to funding):

- a 100 seat expansion at Gold Bar Elementary School (reconfigure to PreK-4th);
- a new 450 student elementary school (configure to PreK-4th);
- a 256 seat expansion at Sultan High School to convert that school to the new Sultan Junior High School; and
- a new 800 student Sultan High School.

Non-Capacity Adding Projects (subject to funding):

- Modernization and improvements at Gold Bar Elementary; and
- Modernization and improvements to the current Sultan Elementary School to convert it to a middle school program (5th and 6th grades).

Following construction of the new Sultan High School and the addition at the existing SHS allowing for conversion to the new Sultan Junior High School, the Sultan Middle School facility is anticipated to be used for alternative learning program space as well as other District program needs.

In the event that planned construction projects do not fully address space needs for student growth and a reduction in interim student housing, the Board could consider various courses of action, including, but not limited to:

- Alternative scheduling options;
- Changes in instructional model;
- Grade configuration changes;
- Increased class sizes; or
- Modified school calendar.

Funding for planned improvements is typically secured from a number of sources including voter approved bonds, State School Construction Assistance funds, and impact fees. The potential funding sources are discussed below.

Interim Classroom Facilities (Portables)

During the six years of this planning period, the District may purchase or lease portable classrooms and/or relocate portables if necessary to address growth needs. It remains a District goal to house all students in permanent facilities.

Section 7: Financial Plan

Funding of school facilities is typically secured from a number of sources including voter approved bonds, capital levies, State School Construction Assistance funds, and School Impact Fees. Each of these sources is discussed in greater detail below.

General Obligation Bonds

Bonds are typically used to fund construction of new schools and other capital improvement projects. A 60% voter approval is required to pass a bond. Bonds are then retired through collection of property taxes. General Obligation Bonds or Special Levies would be the primary source of funding for any future capital improvement projects.

State School Construction Assistance Program

State School Construction Assistance Program funds come from the Common School Construction Fund. The State deposits revenue from the sale of renewable resources from State school lands set aside by the Enabling Act of 1889 into the Common School Account. If these sources are insufficient to meet needs, the Legislature can appropriate General Obligation Bond funds or the Superintendent of Public Instruction can prioritize projects for funding. School districts may qualify for State School Construction Assistance Program (SCAP) funds for specific capital projects based on a prioritization system. The District anticipates that it will receive SCAP funds for the Sultan High school and Gold Bar Elementary School projects that are included in this CFP. The District is eligible for State School Construction Assistance funds for certain projects at the 61.68% funding percentage level. The current Construction Cost Allowance, the maximum cost/square foot recognized for SCAP funding, is established in the State's biennial budget and currently is \$375.00/eligible square foot.

School Impact Fees

Impact fees have been adopted by a number of jurisdictions as a means of supplementing traditional funding sources for construction of public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Following a decline in enrollment in 2010, the District did not request school impact fees for several years. With recent and projected continued enrollment increases, as well as capacity planning to address these enrollment needs, the District began requesting school impact fees in 2016 and continues to do so in this Capital Facilities Plan.

Six-Year Financial Plan

The Six-Year Financial Plan shown in Table 11 is a summary of the expected budget that supports the projects in this Capital Facilities Plan. The financing components include possible funding from capital bonds and levies, school impact fees, and State Construction Assistance Funds (dependent upon qualifying, level of funding and availability of funds). Projects and portions of projects which remedy existing deficiencies are not appropriate for impact fee funding. Thus, impact fees will not be used to finance projects or portions of projects which do not add capacity or which remedy existing deficiencies.

The District expects that, as project and bond planning proceeds, the estimated project costs in Table 11 are likely to increase. Thus, the project cost estimates in this CFP should be viewed conservatively. Future updates to this CFP will include updated cost estimates.

Table 11 Finance Plan 2024-2029

Improvements Adding Permanent Capacity (Costs in Millions)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bonds/ Levy	State Funds	Impact Fees
Elementary School										
Gold Bar Elementary Addition				\$37.9			\$37.9	X	X	X
New Elementary				\$50.2			\$50.2	X	X	X
Middle School										
Capacity Addition at SHS to convert to a new Sultan Junior High School (7-8)						\$31.633	\$31.633	X		X
High School										
New High School						\$98.853	\$98.853	X	X	X
K-12										
Portables							TBD			X
Site Acquisition (new ES and new HS)	\$0.50						\$0.50	X		X

Improvements Not Adding Permanent Capacity (Costs in Millions)

Project	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	Total Cost	Bonds/ Levy	State Funds	Impact Fees
Elementary School										
Sultan Elementary Modernization and conversion to 5 th -6 th grade				\$2.7			\$2.7	X		
Gold Bar Elementary Modernization				\$12.099			\$12.099	X	X	
Middle School										
High School										

**Estimated facility and land costs; future updates to the CFP will include identified costs.*

Section 8: Impact Fees

Impact Fee Calculation Parameters

The GMA authorizes jurisdictions to collect impact fees to supplement funding of additional public facilities needed to accommodate new development. Impact fees cannot be used for the operation, maintenance, repair, alteration, or replacement of existing capital facilities used to meet existing service demands. Fees also cannot be used to make up for capacity deficiencies existing on the date of Plan adoption. Fees may only be assessed in relation to the new capacity needs created by new development.

The Snohomish County General Policy Plan (GPP) which implements the GMA, sets certain conditions for districts wishing to assess impact fees.

The District must provide support data including:

- (a) An explanation of the calculation methodology, including description of key variables and their computation; and
- (b) Definitions and sources of data for all inputs into the fee calculation.

Such data must be accurate, reliable and statistically valid;

Data must accurately reflect projected costs in the 6-year financing program; and

A proposed fee schedule that reflects expected student generation rates from, at minimum, the following residential dwelling unit types: single-family, multi-family/studio or 1-bedroom, and multi-family/2-bedroom or more.

In November 1997, Snohomish County substantially modified Title 26C to convert it into an impact fee program meeting new requirements of the GMA and changes to RCW 82.02, the State law authorizing impact fees. On February 1, 2003, Snohomish County adopted a revision of Title 26C, thus replacing it with Chapter 30.66C, as defined by the Uniform Development Code. The cities of Sultan and Gold Bar have adopted school impact fee ordinances consistent with the Snohomish County school impact fee ordinance.

Methodology and Variables Used to Calculate School Impact Fees

Impact fees are calculated utilizing the formula in the Snohomish County school impact fee ordinance. The resulting figures are based on the District's cost per dwelling unit to purchase land for school sites, make site improvements, construct schools, and purchase/install relocatable facilities that add interim capacity needed to serve new development. A student factor (or student generation rate) is used to identify the average cost per dwelling unit by measuring the average number of students generated by each housing type (single-family dwellings, townhomes/duplex units, and multi-family dwellings of one bedroom and two bedrooms or more). A description of the student methodology is contained in Appendix B. As required under the GMA, credits are applied in the formula to account for State School Construction Assistance funds to be reimbursed to the District and projected future property taxes to be paid by the dwelling unit. The costs of projects that do not add capacity are not included in the impact fee calculations. Furthermore, because the impact fee formula calculates a "cost per dwelling unit," an identical fee is generated regardless of whether the total new capacity project costs are used in the calculation or whether the District uses only the percentage of the total new capacity project costs allocated to the Districts growth-related needs, as demonstrated in Table 9. For purposes of this Plan, the District has chosen to use the full project costs in the fee formula. Furthermore, impact fees will not be used to address existing deficiencies. See Table 11 for a complete identification of funding sources.

The following projects are included in the impact fee calculation:

- A new 450 student elementary school (including land acquisition);
- 256 student capacity addition at Sultan High School to expand capacity and convert to a new Sultan Junior High School; and
- A new 800 student Sultan High School (including land acquisition).

The Gold Bar Elementary School Addition is not included in the impact fee calculations but is a growth-related project. The cost per dwelling unit for the 450 student elementary school addresses the proportionate share of the total capacity of the new elementary school and the Gold Bar Elementary addition.

Please see Table 11 for relevant cost data related to each capacity project.

Table 12 School Impact Fees

Housing Type	Impact Fee Per Unit
<i>Single Family Residential</i> (detached)	\$14,002
<i>Townhome/Duplex</i>	\$7,161
<i>Multi-Family (2+ bdrms)</i>	\$7,161
<i>Multi-Family (studio or 1 bdrm)</i>	\$0

**Table 10 reflects a 50% adjustment to the calculated fee as required by local ordinances.*

APPENDIX A

**OSPI Cohort Survival Projections
(Sultan School District)**

School District	Grade	2018 Actual	2019 Actual	2020 Actual	2021 Actual	2022 Actual	2023 Actual	Survival Percentage	2024 Projected	2025 Projected	2026 Projected	2027 Projected	2028 Projected	2029 Projected
Sultan	Kindergarten	137	158	132	156	179	146		165	168	172	176	180	183
Sultan	Grade 1	158	147	143	143	169	159	100.65	147	166	169	173	177	181
Sultan	Grade 2	147	154	145	159	161	167	103.73	165	152	172	175	179	184
Sultan	Grade 3	127	158	142	147	170	157	101.09	169	167	154	174	177	181
Sultan	Grade 4	151	125	133	156	147	172	98.72	155	167	165	152	172	175
Sultan	Grade 5	162	152	124	149	151	152	102.41	176	159	171	169	156	176
Sultan	Grade 6	173	170	149	128	149	150	101.10	154	178	161	173	171	158
Sultan	Grade 7	141	178	153	156	133	146	99.89	150	154	178	161	173	171
Sultan	Grade 8	154	144	180	159	167	129	102.24	149	153	157	182	165	177
Sultan	Grade 9	132	164	150	180	167	176	104.21	134	155	159	164	190	172
Sultan	Grade 10	148	142	150	146	184	160	98.87	174	132	153	157	162	188
Sultan	Grade 11	142	148	133	143	137	188	96.99	155	169	128	148	152	157
Sultan	Grade 12	123	141	143	133	135	149	99.81	188	155	169	128	148	152
Sultan	Total	1,895	1,981	1,877	1,955	2,049	2,051		2,081	2,075	2,108	2,132	2,202	2,255

Source: OSPI Form 1049 (February 2024)

**Modified Cohort Survival Projections
(FLO Analytics - Sultan School District)**

Grade	2022-23	2023-24	2024-25	2025-26	2026-27	2027-28	2028-29	2029-30	2030-31	2031-32	2032-33
K	164	158	167	161	169	191	181	172	174	175	177
1	169	173	168	178	171	180	203	193	183	185	186
2	161	166	170	165	175	168	177	200	190	180	182
3	170	163	168	172	167	177	170	179	202	192	182
4	147	175	167	173	177	172	182	175	184	208	197
5	151	149	178	170	176	180	175	185	178	187	211
6	149	154	152	181	173	179	184	178	189	181	191
7	133	151	156	154	183	175	181	186	180	191	183
8	167	129	147	152	150	178	170	176	181	175	186
9	166	173	134	153	158	156	185	176	183	188	182
10	183	167	174	134	154	159	157	186	177	184	189
11	137	174	159	165	127	146	151	149	177	168	175
12	135	137	174	159	165	127	146	151	149	177	168
K-5	962	984	1,018	1,019	1,035	1,068	1,088	1,104	1,111	1,127	1,135
6-8	449	434	455	487	506	532	535	540	550	547	560
9-12	<u>621</u>	<u>651</u>	<u>641</u>	<u>611</u>	<u>604</u>	<u>588</u>	<u>639</u>	<u>662</u>	<u>686</u>	<u>717</u>	<u>714</u>
Total	2,032	2,069	2,114	2,117	2,145	2,188	2,262	2,306	2,347	2,391	2,409

Figure 18 source: Sultan School District October 2022-23 enrollment and FLO 2023-24 to 2032-33 enrollment forecasts (middle scenario), excluding CVA, SP3, and Transitional Kindergarten.

APPENDIX B



MEMORANDUM

To: Charlie Weaver
Sultan School District
514 4th Street
Sultan, WA 98294

Date: April 9, 2024

Project No.: F2550.01.002

From: Alex Brasch
Senior Population Geographer

Re: 2023–24 Student Generation Rates—Sultan School District

At the request of the Sultan School District (SSD/District), FLO Analytics (FLO) estimated student generation rates (SGRs) for residential housing units built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022. The SGRs represent the average number of SSD K–12 students (October 2023 headcount) residing in new single-family (SF) detached housing units. This memo details the methodology FLO used to create the SGRs and presents the findings by grade group and housing type.

Methods

As described by Snohomish County Planning & Development Services ([2022 Biennial Update to School District Capital Facilities Plans](#)), Snohomish County operates a school impact fee program authorized by RCW 82.02.040 and the Washington State Growth Management Act under Chapter 36.70A RCW. School districts that wish to collect impact fees must provide a school board adopted Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) for review by the County Planning Commission and County Council that fulfills the specifications of state law, the County comprehensive plan, and the County code. One requirement of CFPs is “impact fee support data required by the formula in Chapter 30.66C SCC, including a district-specific analysis to determine the student generation rate component of the fee calculation”.

As defined in Snohomish County code 30.91S.690, “SGRs mean the number of students of each grade span (elementary, middle/jr. high, high school) that a school district determines are typically generated by different dwelling unit types within the district.” In other words, SGRs represent the number of students residing in housing constructed within the most recent five-to-eight-year period by housing type and grade group (i.e., elementary, middle, and high school).

SGR calculations are based on housing information and student residences. FLO obtained and processed the necessary housing data from the Snohomish County Assessor’s Office and Information Technology Department, as well as the Puget Sound Regional Council, including parcel/tax lot boundaries with essential attributes—housing type, number of housing units, and year built. Housing units constructed in 2023 were excluded from the analysis, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023. To link the housing information to SSD students, the District provided FLO with October 2023 headcount enrollment, which FLO geocoded to represent student residences. The student residences were then spatially matched to residential housing built in the district boundary between 2015 and 2022.

With this combination of information, SGRs were calculated by dividing the number of students per grade group by the total number of housing units for each housing type. SGRs were calculated for the types of housing built in the district within the analysis period; namely, SF detached. The number of townhome/duplex and multifamily (MF) units built during the period was insufficient to produce reliable SGRs. The townhome/duplex category includes the following structure types: single-family attached, townhome, duplex, triplex, and fourplex. In buildings with three or more housing units in the townhome/duplex category, the dwellings are constructed vertically from the foundation to the roof for individual occupancy by a household. The MF category includes all structures with five or more housing units and structures with 3–4 housing units that are stacked.

Results

Table 1 includes the number of housing units and SGRs for SF detached housing types, as well as the number of students by grade group that have addresses matching the housing units. Of the 1,982 students residing within the district, 411 live in the 1,016 SF detached units that were built between 2015 and 2022. On average, each SF detached housing unit yields 0.405 K–12 students. The number of townhome/duplex and MF units built in the district between 2015 and 2022 is insufficient to produce reliable SGRs; therefore, no SGRs were calculated for those housing types.

Table 1: K–12 Students per Housing Unit Built 2015–2022

Housing Type	Housing Units	Students				SGRs			
		K–5	6–8	9–12	K–12	K–5	6–8	9–12	K–12
Single-family Detached	1,016	231	80	100	411	0.227	0.079	0.098	0.405

Notes

Housing units built in 2023 are excluded, because they may not have been completed and occupied by October 2023. The number of MF units built in the district between 2015 and 2022 is insufficient to produce reliable SGRs; therefore, no SGRs were calculated for that housing type.

Sources

Sultan School District 2023–24 headcount enrollment, Snohomish County parcels, and Puget Sound Regional Council 2015–2022 new housing inventory.

Townhome/Duplex and Multi-Family 2+ BR Rates: As noted above, the District does not have a reliable data set for purposes of calculating student generation rates for Townhome/Duplex units and Multi-Family 2+ bedroom units (or Multi-Family units with one bedroom or less). Consistent with the methodology used in the 2016, 2017, 2018, 2020, and 2022 Sultan School District Capital Facilities Plans, the District has calculated Multi-Family 2+ BR student generation rates using the countywide average of the corresponding rates published in the 2022 capital facilities plans (the last County-adopted set of plans) of the other school districts in Snohomish County. The District is applying these same rates to Townhome/Duplex units as those units previously were included by most districts within the Multi-Family 2+ bedroom data set. These averages reflect recent development trends in Snohomish County. As a comparison to Snohomish County, King County has recognized countywide averages as a reasonable approach to calculating student generation rates when there is a lack of sufficient development data. See KCC 21A.06.1260.

The District is choosing to apply the 2022 calculated average* as an estimate of student generation from new Townhome/Duplex units and Multi-Family 2+ bedroom units within the Sultan School District.

The resulting average student generation rates are as follows:

Multi-Family 2+ BR Rates	K-5	6-8	9-12
	0.094	0.054	0.053

Student generation rates were not calculated for multi-family dwelling units with one bedroom or less as current data is insufficient for purposes of calculating a countywide average in Snohomish County.

*Excluding certain anomalies of districts with high multi-family rates (Everett, Mukilteo) or low multi-family rates (Monroe).

APPENDIX C

SCHOOL IMPACT FEE CALCULATIONS									
DISTRICT	Sultan School District								
YEAR	2024								
School Site Acquisition Cost:									
((AcresxCost per Acre)/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor									
	Facility	Cost/	Facility	Student	Student	Student			
	Acreage	Acre	Capacity	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
							SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	15.00	\$ 9,100.00	450	0.227	0.094	0.094	\$69	\$29	\$29
Middle	20.00	\$ -	600	0.079	0.054	0.054	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	34.00	\$ 9,100.00	800	0.098	0.053	0.053	\$38	\$20	\$20
						TOTAL	\$107	\$49	\$49
School Construction Cost:									
((Facility Cost/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor)x(permanent/Total Sq Ft)									
	%Perm/	Facility	Facility	Student	Student	Student			
	Total Sq.Ft.	Cost	Capacity	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
							SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	83.00%	\$ 50,200,000	450	0.227	0.094	0.094	\$21,018	\$8,704	\$8,704
Middle	83.00%	\$ 31,633,000	256	0.079	0.054	0.054	\$8,102	\$5,538	\$5,538
High	83.00%	\$ 98,853,000	800	0.098	0.053	0.053	\$10,051	\$5,436	\$5,436
						TOTAL	\$39,171	\$19,677	\$19,677
Temporary Facility Cost:									
((Facility Cost/Facility Capacity)xStudent Generation Factor)x(Temporary/Total Square Feet)									
	%Temp/	Facility	Facility	Student	Student	Student	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
	Total Sq.Ft.	Cost	Size	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	17.00%	\$ -	25	0.227	0.094	0.094	\$0	\$0	\$0
Middle	17.00%	\$ -	30	0.079	0.054	0.054	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	17.00%	\$ -	32	0.098	0.053	0.053	\$0	\$0	\$0
					TOTAL		\$0	\$0	\$0
State School Construction Funding Assistance Credit:									
CCA X SPI Square Footage X District Funding Assistance % X Student Factor									
	CCA	SPI	Funding	Student	Student	Student			
		Footage	Asst %	SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)	Cost/	Cost/	Cost/
							SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Elementary	\$ 375.00	90	61.68%	0.227	0.094	0.094	\$4,725	\$1,957	\$1,957
Middle	\$ 375.00	108		0.079	0.054	0.054	\$0	\$0	\$0
High	\$ 375.00	130	61.68%	0.098	0.053	0.053	\$2,947	\$1,594	\$1,594
					TOTAL		\$7,672	\$3,550	\$3,550
Tax Payment Credit:							SFR	TH/Duplex	MFR (2+)
Average Assessed Value							\$470,692	\$242,411	\$242,411
Capital Bond Interest Rate							3.48%	3.48%	3.48%
Net Present Value of Average Dwelling							\$3,918,509	\$2,018,070	\$2,018,070
Years Amortized							10	10	10
Property Tax Levy Rate							\$0.92	\$0.92	\$0.92
Present Value of Revenue Stream							\$3,602	\$1,855	\$1,855
Fee Summary:				Single	Townhome	Multi-			
				Family	Duplex	Family (2+)			
Site Acquisition Costs				\$107	\$49	\$49			
Permanent Facility Cost				\$39,171	\$19,677	\$19,677			
Temporary Facility Cost				\$0	\$0	\$0			
State SCFA Credit				(\$7,672)	(\$3,550)	(\$3,550)			
Tax Payment Credit				(\$3,602)	(\$1,855)	(\$1,855)			
FEE (AS CALCULATED)				\$28,004	\$14,321	\$14,321			
Fee (AS DISCOUNTED)				\$14,002	\$7,161	\$7,161			



Snohomish County

**Planning and Development
Services**

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL

EXHIBIT # 2.0003

FILE ORD 24-082

3000 Rockefeller Ave., M/S 604
Everett, WA 98201-4046
(425) 388-3311
www.snoco.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: Snohomish County Planning Commission

VIA: Mike McCrary, Director
Planning and Development Services

FROM: Eileen Canola, Senior Planner
Planning and Development Services

SUBJECT: 2024 Biennial Update to School Districts Capital Facilities Plans (CFPs)

DATE: July 5, 2024

Dave Somers
County Executive

PURPOSE

The purpose of this staff report is to provide draft code amendments and background information regarding the biennial update to school district capital facilities plans (CFPs) in advance of the August 13, 2024, Planning Commission Special meeting. School districts planning to collect school impact fees must submit their CFP to the County for review by a Technical Review Committee (TRC) and consideration by the Planning Commission, and County Council. The TRC has completed its review of eleven school district CFP drafts. School districts are in the process of obtaining school board approval for their respective CFP.

County Council approval of the school districts' CFPs will amend both the comprehensive plan and County code. Once adopted by the County Council, the eleven school districts' CFPs will be incorporated by reference into the Capital Facilities Element of the comprehensive plan. The code amendment will affect the school impact fee schedule in Chapter 30.66C of the Snohomish County Code (SCC)

Participating School Districts

- Arlington School District No. 16
- Edmonds School District No. 15
- Everett School District No. 2
- Granite Falls School District No. 332
- Lake Stevens School District No. 4
- Lakewood School District No. 306
- Monroe School District No. 103
- Mukilteo School District No. 6
- Northshore School District No. 417
- Snohomish School District No. 201
- Sultan School District No. 311

Note: The Marysville School District is not participating this biennial update.

BACKGROUND

The Growth Management Act (GMA) requires that the County's comprehensive plan address the adequacy and service levels of public facilities and services that are necessary to support development, such as public education, roads, water, sewer, and electric power. Specifically, GMA Goal 12 (RCW 30.70A.020(12)) states:

"Ensure that those public facilities and services necessary to support development shall be adequate to serve the development at the time the development is available for occupancy and use without decreasing current service levels below locally established minimum standards."

Further, the GMA (RCW 36.70A.070(3)) requires a capital facilities element to be included as part of the County's comprehensive plan that must contain:

- An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by public entities, including green infrastructure, showing the locations and capacities of the capital facilities;
- a forecast of the future needs for such capital facilities; (c) the proposed locations and capacities of expanded or new capital facilities;
- at least a six-year plan that will finance such capital facilities within projected funding capacities and clearly identifies sources of public money for such purposes; and
- a requirement to reassess the land use element if probable funding falls short of meeting existing needs and to ensure that the land use element, capital facilities plan element, and financing plan within the capital facilities plan element are coordinated and consistent.

School District CFPs contain the information (as required by Appendix F of the County's General Policy Plan) to help satisfy the GMA requirements. The County's school impact fee program provides school districts with a revenue to help with the adequacy of school districts' facilities to ensure levels of service are being met. The County's Capital Improvement Program (CIP) reports on how minimum levels of services are being met by school districts and other public services necessary to support development.

Snohomish County operates a school impact fee program authorized by RCW 82.02.040 and the Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA) under Chapter 36.70A RCW. This GMA-based impact fee program was created in 1999 and codified in Chapter 30.66C SCC and meets the requirements of RCW 82.02.050. The County assesses and collects the school impact fees based on the school impact fee table in County code for proposed development projects, and transfers those collected fees to the respective school district.

Under the GMA, the imposition of impact fees is based on the premise that new development pays a proportionate and equitable share of the public capital costs associated with growth. Therefore, school impact fees provide mitigation for the impacts of new development on public school facilities and can only be spent for the public facilities defined in state law (RCW 82.02.050(4)). Under the County's impact fee program, school impact fees are due at the time of building permit issuance and must be spent within ten years of collection. It is important to note that impact fees are supplemental and the primary sources for funding capital projects are voter-approved bonds and state match funds.

PROCESS

Chapter 30.66C SCC authorizes PDS to assemble a TRC to review drafts of school district CFPs for compliance with the requirements established in [SCC 30.66C.050](#), including consistency with the criteria in Appendix F of the County's GMA General Policy Plan (GPP).

[Appendix F](#) of the GPP contains the specific requirements and performance criteria for the school CFPs. The following summarizes the requirements of Appendix F:

- Future enrollment projections that are consistent with 2044 county population forecasts.
- Inventory of existing sites, facilities, and their capacities.
- Forecast of future facility needs.
- Forecast of future site needs.
- Proposed capital improvement projects to address additional demands of growth (existing deficiencies may also be addressed but cannot be financed with impact fees).
- A schedule and financing program (at least six years) to fund the proposed projects; and
- Impact fee support data required by the formula in Chapter 30.66C SCC, including a district-specific analysis to determine the student generation rate component of the fee calculation. The student generation rate (SGR) is a calculation used by the school districts in determining their impact fees. SGRs are the average number of students by grade (elementary, middle, and high school) typically generated by housing type. These numbers are obtained by a survey of all new residential units permitted by the jurisdictions within that school district during the most recent five-to-eight-year period.
- Plan Performance Criteria:
 - Meet basic requirements of GMA and RCW 82.02.
 - Enrollment forecasts should utilize established methods and should produce results which are not inconsistent with the Office of Financial Management (OFM) population forecasts used in the county comprehensive plan.
 - The financing plan should separate projects and portions of projects which add capacity from those which do not, since the latter are generally not appropriate for impact fee funding. The financing plan and/or the impact fee calculation formula must also differentiate between projects or portions of projects which address existing deficiencies (ineligible for impact fees) and those which address future growth-related needs.
 - Plans should use best-available information from recognized sources, such as the U.S. Census or the Puget Sound Regional Council. District-generated data may be used if it is derived through statistically reliable methodologies.
 - Districts which propose the use of impact fees should identify in future updates alternative funding sources.

It is important to note that although the TRC performs the calculation for the impact fees and, per Appendix F, checks for the inclusion of explanations and descriptions for key variables of the impact fee calculation, it is the responsibility of each school district to perform the calculations and explain the calculation methodology used in determining the impact fees.

DEVELOPMENT OF PROPOSED IMPACT FEES

School districts may use impact fees to meet a portion of the facility demands of projected growth in the school district. Impact fees assessed to new developments, per state law, cannot be used to correct existing

deficiencies. Some examples of expenses that can be financed in part with impact fees are: Land acquisition to build new schools; construction of new schools and additional classrooms/capacity at existing schools; and the purchase of portable classrooms. Impact fees must be spent within ten years of collection. Attachment A provides an overview of capacity issues and capital projects identified by each school district (based on 2nd drafts of CFPs).

Impact fees proposed by each school district were calculated using the formula in SCC 30.66C.045. Pursuant to SCC 30.66C.045, the resulting impact fee in each school district's CFP are based on the cost per dwelling unit to purchase land for school sites, make improvements, construct schools, and purchase or install temporary facilities (portable classrooms). The key variables in the fee calculation include planned capital improvements to accommodate new population growth, the student generation rate, and construction costs. Credits have also been applied to the formula to account for state matching funds to be reimbursed to a school district and projected future property taxes toward school construction bonds that are to be paid by the dwelling unit. Per County code, the final calculated fee is then discounted by 50%. Table 1 depicts how the proposed impact fees calculated in the 2nd draft CFPs would amend the current school impact fee schedule contained in Table SCC 30.66C.100(1). Attachments B and C provide an overview of single-family and multi-family (2+ bedrooms) school impact fees over time.

PROPOSED AMENDMENTS

- Proposed amendments to SCC 30.66C.100(5) to amend the effective date of the school impact mitigation fees Table 30.55C.100(1):
(5) The fees set forth in Table 30.66C.100(1) apply to developments that vest to county development regulations from January 1, ((2023)) 2025, to December 31, ((2024)) 2026.
- Proposed amendments to school impact fees in Table SCC 30.66.100(1) are based on the 2nd Drafts of school district CFPs. This table will be reviewed once school board approval is obtained from all eleven school district CFPs, although no changes are anticipated.

Table 30.66C.100(1) School Impact Mitigation Fees

SCHOOL DISTRICT	SINGLE FAMILY per dwelling unit	MULTI-FAMILY 1-BEDROOM per dwelling unit	MULTI-FAMILY 2+ BEDROOMS per dwelling unit	DUPLEXES AND TOWNHOMES per dwelling unit
Arlington No. 16	(((\$4,002)) <u>\$544</u>)	\$0	(((\$2,328)) <u>\$0</u>)	(((\$2,328)) <u>\$441</u>)
Edmonds No. 15	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

SCHOOL DISTRICT	SINGLE FAMILY per dwelling unit	MULTI-FAMILY 1-BEDROOM per dwelling unit	MULTI-FAMILY 2+ BEDROOMS per dwelling unit	DUPLEXES AND TOWNHOMES per dwelling unit
Everett No. 2	(((\$6,286)) <u>\$12,556</u>	\$0	(((\$3,834)) <u>\$4,257</u>	(((\$3,834)) <u>\$4,257</u>
<u>Granite Falls No. 332</u>	<u>\$6,368</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$3,160</u>	<u>\$3,160</u>
Lake Stevens No. 4	(((\$11,434)) <u>\$13,730</u>	\$0	(((\$2,526)) <u>\$741</u>	(((\$2,526)) <u>\$2,627</u>
Lakewood No. 306	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
(((\$Marysville No. 25))	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)	(\$0)
Monroe No. 103	(((\$2,961)) <u>\$0</u>	\$0	(((\$2,112)) <u>\$0</u>	(((\$2,112)) <u>\$0</u>
Mukilteo No. 6	(((\$1,121)) <u>\$0</u>	(((\$700)) <u>\$1,148</u>	(((\$11,846)) <u>\$2,985</u>	(((\$11,846)) <u>\$0</u>
Northshore No. 417	(((\$17,963)) <u>\$15,159</u>	\$0	(((\$0)) <u>\$254</u>	(((\$7,152)) <u>\$5,414</u>
Snohomish No. 201	(((\$6,495)) <u>\$5,361</u>	\$0	(((\$4,514)) <u>\$1,357</u>	(((\$4,514)) <u>\$5,462</u>
Sultan No. 311	(((\$14,842)) <u>\$14,002</u>	\$0	(((\$9,576)) <u>\$7,161</u>	(((\$9,576)) <u>\$7,161</u>

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements will be satisfied by each school district, acting as lead agency, and completing an environmental review for its respective CFP.

NOTIFICATION TO STATE AGENCIES

Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106, a notice of intent to adopt eleven school district CFPs and amend the school impact mitigation fees in SCC 30.66C.100 will be sent to the Washington State Department of Commerce prior to the August 27, 2024, Planning Commission meeting.

STAFF REVIEW

A County TRC reviewed the 1st and 2nd drafts of the eleven school district CFPs and found that all 2nd CFP drafts met the requirements of Chapter 30.66C SCC and the criteria of Appendix F. The eleven school districts are in the process of completing SEPA and seeking approval of their 2nd draft CFP by their respective school board. Based on the TRC comments or school board review, there may be updates to information that is reflected in the board-adopted version of the CFPs. PDS will provide any updates prior to the Planning Commission meeting on August 27, 2024.

ACTION REQUESTED


No action is required at the August 13, 2024, Planning Commission meeting. However, at the public hearing scheduled for August 27, 2024, the Planning Commission will be asked to make a recommendation on the eleven school district CFPs and the proposed amendments to SCC 30.66C.100. The Planning Commission recommendation will be transmitted to the County Council. It is anticipated that the County Council will consider the school CFPs concurrently with the County's Capital Improvement Program and other components of this year's budget package. Once adopted by the County Council, the school impact fees would be effective on January 1, 2025.

cc: Ken Klein, Executive Director
Mike McCrary, Director, PDS
David Killingstad, Manager, PDS
Ryan Countryman, Senior Council Legislative Analyst


Attachment A: Overview of Single-Family School Impact Fees Since 2002

School District	District Student Projections* 6-year 2023 to 2029	Future Permanent Capacity Needs* (does not include available and portable capacity)*	Capital Projects 2023-2029	Meeting Min LOS
Arlington	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 378 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at elementary school level	<ul style="list-style-type: none">In 2022, the completed addition to Arlington High School that continues to provide capacity.Replacement of Post Middle School – adding capacity 150 studentsPotential addition of portables	Yes
Edmonds	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Decrease of 720 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at elementary, middle grade levels.	2024 Bond Projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">New Middle SchoolNew College Place MiddleNew Oak Heights ElementaryNew College Place ElementaryNew Westgate ElementaryRenewal & Upgrade Projects (Multi-Site)	Yes
Everett	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 992 students	By 2029: Increasing capacity needs at the elementary and middle school levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Elementary Grade Level: Additional classroom space. At least 5 portables.Middle Grade Level: at least 3 portable classrooms will need to be purchased.High Grade Level: Purchase at least 1 portable and relocate portables as needed.Everett High School classroom and cafeteria modernization.Acquire approx..11 acres for a future elementary school.	Yes
Granite Falls	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 288 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at all grade levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Expanding Mountain Way Elementary School - eight new permanent classrooms.Expanding Monte Cristo Elementary School - six new permanent classrooms.Expanding Granite Falls Middle School - eight new permanent classrooms.Adding a new Early Learning Center, including kindergarten, which will relieve capacity at Mountain Way Elementary School.Starting to plan for high school capacity solutions as growth continues.	Yes
Lake Stevens	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 487 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at all grade levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Elementary: land acquisition for two schools and the construction of one elementary school and expansion of two.Expansion of one middle school.Acquisition of portables.	Yes
Lakewood	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase in 209 students	By 2029: Elementary and middle school levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Acquisition and siting of portable facilities to accommodate growth needs.Land acquisition	Yes
Monroe	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 164 students	By 2029: Capacity need at elementary school level.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Proposed Salem Woods ExpansionProposed Frank Wagner ExpansionProposed Chain Lake Elementary Expansion	Yes
Mukilteo	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 431 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at all grade levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Elementary and middle school additions and replacementMariner High School addition & renovationPortables	Yes
Northshore	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 1090 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at all grade levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Elementary: adding capacity at Fernwood, Crystal Springs, Maywood Hills, and Woodin Elementary SchoolsMiddle: adding capacity at Leota Middle School – Phase 1High school: adding capacity at Inglemoor, North Creek and Bothell High.Portables	Yes
Snohomish	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 502 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at the elementary school level.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Elementary: additions as a part of replacement projects at three elementary schools (Cathcart, Dutch Hill, and Seattle Hill).Portables	Yes
Sultan	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 255 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at all grade levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Expansion at Gold Bar Elementary School (reconfigure to PreK-4th)New elementary school (configure to PreK-4th)Expansion and conversion of high school to the new Sultan Junior High SchoolNew Sultan High School.	Yes

Attachment B: Overview of Single-Family School Impact Fees Since 2002



Attachment C: Overview of Multi-Family (2+ BR) School Impact Fees Since





SNOHOMISH COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

August 29, 2024

Snohomish County Council
County Administration Building
3000 Rockefeller Avenue, M/S 609
Everett, WA 98201-4046

SUBJECT: Planning Commission recommendations on Eleven School District Capital Facilities Plans (CFPs) and proposed code amendments to Chapter 30.66C SCC (School Impact Mitigation)

Dear Snohomish County Council:

On behalf of the Snohomish County Planning Commission, I am forwarding our recommendation on eleven school district capital facilities plans (CFPs) and amendments to Chapter 30.66C of the Snohomish County Code (SCC) related to school impact mitigation fees.

The eleven school district CFPs have been reviewed for consistency with state and county code requirements by a technical review committee (TRC) led by Snohomish County Planning and Development Services, and no outstanding issues were identified. Ten of the eleven school district CFPs have been adopted by their respective school boards and are Arlington School District No.16, Everett School District No. 2, Granite Falls School District No. 332, Lake Stevens School District No. 4, Lakewood School District No. 306, Monroe School District No.103, Mukilteo School District No. 6, Northshore School District No. 417, Snohomish School District No. 201, and Sultan School District No. 311.

The school board for the Edmonds School District No.15 met on August 27, 2024 to review and approve the district's CFP. Based on the 2nd Draft reviewed by the County's TRC, the district is not proposing any impact fees. The Planning Commission's recommendation for Edmond's School District CFP is pending school board approval.

The proposed code amendments are to revise the effective date of the school impact fee table and update the school impact fees in SCC Table 30.66C.100(1) with the fees proposed in the eleven school district CFPs.

The Planning Commission had a briefing on this topic on August 13, 2024, and conducted a public hearing on August 27, 2024. There was one written comment received by the Planning Commission from the Northshore School District prior to the August 27 hearing, and no members of the public commented at the public hearing.

PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION

At the August 27, 2024 Planning Commission meeting, Commissioner Pedersen made a motion, seconded by Commissioner Busteed, recommending APPROVAL of the eleven school district CFPs (with provisional approval for the Edmonds School District CFPs pending school board adoption) and proposed code amendments contained in the staff report dated July 5, 2024.

Vote:

4 in favor (*Busteed, Larsen, Niemela, Pedersen*)

4 opposed (*Ash, Campbell, James, Sheldon*)

0 abstentions

Motion Failed

Due to a lack of majority vote, **no recommendation** was made following the close of the public hearing and after due consideration of the information presented and is based on the July 5, 2024, staff report, with which the Commission concurred.

Rationale for Vote – Commissioner Campbell

After the public hearing, Commissioner Campbell offered the following statement regarding the proposed school impact fees and the funding of schools in general:

“Schools need considerable help in anticipating growth enrollments and capital needs over the next five years. Current forecasts in a majority of districts is for declining enrollments and this needs to be carefully monitored. Some districts (notably Northshore) have had very large impact fees on single family residences. I am not sure that these large fees are warranted at this time. Similarly, I think it is problematic for single family residence to be such a large contributor of capital funding. This should be a more equitable state responsibility not such a significant reliance on impact fees. As a builder, I never would have anticipated such a large impact fee and would have had a significant damper on affordable housing. As such, we need a better balance of state funding, broad-based local funding and impact fees that are not excessive. Perhaps the formula between different types of housing needs to be more broad-based and equitable.” - Tom Campbell

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Larsen

Robert Larsen (Aug 29, 2024 19:11 PDT)

SNOHOMISH COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

Robert Larsen, Chairman

cc: Dave Somers, Snohomish County Executive
Michael McCrary, Director, Planning and Development Services







Updated 2024-SchoolCFPs-Planning Commission Recommendation Letter (002)_TT

Final Audit Report

2024-08-30

Created:	2024-08-29
By:	Taylor Twiford (taylor.twiford@co.snohomish.wa.us)
Status:	Signed
Transaction ID:	CBJCHBCAABAAVc01M7suZb-nzFP9tZRs4kL-khUjZCCs

"Updated 2024-SchoolCFPs-Planning Commission Recommendation Letter (002)_TT" History

-  Document created by Taylor Twiford (taylor.twiford@co.snohomish.wa.us)
2024-08-29 - 7:01:43 PM GMT
-  Document emailed to robert.larsen@snoco.org for signature
2024-08-29 - 7:02:08 PM GMT
-  Email viewed by robert.larsen@snoco.org
2024-08-29 - 7:03:00 PM GMT
-  Signer robert.larsen@snoco.org entered name at signing as Robert Larsen
2024-08-30 - 2:11:23 AM GMT
-  Document e-signed by Robert Larsen (robert.larsen@snoco.org)
Signature Date: 2024-08-30 - 2:11:25 AM GMT - Time Source: server
-  Agreement completed.
2024-08-30 - 2:11:25 AM GMT

Executive/Council Action Form (ECAF)

ITEM TITLE:

Budget Ordinance 24-082, adopting the 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plans for the Arlington No. 16, Edmonds No. 15, Everett No. 2, Granite Falls No. 332, Lake Stevens No. 4, Lakewood No. 306, Monroe No. 103, Mukilteo No. 6, Snohomish No. 201, and Sultan No. 311 School Districts, and the 2024-2030 Capital Facilities Plan for the Northshore No. 417 School District Pursuant to SCC 30.66C.020 and amending the School Impact Fee Schedule in SCC 30.66C.100

DEPARTMENT: Planning and Development Services

ORIGINATOR: Eileen Canola

EXECUTIVE RECOMMENDATION: Approved by Ken Klein 9/4/24

PURPOSE: To adopt eleven 2024 school district Capital Facilities Plans (CFPs) and incorporate them by reference into the Capital Facilities Element of the County’s comprehensive plan and amend SCC 30.66C.100 to update the school impact fee table and its effective date.

BACKGROUND: Per Chapter 30.66C SCC, school districts wishing to participate in the County’s school impact fee program, must submit an updated Capital Facilities Plan (CFP) that meets the requirements of state law and county code, and contains the required information outlined in Appendix F of the County’s comprehensive plan. For the County’s 2024 biennial CFP update, eleven out of the fifteen school districts serving Snohomish County submitted CFPs for County review. The County assembled a Technical Review Committee (TRC) that found no outstanding issues with the eleven school districts’ CFPs and all required information was present including impact fee data and calculations for those districts proposing impact fees. All school districts, but the Edmonds School District have secured school board adoption of their updated CFP. The Edmonds School Board is scheduled to convene on August 27, 2024 to take action on their CFP. The Planning Commission was briefed on August 13, 2024 and is scheduled to hold a hearing on this matter on August 27, 2024. The Planning Commission recommendation letter and the Edmonds School District school board approved CFP will be transmitted after their scheduled meetings. County adoption of the proposed ordinance will adopt and incorporate by reference the eleven school district CFPs into the County’s Capital Facilities and Utilities Element, and amend the school impact fee table in SCC 30.66C.100 as well as its effective date.

FISCAL IMPLICATIONS:

EXPEND: FUND, AGY, ORG, ACTY, OBJ, AU	CURRENT YR	2ND YR	1ST 6 YRS
TOTAL			

REVENUE: FUND, AGY, ORG, REV, SOURCE	CURRENT YR	2ND YR	1ST 6 YRS
TOTAL			

DEPARTMENT FISCAL IMPACT NOTES: Click or tap here to enter text.

CONTRACT INFORMATION:

ORIGINAL _____ CONTRACT# _____ AMOUNT _____
 AMENDMENT _____ CONTRACT# _____ AMOUNT _____

Contract Period

ORIGINAL START _____ END _____
 AMENDMENT START _____ END _____

OTHER DEPARTMENTAL REVIEW/COMMENTS: Reviewed/approved by Finance – Nathan Kennedy 9/3/24

**Snohomish County**
Planning and Development
Services

3000 Rockefeller Ave., M/S 604
Everett, WA 98201-4046
(425) 388-3311
www.snoco.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: Councilmember Nate Nehring, Council Chair
Councilmember Megan Dunn, Council Vice-Chair
Councilmember Strom Peterson, District 3
Councilmember Jared Mead, District 4
Councilmember Sam Low, District 5

Dave Somers
County Executive

VIA: Michael McCrary, Director
Planning and Development Services

FROM: Eileen Canola, Senior Planner
Planning and Development Services

SUBJECT: 2024 Biennial Update to School Districts Capital Facilities Plans (CFPs)

DATE: August 14, 2024

PURPOSE

The purpose of this memorandum is to provide the County Council with key information on eleven school districts' capital facilities plans (CFPs) and the proposed amendments to Chapter 30.66C of the Snohomish County Code (SCC) as part of the 2024 biennial update to school district CFPs. This proposal is coordinated with the adoption of the County's first biennial budget.

In order to facilitate the assembly of the biennial budget, PDS is transmitting the proposed ordinance, ten school board adopted CFPs, and other key documents by August 20, 2024, as requested by the Finance Department. This is prior to the Planning Commission's hearing on this topic and the Edmonds School District school board meeting both scheduled for August 27, 2024. The Planning Commission's recommendation letter and the Edmonds School District board adopted CFP will be transmitted to the County Council soon thereafter.

County Council approval of the school districts' CFPs will amend both the comprehensive plan and County code. Once adopted by the County Council, the eleven school districts' CFPs will be incorporated by reference into the Capital Facilities and Utilities Element of the comprehensive plan. The code amendment will update the school impact fee schedule in Chapter 30.66C SCC.

Participating School Districts

- Arlington School District No. 16
- Edmonds School District No. 15
- Monroe School District No. 103
- Mukilteo School District No. 6

- Everett School District No. 2
- Granite Falls School District No. 332
- Lake Stevens School District No. 4
- Lakewood School District No. 306
- Northshore School District No. 417
- Snohomish School District No. 201
- Sultan School District No. 311

Note: The Marysville School District is not participating this biennial update.

STATUS OF PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW

On August 13, 2024, the Planning Commission was briefed on eleven school district CFPs and the proposed amendments to SCC 30.66C.100. The Planning Commission is scheduled to hold a public hearing on this matter on August 27, 2024. At the August briefing PDS provided the Commission with background on the GMA goals and requirements related to capital facilities, the County's school impact fee program, the proposed school districts' CFPs, and proposed amendments to the school impact fee table and effective date in the Snohomish County Code (SCC) 30.66C.100.

BACKGROUND

GMA and Capital Facilities Planning

School District CFPs contain the information (as required by Appendix F of the County's General Policy Plan) to help satisfy the Growth Management Act (GMA). The GMA requires that the County's comprehensive plan address the adequacy and service levels of public facilities and services that are necessary to support development, such as public education, roads, water, sewer, and electric power. Specifically, GMA Goal 12 (RCW 30.70A.020(12)) states:

"Ensure that those public facilities and services necessary to support development shall be adequate to serve the development at the time the development is available for occupancy and use without decreasing current service levels below locally established minimum standards."

Further, the GMA (RCW 36.70A.070(3)) requires a capital facilities element to be included as part of the County's comprehensive plan that must contain specific information including:

- An inventory of existing capital facilities owned by public entities, including green infrastructure, showing the locations and capacities of the capital facilities;
- a forecast of the future needs for such capital facilities; (c) the proposed locations and capacities of expanded or new capital facilities;
- at least a six-year plan that will finance such capital facilities within projected funding capacities and clearly identifies sources of public money for such purposes; and
- a requirement to reassess the land use element if probable funding falls short of meeting existing needs and to ensure that the land use element, capital facilities plan element, and financing plan within the capital facilities plan element are coordinated and consistent.

County's School Impact Fee Program

To participate in the County's school impact fee program, school districts must submit an updated CFP for County review that meets state and County requirements, including data to support proposed impact fees. Snohomish County operates a school impact fee program authorized by RCW 82.02.040 and the Washington State GMA under Chapter 36.70A RCW. This GMA-based impact fee program was created in 1999 and codified in Chapter 30.66C SCC and meets the requirements of RCW 82.02.050. The County assesses and collects the school impact fees based on the school impact fee table in County code for proposed development projects, and transfers those collected fees to the respective school district.

Under the GMA, the imposition of impact fees is based on the premise that new development pays a proportionate and equitable share of the public capital costs associated with growth. Therefore, school impact fees provide mitigation for the impacts of new development on public school facilities and can only be spent for the public facilities defined in state law (RCW 82.02.050(4)). Under the County's impact fee program, school impact fees are due at the time of building permit issuance and must be spent within ten years of collection. It is important to note that impact fees are supplemental and the primary sources for funding capital projects are voter-approved bonds and state match funds.

PROCESS

Chapter 30.66C SCC authorizes PDS to assemble a Technical Review Committee (TRC) to review drafts of school district CFPs for compliance with the requirements established in SCC 30.66C.050, including consistency with the criteria in Appendix F of the County's GMA comprehensive plan Table 1 provides a key information form the 11 school district CFPs. In general, school districts' CFPs are reviewed by the County on a biennial basis; they expire two years from the date of adoption by the County Council or when the County Council adopts an updated plan that meets GMA requirements. A school district's CFP generally expires on December 31, and when adopted by the County Council, the new plan becomes effective on January 1. Amendments to a school district's CFP constitute amendments to the County's comprehensive plan (Capital Facilities and Utilities Element) and code (SCC 30.66C.100). School districts that wish to collect impact fees must prepare CFPs for review by the County that fulfill the specifications of state law, the County comprehensive plan, and the County code and include:

- minimum level of service (LOS)
- future enrollment forecasts
- inventory of existing facilities and forecast of future facility needs
- forecast of future site needs
- six-year financing program
- impact fee support data & proposed impact fees for new single-family and multi-family development (if collecting)

SUMMARY OF EACH SCHOOL DISTRICT’S CFP

Table 1. Summary of 2024 School Districts CFPs

School District	District Student Projections* 6-year 2023 to 2029	Future Permanent Capacity Needs*(does not include available and portable capacity)*	Capital Projects 2023-2029	Meeting Min LOS
Arlington	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 378 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at elementary school level	<ul style="list-style-type: none">In 2022, the completed addition to Arlington High School that continues to provide capacity.Replacement of Post Middle School – adding capacity 150 studentsPotential addition of portables	Yes
Edmonds	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Decrease of 720 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at elementary, middle grade levels.	2024 Bond Projects: <ul style="list-style-type: none">New Middle SchoolNew College Place MiddleNew Oak Heights ElementaryNew College Place ElementaryNew Westgate ElementaryRenewal & Upgrade Projects (Multi-Site)	Yes
Everett	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 992 students	By 2029: Increasing capacity needs at the elementary and middle school levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Elementary Grade Level: Additional classroom space. At least 5 portables.Middle Grade Level: at least 3 portable classrooms will need to be purchased.High Grade Level: Purchase at least 1 portable and relocate portables as needed.Everett High School classroom and cafeteria modernization.Acquire approx..11 acres for a future elementary school.	Yes
Granite Falls	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 288 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at all grade levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Expanding Mountain Way Elementary School - eight new permanent classrooms.Expanding Monte Cristo Elementary School - six new permanent classrooms.Expanding Granite Falls Middle School - eight new permanent classrooms.Adding a new Early Learning Center, including kindergarten, which will relieve capacity at Mountain Way Elementary School.Starting to plan for high school capacity solutions as growth continues.	Yes
Lake Stevens	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 487 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at all grade levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Elementary: land acquisition for two schools and the construction of one elementary school and expansion of two.Expansion of one middle school.Acquisition of portables.	Yes
Lakewood	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase in 209 students	By 2029: Elementary and middle school levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Acquisition and siting of portable facilities to accommodate growth needs.Land acquisition	Yes
Monroe	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 164 students	By 2029: Capacity need at elementary school level.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Proposed Salem Woods ExpansionProposed Frank Wagner ExpansionProposed Chain Lake Elementary Expansion	Yes
Mukilteo	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 431 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at all grade levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Elementary and middle school additions and replacementMariner High School addition & renovationPortables	Yes
Northshore	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 1090 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at all grade levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Elementary: adding capacity at Fernwood, Crystal Springs, Maywood Hills, and Woodin Elementary SchoolsMiddle: adding capacity at Leota Middle School – Phase 1High school: adding capacity at Inglemoor, North Creek and Bothell High.Portables	Yes
Snohomish	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 502 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at the elementary school level.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Elementary: additions as a part of replacement projects at three elementary schools (Cathcart, Dutch Hill, and Seattle Hill).Portables	Yes
Sultan	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Increase of 255 students	By 2029: Capacity needs at all grade levels.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Expansion at Gold Bar Elementary School (reconfigure to PreK-4th)New elementary school (configure to PreK-4th)Expansion and conversion of high school to the new Sultan Junior High SchoolNew Sultan High School.	Yes

PROPOSED IMPACT FEES

Impact fees have been calculated utilizing the formula in SCC 30.66C.045. The resulting figures in a school district's CFP are based on the cost per dwelling unit to purchase land for school sites, make improvements, construct schools, and purchase or install temporary facilities (portable classrooms). The key variables in the fee calculation are the planned capital improvement to accommodate new population growth, the student generation rate, and construction costs. Credits have also been applied to the formula to account for state matching funds to be reimbursed to a school district and projected future property taxes toward school construction bonds that are to be paid by the dwelling unit. Per County Code, the final calculated fee is then discounted by at least 50%.

The transmitted ordinance contains the proposed impact fees calculated in the school board approved CFPs that would amend the current school impact fee schedule contained in Table SCC 30.66C.100(1).

ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) requirements have been satisfied by each school district acting as lead agency, completing an environmental checklist, and issuing a Determination of Nonsignificance for its respective CFP.

NOTIFICATION TO STATE AGENCIES

Pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106, a notice of intent to adopt eleven school district CFPs and amend the school impact mitigation fees in SCC 30.66C.100 was received by the Washington State Department of Commerce on August 1, 2024, for distribution to state agencies.

STAFF REVIEW

As required by County code (SCC 30.66C.050) and the County's comprehensive plan (Appendix F), a County technical review committee completed its review of the eleven school district CFPs and found no outstanding issues.

NEXT STEPS

The Planning Commission will issue its recommendation on the eleven school district CFPs after holding a public hearing on August 27, 2024. Following the public hearing, PDS will transmit the Planning Commission's signed recommendation letter. PDS will also transmit the school board approved CFP for the Edmonds School district, following the Board's meeting also on August 27, 2024.

cc: Ken Klein, Executive Director
Michael McCrary, Director, PDS
David Killingstad, Manager, PDS
Ryan Countryman, Council Senior Legislative Analyst

Snohomish County Capital Facility Development Cost Analysis Summary **FILE** ORD 24-082

Project/Document Title:

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15, EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO. 103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE NORTHSORE NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC 30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100

Date: July 18, 2024

Primary Staff Contact: Eileen Canola, ext. 2253

General Cost Analysis Summary:

Snohomish County operates a school impact fee program authorized by RCW 82.02.040 and the Washington State Growth Management Act (GMA) under Chapter 36.70A RCW. The imposition of impact fees is based on the premise that new development should pay a proportionate and equitable share of the public capital costs associated with growth. School districts that wish to collect impact fees must prepare and adopt capital facilities plans (CFPs) for review by the county and that fulfill the specifications of state law, the county comprehensive plan, and the county code.

The proposed amendments include adoption of school districts' 2024 updated CFPs and amending SCC 30.66C.100 to update the school impact mitigation fee schedule and revise the expiration date of the school district CFPs. The amendments are not expected to increase the demand for county capital facilities.

Necessary Facility	Quantification/Qualification of Anticipated Cost:
Parks	County Funded Impacts – None anticipated. Other Fund Sources Impacts – None anticipated.
Roads & Transit	County Funded Impacts – Adoption of the school districts' capital facilities plans and amending the school impact mitigation fees does not directly impact county funds related to roads. Other Fund Sources Impacts – None anticipated.
Surface Water	County Funded Impacts – None anticipated. Other Fund Sources Impacts – None anticipated.
Public Schools	County Funded Impacts – None, funding of schools is the responsibility of local districts. Other Fund Sources Impacts – None anticipated.
Electric Power	County Funded Impacts – None, funding of electric power is the responsibility of the local district or city. Other Fund Sources Impacts – None anticipated.
Public Water	County Funded Impacts – None, funding of public water is the responsibility of the local district or city. Other Fund Sources Impacts – None anticipated.
Wastewater	County Funded Impacts – None, funding of wastewater treatment is the responsibility of the local district or city. Other Fund Sources Impacts – None anticipated.

**BUDGET ORDINANCE
INTRODUCTION SLIP**

TO: Clerk of the Council

TITLE OF PROPOSED BUDGET ORDINANCE:

ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15, EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO.332, LAKE STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO. 103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE NORTSHORE NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC 30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100

Introduced By:

Jared Mead 09/04/24
Councilmember Date

Clerk's Action: Proposed Budget Ordinance No. 24-082

Assigned to: Committee of the Whole Date: 09/10/2024

STANDING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION FORM

On September 10, 2024, the Committee considered the Budget Ordinance by 5 Yeas and 0 Nays, and made the following recommendation:

Set time and date for Public Hearings on: October 22, 2024 @ 10:30 a.m.
and 6:00 p.m.

continued to: Wednesday, November 13, 2024 @ 10:30 a.m.

other

Jared Mead
Council Chair

From: Robb Stanton <robb_stanton@lkstevens.wednet.edu>
Sent: Friday, October 18, 2024 10:11 AM
To: Contact Council
Cc: Low, Sam
Subject: Proposed Ordinance 24-082

VIA EMAIL: contact.council@snoco.org

Snohomish County Council
3000 Rockefeller Ave., M/S 609
Everett, WA 98201

Re: Proposed Ordinance 24-082
2024 Biennial Update to the School District Capital Facilities Plans

Dear Members of the County Council:

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments regarding the Lake Stevens School District's 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plan.

Growth in the communities that lie within the District's boundaries (portions of unincorporated Snohomish County and the cities of Lake Stevens and Marysville), continues to be strong. Over 3,300 new housing units were built within our borders between 2015 and 2022. This growth has driven student enrollment in the District to a new high of 9,621 K-12 students in October 2024 and represents an 18.4% increase over 10 years ago.

Like many districts, Lake Stevens' enrollment dipped during the COVID-19 pandemic. But student enrollment has since rebounded and has continued to grow. Student enrollment is 8.7% higher today than in 2020.

Forecasts provided by the county indicate that the population within the District is expected to grow 5.2% from 2023 to 2029. There are currently over 2,000 new units in the construction and permitting pipeline. This projected and potential growth was used to forecast a similar 5.2% growth in student enrollment by 2029. But already, our October enrollment was almost 100 students higher than projected for 2024.

This growth, and an increase in the number of students residing in newly constructed single-family homes since the last plan update, are the main reasons the District continues to be eligible to collect school impact fees. The increased student generation rate, combined with continued regional, industry-wide increases in the cost of construction over the past several years, are the reasons the District's fees have increased this cycle.

Impact fees for single family, multifamily and townhome housing units are calculated using standardized methodology and reviewed by Snohomish County Planning department staff for accuracy and compliance with state law and county code. These calculated fees are then discounted 50% by the jurisdictions that adopt these fees, so developers pay only ½ of the calculated impact.

Fees for single-family homes were calculated to be \$13,730 after the discount is applied, an increase of 20% from our 2022 plan. A more modest increase of 4% was calculated for fees for townhomes and multiplexes, to \$2,627 per dwelling unit. However, a decrease in the student generation rate for multi-family units with two or more bedrooms resulted in a 70.7% decrease in impact fees for these units, down to \$741 after the discount is applied. There are currently no fees calculated for multi-family units with zero or one bedroom.

As important as what goes into the fee determination is how the District uses these fees to address the impacts of growth. During our most recent capital bond campaign from 2016 through 2022, the District deployed school impact fees in conjunction with voter-approved bond funds and state construction assistance to:

- Build a new elementary school with capacity for 650 elementary students,
- Expand Lake Stevens High School to add capacity for growth while delaying the need to become a two-high-school district,
- Secure a \$24.5M grant from the state to build 50 new elementary classrooms across the District. This enabled the District to keep class sizes low at grades kindergarten through 3rd.
- Add 18 temporary classrooms (portables) at six schools to accommodate growth until new schools are built.

Growth continues to drive the need for new capacity, so the District has proposed a \$314M bond this November. School impact fees will again be used with the new bond funds and state construction assistance to add capacity for growth at the elementary and middle school level by building elementary number eight, expanding Glenwood and Skyline Elementaries and adding more permanent classrooms at Lake Stevens Middle School.

Our community demands that developments contribute to mitigating the impacts of growth that they create. Accurately calculated school impact fees, used for projects that address the impact of growth, are the way that they do. Using school impact fees to reduce the cost to local taxpayers when new bonds are needed to add capacity is an important part of generating support for these bonds. The District urges you to support these efforts and adopt its 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plan and those of the other school districts in the county.

We appreciate working with you to continue building great growing communities. Thank you for your support.

Robb Stanton
Executive Director, School Planning and Construction
12309 22nd Street NE
Lake Stevens, WA 98258
425-335-1506
robb_stanton@lkstevens.wednet.edu

Daily Office Hours:
8:00 to 9:00 AM
4:00 to 5:00 pm

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL
Snohomish County, Washington

NOTICE OF INTRODUCTION OF ORDINANCE
AND
NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that the Snohomish County Council will hold a public hearing on, Tuesday, October 22, 2024, at the hour of 10:30 a.m. and 6:00 p.m., and continuing thereafter as necessary, in the Henry M. Jackson Board Room, 8th Floor, Robert J. Drewel Building, 3000 Rockefeller, Everett, Washington, in conjunction with a remote meeting platform via the Zoom link below, to consider proposed Ordinance No. 24-082, titled: ADOPTING THE 2024-2029 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLANS FOR THE ARLINGTON NO. 16, EDMONDS NO. 15, EVERETT NO. 2, GRANITE FALLS NO. 332, LAKE STEVENS NO. 4, LAKEWOOD NO. 306, MONROE NO. 103, MUKILTEO NO. 6, SNOHOMISH NO. 201, AND SULTAN NO. 311 SCHOOL DISTRICTS, AND THE 2024-2030 CAPITAL FACILITIES PLAN FOR THE NORTHSORE NO. 417 SCHOOL DISTRICT PURSUANT TO SCC 30.66C.020 AND AMENDING THE SCHOOL IMPACT FEE SCHEDULE IN SCC 30.66C.100. At the hearing, the Council may also consider alternatives/amendments to the proposed ordinance.

Zoom Webinar Information:

Join online at <https://zoom.us/j/94846850772>
or by telephone call 1-253-215-8782 or 1-206-337-9723

Background: This ordinance proposes to: 1) adopt eleven school district capital facilities plans (CFPs) for participation in the county's school impact mitigation fee program, 2) amend SCC 30.66C.100 to establish the effective and expiration dates for the school impact mitigation fees 3), amend Table 30.66C.100(1) to revise the school impact mitigation fees, and 4) amend the Capital Facilities Plan of the Snohomish County Growth Management Act Comprehensive Plan by incorporating by reference the eleven school CFPs.

A summary of the proposed ordinance is as follows:

Sections 1, 2 and 3. Adopts recitals, findings of fact, and conclusions.

Sections 4-14. Adopt the capital facilities plans for: Arlington School District No. 16, Edmonds School District No. 15, Everett School District No. 2, Granite Falls School District No. 332, Lake Stevens School District No. 4, Lakewood School District No. 306, Monroe School District No. 103, Mukilteo School District No. 6, Northshore School District No. 417, Snohomish School District No. 201, and Sultan School District No. 311.

Section 15. Establishes an expiration date for the school district capital facilities plans.

Section 16. Amends SCC 30.66C.100 to: 1) revise the effective date of the Districts' capital facilities plans; and 2) amend the impact fees in Table 30.66C.100(1).

Section 17. States that the county council bases its findings and conclusions on the entire record of the planning commission and the county council, including all testimony and exhibits.

Section 18. Establishes the effective date of the ordinance as January 1, 2025.

Section 19. Incorporates a severability clause.

Exhibits A-1 – A- 11. 2024-2029 Capital Facilities Plans for: Arlington School District No. 16, Edmonds School District No. 15, Everett School District No. 2, Granite Falls School District No.332, Lake Stevens School District No. 4, Lakewood School District No. 306, Monroe School District No. 103, Mukilteo School District No. 6, Snohomish School District No. 201, and Sultan School District No. 311, and the 2024-2030 Capital Facilities Plan for Northshore School District No. 417.

=====
State Environmental Policy Act: The school districts, acting as lead agencies, have issued determinations of non-significance on their plans to comply with the State Environmental Policy Act (“SEPA”). These individual determinations serve as the County’s compliance with the requirements of SEPA. Copies of any threshold environmental determination made under SEPA and the accompanying environmental checklists are available at the individual school district offices.

Where to Get Copies of the Proposed Ordinance: Copies of the full ordinance and other documentation are available upon request by calling the Snohomish County Council Office at (425) 388-3494, 1-(800) 562-4367x3494, TDD (425) 877-8339 or by e-mailing contact.council@snoco.org.

Website Access: This ordinance can be accessed through the Council website at: <https://snohomish.legistar.com/Calendar.aspx> or <http://www.snohomishcountywa.gov/2134/County-Hearings-Calendar>.

Range of Possible Actions the County Council May Take on This Proposal: At the conclusion of its public hearing(s), the county council may make one of the following decisions regarding the proposed action: (1) adopt the planning commission recommendations; (2) adopt an amended version of the planning commission recommendations; (3) decline to adopt the planning commission recommendations; (4) remand in whole or in part to the planning commission for further consideration; (5) adopt such other proposals or modification of such proposals as were considered by the council at its own hearing; or (6) take any other action permitted by law.

Public Testimony: At the time and place indicated above or by remote participation, the county council will be accepting public testimony. The county council may continue the hearing to another date to allow additional public testimony thereafter, if deemed necessary. Anyone interested may testify concerning the above-described matter. Written testimony is encouraged and may be sent to the office of the County Council at the following address: Snohomish County Council, 3000 Rockefeller, MS - 609, Everett, WA 98201. Faxed documents may be sent to (425) 388-3496 or E-mail to contact.council@snoco.org.

Party of Record: You may become a party of record on this matter by sending a written request to the Clerk of the County Council at the above address, testifying at the public hearing, or entering your name and address on a register provided for that purpose at the public hearing.

American Disabilities Act Notice: Accommodations for persons with disabilities will be provided upon request. Please make arrangements one week prior to the hearing by calling Debbie Eco at (425) 388-3494, 1(800) 562-4367 X3494, or TDD # 388-3700.

QUESTIONS: For additional information or specific questions on the proposed ordinance please call Eileen Canola in the Department of Planning and Development Services at (425) 262-2253.

DATED this 12th day of September 2024.

SNOHOMISH COUNTY COUNCIL
Snohomish County, Washington



Council Chair

ATTEST:



Clerk of the Council

Publish: October 1, 2024
October 8, 2024

SUBMIT AFFIDAVIT TO: Council
SEND INVOICE TO: Planning #107010